ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1138 OF 2020 (Vishnu S. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Ameya N. Sabnis, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present matter is adjourned for taking necessary steps, as there is office object of jurisdiction.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2021.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 324 OF 2021 (Sharad D. Kothawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u>: 07.07.2021. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant is seeking interim relief in terms of prayer clause 12 (D) pending admission, hearing and final disposal of this Original Application the respondents in general and respondent No. 3 in particular may kindly be directed to continue the applicant in service on the post of Law Officer. The applicant's services as Law Officer being appointed on contractual basis is come to an end on 11.07.2021.

3. We have heard both the sides at length for consideration of interim relief. The present matter be kept tomorrow i.e. 08.07.2021 for passing orders on interim relief.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582 OF 2017 (Sanjay B. Kokate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

## <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Twice affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondents.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that rejoinder affidavit is not necessary.

4. Considering the nature of proceedings, the present matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 13.08.2021.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 39 OF 2018 (Ravi S. Wankhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No. 2.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. In view of the same, the present matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 20.08.2021.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117 OF 2019 (Sainath K. Matkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the similar nature of matter bearing O.A. No. 670/2018 is pending before this Tribunal and it is kept on 15.07.2021.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be kept on 15.07.2021.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435 OF 2019 (Sadhu K. Lohar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri B<u>ij</u>ay Kumar, Member (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. None present for respondent No. 4, though duly served and no affidavit in reply is filed.

3. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the rejoinder by the applicant.

4. Considering nature of proceedings, the present matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 12.08.2021.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 181 OF 2020 (Rajesh H. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

2. By the order dated 30.06.2021, the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 were directed to file short affidavit regarding subsequent development, so that this Tribunal will be enable to appreciate the contentions raised on behalf of both the parties as regards interim relief.

No such affidavit is filed by the respondent Nos. 1
& 2 till today on record.

4. Learned P.O. has placed on record a copy of communication dated 23.06.2021 received from the respondent No. 2 through Regional District Collector, Nanded and orally seeks vacation of interim relief, so that the claim of respondent Nos. 3 to 5 can be considered. Copy of the said communication is taken

## //2// O.A. No. 181/2020

on record and marked as document 'X-1' for the purpose of identification.

5. In view of this, at this stage, it is not proper to vacate interim relief granted in the present matter.

6. In the facts and circumstances, the present matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission.

7. S.O. to 17.08.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81 OF 2020 (Sudarshan N. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Record shows that by the order dated 12.02.2020 leave was granted to the applicant to amend the prayer clause/s suitably within a period of two weeks.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that because of Covid-19 pandemic situation, the said order was not complied with. He has submitted that amendment is ready. The applicant is permitted to carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. within a period of one week.

4. S.O. to 15.07.2021.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152 OF 2020 (Avinash B. Londhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri B<u>ij</u>ay Kumar, Member (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 07.07.2021.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Poonam V. Bodke Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

- 3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.
- 4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468OF 2020 (Kuldeep I. Chole & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH O.A. No. 469/2020 with M.A. No. 274/2020 (Nikita A. Khadekar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2020 (Vijaya R. Kanthale & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in all these O.As.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of G.R. dated 06.07.2021 issued by the G.A.D. Same is taken on record.

3. Record shows that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 in O.A. No. 468/2020, respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 469/2020 with M.A. 274/2020 and respondent No. 4 in O.A. No. 478/2020 are already filed on record.

//2// O.A. Nos. 468/2020 & Anr.

4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the respondents as regards G.R. dated 06.07.2021.

5. In the facts and circumstances, the present matters are fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 17.08.2021.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 298 OF 2021 (Ramesh G. Devkhile & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 07.07.2021.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 20.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 63/2021 in O.A. No. 1065/2019 (Dr. Jahagirdar D. Nizam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 07.07.2021.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.

MEMBER (A) KPB ORAL ORDERS 07.07.2021

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.45/2019 (Sitaram Bhokare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 23-07-2021.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.192/2019 (Kashinath Soundalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.B.Mene, learned Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has already filed affidavit in rejoinder. Respondents state that sur-rejoinder is not necessary. However, learned Counsel for the respondents has pointed out that the State is essential party as financial and other major decisions are taken by the Government.

3. However, learned Advocate for the applicant resisted these points. Since the pleadings are complete case be placed for final hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 30-07-2021.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.193/2019 (Vitthal Ghane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.B.Mene, learned Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has already filed affidavit in rejoinder. Respondents state that sur-rejoinder is not necessary. However, learned Counsel for the respondents has pointed out that the State is essential party as financial and other major decisions can be taken by the Government.

3. However, learned Advocate for the applicant resisted these points. Since the pleadings are complete case be placed for final hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 30-07-2021.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.194/2019 (Ramkisan Bagal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.B.Mene, learned Counsel for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has already filed affidavit in rejoinder. Respondents state that sur-rejoinder is not necessary. However, learned Counsel for the respondents has pointed out that the State is essential party as financial and other major decisions can be taken by the Government.

3. However, learned Advocate for the applicant resisted these points. Since the pleadings are complete case be placed for final hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 30-07-2021.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.873/2019 (Nivrutti Lote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit in reply has already been filed. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that rejoinder is not necessary. Matter is of final hearing.

3. S.O. to 04-08-2021 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721/2018 (Bhagwan Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{CORAM}{DATE}$ : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) $\frac{DATE}{ORAL ORDER}$ :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent nos.1 & 4 and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Respondent no.3 has already filed affidavit in reply on record. Applicant has also filed rejoinder to the affidavit in reply of respondent no.3.

3. Respondent nos.1, 2 and 4 have not filed affidavit in reply.

4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 4.

5. Though matter is for final hearing, time is granted as a last chance to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 4 otherwise the matter will be finally heard.

6. S.O. to 04-08-2021 for final hearing.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.223/2020 (Divya S. Nandi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

None present on behalf of the Applicant.

Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 03.08.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

#### MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.141/2021 (Shri Shubham A. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeking interim relief in terms of prayer clause (b) and (d).

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. Record shows that notices were already issued.

5. In view of the same, in our opinion, it would be just and proper to grant time for filing affidavit-in-repl. Time granted.

6. S.O. to 03.08.2021.

#### MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.176/2021 (Shri Ganesh B. Gambhire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri B.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 4.08.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

#### MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.267/2021 (Shri Akshay V. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 5.08.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

#### MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.26/2020 (Shri Sanjay D. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Yogesh Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant opposes the same stating that already time is granted.

4. In the interest of justice, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

5. S.O. to 05.08.2021.

#### MEMBER (A) ME

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### M.A.NO.277/2020 IN O.A.NO.26/2020 (Shri Sanjay D. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021

# <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.202

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Yogesh Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present Misc. Application.

3. Permission granted to withdraw the present Misc. Application.

4. Accordingly, the Misc. Application No.277/2020 is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

#### MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.488/2013 (Dr. Anil R. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 07.07.2021

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Argument advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicant. During argument he has placed on record the order of this Tribunal dated 17.02.2017 passed in two similar O.A.s and the order of Hon'ble High Court dated 26.04.2019 passed in the relevant Writ Petitions preferred by the Applicant against the order of dismissal of the O.As.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.07.2021 for final hearing.

4. The present case be treated as part-heard.

#### MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.30/2018 (Rohini S. Deokar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.107/2019 (Manjusha E. Kute (Khade) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

**ORAL ORDER** :

#### O.A.NO.30/2018

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

#### O.A.NO.107/2019

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.30/2018 seeks permission to file affidavit-in-rejoinder on record stating that by this rejoinder he wants to place citation on record.

3. In the interest of justice, permission is granted to place citation on record in O.A.No.30/2018.

//2//

O.A.30/18 WITH O.A.107/19

4. S.O. to 30.07.2021 for final hearing.

# MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.76/2018 (Shri Purushottam S. Andhale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri L.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 to 6 and Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Shirsath, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.7 to 9.

2. Learned respective Advocates for the Respondent Nos.3 to 9 at this stage submit that they want to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Perusal of earlier record would show that the present matter was fixed for final hearing and at that stage of the matter nothing was stated on behalf of the learned Advocates for the Respondent Nos.3 to 9 that they want to file reply.

4. In view of the same, it is not stage where the request for filing reply can be considered.

//2// O.A.76/2018

5. In view of above, the present matter is adjourned for hearing on 17.08.2021.

MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.440/2018 (Shivaji D. Sirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri C.S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.

2. S.O. to 18.08.2021 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.476/2018 (Aasha S. Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021

# **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 20.08.2021 for final hearing.

## MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.171/2019 (Dr. Vaishali R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) DATE : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri N.B. Gadegaonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. S.O. to 23.08.2021 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

## O.A.NO.339/2019 WITH O.A.NO.340/2019 (Dr. Kishor S. Ubale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants in both the O.As. and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in both the O.As.

2. S.O. to 24.08.2021 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

**MEMBER (J)** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.702/2019 (Ismail @ Raju Moinoddin Tamoli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) AND Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 07.07.2021

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri J.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 30.07.2021 for final hearing.

## MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

#### M.A. 149/2021 IN O.A. 187/2021 (Padmakar V. Thakur & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants / proposed intervenors in M.A., Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 2 & 3 in M.A. and Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 in M.A. / applicant in O.A.

2. Shri Shelke, learned Advocate has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 1 in M.A. / applicant in O.A. So also, learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 2 & 3 in M.A. Both the replies are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side.

3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.8.2021 for hearing on M.A.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 187/2021 (Ramrao K. Pallewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{CORAM}}$ : 07.07.2021

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for proposed intervenor.

2. Shri Shelke, learned Advocate has filed rejoinder affidavit. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.8.2021. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 619/2019 (Arjun R. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant points out that by the orders dtd. 16.12.2020 as well as 18.2.2021 passed by this Tribunal, the respondents were directed to release the provisional pension of the applicant. However, no steps are taken by the respondents in that regard, till this date.

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time for taking instructions from the concerned respondents on the above line and place on record the status report. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20.7.2021 for taking instructions by the learned C.P.O. on the above line and also placing on record the status report.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 328/2021 (Vijaykumar G. Birajdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{CORAM}}$ : 07.07.2021

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Uday E. Hude, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17.8.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 17.8.2021.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 727/2021 (Pathan M. Ahmed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{CORAM}}$ : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Smt. Mahesh K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On the objection of limitation, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would take necessary steps of filing separate application for condonation of delay caused in filing the present O.A.

3. In view of above, the present O.A. is removed from the board.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 640/2019 (Pradeep M. Kaushike Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{CORAM}{DATE}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) $\frac{DATE}{DATE}$ : 07.07.2021

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 27.7.2021 for hearing on admission.

3. The present case be treated as part heard.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 335/2020 (Arjun N. Pache Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{CORAM}}$ : 07.07.2021

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant,
S.O. to 10.8.2021 for filing rejoinder, if any.

#### **MEMBER (J)**

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 140/2021 (Vishnu M. Misal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 7. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant,
S.O. to 12.8.2021 for filing rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211/2021 (Sandu Y. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 07.07.2021

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of res. no. 5.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.8.2021 for filing reply by res. nos. 1 to 4.

#### MEMBER (J)

# M.A. 67/2020 IN O.A. ST. 90/2020 (Bismillabee J. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# $\frac{CORAM}{DATE}$ : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) $\frac{DATE}{DATE}$ : 07.07.2021

### **ORAL ORDER**:

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 17.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of respondents in M.A.

MEMBER (J)

## Date :07.07.2021 M.A.NO.64/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1234/2020 (Shri Prabhakar Bansidharrao Bush V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> <u>M.A.T., Mumbai</u>

1. Shri A.B. Rajkar, ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, ld. C.P.O. for the respondents, are present.

2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A.No.64/2021, returnable on 03.08.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 03.08.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

#### REGISTRAR

Date :07.07.2021

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.296/2021**

# (Smt. Rekhabai Chunilal Bahiram V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> <u>M.A.T., Mumbai</u>

1. Shri B.K. Patil, ld. Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, ld. P.O. for the respondents, are present.

2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.08.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 10.08.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

#### REGISTRAR

Date : 07.07.2021 O.A. 329/2021 (Somnath A. Gaikwad V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### <u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> <u>M.A.T., Mumbai</u>

1. Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned P.O. for respondents, are present.

2. Circulation is granted. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 2.8.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 2.8.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

#### REGISTRAR