

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732/2017
(Shri Mohd. Akbar Javeed Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. At his request S.O. to 13.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407/2017
(Shri Nilesh J. Wakode Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply would be filed.

3. S.O. to 11.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 344/2017
(Shri Farida Usman Aattar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the corrective steps are being contemplated.

3. Therefore, at his request S.O. to 7.12.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 260/2017
(Shri Bhagwat D. Bedke Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236/2017
(Smt. Yamuna L. Bhosale & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921/2016
(Shri Sanjay T. Mali Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer as well as learned Officer submits that in fact the delay in filing affidavit in reply is caused only due to misplacement of file in the office of Presenting Officer. In the circumstances, earlier order regarding payment of Costs is hereby revoked.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. S.O. to 05.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION St. NO. 1462/2017
(Shri Anant R. Gawali Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It appears that the objections are not removed since 29.09.2017, though the learned Registrar had adjourned the hearing on the objection from time to time.

3. In the circumstances, as a last chance S.O. to 07.12.2017, with a caveat that in case nobody is appearing on the next date, necessary order may be passed.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 406/2017 in O.A. St. No. 861/2017
(Shri Ajit H. Aagle Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri N.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Nobody appears on the last date also. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. 437/16 in O.A. St. 1869/16, M.A. 438/16 in O.A. St. 1867/16, M.A. 440/16 in O.A. St. 1873/16 & M.A. 456/16 in O.A. St. 2031/16
(Shri Tejrao P. Wagh Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/Shri N.U. Yadav, S.K. Shirse & M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Since the applicants are pensioners, who are already superannuated from service. For the reasons stated in the Misc. Applications, the delay cause in filing O.As. is hereby condoned. Hence, the M.A. Nos. 437,438, 440 & 456 all of 2016 are disposed of without any order as to costs. Office to register the O.As. after due scrutiny.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. ST. NOS. 1869, 1867, 1873 & 2031 ALL OF 2016
Shri Tejrao P. Wagh Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 06.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/Shri N.U. Yadav, S.K. Shirse & M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11th December, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. The respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before the next date.

8. S.O. to 11th December, 2017.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 884/2016
(Shri Lahu V. Gajdhane Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present applicant is seeking refund of recovered amount of Rs. 1,72,127/- from his retirement gratuity by the respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

3. The affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2 and more particularly paragraph No. 5 thereof would show that as there was certain mistake in pay fixation at the time of revision of pay, excess amount of Rs. 1,72,127/- during the service period was paid to the applicant and therefore, when at the time of granting of retiral benefits to the applicant this came to be noticed and

//2//

O.A. No. 884/2016

therefore, said recovery is directed from the gratuity amount of the applicant.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has relied on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **State of Punjab and others etc. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc in Civil Appeal No. 11527 of 2014 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 11684 of 2012)**.

5. It is to be noted that the excess payment was made to the applicant by the respondents, upon their own calculations. Thus it is not the case of the respondents that the applicant made any misrepresentation and therefore, the recovery of the said amount now from the retirement benefit would not be just and proper. In the circumstance, following order:-

ORDER

1. Original Application is allowed without any order as to costs.
2. The respondents are directed to refund the amount of Rs. 1,72,127/- within a period of six months from the date this order, failing which

erring officer would be liable to pay interest @ 12% p.a. from date of that order till the date of the refund of the amount.

3. Steno copy and authenticated copy allowed for the use of learned Presenting Officer.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 433/2017
(Shri Baswantsing D. Rajput Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present applicant is seeking refund of recovered amount of Rs. 1,10,868/- from his retirement gratuity by the respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. The affidavit in reply of respondent No. 3 and more particularly paragraph Nos. 5 & 6 thereof would show that as there was certain mistake in pay fixation at the time of revision of pay, excess amount of Rs. 1,10,868/- during the service period was paid to the applicant and therefore, when at the time of granting of retiral benefits to the applicant this came to be noticed and therefore, said recovery is directed from the gratuity amount of the applicant.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has relied on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **State of Punjab and others etc. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc in Civil Appeal No. 11527 of 2014 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 11684 of 2012)**.

5. It is to be noted that the excess payment was made to the applicant by the respondents, upon their own calculations. Thus it is not the case of the respondents that the applicant made any misrepresentation and therefore, the recovery of the said amount now from the retirement benefit would not be just and proper. In the circumstance, following order:-

ORDER

1. Original Application is allowed without any order as to costs.
2. The respondents are directed to refund the amount of Rs. 1,10,868/- within a period of six months from the date this order, failing which

//3//

O.A. No. 433/2017

erring officer would be liable to pay interest @ 12% p.a. from date of that order till the date of the refund of the amount.

3. Steno copy and authenticated copy allowed for the use of learned Presenting Officer.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285/2016
(Shri Devidas V. Salgarkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. The present applicant is seeking refund of recovered amount of Rs. 1,20,668/- from his retirement gratuity by the respondent No. 3.

3. The affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2 and more particularly paragraph No. 4 thereof would show that as there was certain mistake in pay fixation at the time of revision of pay, excess amount of Rs. 1,20,668/- during the service period was paid to the applicant and therefore, when at the time of granting of retiral benefits to the applicant this came to be noticed and therefore, said recovery is directed from the gratuity amount of the applicant.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has relied on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **State of Punjab and others etc. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc in Civil Appeal No. 11527 of 2014 (Arising out of SLP (C) No. 11684 of 2012)**.

5. It is to be noted that the excess payment was made to the applicant by the respondents, upon their own calculations. Thus it is not the case of the respondents that the applicant made any misrepresentation and therefore, the recovery of the said amount now from the retirement benefit would not be just and proper. In the circumstance, following order:-

ORDER

1. Original Application is allowed without any order as to costs.
2. The respondents are directed to refund the amount of Rs. 1,20,668/- within a period of six months from the date this order, failing which

//3//

O.A. No. 285/2016

erring officer would be liable to pay interest @ 12% p.a. from date of that order till the date of the refund of the amount.

3. Steno copy and authenticated copy allowed for the use of learned Presenting Officer.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017-kpb

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.32/2017 IN O.A.St.No.883/2016
(Dwarkabai Ramteke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar
learned Advocate for the applicant and
Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for
respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the
applicant, S.O. to 14-12-2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.192/2017 IN O.A.No.792/2015
(Dr. Chetan Shingane & Dr. Prasad Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vishnu Dhoble learned Advocate for the original applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the misc. applicant (Intervener) and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. None is present for the original applicant.

Upon hearing learned Advocate for the misc. applicant (Intervener) and learned P.O., it appears that the present misc. applicant/intervener is adversely affected by the interim order passed by the Tribunal, therefore, misc. applicant is allowed to intervene. M.A. for intervention is allowed and disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the original applicant though intervention is allowed, issue of vacating interim relief granted earlier, is kept open and the said issue be heard independently on 29th November, 2017.

4. Hence, S.O. to 29th November, 2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.417/2017 IN O.A.St.No.618/2017
(Shobha Khade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant does not wish to continue with the present M.A. as well as the O.A. However, liberty to take necessary action according to law including approaching appropriate forum be granted.

3. Hence, M.A. as well as O.A. are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to approach appropriate forum including the Tribunal and take recourse as may be available as per law.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.630/2016
(Mangal Barase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ashis Rajkar/M.S.Taur learned Advocate/s for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Record would show that on 25th July, 2017, the applicant and his counsel were absent. On the next date, adjournment for hearing was sought by the learned Advocate holding for the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 06-12-2017 either for hearing or for passing necessary order/s in the matter.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.400/2017
(Vrushali Doiphode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

Shri Yogesh Dhoble learned Advocate for the respondent no.4 and Shri N.P.Dube learned Advocate for respondent no.5 are **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. tomorrow i.e. on 08-11-2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-11-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA No. 361/2017.
(Ratnamal C. Gangul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S. S. Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both sides it appears that, the only grievance of the applicant is that he was not called for participating in the selection process. In the circumstances, instead of filing a regular affidavit the concerned respondent is directed to file short affidavit explaining the reasons as to why the present applicant was not asked to participate in the selection process at all.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2017 for filing short affidavit on the above guide lines.

4. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

OA No.544/2017.

(Shri V. B. Nilawad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to file true copies of the decisions along with the documents referred in the said decisions, which according to him are on the same issue. Liberty granted.

3. S.O. to 5.12.2017 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

OA No.702/2017.

(Shri S. A. Bachkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R. E. Pathade learned Advocate holding for Shri D. A. Bide learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to comply with the order dated 28.09.2017 where under the documents regarding the attendance was sought. At his request, S.O. to 07.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.446/12 IN CP ST.1507/12 IN OA Nos. 239/98 & 11/03.
(Shri P. A. Landge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon reading of the reply of respondent no.1 (page 15, para 4) the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, he will have to take instructions regarding filing rejoinder, in view of the above alleged facts pleaded in the said paragraph. Liberty to file rejoinder is hereby granted.

3. S.O. to 5.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA NO. 455/17 IN MA 426/16 IN OA St.1824/16.
(Shri K. B. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D. R. Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. When queries on merit were put to both sides, both sides sought time to satisfy the queries. Hence, at the request of both sides, S.O. to 4.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.176/17 IN OA No. 154/17.
(Naseem Banu Nazir Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None present for the applicant. Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file copy of the Resolution of the Waqf Board vide order dated 31.8.2017. At his request, time is granted till 7.12.2017 for filing documents as directed above.

3. S.O. to 7.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA ST. No.1592/17 in OA No.622/15.
(Shri R. K. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V. B. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the copy of the order would be filed.

3. Upon reading of the papers of the O.A. it appears that, the applicant was required to satisfy the query raised by this Tribunal as to how the application can be proceeded. Thereafter, the learned Advocate for the applicant remained absent on some dates and as such the application came to be dismissed in default.

4. S.O. to 12.12.2017 for filing of the order and also to satisfy the query that was raised in the O.A.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA No. 478/2014.
(Shri Amarjeet N. Satwase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None present for the applicant. Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant was required to satisfy the query regarding the appointments already made during pendency of the present application as no interim relief was granted.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate of the applicant S.O. to 7.12.2017 for satisfying the above query.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD.**

- 1) MA No.389/17 in CP St.No.1274/17 in OA 25/16.
- 2) MA No.390/17 in CP St.No.1276/17 in OA 26/16.
- 3) MA No.391/17 in CP St.No.1278/17 in OA 23/16.
- 4) MA No.397/17 in CP St.No.1338/17 in OA 292/16.
- 5) MA No.398/17 in CP St.No.1340/17 in OA 295/16.
- 6) MA No.399/17 in CP St.No.1342/17 in OA 294/16.
- 7) MA No.400/17 in CP St.No.1344/17 in OA 290/16.
- 8) MA No.401/17 in CP St.No.1347/17 in OA 288/16.
- 9) MA No.402/17 in CP St.No.1349/17 in OA 298/16.
- 10) MA No.403/17 in CP St.No.1351/17 in OA 299/16.
- 11) MA No.404/17 in CP St.No.1353/17 in OA 287/16.

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters and Shri I. S. Thorat, Smt. D. S. Deshpande, Shri D. R. Patil, Shri V. R. Bhumkar, Smt. D. S. Deshpande, Shri N. U. Yadav, Shri M. P. Gude, Shri I. S. Thorat, Smt. D. S. Deshpande and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officers for respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned P.O. files affidavit in replies of respondent no.2 in MA Nos. 389/17, 390/17, 391/17,397/17, 398/17, 402/17 & 404/17. The same are accepted. Copies are served on the other side. They seek time to file replies in other cases.

3. It is to be noted that, these applications are merely for permission to proceed against the respondents in contempt for non-compliance of the earlier order. In the circumstances, as a last chance time granted to all the respondents to comply the order, failing which directly permission to proceed with the contempt would be granted.

4. S.O. to 14.12.2017 for compliance.

5. The learned Presenting officers are directed to act on the steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA No. 397/2017.
(Shri J. V. Ghasing V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, during the course of the day reply would be filed. It be accepted. Copy be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

OA No.711/2017.

(Mahadabai G. Dhulekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V. P. Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 3. None present for respondent no.4 though he was duly served.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, S.O. to 14.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.239/2017 IN OA No.906/16.
(Dr. A. R. Ladwanjari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri T. R. Daware learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A. At his request, S.O. to 4.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M. A. No. 254/16 in OA St.No.558/16.
(Shri R. B. Kandke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None present for the applicant. Shri D. R. Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 & 2 and Shri G. N. Patil learned Advocate for the Respondent no.3.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in the O.A. Time to file reply in O.A. is granted.

3. S.O. to 14.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

OA No.237/2013.

(Kaveri V. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P. G. Gunale learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I. S. Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 4 and Shri P. A. Harshe, learned Advocate for the respondent no.5. None appears for respondent no.6 though duly served.

2. Upon hearing both sides, it appears that, decision on merit would be required. Hence remove from the Board. Place the matter before the Division Bench as and when it would be available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

OA No.258/2015.

(Smt Lata S. Tuptewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S. B. Mene learned Advocate holding
for Shri A. S. Deshpande for the applicant and
Smt R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer
for respondents.

2. It appears that, decision on merit would be
required. Hence remove from the Board. Place
the matter before the Division Bench as and
when it would be available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 07-11-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 526/2017
(Smt. Meghmala Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At her request S.O. to 13.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 210/2017
(Ramesh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ramesh Pimple, party in person and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Pimple - party in person - submits that after retirement of his father from military services he was again reemployed. As regards query put by the Tribunal as to whether his father's service was military service, party in person submits that he will search for the necessary documents regarding posting of his father and any other information and will file the same on record on the next date. At his request, S.O. to 13.12.2017 for satisfying the Tribunal regarding above query, in furtherance to earlier query.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. NO. 781/2017

(Bhagwan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 13.12.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.12.2017.

8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

MA 269/2017 IN O.A. ST. NO. 950/2017

(Dr. Prasad & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicants. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. Today, Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for res. nos. 6 & 7 in the matter. It is taken on record.

3. The record would show that none is appearing for the applicants since long to satisfy the query raised by the Tribunal. On the last date i.e. on 11.10.2017 it was made clear that the matter is posted today i.e. 7.11.2017 for hearing or for passing necessary order of dismissal in default of O.A., still none is appearing for the applicants.

4. In the circumstances, M.A. for sue jointly & O.A. st. no. 950/2017 both stand dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 444/2017 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1505/2017
(Manjusha & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. SHIRSE, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicants for permission to sue jointly the accompanying O.A.

3. Heard both sides to find out whether there is any prima-facie case in the O.A.

4. While the contention of the applicants is that quota of reservation is not properly maintained in the advertisement dtd. 14.6.2017 (Annex. A.4 page 23 of the O.A.), upon reading of the said advertisement, it was found that the said advertisement was issued by the concerned respondents for filling in the backlog. Not only this, but the learned P.O. submits that even

::-2-::
M.A. NO. 444/2017 IN
O.A. ST. NO. 1505/2017

the screening test and oral examinations are also completed.

5. In the circumstances, challenge to the advertisement in view of the fact that it is an advertisement for filling in the backlog, there is no merit in the O.A. Hence, the present M.A. for sue jointly the O.A. as well as the O.A. st. no. 1505/2017 are rejected without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 81/2013
(Anand Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Bhavana Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 5.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 421/2015
(Saiyed Gaus Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In response to the query of the Tribunal dtd. 14.9.2017, the learned Advocate for the applicant files on record the necessary Circulars, Rules and other documents as per the list enclosed. The same are taken on record and marked as document 'X collectively' and copies thereof are supplied to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. An arguable case is made out hence Admit.

4. The O.A. be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing whenever it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. 7/2016

(Arun Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 6.12.2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. 65/2016

(Arvind Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 6.12.2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 567/2016 WITH MA 39/2017
(Vijay Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4,5 & 8. None appears for respondent nos. 6 & 7.

2. The learned P.O. files on record additional affidavit in reply of res. no. 2 in view of orders dated 23.3.2017 and 25.9.2017. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon other side.

3. The learned P.O. submits that the grievance of the applicant is redressed by the concerned respondents. As against the statement of learned P.O. regarding redressal of grievance of the applicant, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that there is no redressal of grievance of the applicant.

The learned P.O. submits that the cost as directed by the Tribunal earlier will be deposited during the course of the day and necessary documents of redressal of grievance of the applicant would be filed on the next date. He is permitted to do so. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.12.2017 for hearing, with liberty to the learned P.O. to file on record the documents, if any, showing that the grievance of the applicant is redressed by the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

O.A. 85/2016
(Nikita Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.12.2017 to enable him to satisfy the Tribunal regarding query raised vide order dtd. 3.10.2017. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. 117/2016

(Changdev Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. An arguable case is made out. In the circumstances, the O.A. is hereby admitted. It be placed for final hearing before the Division Bench, whenever it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

O.A. 200/2016
(Nitin & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicants, submits that the applicants does not wish to press for the O.A. and they may be permitted to withdraw the same.

3. In the circumstances, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 604/2016
(Annarao & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 858/2016
(Sunil & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicants has not filed the short notes of arguments as per order dated 6.11.2017. He submits that he will file written notes of arguments on tomorrow i.e. on 8.11.2017 positively. In the circumstances S.O. to 9.11.2017 for passing orders.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O.A. 117/2015

(Ganendrasingh & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 07.11.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicants submitted that the connected O.A. is already listed on 10.11.2017. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.11.2017 along with connected O.A.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 07.11.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 670, 671, 672, 675, 679, 680, 681, 682, 659, 674, 695, 683
700 AND 762 ALL OF 2017**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 04.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard S/shri A.R. Tapse, R.D. Khadap holding for S.S. Thombre, M.L. Muthal & Prasad Jadhavar, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and S/shri I.S. Thorat, D.R. Patil, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh & Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned P.O. has filed reply of res. nos. 2 & 3 in O.A. no. 700/2017. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon Shri Muthal, learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The respective P.Os. seek short accommodation. In the circumstances, at their request, S.O. to 15.11.2017. The interim relief granted earlier in the respective matters to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.