

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 129 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 12 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1041 OF 2015.

1. Mr. Pawar Ramesh Virsen & 13 Ors.,
C/o. Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. | 2 The Secretary, Skill Development & Enterprisership, M.S., 6 th Floor, Main Bldg., Madam Cama Road, Hutatma Rajguru Chowk, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. |
| 3 The Commissioner, Vocational Education & Training Directorate, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Post Box No. 10036, Mumbai-1. | 4 The Joint Director, Vocational Education & Training, Regional Office, Ghole Road, Shivaji Nagar, Pune-5. |
| 5 The Principal Secretary, Finance Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. | 6 The Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai. |

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 12th day of **January, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri. K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MAJUMKAR, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **12.01.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.

Amal
12/1/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1041/15

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

By consent, the OA is taken up for final disposal. The 14 Applicants herein have brought this OA seeking regularization in their service after condoning the breaks such as they were. Now, it is clear that the subject matter covered by prayer clause (b) is that in the alternative, the Respondents be given a direction to decide the representations of the Applicants submitted on 9.3.2015 and 10.3.2015 and to communicate the decision thereon to them forthwith. In my opinion, in order to avoid any technical objection as regards substantive aspect of the matter, this OA can be disposed of in terms of prayer clause 10(b). This OA therefore disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to consider and decide the two representations above referred to within a period of six weeks from today and communicate the decision to the Applicants within one week thereafter. No order as to costs.

Date: 12/1/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicants

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Sc/ (R.B. Malik) 12.1.16
Member (J)
12.01.2016

(skw)

TRUE COPY
12/1/2016