ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 845/2018 (Shri Maharu B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.R. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

- 2. Learned Advocate Shri A.S. Deshmukh, has filed **VAKALATNAM** on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record. He seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 21.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 411/2018 in O.A. No. 261/2017 (Shri Shivram Y. Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Anand S. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 18.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04/2017 (Shri Ramlal B. Brahmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

**DATE** : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 17.01.2019.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 71/2017 (Shri Mahadeo G. Kandalgaonkar and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Both the sides submit that the W.P. No. 2605/2017 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.
- 3. In that view of the matter, S.O. to 28.03.2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460/2017 (Smt. Suvarna B. Ghodke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

**DATE** : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.01.2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139/2018 (Shri Popat D. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

**DATE** : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 17.01.2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.576/2017 (Ulhas Suryavanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Amit Dhongde learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 22-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.764/2017 (Vijaykumar Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Amit Dhongde learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 22-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.916/2018 (Nilesh Tidke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 28-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 28-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.918/2018 (Deepak Khandekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D.Munde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The applicant has filed O.A. to issue direction to the respondent no.2 to decide appeal filed in the year 2016-2017 to regularize the dismissal period for service benefits by filing the present O.A.
- 3. On perusal of record it reveals that the order regarding regularization of disputed period has been issued by the respondent no.3 on 09-02-2009 against which the applicant has preferred the appeal with the respondent no.2 on 26-06-2016 and the said appeal has not been decided yet. Therefore, the applicant has approached this Tribunal.

4. In view of the provisions of S.20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, the applicant has to approach the Tribunal within one year after expiry of 6 months from the date of filing appeal but the application has not been filed within the prescribed period of limitation. Hence, O.A. is barred by limitation and it is dismissed in limine. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.920/2018 (Ravindra Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 28-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 28-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.926/2018 (Kishan Solunke @ Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B.Gastgar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 18-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.929/2018 (Syed Muktar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant intends to file application of condonation of delay as prayer clause (A) is barred by limitation. Therefore, he sought time to file application for condonation of delay. Time is granted. Till then case be removed from the board.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.434/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1676/2018 M.A.NO.435/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1678/2018 M.A.NO.436/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1680/2018 AND M.A.NO.437/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1682/2018

(Smt. Nargis Tajammum & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicants is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar & S/Shri V.R.Bhumkar, M.P.Gude & N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective cases.

- 2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, case be placed for passing appropriate order on 11-01-2019.
- 3. S.O. to 11-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.480/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1939/2018 (Paraji Jorule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D.Munde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 29-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 29-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.481/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1850/2018 (Himmatrao Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Vinod Patil learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. M.S.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, case be placed for passing appropriate order on 11-01-2019.
- 3. S.O. to 11-01-2019.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.485/2018 IN O.A.St.No.2011/2018 (Deorao Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.P.Jadahv learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 28-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 28-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1757/2018 (Gajanan Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D.Aghav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 29-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 29-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.580/2017 (Subhmangal Bhakt V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri M.B.Kolpe learned Advocate for the applicant is absent. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, case be placed for passing appropriate order on 10-01-2019.
- 3. S.O. to 10-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.706/2017 (Sadashiv Naik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri B.M.Dhanure learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. None is present for the applicant. Learned P.O. has produced communication dated 19-11-2018 issued to him by RDC Jalgaon along with documents. Same is taken on record.
- 3. On perusal of documents on record, particularly, communication dated 03-07-2018 received to the office of CPO from Tahsildar (Revenue), Collector Office, Jalgaon and communication dated 19-11-2018 received to the office of learned CPO from R.D.C. Jalgaon, it reveals that all the pensionary benefits including regular pension have been paid to the applicant and no pensionary benefits remains to be paid to the applicant.
- 4. In view of the above, purpose of filing the O.A. is served and nothing survies in the O.A. Hence, O.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.151/2018 (Rajendra Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B.Pawar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. to 17-01-2019.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.335/2018 (Suresh Jumani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.616/2018 (Govind Kokane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Asif Ali learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N.Ansari learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondent no.1, returnable on 22-01-2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 22-01-2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.758/2018 (Dr. Prashant Kharate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned CPO files copies of communication dated 23-10-2018 & 04-12-2018. Those are taken on record.
- 3. On perusal of the short affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no.2, it reveals that the respondent no.2 has directed the respondent no.3 to make temporary transfer of the applicant and accordingly respondent no.3 has issued the impugned order. In view of the provisions of S.6 of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 ("Transfer Act" for short) the competent transferring authority to transfer the applicant is

Minister in charge as the applicant is Medical Officer Group-A.

- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned CPO have admitted the fact that the Minister in charge is the competent transferring authority for transfer of Group-A Medical Officer. On perusal of record, it reveals that the impugned transfer order has not been made by the competent transferring authority i.e. the Hon'ble Minister. There is nothing on record to show that the matter was placed before the Civil Services Board also.
- 5. On going through the affidavit in reply of the respondent no.2 it reveals that the respondent no.2 Director, Health Services decided to transfer the applicant and directed respondent no.3 to issue necessary order. Competency of the respondent no.2 to make transfer of the Medical Officer Group-A is in question. Therefore, the respondent no.2 Dr. Sanjeev Kamble is directed to file affidavit stating as to whether

he has powers to make transfer of the Medical Officer and whether he is the competent transferring authority as provided u/s.6 of the Transfer Act. He has to state on oath as the competent transferring authority delegated powers regarding transfer of Medical Officer to him as provided under s.6 of Transfer Act.

- 6. In view of above, learned CPO sought time to file affidavit in reply of respondent no.2 in that regard and also to make submission in this regard.
- 7. S.O. to 14-12-2018.
- 8. Steno copy is granted to the learned CPO to communicate the concerned.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512 OF 2015

[Shri Gana L. Pawara Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 07.12.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

- 1. Shri Mahesh S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. Since none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 03.01.2019 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 07.12.2018-HDD

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2014

[Vidya Tukaram Sakhare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.12.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri R.L. Kute, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for filing written notes of arguments, as well as, for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 07.12.2018-HDD

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556 OF 2014

[Shri Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.12.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 02.01.2019 for filing sur-rejoinder, as well as, for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 07.12.2018-HDD

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 882 OF 2016

[Shri Pradeep M. Koushike Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.12.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day second set of the present case would be filed.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 07.12.2018-HDD

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2017

[Shri Kundan V. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.12.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day second set of the present case would be filed.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 07.12.2018-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 910/2018
(Shri Dr. Naser Ahmed Razvi s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

: 7.12.2018

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

### **ORAL ORDER:**

DATE

Heard Shri Mukund R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 21.1.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 910/2018

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 21.1.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 268, 269, 270 AND 271/2018 (Shri Vishal W. Machave s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Shri Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants submits that rejoinder of the applicants is not required in the present matters. In the circumstances, place these matters for hearing to 9.1.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484/2018 (Shri Rama L. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicant, submits that instead of passing any decision on merit in the present matter, the present applicant would be satisfied, if the concerned respondents are directed to take the steps for considering the applicant for promotion as per law, rules, regulations and any other legal directions in this regard, in the next meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee as in para no. 6 of the affidavit in reply of res. no. 1 (pages 36 & 37), it was averred that, in fact, the meeting of the D.P.C. was to be held on 27.8.2018, in which the case of the present applicant was to be considered, though it came to be postponed for some administrative reasons.
- 3. In the circumstances, the present original application is disposed of without any order as to costs with a direction to the res. no. 1 the Deputy Director

# ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 484/2018

of Education, Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad - to consider the case of the present applicant for promotion, subject to any law, rules or regulation, in the next meeting of the D.P.C., which should be held as early as possible and in any case within a period of 4 months from the date of this order.

4. Steno copy / Hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 358/2014 IN O.A. ST. 1184/2014 (Shri Dr. Gangadhar D. Chate s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.V. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 (absent).

- 2. The order dtd. 5.6.2018 and thereafter passed from time to time would show that the Tribunal is pressing hard for taking early decision in the matter as the concerned respondents submitted that they would take the decision. However, despite imposing costs on 2 times, no decision is taken by the concerned respondents and today also the learned P.O. is seeking time therefor. It therefore, appears that the res. nos. 1 to 3 are not interested in taking early decision and merely shirking the issue in between them.
- 3. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O., as a last chance S. O. to 11.12.2018 with a forewarning that in case no responsible Officer would remain present before the Tribunal with a decision, the

# ::-2-:: M.A. 358/2014 IN O.A. ST. 1184/2014

Tribunal would be constrained to issue warrant for remaining present of the concerned respondent with a explanation for non compliance of the earlier orders of the Tribunal.

4. Steno copy / Hamdast allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32/2018 (Shri Shahadeo S. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (leave note). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.1.2019 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138/2018 (Shri Tushar B. Chikhale s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.12.2018

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri H.K. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

In view of absence of learned Advocate for the 2. applicant, S.O. to 29.1.2019 for hearing.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 608/2018 (Shri Narendra S. Suryawanshi & Anr. s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 7.12.2018 DATE

### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicants. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 3.1.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 695/2018 (Shri Prakash B. Phule s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 6.1.2019 2. for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 741/2018 (Shri Prakash H. Khaparde s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. files on record a communication received to him from the concerned respondent dtd. 6.12.2018. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. appears from the said communication that the review of the decision taken earlier is under process. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.12.2018 either reporting the decision taken by the concerned respondents or for passing necessary orders.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned C.P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 449/2018 IN C.P. ST. 1276/2018 IN O.A. 793/1996 (Shri Chokhoba S. Kharat s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

MAMGIANO GONA NICOLO WAS GONDIN . MAGO

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned P.O. for res. nos. 1 & 2 and learned Advocate for res. no. 3 seek time to file affidavit in reply of the respective respondents. At their request, S.O. to 10.1.2019 for filing replies of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 917/2018 (Shri Sopan S. Jadhav s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.P. Gunge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 15.1.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 917/2018

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 15.1.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209/2004
(Shri Shaikh Abdul Khaja Miyan s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTOR M T LOCHE MICE CHAIDMAN

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in view of 2 decisions of Hon'ble High Court, the present applicant has approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Hon'ble Supreme Court though dismissed the special leave petition, an application for clarification of order is filed by the applicant and it is still pending and it may take its own time.
- 3. In the circumstances, the present matter is removed from the board with a liberty to both the sides to move this Tribunal for final hearing as and when the application for clarification is decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 918/2010 (Smt. Gayabai G. Pokale s/o Syed Zahiruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Presenting Officer Bhumkar, learned for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, is present. None appears for other respondents.

2. Report of the registry would show that the notice of res. no. 4 sent on his available address is returned un-served. In the circumstances, service of res. no. 4 is complete. The respondent nos. 5 & 6 are already In the circumstances, the present O.A. be served. placed for final hearing on 8.1.2019.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 507/2015 (Shri Amol S. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicant, submits that the applicant wishes to withdraw the present original application.
- 3. In the circumstances, the present original application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 490/2015 (Smt. Vaishnavi D. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Smt. Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.1.2019 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499/2015 (Shri (Dr.) Maroti D. Dake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.12.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Smt. Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.1.2019 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 436/2017 (Smt. Shreya B. Mamode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) ·-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 7.12.2018 DATE

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.1.2019 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN**