

O.A.No.396/2018 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/ 2021.

C.A.No.514/2019:-

Heard Shri N.D.Thombre, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents and R-5 personally present in Tribunal (Shri Prakash Sambhari, Medical Superintendent, Ramtek Hospital).

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed C.A. No. 514/2019 for direction, he desires direction to Respondent nos. 3 & 4 to redressed the grievances of the applicant no. 3 which is pending from 16.11.2019. Today, the respondent no. 5 is present personally and submits that representation dated 16.11.2019 will be decided within 10 days. Bench also made query to the respondent no. 5 that whether he has any problem in deciding the representation, he admitted that there is no problem. **Respondent no. 5 is directed to decide both the representations dated 16.11.2019 as well as 08.09.2021 within 10 days from the date of receipt of this order.** After deciding the application, respondent no. 5 is directed to file decision on affidavit through Id. P.O. to this Tribunal. The Id. counsel for the applicant further submits that if respondent no. 5 further requires any help from the applicant, applicant is ready to approach to the respondent no. 5. In this situation, the Id. counsel for

the applicant is directed to ask the applicant to cooperate the respondent no. 5.

3. Hence, **C.A. No. 514/2019 for Direction is allowed and disposed of.**

4. **S.O. two weeks.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.170/2020 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

C.A.No.350/2020:-

None for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan, the Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed
pursis dated 17.11.2021, he further submits that
applicant does not want to prosecute the matter.

3. Hence, **the O.A. along with C.A. stands
disposed of as withdrawn.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.Nos.486&487/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 6 (O.A. No. 486/2021) and await service of respondent nos. 2 to 5 (O.A. No. 487/2021).

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he has served the notice to respondent nos. 2 to 6 and he has filed service affidavit in this regard. He will clarify from the office that how these objections come in the board.

3. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.578/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri P.S.Sahare, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As submitted by Id. counsel for the applicant, applicant is in Handicapped Category. However, respondents have made correspondence that applicant is not covered by Handicapped Category. As per the Id. counsel for the applicant, applicant is having problem of hearing and she has submitted the certificate to that effect. She has submitted medical certificate and it has been verified by the competent authority.

3. The Id. P.O. is directed to file reply within two weeks since the matter pertains to Physically Challenged candidates, **S.O. two weeks.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.977/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed communication dated 08.08.2001 of Public Works Department on pg. no. 41 and he relied on para no. 13 of the rule at pg. no. 44 which reads as follows:-

"Period and number of chances for passing the examination- Subject to the provisions of rule 3 above, an employee or Civil Engineering Assistant shall be required to pass the examination within 3 chances and within a period of 4 years from the date of the first examination. However, the second chance shall be availed within a period of one year from the first examination."

3. The Id. P.O. submits that respondents will examine the rule and if it is so clear they may file positive reply. In view of this situation, four weeks time is granted to Id. P.O. to file reply positively, **S.O. 05.01.2022.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.94/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri N.B.Rathod, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The whole process was started by advertisement dated 28.12.2019 by Revenue and Forest Department for the post of Talathi in the year 2019. Now a dispute has arisen about question no. 68 having I.D. No. 87178 at pg. no. 50, according to this document correct option adopted by the respondents was 'Garland' i.e. 'B' and applicant opted was 'Collection' i.e. 'D'. Hence, matter came before this Tribunal and it was referred to H.O.D., English Department, Mumbai University and his reply was received on 07.10.2021 and in the last para of the letter it is mentioned that in my opinion the option 'B' 'Garland' cannot be the correct answer. However, it is further submitted that the question and option could have been framed more properly, it means that no doubt answer by the applicant is not correct but they have not made any comment regarding answer chosen by the respondent i.e. 'D' 'Collection'.

3. In view of this complicated situation, the Bench is deciding that matter be again referred to H.O.D., English Department, Mumbai University to give his conclusion about the answer 'D' 'Collection'

and which answer might be correct or nearest to the correctness.

4. The Respondent No. 1 is directed to refer this matter directly to H.O.D., English Department, Mumbai University and concerning H.O.D. is directed to submit his opinion on affidavit through Id. P.O..

5. **S.O. two weeks.**

6. **Matter be treated as P.H.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.1032/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Office has raise several objections like Pg. Nos. 34, 36 & 38 are not legible, though bench examines that those objections should be waived.

3. The matter relates to D.E., the applicant was issued letter dated 06.09.2012 (A-3, Pg. NO. 30) and D.E. was started, chargesheet was also served along with this letter. Subsequently, as per letter dated 22.11.2012 (A-4, Pg. No. 43); Inquiry Officer was appointed Regional Departmental Enquiry Officer vide letter dated 22.11.2012 (A-4, Pg. No. 43). Mr. B.R.Patil was appointed in this Inquiry as an Presiding Officer vide order dated 22.11.2012 (A-5, Pg. No. 44).

4. Now, the Id. counsel for the applicant has relied upon **Hon'ble Apex Court Judgment in case of Prem Nath Bali Vs. Reg., High Court of Delhi & Anr. decided on 16 December, 2015** in Civil Appeal No. 958 of 2010.

5. As pointed out by Id. counsel for the applicant, applicant was first called for Inquiry vide order dated 01.07.2015 (A-6, Pg. No. 45) i.e. after

three years of starting Inquiry. He further submitted that there are 34 witnesses and till now 21 witnesses were only examined. The Id. counsel for the applicant relies on **Hon'ble Apex Court in case of Prem Nath Bali Vs. Reg., High Court of Delhi & Anr. decided on 16 December, 2015** in Civil Appeal No. 958 of 2010. As per the Judgment it is stated that Departmental Inquiry should be completed within 6 months and in an exceptional circumstances outer limit should be 1 year. In this opinion chargesheet was served in 2012 and first Inquiry was started in the year 2015. The Id. counsel for the applicant further relied on the above said Judgment para nos. 31 onwards.

6. Though the Id. counsel for the applicant requested for Interim Relief. However, in the interest of justice, it is better to hear Id. P.O. too. The Id. P.O. submitted that progress of D.E. is going to be conducted on 21.12.2021. Meanwhile, the Id. P.O. is directed to submit the report of Inquiry dated 21.12.2021 at next hearing. The Id. P.O. has relied on Judgment delivered by **Hon'ble Apex Court which is reported in (2006) 12 SCC 28, Union Of India & Ors. Vs. Kunisetty Satyanarayana and 3 Ors.** However, as submitted by Id. counsel for the applicant, Interim relief is kept open and that will be considered on next date.

7. Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

13. **S.O. four weeks.**

14. **Steno copy is granted.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

C.P.No.23/2021 in O.A.No.278/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. next week.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

C.P.No.50/2021 in O.A.No.778/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri C.F.Bhagwani, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue Notice to the respondents returnable in **after four weeks** under Rule 8 of the MAT (Contempt of Courts) Rules, 1996 as to why they should not be proceeded for committing contempt of this Tribunal's order and as to why they shall not be punished under the Contempt of Court Act.

3. Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for respondent No. 1. Hamdast granted.

4. **S.O. after four weeks.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.946/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri K.P.Mahalle, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 10.12.2021 to comply the order dated 05.02.2021 and 08.02.2021.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.847/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan, the Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. six weeks to
file reply.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.993/2019 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri N.V.Fulzele, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. four weeks to carryout amendment.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.114/2020withC.A.No.323/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman & Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)

Dated : 26/11/ 2021.

None for the applicant. Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.M.Pande, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3. None for the respondent no. 4.

2. In order dated 25.02.2021 para nos. 2 & 3 following directions have been given :-

"2. The point of dispute is that applicant belongs to Open Part-time Category and respondent no. 3 belongs to S.C., Part-time Category. Respondent no. 3 has been selected in place of Open Part-time Category which applicant is claiming. In order dated 18.02.2020, status-quo was granted which has resulted in hurdle in appointment of other candidates also.

3. In view of this situation, Status-quo granted in order dated 18.02.2020 is vacated and respondents are directed not to fill one post of Open Part-time Category till the outcome of the O.A.. He is further directed to proceed with other vacancies as per the Rules and Regulations."

3. Now, it is made clear to the respondents that as per para nos. 2 & 3 of order dated 25.02.2021, if

grievances of the respondent no. 3 can be solved than issue will be resolved.

4. In view of this situation, respondents are directed that as per order dated 25.02.2021 para no. 3, respondent no. 3 should be given appointment if he otherwise eligible for the post. However, the decision of the respondents remains subject to decision in final outcome of the O.A..

5. Hence, **C.A. No. 323/2021 for Direction is allowed and disposed of.**

6. **S.O. four weeks.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.71/2021 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman &
Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J)**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 30.11.2021.**

Member (J)
Date:-26/11/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A. St. 1852/2021(S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

C.A. 299/2021 -

Heard Shri S.M. Bhagde, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 802/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three
weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 892/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri P.S. Kshirsagar, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two
weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 571/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri V.A. Kothale, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 674/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** to take instructions from the department and file reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 797/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis,
Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two
weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 846/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis,
Id. P.O. for R-1.

Await service of R-2 to 4.

S.O. four weeks for filing service
affidavit.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 887/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Ms. P.B. Patekar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 5.

The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the respondents are served and she will file service affidavit within two weeks.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 965/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri Barhate, Id. counsel holding for Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The Id. counsel submits that the respondents are served and he will file service affidavit.

3. At the request of Id. P.O. **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 701/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. It appears that reply is already filed.
3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.
4. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. Next week.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 937/2021 (S.B.)

(J.A. Wakodkar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri P.N. Shende, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The applicant is directed to remove office objections before the next date.
3. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after two weeks**. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced

along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after two weeks.

Member (J).

dnk.

(R.D. Wagh Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant pointed out the order passed by the Tahsildar, Buldhana on 16/4/2020. Thereafter, representation was made by the RDC, Buldhana in which the Tahsildar was informed by the RDC by order dated 13/5/2020 to initiate the departmental enquiry and take necessary action after outcome of the departmental enquiry. Again the representation was made to the SDO, Buldhana. He also informed to the Tahsildar, Buldhana to initiate enquiry and thereafter take necessary action.

3. The Assistant Commissioner, Amravati Division also informed the Collector, Buldhana to start enquiry and after the enquiry take necessary action as per the rules. The Collector, Buldhana also by order dated 28/9/2020 informed the Tahsildar, Buldhana to initiate enquiry and after outcome of the enquiry, take necessary action as per the rules. The Tahsildar, Buldhana on 19/1/2021 rejected the contention of the applicant on the ground that the termination cannot be set aside, because, there is a provision of appeal and therefore his termination was rejected.

4. The applicant preferred appeal before the District Collector, Buldhana. From perusal of the order of District Collector, Buldhana, it appears that the appeal came to be rejected on the ground that the applicant made several representations. It appears that no opportunity was given to the applicant to putforth the case in the appeal.

5. The Id. CPO has submitted that the Collector, Buldhana be directed to decide the appeal afresh. From perusal of the documents filed on record by the applicant, it appears that the Tahsildar, Buldhana not taken into consideration the direction given by the Superior Officers i.e. SDO, RDC and the Collector. The termination order was issued only on the ground that the applicant was absent in the night duty. The applicant made representation that due to his medical ground, he cannot do night duty at district place and therefore prayed to change the duty and that is as taken misconduct, the Tahsildar terminated the applicant without giving any opportunity. The

Collector who had directed the Tahsildar to initiate enquiry and take necessary action as per the rules. Even then also, the Collector rejected the request of applicant on the ground that the applicant made several representations against the termination order. It appears that the Collector, Buldhana not given any opportunity to the applicant to putforth his case in the appeal. In that view of the matter, the following order is passed –

ORDER

- (i) The O.A. is allowed.
- (ii) The impugned order No.ka.vi/205/2021, dated 8/10/2021 (A-15,P-46) passed by the Collector, Buldhana in the applicant's appeal dated 18/6/2021 is hereby quashed and set aside.
- (iii) The Collector, Buldhana is directed to decide the appeal of the applicant forthwith within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Till date, the order passed by the Tahsildar, Buldhana dated 16/4/2020 at Annex-A-7 is hereby stayed.
- (iv) The applicant is directed to remain present before the Collector, Buldhana on 29/11/2021.
- (v) No order as to costs.
Steno copy is granted.

Member (J).

dnk.

(S.D. Shipne (O.A.1043/21), R.R. Patil (O.A.1044/21) & S.M. Munde (O.A. 1058/21) Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri S.M. Bhagde, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

2. All these applicants prayed to direct the respondents to give effect to the transfer order by which they are transferred at the respective places.

3. Heard Id. counsel for the applicants. He submitted that though the applicants are transferred, but intentionally they are not relieved from Nagpur to join them at the respective place of transfer.

4. The Id. CPO has filed on record the letter of Dy. Commissioner of Police, Nagpur dated 25/11/2021. It is marked Exh.-X. He has submitted that total 213 police personnel are transferred, but the Department has not received relievers of the applicants and other transferred employees and therefore there is a shortage of manpower and looking to the situation, like protection, bandobast etc., the applicants cannot be relieved.

5. From perusal of the letter dated 25/11/2021, it appears that the applicants were not relieved only on the ground of Bandobast in

Ganeshostav, Navratra and Dasaharra festivals etc. Now almost festivals are over, the respondents / authority have already transferred all the applicants and therefore it is for the respondents / authority to obey their own order.

6. The Id. CPO submitted that some time is required to relieve all the applicants.

7. In view of that matter, the O.As. are disposed of with a direction to the respondents to relieve all the applicants within a period of one month. No order as to costs.

Steno copy is granted.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 74/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri P.P. Khaparde, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 1019/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri V.A. Kothale, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per the submission of Id. P.O., the enquiry report is not filed on record.
3. The applicant is directed to file enquiry report on record before the next date.

S.O. four weeks (PH).

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 619/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri A.B. Mirza, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 5 and none for R-6.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 7/12/2021.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 202/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.
Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. after four weeks.

Member (J).

dnk.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

**O.A. Nos. 545,546,547,548,549,550,551,578 &
579 of 2020 -**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel
for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O.
and other Id. P.Os. for the respondents.

After hearing the matter for sometime,
with the consent of both the parties, **S.O.**
3/12/2021 (PH).

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 34/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri M.R. Rajgure, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 and none for R-2 to 4.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. Next week.**

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 384/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri M.R. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of both the parties, **S.O.**
after two weeks.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 410/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri I. Fidvi, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. one week** for filing rejoinder.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 49/2020 with C.A. 340/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri D.M. Surjuse, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of both the parties, **S.O.**
27/11/2021.

Member (J).

dnk.

O.A. 720/2020 with C.A. 321/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,
Member (J).**

Dated : 26/11/2021.

Heard Shri I.A. Fidvi, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for R-3.

Closed for orders.

Member (J).

*dnk.....