ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572 OF 2015 [Shri Subhash Gopinath Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply.
- 3. It appears from the proceedings that on the last occasion i.e. on 16.11.2016 a last chance was granted on the condition that heavy costs will be imposed on the respondents, if reply affidavit in not filed on the next date (01.12.2016).
- 4. In view thereof, most last chance is granted to the respondents to file reply affidavit subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (Rs. One thousand only). The amount of costs shall be remitted to the M.A.T. Bar Association.
- 5. S.O. to 22nd December, 2016.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 817 OF 2015 [Dr. Anita d/o Ramdhan Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 16th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2016 [Shri Dnyanoba S/o. Laxman Thakur Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.K. Munde – learned Advocate for the Applicant (absent). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.K. Sawangikar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that even on the last occasion i.e. on 25.10.2016 none was appeared for the applicant.
- 3. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 have already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 25th January, 2017 for final hearing.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 197 OF 2016 [Shri Arjun Haribhau Mekane Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.K. Munde – learned Advocate for the Applicant (absent). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.M. Shinde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that even on the last occasion i.e. on 25.10.2016 none was appeared for the applicant.
- 3. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 have already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 25th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 203 OF 2016 [Sow. Jaishree W/o. Kishanrao Dudhate Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.K. Munde – learned Advocate for the Applicant (absent). Mrs. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.M. Shinde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that even on the last occasion i.e. on 25.10.2016 none was appeared for the applicant.
- 3. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 have already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 25th January, 2017 for final hearing.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 204 OF 2016 [Shri Parmeshwar S/o. Nivrati Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.K. Munde – learned Advocate for the Applicant (absent). Mrs. Deepali Shripad Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, present. Shri V.D. Jadhav – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (absent).

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that even on the last occasion i.e. on 25.10.2016 none was appeared for the applicant.
- 3. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 25th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 205 OF 2016 [Shri Pradip S/o. Janardhanrao Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.K. Munde – learned Advocate for the Applicant (absent). Mrs. Deepali Shripad Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.M. Shinde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, were present.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that even on the last occasion i.e. on 25.10.2016 none was appeared for the applicant.
- 3. The learned Advocate for the respondent No. 4 seeks time to file affidavit in reply.
- 4. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has already been filed on record.
- 5. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty to respondent No. 4 to file affidavit in reply on his behalf as well as to the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 25th January, 2017 for final hearing.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 222 OF 2016 [Shri Sandeep S/o. Kailas Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed service affidavit in respect of respondent No. 3. In spite of service of such notice, the respondent No. 3 did not appear. The matter was already heard at length on merits and was closed for orders on 16.11.2016. In view of the fact that respondent No. 3 has already been served and did not appear, it is now closed for orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 246 OF 2016 [Shri Raju S/o. Manohar Dabhade & Others Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and rejoinder affidavit on behalf of the applicant have already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is admitted.
- 4. S.O. to 30th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572 OF 2016 [Shri Shivrajappa M. Sulfule & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 and separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 & 6 and rejoinder affidavit on behalf of the applicant have already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is admitted.
- 4. S.O. to 30th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262 OF 2016
[Shri Dagdu Waman Bansode Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day and will serve the copy of the same upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 24th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 552 OF 2016 [Shri Subhash Bhaksarrao Bagal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.K. Choudhari, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.K. Choudhari – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. Deepali Shripad Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. None appears for respondent No. 6.

- 2. Learned Advocate Shri Y.V. Dhoble appeared and submits that he is appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5 and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** as well as affidavit in reply on his behalf and the same are taken on record. The copy of the affidavit in reply has been served on the other side.
- 3. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2, 3 & 4 has already been filed on record.
- 4. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is admitted.
- 5. S.O. to 27th January, 2017 for final hearing.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 553 OF 2016 [Shri Milind Nagnath Kamble Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 699 OF 2016 [Shri Jagdish Deoram Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and rejoinder affidavit on behalf of the applicant have already been filed on record.
- 4. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is admitted.
- 5. S.O. to 27th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727 OF 2016 [Shri Sadashiv Martandrao Sawai Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 30th January, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 765 OF 2016

[Shri Bansilal S/o. Harishchandra Tarte & Ors. Vs. The

State of Maharashtra & Ors.1

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE

: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi - learned Advocate for the

Applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar - learned

Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on

behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and separate affidavit in reply

on behalf of respondent No. 6 have already been filed on

record.

4. The learned Presenting Officer submits that respondent

No. 7 viz. the Superintendent of Police, Hingoli, wants to file

affidavit in reply. There is no reason as to why the reply is not

filed earlier and in any case when the Director General of

Police, Mumbai, and the Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad

have already filed reply affidavit, whether there is necessity of

filing such affidavit in reply.

:: - 2- ::

O.A. NO. 765 OF 2016

- 5. Since the contesting respondents have already filed affidavit in reply, the present Original Application is **admitted** with liberty to the learned Presenting Officer to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 7 and to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.
- 6. S.O. to 30th January, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 784 OF 2016 [Shaikh Mehboob Yakubsab Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar) – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 30th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 791 OF 2016 [Mohd Fasihuddin Ansari Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Ms. A.N. Ansari – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 30th January, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 823 OF 2016 [Shri Bapurao S/o. Ramji Wakode Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.K. Deshpande – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 23rd December, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2015 [Shri Shriniwas S/o. Nagindas Tandale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 01.12. 2016.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 28th February, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.751/2015

(J.B.Mahatole V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01-12-2016

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Today Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant has filed an application for speaking to minutes praying for some correction of clerical mistake crept in the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.751/2015 dated 24-11-2016. He has submitted as under:
 - "1. In para 7 of the order date of appointment order is mentioned as 23-03-1994, the correct date is 23-03-1984.
 - 2. On page 6 para 6 pay scale is mentioned as 5000-7000 (Sr.No.118) that needs to be corrected as 5000-8000."
- 3. In view thereof, as per first prayer correction be carried out in the order passed on 24-11-2016 as **23-03-1984** instead of 23-03-1994.
- 4. So far as second prayer is concerned, it cannot be corrected, it being the contention of the respondents, which has been reproduced as verbatim.
- 5. Registrar is directed to correct the date as above in the order of the Tribunal dated 24-11-2016, and if certified copy of the order is already issued, the same also be corrected, or fresh certified copy may be issued.
- 6. Accordingly, application for speaking to minutes stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.28/2012

(B.S.Navthar V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE: 01-12-2016

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant has filed an application for speaking to minutes praying for correction of clerical mistake crept in the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.28/2012 dated 21-10-2016. He has submitted as under:

"In title clause name of the applicant has been wrongly typed as Bhushan that needs to be corrected as Bhausaheb."

- 3. In view thereof correction be carried out in the title clause of order passed on 21-10-2016 as Bhausaheb instead of Bhushan.
- 4. Registrar is directed to make correction as above in the order of the Tribunal dated 21-10-2016, and if certified copy of the order is already issued, the same also be corrected, or fresh certified copy may be issued.
- 5. Accordingly, application for speaking to minutes stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.897/2016

(Smt. S.R.Raut V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE: 01-12-2016

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri S.P.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant is absent. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Since none present for the applicant, S.O.20-12-2016.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-12-2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.763/2015

(L.A.Jahagirdar V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE: 01-12-2016

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Ku. Bhavana Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Since pleadings are complete, matter is admitted.

To come up for final hearing whenever Division Bench is available.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-12-2016

M.A.No.446/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1979/2016 IN O.A.No.227/2015

(K.B.Pawar V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE: 01-12-2016

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondent no.2, returnable on 16-01-2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent no.2 intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
- 8. S.O. 16-01-2017.

M.A.No.452/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1954/2016

(Sk. Shakil Sk. Abdul V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE: 01-12-2016

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Asif Ali learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N.Ansari learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 16-01-2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on all respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
- 8. S.O. 16-01-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 01-12-2016