ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 652 OF 2016
[Shri Bhimraj Rambhau Thorat Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Hemant U. Dhage – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same has been taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to go through the affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and file rejoinder affidavit, if necessary and for that purpose he seeks time. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 5th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 615 OF 2016 [Shri Manohar S/o Wamanrao Adhikar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam – learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri G.N. Patil – learned Special Counsel for respondent No. 3.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply.
- 3. It appears from the proceeding that last two occasions the learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Today, also he seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
- 4. It is made clear that if the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 fail to file affidavit in reply on the next date, heavy costs shall be imposed upon them.
- 5. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.
- 6. Steno copy be provided to the learned Presenting Officer, at her request.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 596 OF 2016
[Shri Subhash Gopinath Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Sandeep G. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to  $23^{\rm rd}$  January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 594 OF 2016

[Shri Govind Hiraman Dedwal Vs. The State of Maharashtra &

Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE:

: 30.11. 2016.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Mrs. A.N. Ansari – learned Advocate for the Applicant

and Shri S.K. Shirase - learned Presenting Officer for the

respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder

affidavit and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has

been served upon the learned Presenting Officer for the

respondents.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant has invited my

attention to one letter dated 10th November, 2016 addressed to

Joint Director, Technical Education, Aurangabad by Principal of

Government Engineering College, Aurangabad, whereby it has

been requested that the deputation of the applicant be further

extended. The copy of the said letter dated 10th November, 2016

has been annexed to the rejoinder filed by the applicant as "R-3"

at page-47.

The learned Presenting Officer submits that he has no

instructions in this regard and, therefore, he wants to take

instructions from the respondents and for that purpose he seeks

time.

#### O.A. NO. 594 OF 2016

- 5. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has been already filed.
- 6. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** and the matter be kept for final hearing on 23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2017.
- 7. In the meantime, the learned Presenting Officer shall take instructions from the respondents in the matter.
- 8. Steno copy be provided to both the parties at their request.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 571 OF 2016
[Shri Vishnu Karbhari Hagwane Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty to the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 4th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

BENOII III MORUMGIBIID

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 473 OF 2016 [Dr. Govind S/o Kishanraoi Reddy Vs. The State of

Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the Applicant

and Shri I.S. Thorat - learned Presenting Officer for the

respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri S.S. Manale - learned Advocate for

respondent No. 4 (absent).

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in

reply.

3. It appears from the proceedings that on last three occasions

the learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in

reply. Today, also he seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time

granted as a last chance.

4. It is made clear that if the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 fail to file

affidavit in reply on the next date, heavy costs shall be imposed

upon them. If respondent Nos. 1 to 3 files affidavit in reply, copy

of the same be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant

well in advance.

#### :: - 2 - ::

# O.A. NO. 473 OF 2016

- 5. S.O. to  $3^{rd}$  January, 2017. The interim relief to continue till then.
- 6. Steno copy be provided to the learned Presenting Officer, at her request.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 429 OF 2016

[Dr. Shripati S/o Kondiram Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.

1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane - learned Advocate for respondent

No.6. Shri S.S. Manale - learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4

& 5 (absent).

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in

reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. It appears from the proceedings that on last five occasions

the learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in

reply. Today, also he seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time

granted as a last chance.

4. It is made clear that if the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 fail to file

affidavit in reply on the next date, heavy costs shall be imposed

upon them. If respondent Nos. 1 to 3 file affidavit in

:: - 2 - ::

# O.A. NO. 429 OF 2016

reply, copy of the same be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant well in advance.

- 5. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> January, 2017.
- 6. Steno copy be provided to the learned Presenting Officer, at her request.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 463 OF 2016
[Shri Ishwar S/o Bhika Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 4th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 464 OF 2016
[Shri Milind Laxmanrao Kulkarni Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 4th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 465 OF 2016
[Shri Vinayak Sadashiv Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 4th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 332 OF 2016
[Shri Madhav S/o Bhagwat Borse Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.U. Dhage – learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 2 and Shri S.R. Dheple – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3. Shri S.A. Ambilwade – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (absent).

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that separate affidavit in replies have already been filed by respondent Nos. 2, 3 & 4.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty to the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 5th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 347 OF 2016
[Smt. Vaishali Shrikrushnakumar Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri U.L. Momale – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 and the same is taken on record.
- 3. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to  $23^{\rm rd}$  January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2016
[Smt. Swati Narhari Joshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri B.S. Kudale – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to go through the affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and file rejoinder, if any and for that purpose he seeks time. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 305 OF 2016
[Shri Sandip S/o Ashok Ban Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri N.P. Bangar – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2& 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 25th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2016
[Shri Shankar Antaram Tamke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.V. Patil – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 27th January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 797 OF 2015
[Shri Vasantsingh S. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Kailas B. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has already been filed on record.
- 3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present original application, the same is **admitted** with liberty the applicant to file rejoinder.
- 4. S.O. to 27th January, 2017 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 649 OF 2015
[Shri Shakir Kadir Shaikhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Supriya Bhillegaonkar – learned Advocate for the Applicant, Sm. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Special Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. At the request of learned Special Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> January, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 449/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1984/2016 [Shri Tulisharam Bhimrao Jagtap & Others Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.S. Dhapate, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar – learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and the present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1984/2016
[Shri Tulisharam Bhimrao Jagtap & Others Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 30.11. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.S. Dhapate, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar – learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - ::

# O. A. ST.NO. 1984/2016

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O.to 17th January, 2017.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

### O.A. NO. 199 OF 2016

{J.A. Landge Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order:

- 1. Shri S.S. Ambhore, learned Advocate for the applicant **(absent)**. Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri D.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2 are present.
- 2. None appeared for the applicant on 29.7.2016, 8.9.2016 and thereafter on 7.10.2016 and on 2.11.2016. In view thereof the matter was kept for dismissal today. Today also none appears for the applicant.
- 3. In view thereof, it seems that the applicant may not be interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

#### O.A. NO. 99 OF 2015

{K.S. Gosavi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri Arun R. Vyawahare, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Shingapure, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2.12.2016.

MEMBER (J)

#### O.A. NO. 419 OF 2012

{A.Y. Baste Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Shri M.N. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 4.1.2017 as a most last chance.

MEMBER (J)

#### MA 306/2016 IN OA ST. 1245/2015

(N.P. Kokane Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri R.V. Naiknaware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. M.A. no. 306/2016 has been filed by the applicant for permission to amend the O.A. as per draft amendment in the application.
- 3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity.
- 4. The amendment be carried out in O.A. within a period of one week and the amended copy of O.A. be served upon the respondents.
- 5. M.A. stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

# OA ST. 1245/2015

(N.P. Kokane Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Shri R.V. Naiknaware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### MA 307/2016 IN OA ST. 1244/2015

(R.G. Kulkarni Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri R.V. Naiknaware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. M.A. no. 307/2016 has been filed by the applicant for permission to amend the O.A. as per draft amendment in the application.
- 3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed in the interest of justice and equity.
- 4. The amendment be carried out in O.A. within a period of one week and the amended copy of O.A. be served upon the respondents.
- 5. M.A. stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

# OA ST. 1245/2015

(R.G. Kulkarni Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Shri R.V. Naiknaware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### MA 296/2016 IN OA ST. 1298/2016

(Dr. D.W. Kandre Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri S.K. Sawangikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 11.1.2017 for filing affidavit in reply to the M.A. as a most last chance.

MEMBER (J)

#### MA 27/2016 IN OA ST. 80/2016

(M.S. Bangale Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri S.K. Mathpathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the M.A. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 12.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

### MA 515/2015 IN OA 243/2015

(P.N. Solunke Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the M.A. The M.A. is filed by the applicant for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 12.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

# MA 114/2015 WITH M.A. ST. 376/2015 IN OA 377/2015

(S.J. Mahaboob & one another Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Miss. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 875 OF 2016

(Akhil Ahemad Suleman Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

### Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. It seems that respective respondents have filed their affidavit in replies in the matter.
- 3. Hence, O.A. is admitted.
- 4. S.O. to 19.12.2016 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 767/2016

(L.N. Pawar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Shri M.M. Kadtu, learned Advocate for the applicant (leave note). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
- 2. The learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 27.1.2017 for filing rejoinder by the applicant, if any.

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 751/2016

(B.P. Sonawane Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 744 OF 2016

(A.T. Pawar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order:

- 1. None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
- 2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 23.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 735/2016

(K.G. Shinde Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.1.2017 for filing rejoinder.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 731/2016

(Dr. B.B. Methe Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order :-

- 1. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant **(absent)**. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 is present.
- 2. Today Shri A.V. Indrale Patil, learned Advocate has filed V.P. of Shri S.B. Pulkundwar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5. It is taken on record.
- 3. The learned C.P.O. and learned Advocate for res. no. 5 seek time to file affidavit in replies on behalf of respective respondents. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 23.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 719/2016

(P.M. Chandanshiv Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order :-

- 1. Heard Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.R. Tapse, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5.
- 2. The learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5 has filed affidavit in reply. The learned P.O. also files affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 2. Both the replies are taken on record and copies thereof have been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2016.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773/2016

(G.D. Nagargoje Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

# Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 20.12.2016 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

#### M.A. NO. 424/2016 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1256/2016

(V.B. Pawar & Ors. Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. This M.A. has been filed by total 8 applicants for permission to sue jointly.
- 3. Today, the learned Advocate for the applicants stated that the applicant no. 5 Shashikant Keshavrao Sardeshmukh and applicant no. 7 Narsingh Vishwanath Humbe have received the benefits, which they were claiming in the present O.A. and, therefore, name of applicant nos. 5 & 7 be deleted and rest of the applicants be allowed to sue jointly.
- 4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants except applicant nos. 5 & 7 have prayed for same relief, and to avoid multiplicity, leave to sue jointly is granted to the applicants except applicant nos. 5 & 7, subject to payment of court fee stamps, unless it is paid already.
- 5. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

#### O.A. ST. NO. 1256/2016

(V.B. Pawar & Ors. Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Shri J. D. Kulkarni, Hon'ble Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE :- 30.11.2016

#### Oral Order:

- 1. Heard Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.1.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
- 8. S.O. to 16.1.2017.