

O.A. No. 141/2015. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

C.A. No. 127/2019.

Heard Shri A.S. Agrawal, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri P.R. Agrawal, Adv. for R. 4 to 5. Shri Vipul Bhise, Adv. for R.6 and Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Adv. for R. 7 to 10.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **two weeks.**

Put up this O.A. alongwith O.A. Nos. 718/2015 and 377/2016.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 718/2015.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri P.S. Bhange, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri P.R. Agrawal, Adv. for R. 5 to 7. Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Adv. for R. 8 to 11.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **two weeks.**

Put up this O.A. alongwith O.A. Nos. 141/2015 and 377/2016.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 377/2016.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri P.R. Agrawal, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

t the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **two weeks.**

Put up this O.A. alongwith O.A. Nos. 141/2015 and 718/2015.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 706/2015.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri N.S. Warulkar, Adv. holding for Shri S.P. Palshikar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that senior counsel Shri Palshikar is busy in the High Court and hence, he requests for time.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. **1.8.2019.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 561/2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Adv. holding for Shri J.S. Wankhede, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. two weeks to collect the notice and to remove office objection.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 955/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

C.A. 376/2018.

Heard Shri V.B. Bhise, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. counsel for the applicant has filed C.A. No.376/2018 for condonation of delay. Matter pertains to advertisement of 2005 and select list of the year 2006. This Tribunal vide its order dated 18.7.2016 dismissed the O.A. in default. The Ld. counsel for the applicant is directed to file a copy of that O.A.. However, there is no justification for condonation of delay. In view of this, O.A. is dismissed at this stage alongwith the C.A. stands dismissed.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 677/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 1007/2018. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4, 5, 6,7,8,9,10,11,12 and 14 to 17.

Await service to R.13.

S.O. **three weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 465/2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri A.V. Wankhede, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant has not filed appointment letter. He is directed to file appointment letter.

S.O. **two weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 535/2019. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

Await service to R. 2 to 4.

S.O. **three weeks.**

Put up this case with O.A. No.536/2019.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 48/2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri N.S. Warulkar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **three weeks** to file reply.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 269/2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri N.S. Warulkar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. **one week** to file reply.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No.589 /2019. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

Heard Shri V.D. Awchat, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. Issue notice to respondent Nos.2 and 3 returnable after three weeks.

3. Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

3-A. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as

limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. **three weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 590 of 2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. It is contention of the applicant that in his service book, there is an entry that the applicant is a Group-A Medical Officer. We have perused the entry in the service book. It appears that the said entry is on the basis of G.R. dated 2.7.2002. After reading clause 3 (a) of the G.R. dated 2.7.2002, it is clear inspite of the rise in the pay, cadre of Medical Officers would not change. The applicant is unable to show any order passed by the Government, by which he is elevated from Group-B to Group-A and under these circumstances, there appears no reason grant interim relief. It is submission of the applicant that the proposal is forwarded to the Govt. and it is pending. It is for the Govt. to decide that proposal and the Govt. is at liberty to pass any order in this matter.

3. Issue notice to R.2 to 4 returnable after **four weeks**.

4. Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit

is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. **four weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 591 of 2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. It is contention of the applicant that in his service book, there is an entry that the applicant is a Group-A Medical Officer. We have perused the entry in the service book. It appears that the said entry is on the basis of G.R. dated 2.7.2002. After reading clause 3 (a) of the G.R. dated 2.7.2002, it is clear inspite of the rise in the pay, cadre of Medical Officers would not change. The applicant is unable to show any order passed by the Government, by which he is elevated from Group-B to Group-A and under these circumstances, there appears no reason grant interim relief. It is submission of the applicant that the proposal is forwarded to the Govt. and it is pending. It is for the Govt. to decide that proposal and the Govt. is at liberty to pass any order in this matter.

3. Issue notice to R.2 to 4 returnable after **four weeks**.

4. Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit

is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. **four weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 556 of 2019.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

C.A. No.300/2019.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 and 2. None for R.3.

2. It is contention of the applicant that in his service book, there is an entry that the applicant is a Group-A Medical Officer. We have perused the entry in the service book. It appears that the said entry is on the basis of G.R. dated 2.7.2002. After reading clause 3 (a) of the G.R. dated 2.7.2002, it is clear inspite of the rise in the pay, cadre of Medical Officers would not change. The applicant is unable to show any order passed by the Government, by which he is elevated from Group-B to Group-A and under these circumstances, there appears no reason grant interim relief. It is submission of the applicant that the proposal is forwarded to the Govt. and it is pending. It is for the Govt. to decide that proposal and

the Govt. is at liberty to pass any order in this matter.

10. S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 542/2017. (D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)
Dated : 30th July 2019.**

C.P. No. 32/2018.

Heard Shri Bharat Kulkarni, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.4.

2. Issue notice to R. 1 to 3 returnable after four weeks.
3. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.4. Hamdast granted.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. **four weeks.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 436/2017.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri K.K. Kadu, Adv. holding for Shri S. Ghate, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicants, S.O. **5.8.2019.**

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 204/2015.

(D.B.)

**Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman and
Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member(J)**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

None for the applicants. Shri P.N. Warjekar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

At the request of the Ld. P.O., S.O.
two weeks.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

Dt. 30.7.2019.

pdg.

O.A. No. 256/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

Shri S.R. Deshpande, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will collect hamdast of R-2 to 5 within two days and will serve to the said respondents. He therefore requested three weeks time. At his request, **S.O. three weeks.**

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 271/2019 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

2. The learned P.O. has filed orders dated 12/7/2019, 19/7/2019 and now decision is taken by the Government and leave is granted w.e.f. 19/6/2014 to 20/7/2015.

3. In view of this matter, the O.A. stands allowed as per prayer clause no. (ii). The respondents to comply the order within three months from the date of this order. No order as to costs.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 522/2019 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

Smt. K.N. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3&4.

The service report of R-2,3&5 are filed. The service report of R-4 is not filed.

S.O. one week for filing service report of R-4.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 523/2019 **(SB)**

Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,

Member (J).

Dated : 30.07.2019

Shri A.P. Chaware, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 222/2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

Heard Shri D.S. Sawarkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 770/2017 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. two weeks.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. No. 879/2018 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and none for R-3 to 5.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A. Nos. 445,446 & 447 of 2015 **(SB)**

**Coram : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 30.07.2019

Heard Shri Arpit Gajbhiye, Id. counsel for the applicants. Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3 & 5 is absent. None for R-4.

2. It is submitted that learned P.O. is not available today. Hence, the matters be taken tomorrow for hearing.

S.O. 31/07/2019.

Member (J)

dnk.

O.A.No.116/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the State and Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3 submits that file has been taken from him by Department, so he may discharge from respondent nos. 2 and 3.

3. The respondent nos. 2 & 3 are directed to decide that whether they are going to appoint special counsel or the Id. P.O. office will represent them? The same decision will be taken **within two weeks time**.

4. **S.O. three weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.

aps.

O.A.Nos.994 & 995/2018 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman

Dated: 30th July 2019.

C.A.Nos.146 & 147/2019:-

Heard Shri S.A.Puranik, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he is yet to carry out the amendment due to certain difficulty. However, he is directed to carry out the amendment within a week time.

3. **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.

aps.

O.A.No.72/2019 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman

Dated: 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri A.P.Sadavarte, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of R-2.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. next week for filing service affidavit.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.
aps.

O.A.No.149/2019 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman

Dated: 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant invited my attention on letter dated 04/01/1989 (Annexure-A-1, P.B., Pg. No. 10) which is appointment letter of the applicant. However, as pleaded by Id. counsel for the applicant, applicant was continued, except 19 days breaks. He was in continued service of the Department.

3. Applicant has submitted several applications. However, no decision has been communicated to the applicant regarding regularization or his continuation of his service. The last application is dated 11/05/2018 to Principle Secretary, State Excise Department through Superintendent State Excise, Amravati. The Id. counsel for the applicant has also invited my attention to the Appointment order dated 17/07/1990 on P.B. Pg. No. 11 (Annexure-A-2).

4. However, in the interest of justice, it is appropriate that respondent no. 2 is directed

to decide the applicant's representation dated 11/05/2018 **within two months** from the date of this order as per the Rules and Regulations of the Department.

5. With the above directions, **O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.
aps.

**O.A.Nos.165, 161, 162, 163, 166/2015 &
48/2016 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman**

Dated : 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri R.R.Khapre, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has pointed out following facts:-

A. Advertisement dated 15.09.2003 at Annexure-A-4, P.B., Pg. No. 67.

B. Typed copy of the advertisement is at (Annexure-A-4) P.B., Pg. Nos. 67A and 67B.

C. Interview letter is at (Annexure-A-5) P.B., Pg. No. 68.

D. Appointment letter is at (Annexure-A-6) P.B., Pg. No. 69 dated 31.10.2003.

E. Agreement is at (Annexure-A-7), P.B., Pg. No. 71.

F. The Id. counsel for the applicant has mainly relied upon the Judgment of Hon'ble High Court which is at (Annexure-A-38), P.B., Pg. No. 145, Writ Petition No. 2046/2010 by the name of Shri Sachin Ambadas Dawale & 90 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra, Principle

Secretary Higher and Technical Education and Another delivered on 19.10.2013. The same Writ Petition has been confirmed by the Apex Court in Special S.L.P. No. 39014/2013 delivered on 06.01.2015. In the said S.L.P. Hon'ble Supreme Court has dismissed the S.L.P. filed by the State against the order of the Hon'ble High Court.

G. In O.A. No. 781/2013 with O.A. No.290/2015 with O.A.No. 868/2014 with Misc. Application No.546/2014 with O.A. No. 150/2015 filed in M.A.T., Mumbai, Principle Bench. The Id. counsel for the applicant relied upon the operative part of the Judgment which is at P.B., Pg. No. 692 para 58.

H. The Id. counsel for the applicant relied on these above three Judgments i.e. Principle Bench, MAT Judgment, Hon'ble High Court Judgment and Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment.

3. The Id. P.O. desires to take instructions from the Department regarding para nos. 19-20 of his affidavit and for this he seeks some time. He further submits the below:-

O.A. Nos.	Applicants in Nos.
O.A.No. 165/2015	02
O.A.No. 161/2015	04
O.A.No. 162/2015	09
O.A.No. 163/2015	06
O.A.No. 166/2015	03
O.A.No. 48/2016	01

4. **S.O. Friday i.e. 02.08.2019.**

5. *Matter is treated as P.H.*

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.
aps.

O.A.No.414/2015 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman

Dated: 30th July 2019.

Heard Smt. Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant requested to adjourn the matter. However, Id. P.O. pointed out that the Id. counsel for the applicant is adjourning the matter since 01.11.2018 till date.

3. Hence, **S.O. in due course.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.

aps.

O.A.No.626/2016 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice Chairman

Dated: 30th July 2019.

Heard Shri R.Joshi, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Closed for orders.

Vice Chairman

Date:-30/07/2019.
aps.