# O.A. NO.443/2017 (Dr. UttamVs.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER**:

-----

None appearsfor the applicant.Shri M.G. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that notarized affidavit in reply is received to him, however, upon going through the same, it appears that the respondents have not included the reasons, which were directed to be included by this Tribunal vide order dtd. 3.8.2017. Heseeks time to study the affidavit in reply and get correction, if any, in the same or to take corrective steps in that regard. At his request, S.O. to 29.9.2017 for compliance by the learned P.O.

3. The learned P.O. to act upon the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### **O.A. NO. 37/2017**

### (Balasaheb&Ors. Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>CORAM</u>** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

### **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicants submits that, despite the directions given by the Tribunal in the interim relief against the recovery vide order dtd. 3.5.2017 and despite the subordinates of the concerned respondents again took guidance from the learned Presenting Officer, the recovery is continued.

3. In the circumstances, the learned Advocate for the applicants is directed to place on record names of juniors of res. no. 4, who are recovering the amount from the applicants despite the interim order of the Tribunal dtd. 3.5.2017, during the course of the day by filing pursis.

# VICE CHAIRMAN.

# LATER ON :-

•

4. The learned Advocate filed pursis of naming the following Officers, who are recovering the amount from the applicants :-

- Shri VivekTrimbak Bade, Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Nanded.
  - (2) Shri Suresh KisanraoKahalekar, Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), P.W.D. Sub Division, Nanded.

5. In the circumstances, issue notices to the Shri VivekTrimbak Bade, Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Nanded Shri Suresh and KisanraoKahalekar, Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), P.W.D. Sub Division, Nanded, asking them as to why the process for contempt shall not initiated against them personally for non compliance of the order of the Tribunal dtd. 3.5.2017.Said notices be made returnable on 10.10.2017.

# ::-3-:: O.A. NO. 37/2017

Steno copy allowed for the use of learned
P.O.

# VICE CHAIRMAN.

# O.A. NO. 105/2017

#### (Nagesh Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# **<u>CORAM</u>** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER** :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. SanjivaniDeshmukhGhate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.G. Kawade, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5& 10, are present. None appears for other respondents.

2. Noticeson some of the respondents are yet to be served. The learned P.O. submits that connected issue has been decided by the principal bench of this Tribunal at Mumbai. The writ petition filed by the State Government against the said decision of the Tribunal is dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court and decision on Review Application is awaited.

3. In view of absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant for taking proper steps and awaiting reply of the concerned respondents and further instructions, S.O. to 31.10.2017.

# VICE CHAIRMAN.

### O.A. NO.542/2013

(Babasaheb Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# **CORAM** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.U. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. SanjivaniDeshmukhGhate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, he will have to contact the applicant and seek further instructions from him. As his request, S.O. to 10.10.2017, for satisfying the Tribunal on the query that was raised vide order dtd.10.7.2017.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

# O.A. NO. 747/2015

(Sadhu KundlikLohar Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of the respondents to the amended O.A. At his request, S.O. to 6.10.2017 filing reply to the amended O.A.

### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NOS. 488 & 489/2016 (Sukhdev&Ors. Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# **<u>CORAM</u>** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER** :

None appears for the applicants in both the matters. Smt. SanjivaniDeshmukhGhate& Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.

2. It appears that the steno copy of the order dtd. 4.8.2017 passed by the Division Bench of the Tribunal was not directed to be given to the learned P.O.

3. In the circumstances, steno copy of the order dtd. 4.8.2017 and today's order dtd. 20.9.2017 be given to the learned P.Os. for compliance.

4. S.O. to 31.10.2017.

# VICE CHAIRMAN.

# O.A. NO. 07/2017

(Shishirbaba Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

### **CORAM** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

### **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

None appears for the applicant.Smt.

Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer

for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 31.10.2017 for hearing on admission.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

# **O.A. NO. 233/2017**

#### (Dr. Balkrishna Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER**:

-----

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The learned P.O. files on record the affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1, 2 & 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. It appears that the decision on merit in the matter is required. Arguable case is made out. Hence, the matter is hereby admitted.

4. As the Division Bench is not available, the matter be placed for final hearing before the Division Bench, whenever it is available.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

\_\_\_\_\_

MA 437/16 IN OA ST. 1869/16, MA 438/16 IN OA ST. 1867/16 MA 440/16 IN OA ST. 1873/16, MA 456/16 IN OA ST. 2031/16

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER** :

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters, S/shriM.P. Gude, S.K. Shirse, D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.

2. The respective learned P.Os. have filed affidavit in replies of res. no. 2 in MA 440/16 IN OA ST. 1873/16 and MA 456/16 IN OA ST. 2031/16. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicants.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file copy of the judgment delivered by the principal bench of this Tribunal at Mumbai in the similar matter. At his request S.O. to 28.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.210/2017.

(Shri R. B. Pimple V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B. B. Yenge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both sides it appears that, while the G.R. (Annexure A-2, Exh.B, page 15) is regarding the dependence of the Ex-Serviceman, who has died in service or who has become disabled while in service, the present applicant's father appears to have been expired after retirement.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the applicant's father has retired and thereafter again he has joined the defence services. Today however, he is unable to fortify this statement from the record.

# -2- O.A.No.210/2017.

4. The learned Advocate for the applicant, however, seeks time for reading of the G.R. and make submissions on facts as detailed supra. At his request, S.O. to 27.9.2017 for satisfying the query.

VICE CHAIRMAN. ATP ORAL ORDERS 20-09-2017

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.684/2017.**

(Dr. D. L. Lavhale V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### \_\_\_\_\_ CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

: 20.09.2017. DATE

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the continuation of the present applicant as an ad-hoc Medical Officer can be "exceptional or termed as super-specialist qualification" (page 21). He submits that, therefore, the relaxation of the age as is given in clause 7 (3) of the advertisement (page 21) would be applicable in the present case though the applicant has crossed the age of 43 years as mentioned in clause 7(2). He wants to amplify the submissions further. At his request, S.O. to 29.09.2017 to satisfy the query.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.622/2015.**

(Shri R. K. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, short affidavit as directed vide order dated 20.6.2017 is filed on record. It would show that, the said recruitment process of 2013 is already completed and appointments of all eligible candidates are already made. He therefore, submits that, nothing survives in the present application.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.10.2017 for satisfying the above query.

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.327/2016.**

(Shri D. B. Thite V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

## DATE : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R. B. Ade learned Advocate holding for Shri S. G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri R. B. Ade learned Advocate holding for Shri S. G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy the Tribunal on the issue raised earlier vide order dated 11.4.2017, 15.06.2017 and onwards. At his request, S.O. to 11.10.2017 for satisfying the above query.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.540/2016.

(Shri R. D. Sapkal V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M. B. Sandanshiv, learned Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant. The same is accepted. Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Shri S. P. Dhobale learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Sandanshiv learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make submissions on the line as directed in the order dated 13.06.2017. At his request, S.O. to 12.10.2017 for satisfying the said query.

VICE CHAIRMAN. ATP ORAL ORDERS 20-09-2017

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.570/2016.**

(Shri K. P. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER T

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P. B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing the learned P.O. it appears that, unnecessarily jugglery is played by the office of the concerned Respondent in seeking further guidance from the superior as to whether certain directions already given to the office should be implemented or not.

3. In the circumstances, the learned P.O. is directed to call the Respondent no.3 or any responsible Officer from the office to clarify the situation with files. Taking into history of the case, it is being prolonged by the respondent for

O.A.No.570/2016.

one reasons or another, it is hereby made clear that, if nobody from the office of Respondent no.3 is appeared on the next date a cost of Rs.10,000/-by Govt. cheque shall have to be paid without any further reference to the Tribunal.

- 4. S.O. to 30.10.2017.
- 5. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN. ATP ORAL ORDERS 20-09-2017

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.599/2016.**

(SmtSunita R. Bahiram V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D. J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. submits that, responsible Officer Smt. Revati Anil Kulkarni, Assistant Conservator of Forest, Dhule is present.

3. When Exh.A-13 (page 49) was confronted to the learned C.P.O. and Officer. They submit that, they will have to go through the list of the selection sent to the Senior Officers of the concerned Respondent and to find out as to whether the name of the applicant and/or any other similarly situated candidates names were forwarded to the Senior Officer, as their

-2- O.A.No.599/2016.

selection was made, subject to the report from the Tahsildar as is found vide Exh.A-13(page 49).

4. At the request of the learned C.P.O. to clarify the situation, S.O. to 26.09.2017. Be tagged with MA No.317/17 in OA St. No.985/17 and MA No.318/17 in OA St.No. 986/2017.

5. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN. ATP ORAL ORDERS 20-09-2017

\_\_\_\_\_

# 1) MA NO.317/2017 IN OA ST.985/17. 2) MA NO.318/2017 IN OA ST.986/17.

OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **COMMON ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in both matters, and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned C.P.O. and Smt. P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned P. O. for respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned C.P.O. & P.O. seek time to file

reply. At their request, S.O. to 26.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.143/2017. (Shri Udalsing R. Naglot V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

------

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri VivekPingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. submits that, the Respondents in fact have taken the decision however, there is no communication from the respondent due to heavy rainfall. He seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 25.9.2017 for making further instructions.

VICE CHAIRMAN. ATP ORAL ORDERS 20-09-2017

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.74/2017. (Shri Vijaysing K. Wagh&Ors.V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D. J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both sides, it appears that, the Enquiry Officer has directed the present applicant to change the defence assistant on 23.8.2016 (page 27). Thereafter, the applicant took many adjournments for appointment of the next of the defence assistant. The Enquiry Officer had objected for the same and given last chance one after another and ultimately on 23.12.2016 this O.A. came to be filed.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant therefore is directed to throw light on the above facts. The learned P.O. also seeks time to take instructions as to current position of the Writ Petition No.7395/2016 reference of which is made in order dated 22.06.2017. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.10.2017 for satisfying the above query. Interim relief to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN. ATP ORAL ORDERS 20-09-2017

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.212/2017. (SmtAhilya R. Masram V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

(SmtAnnya K. Masram V/S. State of Manarashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Heard Shri

I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Read order dated 26.07.2017. The learned Advocate for the applicant was directed to satisfy maintainability of the present application. Thereafter, the learned Advocate for the applicant remained absent continuously to satisfy the query. Today also nobody is present for the applicant. In the circumstances, the application is dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.602/2016.**

(Shri Hari S. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

### \_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# \_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

#### : 20.09.2017. DATE

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard with consent Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant files on record a true copy of the appointment order dated 14.09.2017. The same is accepted and marked as document "X" for the purpose of identification. He submits that, in view of the steps taken by the Respondent nothing survives in the application. In the circumstances, the application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.169/2017.**

(Shri Sandeep R. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

#### CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P. B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The arguable case is made out.

3. Admit.

4. Learned P.O. waives the notice for the respondents upon admission hearing.

5. Remove from Board. Be placed for final hearing as and when the Division Bench would be available.

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.383/2017.**

(Shri U. S. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# \_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# DATE : 20.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. B. Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At

her request, S.O. to 11.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.436/2017.

(Shreya B. Mamode V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **DATE** : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Miss AshleshaRaut learned Advocate

holding for Shri S. B. Talekar, learned Advocate

\_\_\_\_\_

for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar,

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit

in reply. At her request, S.O. to 11.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 234/2017 (Shri Chandrakant B. Ghule&Ors.V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 16.10.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 235/2017 (Shri Krushna P. Kotole&Ors.V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J)

DATE : 20.09.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 16.10.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 367/2017 (Smt. Aruna G. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Ms. PreetiWankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.10.2017.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 379/2017 (Shri Sanjay N. Nade V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.N. Bharaskale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 30.10.2017.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 599/2017 (Shri Ramsingh D. Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri N.K. Pardeshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed service affidavit of respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record.

3 Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 31.10.2017.

**MEMBER (J)** 

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD M.A. NO. 320/2017 in O.A. St. No. 423/2017 (Shri Tushar P. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

#### DATE : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri PraphulBodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue fresh notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 31.10.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 31-10-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158/2017 (Dr. Surekha V. More V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer.

3. It transpires from the proceedings that in spite of so many chances given to the respondent No.2, no reply has been filed. It seems that the respondent No. 2 is not interested in filing affidavit in reply. Hence, the matter be heard without reply of respondent No. 2.

4. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to go though the rejoinder affidavit and to make submissions. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 10.10.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 577/2016 (Shri (Dr.) Baban L. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant wants to challenge the order dated 6.5.2016 issued by the Chief Executive Officer, ZillaParishad, Beed, by which his claim for granting H.R.A. has been rejected. Therefore, he seeks one week's time to amend the O.A. suitably. Time granted.

3 S.O. to 16.10.2017.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582/2016 (Smt. Shobha L. Ballayya (Kutare) V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER '

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh -Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri D.P. Munde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that on so many dates i.e. on 30.09.2016, 10.01.2017, 20.02.2017, 6.7.2017, 4.8.2017 and 12.09.2017 the applicant, as well as, his Advocate remained absent and today also they are absent. It seems that the applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter.

3. Since the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the O.A, the same is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 301/2017 (Dr. Seema P. Panad V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has amended the Original Application and challenged the G.R. dated 30.11.2016 and the letter dated 20.04.2017 issued by the respondents by amending the prayer clause in the O.A.

3 Since the applicant has challenged the G.R. dated 30.11.2016, the matter requires to be considered by the Division Bench. Therefore, the matter be placed before the Division Bench.

4. S.O. to 25.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 631/2016 (Smt. VaidyaUjwala A. Jadhao V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri T.M. Venjane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.10.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 789/2016 (Shri Rahul R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today Shri S.S. Sanap, Sub- Divisional Officer, Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad is present before this Tribunal. He admits that the representation/objection filed by the candidates participated in the recruitment process raising objection regarding non production of documents by the respondent No. 2 at the time of scrutiny and the said objections/representations have not been decided by him till today. He submits that he will decide those objections within a week. Therefore, he seeks time to produce order in that regard.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has no objection to grant time to the S.D.O., Vaijapur to

# //2// O.A. No. 789/2016

decide the objection raised by the candidate participated in the recruitment process.

4. In view of the submissions made by the S.D.O., Vaijapur, one week time is granted to decide the objection on merit and to take corrective measures, if required, so far as the appointment of respondent No. 2 in the post of Police Patil of village RanjangaonShenPunji, Tq. Gangapur, Dist. Aurangabad and submit compliance report on the next date.

5. S.O. to 29.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488/2017 (Shri Ramchandra S. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to amend the O.A. Time granted.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. Time grated.

4. S.O. to 09.10.2017.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.361/2017 IN O.A.No.586/2017 (ShaikhFasiuddin Mohammad Gowsoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{DATE}}$ : 20.09.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku.FirdosShaikh learned Advocate holding for Shri NaseemShaikh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issuenoticetotherespondents in the M.A., returnableon 16-10-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 16-10-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A.No.376/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1280/2017 (Shri GirjeshwarChoudhary&Anr.V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM** :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 20.09.2017. **ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. SuchitaDhongde learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. PriyaBharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicants have filed O.A. claiming that they should be appointed on compassionate ground on account of death of their father and husband as the relief claimed by the applicants is one and the same, they prayed to allow them to sue jointly.

3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that father of applicant no.1 late Parmeshwar Anna Choudhary and husband of applicant no.2 late UddhavBhanudasShejul were serving as Watchman and Groundman with the respondent nos.2 and 3. Father of applicant no.1 died

on 14-11-2015 while husband of the applicant no.2, namely, late UddhavBhanudasShejul died on 19-01-2015. After their death, the applicants moved applications before the respondents and prayed to appoint them on compassionate ground.

4. Cause of action for each of the applicant claiming appointment on compassionate ground is different, and therefore, the applicants cannot be permitted to sue jointly. Therefore, the M.A.No.376/2017 deserves to be rejected.

5. Hence, M.A.No.376/2017 is rejected with liberty to the applicants to file fresh O.A. as per rules, if they so desire. Registration of O.A. is refused.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

M.A.No.383/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1330/2017 (Shri RajanLengade&Ors.V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Smt. SuchitaDhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. ReshaDeshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicants are seeking relief to grant benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme to them in view of the G.R. dated 01-04-2010. The applicants have produced copy of order dated 08-03-2017 passed in Writ Petition No.2605/2017 ordering that no coercive recovery be enforced against the petitioners.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that petitioner in that Writ Petition has challenged the order dated 25-10-2016 (page 54) by which benefits given to the applicant are withdrawn and recovery was made. Applicants are seeking similar relief by filing the present O.A. Therefore, applicants are directed to produce copy of order passed in Writ Petition No.2605/2017 on the next date.

4. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1249/2017 (Shri Samadhan Bari V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri ManojShinde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks leave of the Tribunal to file M.A. for condonation of delay caused in filing the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. Learned Advocate for the applicant may file M.A. on the next date.

3. S.O. to 01-11-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.719/2016 (Shri PandurangChandanshiv V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri L.H.Kawale learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri A.A.Shelke learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4 & 5.

2. Shri A.A.Shelke learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4 & 5 has filed separate affidavits Dhanraj s/o. VaijinathNila, of Shri Chief Executive Officer, ZillaParishad, Beed and Shri Swami ShivkumarBapu, Executive Engineer (Works Department), ZillaParishad, Beed, in response to show cause notice issued to them. Those are taken on record. Copies thereof have been served on the other side.

O.A.No.719/2016

3. I have gone through the replies filed by They have not given explanation/reason them. as to why they have failed to comply with the directions given by the Tribunal on 16-08-2017 and 24-08-2017 and as to why they failed to file affidavit in time explaining the true facts. Both the officers are making controversial statements, and therefore, it is just and proper to summon them to remain present before the Tribunal in person and they should explain difficulties/problems, if any, faced by them in passing proper corrective order in the matter. Hence, Shri Dhanraj s/o. VaijinathNila, Chief Executive Officer, ZillaParishad, Beed and Shri Swami ShivkumarBapu, Executive Engineer (Works Department), ZillaParishad, Beed are directed to remain present before the Tribunal at sharp 11.00 a.m. on 22-09-2017.

=2=

4. S.O. to 22-09-2017.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.807/2016 (Shri MadhukarJadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 20.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. DeepaliDeshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 06-10-2017.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.808/2016 (Shri GangadharKakde V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017.

# ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. ReshaDeshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06-10-2017 for filing rejoinder, if any.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810/2016 (Shri BabuGhute V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1. It is taken on record.
Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.3. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 06-10-2017.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

**MEMBER (J)** 

------

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.892/2016 (Smt. Babita w/o. UlhasRathod V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> :B. P. PATIL,MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 20.09.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. JueePalekar-Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. ReshaDeshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10-10-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.166/2017** (ShaikhGafarShaikh Abdul Razzak&Ors.V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 20.09.2017. **ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.V.Tarde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. ReshaDeshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant does not wish to proceed with the O.A. since they filed representation with the Superintendent of Police, Beed and the same is under consideration. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly with liberty to the applicant to approach the Tribunal as and when cause of action arises.

3. In view of the submissions of learned Advocate for the applicant, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to approach the Tribunal whenever cause of action arises.

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-09-2017 F