

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.734/2018
(Sangeeta Aghamkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B.Solanke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant has sought reliefs in prayer clause (B) & (C) as under:

“(B) This Hon’ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct the respondent no.5 to promote the applicant on the post of Incharge Sister at Civil Hospital, Jalna and for that purpose issue necessary orders;

(C) This Hon’ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct respondent authorities to grant all the promotional benefits to the applicant from the date of order of promotion i.e. 03-05-2016 and for that purpose issue necessary orders. ”

3. On perusal of the record it reveals that the applicant has been promoted and posted in Civil Hospital Hingoli vide order dated 03-05-2016 but she has not accepted the promotion and not

joined the promotional post. Now, the applicant is claiming same relief by filing present O.A.

4. Since the applicant was promoted and posting was given to her in 2016, no question of considering her claim as per prayer clauses arises. Hence, O.A. cannot be entertained. O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.745/2018
(Dr. Ashok Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 31-10-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 31-10-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.236/2018
(Bhagwan Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.K.Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, for removal of office objections and producing certain documents on record, S.O. to 05-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.255/2015
(Rajaram Sande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R.Devkate learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O., S.O. to 19-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.589/2017
(Kailas Waval V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.635/2017
(Sakharam Rak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 & 5 and Shri S. B. Mene learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 to 4.

2. Learned P.O. has already filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 5.

3. Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate states that respondent nos.2 to 4 are adopting reply filed by respondent no.1 and separate reply of respondent nos.2 to 4 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 22-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.764/2017
(Vijaykumar Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent no.2 A.G. Nagpur is already on record.

3. Shri S.B.Mene prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.4. Already ample opportunities were given. Previously time was granted to file reply as a last chance but reply is not filed.

4. However, in the interest of justice time is granted to file reply of respondent nos.1, 3 and 4, subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/-

=2=

O.A.No.764/17

(Rs. Five Thousand Only) each, which shall be recovered from the personal pocket of each of the concerned respondents and be deposited on or before the next date.

5. S.O. to 15-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.765/2017
(Ravindra Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Reply of respondent no.2 is already on record. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 3.

3. Shri S.B.Mene prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.4. Already ample opportunities were given. Previously time was granted to file reply as a last chance but reply is not filed.

4. However, in the interest of justice time is granted to file reply of respondent nos.1, 3 and 4 subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) by each respondent,

which shall be deposited on or before the next date.

5. S.O. to 15-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

LATER ON

6. Learned P.O. states that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 3 during the course of the day.

7. Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for respondent no.4 also states that he will file reply during the course of the day.

8. If affidavit in reply is filed during the course of the day, order imposing costs on the concerned respondents will be recalled. If reply is not filed, concerned respondent shall deposit costs on or before next date.

9. S.O. to 15-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.793/2017
(Abdul Rahman Abdul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent nos.2 and 3 is already on record. He submits that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 06-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.798/2017
(Shrikant Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.800/2017
(Shrikrushnan Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.801/2017
(Sadanand Gupta V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.802/2017
(Rajabhau Tat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.857/2017
(Nandakumar Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.858/2017
(Shriniwas Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.859/2017
(Vasant Tandulje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.860/2017
(Bhaskar Ubarhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Ample opportunities are already given to the respondents. However, time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only). Costs be deposited on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 31-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.40/2018
(Md. Rizwan Khan Samad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri A. D. Gadekar learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for respondent no.2 sought time to file reply. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 26-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.58/2018
(Dhananjay Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Affidavit in rejoinder is not filed by the applicant on record.
3. S.O. to 26-10-2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.99/2018
(Sanjay Patange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Already ample opportunities are given to the respondent nos.1 to 3 to file affidavit in reply. Learned P.O. against seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3. No just and proper reasons are shown for extension of time for filing reply. However, in the interest of justice last chance is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- which shall be recovered from the pocket of concerned respondent/s on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 26-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.151/2018
(Rajendra Sonawne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B.Pawar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 29-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.304/2018
(Sudhakar Mangalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku. Chitralkha Gaikwad learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 02-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.335/2018
(Suresh Jumani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that if the respondents are ready to consider case of the applicant for transfer whenever the vacancy at Mumbai or Thane arises, applicant would not have any objection to dispose of the case accordingly.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that he will take instructions in this regard from the respondents.

4. S.O. to 01-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.495/2018
(Malsing Vahrya Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K.Rajput learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 and 2 and Smt. Rohini Satyawar More, respondent no.3 is present in person.

2. Smt. Rohini Satyawar More, Medical Social Worker, Zilla Parishad, Pune i.e. respondent no.3 has appeared in person and filed affidavit in reply. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the learned P.O. She undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the applicant.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 30-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.523/2018
(Ravindra Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.H.Solanke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.565/2018
(Smt. Shridevi Suryavanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate
for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in
reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is
granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 15-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.611/2018
(Premala Hanumante & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.632/2018
(Vishnu Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.633/2018
(Ratan Narwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 06-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.647/2018
(Swarup Kankal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Md. Attaur Rehman learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 17-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.648/2018
(Sakharam Mandavgade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 17-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.644/2018
(Ganesh Markad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 17-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.646/2018
(Umesh Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 17-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.717/2018
(Vidya Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It seems from the record that service affidavit has not been filed by the applicant.

3. Hence, S.O. to 17-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.476/2016 IN O.A.St.No.2024/2016
(Devidas Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri T.R.Daware learned Advocate holding for Shri P.B.Ahire learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file service affidavit. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 12-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.191/2017 IN O.A.St.No.519/2017
(Sk. Md. Aminuddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri U.A.Khekale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 16-10-2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.406/2017 IN O.A.St.No.861/2017
(Ajit Aagle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.B.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.2000/- (Rs. Two Thousand Only) on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 22-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.737/2016
(Latif Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.879/2018
(Sk. Mujib Sk. Gafar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.584/2017
(Md. Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.457/2018
(Dr. Sharad Phatale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-09-2018 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.795/2017
(Dr. Anil Pandhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. On earlier occasion i.e. on 14-08-2018 costs of Rs.5000/- were imposed on the respondents for failure to file reply. It seems that the costs are not yet deposited and affidavit in reply is also not filed on record.

3. Learned P.O. again seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- in addition to earlier costs of Rs.5000/-. Hence, total costs of Rs.10,000/- be deposited on or before next date.

4. S.O. to 02-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.196/2018 IN O.A.No.199/2018
(Gajanan Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.09.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Applicant has filed this M.A. for condonation of 293 days' delay caused for filing the O.A. challenging impugned order dated 10-06-2016 and thereby declaring that he is not eligible to be appointed on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon passed by Sub Divisional Magistrate, Amalner by filing the present M.A.

2. It is contention of the applicant that respondent no.2 issued advertisement inviting applications of aspiring candidates for the appointment on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon. The applicant filed an application and participated in the recruitment process. He appeared for written examination as well as oral interview conducted by the respondent no.2. On conclusion of written and oral examinations, respondent no.2

published a list of selected candidates. As per the said list, applicant was selected as candidate for the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon. It is his contention that on 06-04-2016 a F.I.R. was lodged against him bearing No.54/2016 for the offences punishable u/s.376, 452 and 506 of I.P.C. On the basis of F.I.R. he has been arrested and thereafter he has been released on bail. It is his contention that thereafter one Mr. Mangal Patil filed an application with respondent no.2 for disqualifying the applicant for the appointment on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon. After hearing the parties, said objection has been decided by Sub Divisional Magistrate holding that the applicant was not having good character and he was not fit to be appointed on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon. Therefore, his selection has been cancelled and order to that effect has been passed by Sub Divisional Magistrate on 10-06-2016.

3. It is contention of the applicant that thereafter a chargesheet has been filed against him in the criminal case, and thereafter, case was committed to the Court of Sessions Judge, Amalner. Thereafter, trial was conducted and after recording evidence of the prosecution, the Additional Sessions Judge, Amalner acquitted the applicant on 01-09-2017. It is contention of the applicant that thereafter he applied to the respondent no.2 for considering him for appointment on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon but the respondent no.2 by his communication dated 26-02-2018 informed the applicant that he has been already disqualified for the post of Police Patil. Therefore, his application cannot be considered.

4. Applicant has filed O.A. challenging order dated 10-06-2016 by which respondent no.2 has disqualified him for appointment on the post of Police Patil before this Tribunal along with present M.A. and prayed to condone the delay of

293 days caused for filing the O.A. It is contention of the applicant that the delay caused for filing the O.A. is not intentional but it is accidental. It is his contention that there is merit in the O.A., and therefore, he has prayed to condone the delay by allowing the M.A.

5. Respondent nos.1 and 2 have filed their affidavit in reply and resisted the contentions of the applicant. They have not disputed the fact that the applicant had applied for the appointment on the post of Police Patil and participated in recruitment process. It is also not disputed by them that the applicant has passed oral as well as written examination. It is also not disputed that the applicant had secured highest marks amongst the candidates. They have not disputed the fact that a Crime bearing C.R.No.54/2016 came to be registered against the applicant for the offense u/s.376, 452 and 506 of I.P.C and the applicant was arrested by the Police Authorities. They have not disputed the fact that one Mangal Patil filed objection with

the respondent no.2 challenging the selection of the applicant on the ground that a crime has been registered against the applicant and he was arrested in that crime. It is contended by the respondents that respondent no.2 made enquiry in the complaint filed by Shri Mangal Patil and after considering the objections, the S.D.O. has disqualified the applicant for the appointment on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon.

6. It is their contention that in view of the provisions of Maharashtra Village Police Patil Recruitment Rules, 1968, candidate involved in criminal case of serious nature is not eligible for appointment in Government service. Hence, as per Rule 3 (e) of the said Rules, the applicant was disqualified. It is their contention that the applicant has not challenged the said order dated 10-06-2016 within the prescribed period of limitation. The delay occurred for filing the O.A. is intentional and deliberate and no satisfactory explanation has been given by the applicant for

condoning the delay. Therefore, respondents have prayed to reject the M.A.

7. Heard Shri M.B.Sandanshiv learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Perused documents placed on record by the parties.

8. Admittedly, the respondent no.2 Sub Divisional Magistrate, Amalner issued advertisement in the year 2015 and invited applications from aspiring candidates for appointment on the post of Police Patil of Village Majerehol, Tq. Chopada, Dist. Jalgaon. The applicant has also applied for the said post, and he participated in the recruitment process by appearing for written examination held on 13-12-2015. He was called for oral interview held on 12-03-2016. After conclusion of written and oral examinations merit list was published. The applicant secured highest marks amongst candidates appearing for oral interview.

He was declared as selected candidate. Thereafter, on 06-04-2016 a crime bearing C.R.No.54/2016 was registered against him for the offences punishable u/s.376, 452 and 506 of I.P.C. with Chopda Police Station. One of the candidates, who participated in the recruitment process, viz. Shri Mangal Patil filed a complaint-application before respondent no.2 Sub Divisional Officer, Amalner challenging selection of the applicant on the ground that a crime of serious nature has been registered against the applicant.

9. After giving opportunity of hearing to both sides, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Amalner decided the issue and held that the applicant was involved in criminal case of serious nature, and therefore, he was not fit to be appointed on the post of Police Patil. Consequently, his selection has been cancelled.

10. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that a criminal case has been

registered against the applicant. The case was tried before Additional Sessions Judge, Amalner and thereafter the applicant came to be acquitted on 01-09-2017. He has submitted that thereafter the applicant has moved an application for considering him for appointment on the post of Police Patil which is still vacant but the Sub Divisional Magistrate rejected the application on the ground that he has already been disqualified. He has submitted that thereafter the applicant has approached this Tribunal. He has submitted that there is delay of 293 days which has been occurred due to pendency of the criminal case. He has argued that the delay caused for filing the O.A. is not deliberate or intentional.

11. Applicant has further submitted that valuable rights of the applicant are involved in the O.A. and his case has merit. He has therefore prayed to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A. and to decide the O.A. on merit.

12. Learned P.O. has submitted that no just cause or reason is shown by the applicant for

condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A. and the delay is intentional and deliberate. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the M.A.

13. On perusal of the record it reveals that candidature of the applicant has been rejected by the respondent no.2 Sub Divisional Magistrate, Amalner by passing order dated 10-06-2016. The applicant ought to have challenged the said order on or before 10-06-2017. Applicant has not challenged the said order within stipulated time and he has filed the matter on 31-03-2018 challenging the said order. Delay of 293 days is caused for filing the O.A. Applicant has failed to show sufficient cause for condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A. No satisfactory or justifiable grounds are shown by the applicant for condoning the delay. It seems that the applicant has waited till the decision of the criminal case pending against him. On the date of rejection of claim of the applicant, the criminal case was pending against him. The applicant ought to have challenged the impugned order

immediately within stipulated time. But he has not challenged the same within time intentionally and deliberately. The delay caused for filing the O.A. is intentional and deliberate, and therefore, in my opinion, it is not a fit case to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A. Therefore, M.A. deserves to be dismissed.

14. In view of the discussion in the foregoing paragraphs, M.A. stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

15. Since the M.A. for delay condonation is dismissed, the O.A. is not maintainable. Accordingly the O.A. also stands rejected.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 239/2017 IN O.A. 906/2016
(Dr. Atul R. Ladwanjari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Tushar Daware, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. By the present M.A. the applicants are seeks leave of the Tribunal to add the res. nos. 6 to 14 as party respondents in the O.A. and also to add paras 4.12 to 4.14 and prayer clause C-1 as mentioned in the present M.A., in the O.A.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3 in the M.A.

4. In the circumstances, issue notices to the proposed res. nos. 6 to 14 of the present M.A., returnable on 2.11.2018.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice

that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O.to 2-11-2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 491/2017 IN O.A. 708/2017
(Vishalkumar A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. Present M.A. has been filed by the applicant for condonation of 2 years, 4 months & 16 days delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A.

3. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3 in the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. For the reasons stated in the present M.A., it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the delay of 2 years, 4 months & 16 days caused in filing accompanying O.A. is hereby condoned.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 708/2017
(Vishalkumar A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. Today by passing separate order in M.A. no. 491/2017 the delay of 2 years, 4 months & 16 days caused in filing the present O.A. is condoned and the O.A. is taken up for hearing.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant would be satisfied, if the concerned respondents are directed to decide the representation of the applicant already submitted to them on 10.4.2017 (Exh. A. 14 page 87) within a prescribed period.

4. It appears that the above submission of the learned Advocate for the applicant is reasonable.

5. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs and the concerned respondents are hereby directed to decide the representation of the applicant dtd. 10.4.2017 (Exh. A. 14 page 87) within a period of 3 months from

the date of this order and decision thereof be communicated to the present applicant in writing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 299/2018 IN O.A. NO. 226/2018
(Nitin E. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent no. 1. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2 (**absent**). None appears for respondent nos. 3 & 4, though duly served.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 19.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 1. The interim relief granted earlier in the present M.A. to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2015
(Pralhad S. Pande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of Smt. Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 419/2015
(Dnyaneshwar D. Giri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. Record would show that since 9.2.2018 the applicant or his learned Advocate are not appearing in the matter, though in the meantime the present matter was adjourned for 4 occasions. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 744/2018
(Anjana Shankar Girnare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 9.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, only due to technical problem in online process, though fees required for main examination was debited from the account of the applicant, after 4 days it was re-credited to the account of the applicant and, therefore, he did not know that amount of fees was not credited to the res. no. 2.

9. Considering all above facts, interim relief in terms of prayer clause 9(A), which reads as under, is hereby granted until further order. :-

“9(A) By issue of appropriate order or direction in the like nature, the Respondent no. 2 be directed to permit applicant to appear for Main Examination for the Sub Inspector in State Excise Department scheduled on 14th of October, 2018.”

10. S.O.to 9-10-2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 588/2017
(Dr. Balasaheb R. Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed short affidavit of res. nos. 1 to 4 on the line of order dtd. 10.7.2018. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. Learned Advocate submits that, he will have to go through the said short affidavit. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.10.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 4/2017 IN O.A. 122/2015
(Dr. Ashok B. Havelikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record copy of communication dtd. 30.8.2018 received to him from the concerned respondent, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification, and submits that the provisional pensionary benefits are already granted to the applicant. Shri Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant in this regard. At his request, S.O. to 25.10.2018 for hearing on the above line.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 50/2015
(Rajendra B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant & his learned Advocate, S.O. to 24.10.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 474/2015 WITH O.A. NO. 740/2016
(Nagorao R. Gaikwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.9.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities in both the matters. None appears for res. no. 6 in O.A. no. 474/2015 and res. nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. no. 740/2016.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 9.10.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28-9-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A.ST.NO. 1516/2018 IN O.A.ST. 1303/2018

[Shri Vikram N. Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri M.K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Remove from the board. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will remove the office objection/s.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25 OF 2018

[Shri Sachin R. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. All the sides submit that the present Original Application may be fixed for final disposal. In the circumstances, S.O. to 1st November, 2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 48 OF 2018

[Shri Sanjay N. Nade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents would be filed. The copy of the same be served on the other side.
3. S.O. to 5th November, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLIATION NO. 65 OF 2018

[Smt. Shivkanya R. Chopde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Smt. Shubhangi D. More, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 8 and Smt. Sangmitra B. Wadmare, learned Advocate for respondent No. 9.

2. Upon hearing all the sides, it appears that though respondent No. 9, Shri B.R. Watane, was reverted only for a period of 3 years as a punishment w.e.f. 28.8.2015 to 27.8.2015, in his place from S.T. category some other employee was permanently promoted and thereafter Shri B.R. Watane is shown to have been restored to his promoted post, but from Open Category. This is apparent from the table of the DPC meeting dated 9th June, 2018 (page 50 & 52). In the circumstances, respondent No. 3, who has already earlier filed affidavit in reply is directed to explain the fact regarding the statement of no vacancy in promotional post for S.T. category, which is found at page No. 50 and the details

O.A. NO. 65 OF 2018

therein by filing a short affidavit and by explaining as to which employee was promoted from S.T. category in place of respondent No. 9, Shri B.R. Watane, who was merely temporary demoted by way of punishment.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 2nd November, 2018 for filing the said additional affidavit in reply.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128 OF 2018

[Hausaji L. Marakwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day the affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents would be filed. The copy of the same be served on the other side.
3. S.O. to 20th November, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285 OF 2018
[Meera J. Dolas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent Nos. 3 4 and no affidavit in reply is filed on their behalf.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24th October, 2018 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A.NOS. 509 & 562 BOTH OF 2018

[Shri Ismail A. Tamboli & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri A.R. Avachat, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23rd October, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
CHAMBER APPEAL ST.NO. 1627/2018 [DELAY]
IN
CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 7/2018
IN
MISC. APPLICATION ST. NO. 531/2018
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 562/2012
(Shri Namdeo Dagdu Kharat Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 20.06.2018 the Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 13.04.2018 the office has raised the following office objections in M.A. St. No. 531/2018: -

- 1) Copy of order dated 6.5.2016 is not filed with the M.A. St. No. 531/2018.
- 2) Separate application for delay condonation is not filed for restoration of O.A. No. 562/2012.
- 3) M.A. for bringing LRs on record is not filed.
- 4) Delay is not mentioned in M.A. and prayer clause.

- 5) Application for setting aside for abatement is not filed.
- 6) V.P. is not filed.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove all office objections.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration of M.A. St. No. 531/2018 since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objection in spite of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration of M.A. St. 531/2018 was refused.

5. The fact that the M.A. St. No. 531/2018 is filed by the applicant for bringing on record LRs of the deceased employee / applicant and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the Chamber Appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

6. The applicant also prays to condone the delay of about 83 days by filing separate Chamber Appeal St. No. 1627/2018, caused in filing this Chamber Appeal.

7. Considering the reasons mentioned in the Chamber Appeal St. No. 1627/2018 regarding

delay, the delay caused in filing this Chamber Appeal is hereby condoned.

8. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

ORDER

(i) The delay of about 83 days caused in filing this Chamber Appeal is hereby condoned.

(ii) Chamber Appeal No. 7/2018 is allowed as the learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to remove all the office objections.

(ii) The Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place M.A. St. 531/2018 before the appropriate Bench for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514 OF 2018

[Shri Sahebrao G. Avhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri Mahesh S. Taur, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 2nd November, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 631 OF 2018

[Shri Chudaman D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri Mahesh S. Taur, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 29th October, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651 OF 2018

[Shri Amit M. Ghawale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri Mahesh S. Taur, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. It appears from the proceedings that service affidavit is not filed on record.
3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that O.A. No. 462/2018 filed on the same ground has already been dismissed on merit by this Tribunal on 24.8.2018. In the circumstances, record and papers of the said O.A. be placed before this Tribunal on the next date.
4. S.O. to 24th October, 2018 either for filing service affidavit by the applicant or for passing necessary orders in default.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A.NOS. 653 & 654 BOTH OF 2018

[Shri Gorakshanath N. Londhe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 1st November, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 627 OF 2018

[Shri Amol B. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 1st November, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A.NOS. 603 TO 609 & 780 ALL OF 2017

[Shri Jaideep A. Limbale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.
2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 6 would be filed in all these cases.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 1st November, 2018 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 28.09.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A.NOS. 231, 232 & 233 ALL OF 2018

[Aishwarya V. Mudhilkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 28.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri Abhay Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 in all these cases, Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 in O.A. No. 231/2018 and for respondent Nos. 10, 14, 20, 26, 34, 35, 36, 38, 43, 46, 47, 49, 51 & 52 in O.A. No. 232/2018 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 in all these cases. Smt. Swati B. Nakhate, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 & 65 in O.A. Nos. 231 & 233/2018 (**absent**). Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 30 in O.A. No. 232/2018, present. Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 14 in O.A. No. 232/2018 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 24th October, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN