FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41/2017 (Baburao Ardad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time on the ground that proposal sent to Maharashtra Public Service Commission (MPSC) for recommendation regarding punishment to be imposed on the applicant is pending with the MPSC and after receiving consent/recommendation of the MPSC Government will pass necessary order. Therefore, he prayed for time for the same. Time is granted.

3. S.O. 09-08-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.597/2017 (Sanjay Ramod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19-07-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018 F

MEMBER (J)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.393/2018 (Sunil Lanjewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is serving as Sub Divisional Police Officer (SDPO), Vaijapur from 05-05-2017. He has not completed his tenure of posting at Vaijapur and he has not been transferred but by the impugned order dated 08-06-2018, respondent no.4 has been posted as SDPO, Vaijapur in his post. He has submitted after knowledge of the said order, he immediately filed representation dated 09-06-2018 before the Director General of Police and the same is pending. Not only this but said representation has also been forwarded by the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad (Rural) by letter dated 12-06-2018 for review of the said order. He has

O.A.No.393/2018

submitted that now the respondent no.4 is in hurry to join the posting in view of the impugned order. He has submitted that since the applicant has not been transferred from Vaijapur by any order, protection may be given to him by way of interim relief and execution of the impugned order may be stayed to the extent of posting of respondent no.4 with directions the to respondents not to relieve the applicant. Applicant has further submitted that he is not relieved from his present post and is discharging his duties. Therefore, he prayed for grant of interim relief.

3. Learned CPO has made submission before this Tribunal in the morning session at about 1.40 p.m. that he received telephonic message from Dr. Arati Sinh, Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad (Rural), by which she has informed him that the applicant has been relieved and respondent no.4 has joined the post of SDPO, Vaijapur. 4. In the morning session, learned CPO sought time to produce written communication from Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad (Rural) and the matter was kept in afternoon session at 3.00 p.m. Thereafter, again he sought some time to collect the relevant order from S.P. Aurangabad (Rural). Lastly, when the matter came up for hearing at 3.40 p.m. learned CPO submitted that he has not yet received written communication from S.P. Aurangabad (Rural) that the applicant has been relieved from the post.

=3=

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he has instructions from the applicant at about 3.00 p.m. that he has not been relieved from the present posting and is discharging his duties as SDPO, Vaijapur and respondent no.4 has not joined as SDPO, Vaijapur till then. He has submitted that the applicant has not been served with any relieving order also. He has further submitted that S. P. Aurangabad (Rural) has no authority to relieve

O.A.No.393/2018

the applicant as the impugned order itself states that Director General of Police and Inspector General of Police have to issue relieving order.

6. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the impugned order dated 8th June, 2018 filed at paper book page 24 to 31 shows that respondent no.4 has been posted as SDPO, Vaijapur. Name of the applicant does not appear in the said transfer order. It seems that without making transfer of the applicant from present posting, respondent no.1 posted respondent no.4 at Vaijapur in place of the applicant. The applicant has not completed his normal tenure of posting at Vaijapur. 2 officers cannot be posted on a single post but the respondents have not taken care of this fact while passing the impugned order, by which respondent no.4 has been transferred and posted at Vaijapur. Not only this but the documents on record show that the applicant has immediately made representation with the respondent no.2 on 09-06-2018 through

S.P. Aurangabad (Rural) for review of the said order. S. P. Aurangabad (Rural) forwarded the said application of the applicant alongwith communication dated 12-06-2018 and also requested respondent no.2 to review the order of transfer of the respondent no.4 at Vaijapur where the applicant is serving.

=5=

7. In view of the statement made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, it seems that the applicant has not been yet relieved and no relieving order has been served on him. Respondent no.3 S.P. Aurangabad (Rural) has not produced documentary evidence or an order showing that the applicant has been relieved and respondent no.4 joined the post of SDPO, Vaijapur by this time i.e. at 3.45 p.m., though ample time and opportunities have been given to the respondents.

8. The impugned order itself shows that necessary action has to be taken for relieving the officers under transfer by the office of Director General of Police and Inspector General of Police.

O.A.No.393/2018

Prima facie, it seems that S.P. Aurangabad (Rural) has passed an order of relieving the Applicant from the post of SDPO, Vaijapur as stated by the learned CPO, which is without authority in view of the impugned order.

=6=

9. In these circumstances, in my opinion, it is just to stay the execution and operation of the impugned order so far as the transfer and posting of the respondent no.4 as SDPO, Vaijapur and to direct the respondents not to relieve the applicant from the post of SDPO, Vaijapur in the absence of his transfer order till filing of the reply of the respondents.

10. In view thereof, impugned order dated 08-06-2018 is stayed so far as the transfer and posting of the respondent no.4 as SDPO, Vaijapur is concerned. Respondents are directed not to relieve the applicant from the post of SDPO, Vaijapur till filing of their reply.

11. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12-07-2018.

12. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

=7=

13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

15. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 16. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

=8=

17. S.O.to 12-07-2018.

18. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018 F

MEMBER (J)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 389/2018 (Ms. Archana D. Lathkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

 \underline{CORAM} : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred from Aurangabad to Nagpur by the impugned order dated 08.06.2018. He has submitted that the applicant is unmarried and she has to maintain her old mother. He has submitted that earlier in the year 2016, the applicant has been transferred from Aurangabad to Jalna. The applicant has challenged the said order before this Tribunal by filing the O.A. No. 431/2016. The interim relief was not granted in that matter by the Tribunal and therefore, she approached to the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P. No. 6049/2016. On 10.06.2016, the Hon'ble High Court granted interim relief and directed the respondents to maintain status quo as to the services of the applicant, if she was not already relieved. He has submitted that the said W.P. is still pending in the Hon'ble High Court and the order of status quo is still in force. The applicant

//2// O.A. No. 389/2018

has not been relieved from Aurangabad in view of transfer order the earlier passed by the respondents. He has submitted that the respondents have not sought permission of this Tribunal or the Hon'ble Court and passed the impugned transfer order and transferred the Nagpur. applicant Aurangabad from to Therefore, order the impugned is illegal. Therefore, he prayed to grant interim relief and to stay the execution and operation of the impugned order of transfer.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant is serving at Aurangabad since last 17 years. She was due for transfer, as she has completed her normal tenure of posting and therefore, she has been transferred in the year 2016 from Aurangabad to Jalna. The said order has been challenged by the applicant in this Tribunal by filing O.A. No. 431/2016, but no interim relief has been granted by this Tribunal in the said O.A. Therefore, she approached to the Hon'ble High Court. He has submitted that the Hon'ble High Court has directed the respondents to maintain status quo in the earlier transfer order issued in the year 2016. There is no legal impediment in effecting the transfer of the applicant afresh, as the applicant has challenged the earlier transfer by which she has been transferred to Jalna from Aurangabad. He has submitted that there is no

illegality in the impugned order and therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed by the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that earlier in the year 2016, the applicant has been transferred to Jalna from Aurangabad and the said order of transfer has been challenged by the applicant by filing O.A. No. 431/2016 in this Tribunal. No interim relief was granted in her favour by this Tribunal. Therefore, she approached the Hon'ble High Court and the Hon'ble High Court directed the respondents to maintain status-quo, if the applicant is not already relieved. On the basis of said order, the applicant continued to serve at Aurangabad, as she has not been relieved. In view of the earlier order passed in the year 2016 she continued to enjoy the posting at Aurangabad. As per the submissions of the learned C.P.O. the applicant is serving at Aurangabad since last 17 years. The Respondents have passed the impugned order and transferred the applicant to Nagpur, as she has completed her normal tenure of posting at Aurangabad. In these circumstances, in my opinion, there is no illegality in the impugned order. So far as the grievance of the applicant regarding breach if any made by the respondents regarding the status quo order, it is open to the applicant to approach to the competent court in

//4// O.A. No. 389/2018

that regard. In these circumstances, in my opinion, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief as prayed for by the applicant. Hence, the interim relief is rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.07.2018.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

//5// O.A. No. 389/2018

10. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. Reply be filed on or before 12-07-2018.

12. S.O. 12-07-2018.

13. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122/2018 (Shri Ramdas T. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 101/2018 (Shri Subhash H. Reddy V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 635/2017 (Shri Sakharam B. Rakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J). DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 (**Leave Note**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

3. No affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

4. In view of leave not filed by the learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4, S.O. to 02.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17/2018

(Shri Raghunath V. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 15.06.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375/2017

(Shri Gopal A. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 147/2017

(Shri Ajitkumar R. Saswade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for

the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer

for the respondents, S.O. to 16.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 116/2018 in O.A. St. No. 443/2018 (Shri Hallalikar N. Ramchandra V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.07.2018.

MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 390/2018

(Shri Babu B. Dandegaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.07.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Reply be filed on or before 16-07-2018.

9. S.O. 16-07-2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431/2016 (Miss Archana D. Lathkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

IMISS AFCHAHA D. LATIKAF V/S. State of Maharashtra & Ofs.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Since the W.P. No. 6049/2016 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad, the present matter be placed for hearing on 23.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209/2018 (Shri Sk. Natthu Sk. Khatiq V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER 7

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J). <u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has not approached to him to substitute the O.A. in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 11.04.2018 and therefore, he prayed to pass necessary orders.

3. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present O.A. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 158/2018 in O.A. St. No. 452/218 (Shri Dnyaneshwar K. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicants for permission to sue jointly the O.A.

3. Perused the misc. application. Considered the contentions.

4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed and disposed of and the applicants are permitted to sue jointly the O.A. subject to payment of requisite court fees by each of the applicant.

5. The Office to register the O.A. on due scrutiny.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 452/2018 (Shri Dnyaneshwar K. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Shri Dhyaneshwar K. Sanap V/S. State of Manarashtra & Ors

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 19.07.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Reply be filed on or before 19-07-2018.

9. S.O. 19-07-2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 179/2018 in O.A. St. No. 565/2018 (Smt. Sangeeta B. Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Hande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to

03.07.2018 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 318/2017

(Shri Shravan Y. Khairnar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 740/2017 (Shri Vishal B. Goje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar,

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.07.2018.

MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 753/2017 (Shri Vyankat N. Koralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764/2017 (Shri Vijaykumar B. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to. 3. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**Leave Note**).

2. No affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondent No. 4.

3. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 19.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 765/2017 (Shri Ravindra P. Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to. 3. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**Leave Note**).

2. No affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondent No. 4.

3. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 19.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 912/2017 (Shri Balaji P. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

_____ **OFFICE ORDER**

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

: 13.06.2018. DATE

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to. 3, present. Shri S.R. Yadav (Lonikar), learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 absent.

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Deshmukh, has filed VAKIL PATRA on behalf of respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5.

3. S.O. to 11.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78/2018

(Shri Shivkumar V. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance, failing which the costs will be imposed.

3. S.O. to 10.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178/2018 (Shri Md. Raisoddin Md V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Shifi Mu. Kaisouunii Mu V/S. State of Manarashtra & Ofs.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.06.2018.

MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. 337/2017 with M.A. St. 973/2017 in O.A. St. 974/2017 (Shri Abdul Jabbar Abdul Rahim Sk & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate

for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande,

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file

affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 14/2018 in O.A. St. 1902/2017 (Shri Bhaskar H. Channe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 10.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. 64/2018 in O.A. St. 240/2018 (Shri Ramdas G. Palve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.07.2018.

MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. 101/2018 in O.A. St. 362/2018 (Shri Sandeep S. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 333/2018 WITH CAVEAT 25/2018 (Dr. Manish A. Sutawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J). DATE : 13.06.2018.

<u>DIII : 10.00.201</u>

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. None appeared for respondent No. 3, though he was duly served.

3. Today, the applicant has filed service affidavit of resp. No. 3. Considering the facts in the matter, the office is directed to accept the service affidavit of resp. No. 3.

4. Learned C.P.O. has no objection to extend the interim relief granted earlier in favour of the applicant.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till the next date. The applicant to take note that he will not excuse for not complying the order.

6. Issue fresh notices to the respondent Nos. 1& 2, returnable on 22.06.2018.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of

//2// O.A. 333/18 with Caveat 25/18

hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. Reply be filed on or before 22-06-2018.

13. S.O. 22-06-2018.

14. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 180/2018 in O.A. No. 321/2018 (Shri Babasaheb R. Gatkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The O.A. No. 321/2018 came to be dismissed in default for noncompliance of the order dated 24.05.2018.

3. It is contention of the applicant due to oversight he had not noticed the clause No. 10 mentioned in the order dated 24.05.2018 and therefore, he could not able to comply the direction. Consequently, the O.A. came to be dismissed. It is his contention that his valuable rights are involved in the O.A. and therefore, he prayed to set aside the dismissal order and to restore the O.A. to its original number.

//2// MA 180/18 in OA 321/18

4. For the reasons mentioned in the Misc. Application, the same is allowed and the order of dismissal dated 04.06.2018 is set aside and the O.A. No. 321/2018 is restored to its original number, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/-(Five Hundred only). The amount of costs be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal forthwith.

5. Office is directed to restore the O.A. to its original position, on depositing the costs by the applicant.

MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 321/2018 (Shri Babasaheb R. Gatkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The O.A. is restored in view of the order dated 13.06.2018 passed in M.A. No. 180/2018.

2. Issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.07.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Reply be filed on or before 25-07-2018.

9. S.O. 25-07-2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-06-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 709, 710, 711/2015, 364, 768, 895, 904, 908/2016, 155/2017

(Shri Bhagwantraya C. Hangargekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri I.S. Thorat, N.U. Yadav, S.K. Shirse, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for respondent authorities in respective matters, Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 in O.A. no. 709/2015 & O.A. no. 904/2016 is present, in spite of his leave note and for respondent nos. 2 & 5 in O.A. no. 768/2016 respectively, Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 to 6 in O.A. no. 364/2016, Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 & 3 in O.A. no. 908/2016 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 in O.A. no. 155/2017.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 in O.A. No. 710/2015. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings are complete. The O.As. are admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 23.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694 OF 2013

[Shri Bashir Khan S/o Daulat Khan Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that there are no instructions from the applicant for making submissions in the matter. Therefore, she submits that a communication would be issued to the applicant. In the circumstances, at her request, S.O. to 8th August, 2018 either for hearing or for making statement by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 169 OF 2017

[Shri Sandeep R. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Though the directions were issued to the concerned respondent to file Record and Papers of the departmental enquiry, learned Presenting Officer merely came with the coy of the report in the departmental enquiry, which is already on record. It, therefore, appears that the concerned respondent has not at all paid any heed to the directions.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 28th June, 2018 as a final chance to the concerned respondent to comply with the order. It is hoped that since this Original Application is pending since long the concerned respondent would positively comply with the order, failing which the necessary penal action would be contemplated against the concerned respondent.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.ST.643/2018 IN O.A.ST. 591/2018

[Shri Bashir Khan S/o Daulat Khan Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri N.P. Wangikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make further submissions in the proceedings. At his request, S.O. to 14th June, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 904 OF 2017

[Shri Sindhu T. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28th June, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 820, 821, 822, 929 & 956 ALL OF 2017

[Shri Bashir Khan S/o Daulat Khan Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases. Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for intervenor in O.A. No. 822/2017.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that Annexure 'A-6' page-41 would show that the respondent No. 3, the Maharashtra Public Service Commission, has refused the candidature of the present applicants for oral interview after conducting other tests earlier. Paragraph No. 14 of the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 3 would show that as the applicant was not found qualified as per cut off fixed for Open General Category.

3. It is a common ground that the present applicant has applied for Open General Category. She claims from horizontal reservation for women. In the circumstances, it is required to find out as to what is cut off fixed for Open General Category. The respondent No. 3 is, therefore, directed to file additional affidavit detailing of the aspects of the issue of cut off fixed for Open General Category and the criteria for the same.

:: - 2 - ::

O.A.NOS. 820, 821, 822, 929 & 956 ALL OF 2017

4. S.O. to 28th June, 2018 for filing further affidavit in reply on the above line.

5. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387/2018 (Pramod Vasant Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents, returnable on 24.7.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 387/2018

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. By way of interim relief, it is hereby directed that the appointment, if any, made of the res. no. 4 – Pandurang Ramesh Sangale
– shall be subject to final decision in the present O.A.

9. S.O. to 24.7.2018.

10. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 900/2018 (Swapnali Asaram Jangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The grievance of the applicant is that though in the examination for the post of Assistant Govt. Documents Supervisor and Police Sub Inspector she has secured 145 marks and though she is from Open Female category, she is not selected. The Annex. 'C' page 40 would show that the required horizontal quota of 7 Female candidates of Open Female candidates category was automatically filled in, in view of selection of female candidates for this category from General Merit List, which included some of the reserved category candidates. Therefore, no fault can be found in the said selection process as the socially reserved category candidates can find place in Open Merit List. In the circumstances, the ratio laid down in writ petition No. 272/2010 (The State of Maharashtra Vs. Irfan Mustafa Shaikh & Ors.) delivered on 15.11.2010 by the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. ST. NO. 900/18

Aurangabad would not be applicable in the present case.

3. In view of above, the present O.A. is dismissed in limine without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 194/2016 (Ramesh M. Jakatdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record a copy of decision dtd. 21/22.6.2017 of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench in writ petition no. 1701/2015. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.7.2018 for making submissions on the above line by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 442/2017 (Sunil P. Wakchaure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 19.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582/2017 (Sanjay B. Kokate & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 3. It is to be noted that senior authorities of res. no. 3 i.e. res. nos. 1 & 2 have already filed affidavit in reply in the present matter. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19.7.2018 for hearing of the present matter and in the meantime the res. no. 3 to file affidavit in reply with copy to the learned Advocate in advance.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762/2017 (Manoranjan R. Dhas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prasad Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 20.7.2018. The interim relief to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810/2017 (Shaligram M. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that, though he has time and again sent copies of earlier orders of the Tribunal to the res. nos. 2 & 3, the said authorities are not responding to his communications.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 2.7.2018 with a condition that the res. nos. 2 & 3 shall deposit a costs of Rs. 5,000/- each in the Tribunal as a condition precedent by Government cheque on the next date, failure of which may entail a penal action against them at the hands of this Tribunal.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)VICE CHAIRMANARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 963/2017 (Vasant D. Karke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record a true copy of the communication dtd. 10.5.2018 sent by the Deputy Director to the Joint Director. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. It appears that the issue is pending before the concerned respondents since 2009. By the order dtd. 22.12.2017 this Tribunal has directed the concerned respondents to take a decision on the proposal submitted vide Annexure A.5 & 6 (page nos. 42 & 43) and to file reply about the same on the next date. The concerned respondents have not filed report regarding the same on the basis of the said order. In the circumstances, S.O. to 2.8.2018 for filing report

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 963/2017

by the respondents on the line of order dtd. 22.12.2017.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learnedP.O.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12/2018 (Khandu T. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The direction to the applicant for filing on a record a copy of printed application remained to be complied with, though one chance in the meantime was granted. In the circumstances, in view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 7.8.2018 either for complying with the order dtd. 14.3.2018 by the learned Advocate for the applicant or for passing necessary order of dismissal in default.

MEMBER (A) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018 VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25/2018 (Sachin R. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5, S.O. to 25.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 48 OF 2018 (Sanjay N. Nade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 30.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 82 OF 2018 (Ramdas R. Dhillod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 30.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 72 OF 2018 (Anant J. Hange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 24.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 125 OF 2018 (Dnyaneshwar T. Sakhare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to comply with the order dtd. 23.2.2018 for taking steps. It is to be noted that by the said order it was also noted that apprehension of the applicant that because of technical defect in the website, though application is forwarded, the respondents may prevent the applicant from participating in the further process of selection.

3. In the circumstances, Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions as to whether the applicant was allowed to participate in the further selection process. At her request S.O. to 25.7.2018 for compliance of above order.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 174 OF 2018 (Divakar R. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 7.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 181 OF 2018 (Narsing L. Kasewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Rahul O. Awsarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 3.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 517 OF 2017 (Anup S. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 3.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P. 15/2014 IN O.A. 1128/1999 (Revannath R. Landge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 17.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 79 OF 2017 (Md. Kamran Md. Abrar Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 4.7.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 248 OF 2018 (Shripad C. Vaidya & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 3.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 251 OF 2018 (Sanjay G. Sarpate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 3.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 228 OF 2018 (Kamlakar K. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record a true copy of the communication received to him from Joint Secretary, which would show that the D.E. against the applicant can be concluded within a period of six months. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in this regard from the applicant. At his request, S.O. to 19.6.2018 for taking instructions on the above line.

MEMBER (A) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 239 OF 2018 (Priyanka P. Chothe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. It is to be noted that without grant of I.R. case is being continued. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.6.2018 for filing reply. In case no reply is filed on that date, issue of grant of interim relief would be considered.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 152 OF 2007 (Vijaykumar B. Rathi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND **ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. On reading the order dtd. 16.3.2018, it appears that, final result in the administrative appeal was awaited. Learned P.O. submits that, she is not aware regarding decision, if any, in the administrative appeal, though instructions in that regard were sought from the concerned In the circumstances, S.O. to respondents. 30.7.2018 for taking instructions by the both the sides on the line of order dtd. 16.3.2018.

MEMBER (A) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 484 OF 2013 (Nagnath S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate seeks permission to file rejoinder, though belatedly, along with some additional document. It is accepted on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.6.2018 for filing sur-rejoinder by the respondents, if found necessary. The copy of wait list, if prepared by, also be filed by the respondents on the next date.

MEMBER (A)VICE CHAIRMANARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 944 & 945 OF 2017 (Anjali K. Kendre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for both the sides, S.O. to 18.6.2018 for hearing. These matters be treated as a part heard.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 220 OF 2017 (Archana N. Shendge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of both the sides, S.O. to 18.6.2018 for hearing. This matter be treated as a part heard.

MEMBER (A) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 21 OF 2015 (Gautam s/o Dashrath Khandagle & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 13.6.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri B.R. Jaybhay, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent nos. 4 to 38.

2. Applicants are aggrieved by the promotion order issued to the res. nos. 4 to 38 to the post of P.S.I. from the post of Head Constables and A.S.Is. The grievance of the applicants is that the said promotion order was issued on 18.12.2014 i.e. after lapsing of the list on 7.9.2014 as referred in Annex. A. 5 page 79.

3. Learned P.O. makes a statement that, in fact, the promotions given to candidates vide order at Annex. A.5 page 79 was on ad-hoc basis for a period of 6 months and as such it has no concern with the select list. He further submits that many of the respondents were thereafter reverted to their original posts.

4. Considering the above submissions of the learned P.O., in my view, there is no merit in the

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NOS. 21/15

present O.A. and, therefore, the same is dismissed without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN