

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 27/2019 IN O.A. 260/2017**  
**(Shri Bhagwat D. Bedke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.9.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 20/2021 IN O.A. 200/2016**  
**(Shaikh Rahim Shaikh Chand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.9.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the respondents have not granted pay scale applicable to next higher post in hierarchy of promotion of the applicant from the post of Driver. In response to a query whether the post of Driver is a Single Post Cadre (एकाकी पद) and if so whether as per relevant GR of 5.7.2010 is applicable in this matter, the learned counsel has submitted a copy of citation, which is taken on record and copy thereof is supplied to the other side.

3. S.O. to 29.9.2022 for further consideration.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 358/2015 IN O.A. 238/2015**  
**(Shri Dinesh S. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.J. Godbole, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 2.11.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 100/2020 IN O.A. 236/2018**  
**(Shri Krushnalal R. Shirshi Gule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.9.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 159, 341 BOTH OF 2010 AND O.A. NO. 424/2015**  
**(Shri Sudhakar R. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.9.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for respondent no. 10, Shri S.G. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent no. 9, Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned counsel for respondent no. 11, Shri S.T. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent no. 13, Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned counsel for respondent no. 14, Shri U.B. Bondar, learned counsel for respondent no. 7, Shri S.S. Dambe, learned counsel for respondent no. 8 in O.A. No. 424/2015, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.9.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 636/2015**  
**(Dr. Mukaram Ahmed Khan Gulak Gauskhan V/s. State of Maharashtra &**  
**Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 689/2015**  
**(Dr. Shrikant B. Tambe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.9.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri P.R. Patil, learned counsel for respondent no. 5 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for respondent no 6, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510/2016**  
**(Shri Vijaykumar H. Gade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.U. Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.S. Shaikh, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 124/2017**  
**(Shri Govind B. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned counsel has tendered across the bar the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152/2017**  
**(Shri Hitendra J. Chatre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177/2017**  
**(Shri Anand V. Ganjewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724/2019**  
**(Shri Kailas I. Khanagale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned PO, as a last chance, S.O. to 13.10.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 367/2021**  
**(Shri Ganpat M. Khokale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. It is submitted by the learned PO that in the present matter learned CPO is appearing, however, he is required to leave the Court on account of sad demise of his close relative.

3. In view of above, S.O. to 14.9.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 759/2021**  
**(Smt. Ashwini A. Wavhal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. It is submitted by the learned PO that in the present matter learned CPO is appearing, however, he is required to leave the Court on account of sad demise of his close relative.

3. In view of above, S.O. to 14.9.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 779/2021**  
**(Shri Datta A. Tumram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kiran S. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.10.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 355/2020**  
**(Smt. Chandana R. Kokani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.9.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments are heard. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.9-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681/2019**  
**(Shri Damodhar G. Thengde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.9.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. While commencing his arguments the learned counsel submitted that the applicant is not pressing the relief claimed in prayer clause (A) and is claiming the relief of grant of time scale promotion on the principles of parity.

3. In the present O.A. it is the grievance of the applicant that the respondents have wrongly denied him the benefit of time scale promotion. The applicant has alleged that except the applicant, similarly situated all others have been given the said benefit. The applicant has alleged that the respondents have thus given him a discriminatory treatment.

4. The respondents have opposed the contentions raised in the application. According to the respondents, the time scale promotion has been rightly refused to the applicant since he did not fulfill the criteria and more particularly the period of 12 years service for becoming entitled to get the time scale promotion.

5. We have considered the submissions advanced by the parties and have also perused the documents filed on record. Insofar as the employment of the applicant with the respondents is concerned, there is a checkered history. The applicant was initially appointed as Ropwan Kotwal way back in the year 1986 and w.e.f. 31.12.1986 his services were terminated. Against the said order the applicant preferred writ petition No. 205/1987 before the Hon'ble High Court and secured the interim relief and continued in service on the strength of the said interim relief. He continued to work with the respondents till 27.11.1997. His services were again terminated and the said order of termination was challenged by the applicant before this Tribunal. By virtue of interim relief his services were continued. The applicant's services were consequently regularized as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the applicant was absorbed vide reappointment order issued in the year 2005.

6. In such premise of the fact it is the contention of the applicant that he is in continuous employment of the respondents. It is the further grievance of the applicant that the respondents have granted the benefit of time scale promotion to the similarly situated employees and have refused the said benefit to the applicant. From the documents filed on record there appears substance in the allegation raised by the applicant. We may refer to the case of one such employee namely Shri A.R. Charande. From the documents on record it is quite evident that the case of said Shri Charande and the present applicant is quite similar. Both were appointed initially as Ropwan Kotwal and were subsequently regularized under the orders of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court. Said Shri Charande has been granted the benefit of time scale promotion as is evident from the documents filed on record. The respondents have thus given a discriminatory treatment to the present applicant.

7. The contention of the respondents that from the date of absorption the applicant had not completed 12 years continuous service also cannot be accepted. In the order dated 22.7.1997 whereby the applicant and the similarly situated Ropwan Kotwals were regularized, though the applicants are not made eligible to get the pay and allowances of the period during which they did not actually work, the said period is directed be treated as service period for the purpose of other service benefits. As such, the entire service period has to be considered for granting time scale promotion to the applicant. The said criteria seems to have been applied by the respondents in the case of Shri A.R. Charande and few others. The applicant needs to be given the same treatment by the respondents on the principle of parity. The present Original Application deserves to be allowed and is accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 327/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1212/2019**  
**(Dr. Suryakant G. Nageshettiwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.9.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present application is filed seeking condonation of delay, which has occurred in filing the accompanying Original Application. The delay caused is stated to be of more than 800 days. The reasons are explained by the applicant elaborately in the application. It is contended by the learned counsel that because of ailment suffered by the applicant himself he could not approach the Tribunal within the stipulated period. It is further contended by the learned counsel that the delay which has been caused is for bona fide reasons and unintentional.

3. The application has been opposed by the learned PO. It is contended that the delay has not been appropriately explained and reasons which are assigned are insufficient to condone such huge delay.

4. We have considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned

PO appearing for the respondents. The OA has been filed by the applicant with the following prayers :-

*“A. This Original Application may kindly be allowed thereby quashing & setting aside the impugned letter dtd. 22.3.2016 (Annex. A-13) issued by Resp. No. 1 rejecting applicant’s request for condonation of technical breaks in his ad-hoc/ temporary service.*

*B. This Original Application may kindly be allowed thereby directing the Resp. No. 1 to condone technical breaks of four days in the ad-hoc/temporary service rendered by the applicant during the period 18.2.1982 to 16.12.1994.*

*C. The Original Application may kindly be allowed thereby further directing the Respondents to extend all consequential service benefits s.a. pay fixation, benefits of ACPS, re-fixation of pension and pensionary benefits with consequential arrears thereof, etc. to the applicant to which he would become entitled in view of grant of Prayer Clauses A and B in his favour.*

*D. Costs of this Original Application be awarded to the applicant.*

*E. Any other appropriate relief as may be deemed fit by this Hon’ble tribunal may kindly be granted.”*

5. The applicant has prayed in the OA for condonation of technical breaks so that he may complete the period of qualifying service and may be entitled for getting the pension. As has been submitted in the OA the applicant did serve with the respondents for the period between 18.2.1982 to 16.12.1994. It is the contention of the applicant that prior to getting regular appointment he had worked on the same post temporarily and every time technical breaks of one or two days were given. It is the contention of the applicant that, if the said breaks are condoned he will qualify for receiving the pension.

6. In the application for condonation of delay reasons are explained by the applicant in para nos. 4, 5, 6 & 7 thereof and certain documents are also placed on record. The delay is definitely of a longer period, however, after having considered the reasons as are explained and the documents filed on record it appears to us that the delay has been sufficiently explained by the applicant. It further appears that it is difficult to attribute any mala fide on the part of the applicant for approaching this Tribunal for claiming relief as claimed in O.A. belatedly. We need not to mention the judgments which are relied upon by the learned counsel. Law is well settled that while condoning delay the Courts and Tribunals are expected to be liberal and if just cause is made out the delay is to be condoned. Hence the following order :-

**O R D E R**

1. The Misc. Application is allowed.
2. Delay condoned subject to costs of Rs. 2,000/-.
3. On depositing the costs the O.A. be registered in accordance with law.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. ST. 1212/2019**  
**(Dr. Suryakant G. Nageshettiwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.9.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shritej Surve, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. After registration of O.A., issue notice to respondents, returnable on 20.10.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 20.10.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.870/2019**  
**(Dr. Deorao S. Dakhure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. We have heard the present O.A. at length. The principal objection as has been raised on behalf of the applicant is that no enquiry was liable to be conducted against the applicant for the misconduct allegedly of the period prior to 4 years of serving the chargesheet upon the applicant. The applicant retired on 31-10-2011 and the chargesheet was served upon the applicant on 15-01-2014. According to the learned Counsel for the applicant misconduct allegedly of the period prior to 4 years of the said date cannot be looked into and enquired. According to the learned Counsel anything happened prior to 14-01-2010 cannot be the subject matter of the enquiry in view of the specific provision under the Maharashtra Civil



Service (Pension) Rules, 1982, more particularly, Rule 27(2)(b) of the said rules.

3. From the record, it appears that charges which are levelled against the applicant are of the period starting from 14-02-2002 till 31-10-2011. In so far as the period up to 14-01-2010 is concerned, for the time being, we may exclude the said period. If the report of the enquiry officer is perused, merely on reading the report, it is difficult to gather as to whether any purchases of medicines were made on higher rates by the delinquent during 14-01-2010 till 31-10-2011 since date-wise breakup is not given in the enquiry report.

4. We, therefore, direct the learned P.O. to place on record particulars of the purchases made or transactions done during the period between 14-01-2010 to 31-10-2011 which according to the respondents are objectionable transactions and were done at the instance of the applicant and cognizance of which taken by the Enquiry Officer in his enquiry report.

5. S.O. to 22-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.325/2019**  
**(Bapusaheb Chavre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.L.Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri U.S.Mote, learned Counsel for respondent nos.3 & 7, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has sought time for arguing the matter stating that after remand from the Hon'ble High Court, today the matter is being heard by the Tribunal. Learned P.O. submits that documents are not available. Learned Counsel for the applicant undertakes to serve complete set of documents to the learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 21-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.232/2019**  
**(Maruti Kamble & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 15-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.558/2019 & 559/2019**  
**(Udalsing Bohara & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.L.Kanade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel seeks 2 weeks' time to file on record copy of corrigendum dated 09-12-2016 on record.

3. S.O. to 20-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.278/2017**  
**(Maharashtra State Vaidyakiya Mahavidyala & Rugnalaya Karmachari**  
**Sanghatana, Abad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Golegaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 20-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.279/2017**  
**(Rekha Bonalawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities & Shri A.D.Aghav, learned Counsel for respondent nos.4 & 5, are present.

2. S.O. to 20-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.321/2017**  
**(Manisha Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.A.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.245/2019**  
**(Subhadra Mahuwale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.V.Tungar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.715/2019**  
**(Sushma Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S.Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.54/2020**  
**(Bharatlal Dhupe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kishor D. Khade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.223/2020**  
**(Divya Nandi & 115 Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G.Tambde, learned Counsel holding for  
Shri S.S.Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the applicant  
and Smt. M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-09-2022. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO.09/2021 IN O.A.NO.70/2018**  
**(Dadabhau Parte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-09-2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.32/2018**  
**(Shahadeo Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387/2018**  
**(Pramod Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, and Shri R.D.Khadap, learned Counsel for respondent no.5, are present.

2. S.O. to 17-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.757/2019**  
**(Dr. Munna Afreen Abdul Gaffar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.758/2019**  
**(Dr. Satish Totawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.100/2021**  
**(Manisha Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.A.Mane, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 13-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.301/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1279/2021**  
**(Dr. Eknath Male V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.42/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1695/2021**  
**(Dr. Shivaji Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-09-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has sought time stating that parawise remarks have already been forwarded. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 28-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 858/2018 with O.A. No. 86/2019 with O.A. No. 118/2019  
with O.A. No. 278/2019 with O.A. No. 421/2019 with O.A. No.  
392/2020 with O.A. No. 394/2020 with O.A. No. 395/2020 with  
O.A. No. 398/2020 with O.A. No. 173/2021  
(Sudhakar D. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. No. 858/2018 (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 86/19, 118/19, 278/19, 421/19, 392/20, 394/20, 395/20 & 398/20, Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No. 173/2021 and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. Learned Presenting Officer placed on record progress report in respect of O.A. Nos. 858/2018 and O.A. No. 421/2019 received from the office of the Deputy Director of Land Records, Nashik Region, Nashik. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 03.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 303/2022 in O.A. St. No. 708/2022  
(Nagnath M. Adamankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M. Kamble, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 654 OF 2022  
(Shivanand D. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 27.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117 OF 2020  
(Shivaji V. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT**  
**AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 358/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1093/2022**  
**(Shriram G. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the present M.A. seeking condonation of delay.

3. Leave as prayed for on behalf of the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the M.A. forthwith.

4. After amendment, issue notice to respondents in M.A., returnable on 03.10.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 03.10.2022.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 365/2022 in O.A. No. 746/2022**  
**(Babarao D. Tathe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents in M.A., returnable on 12.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580 OF 2018  
(Amol R. Sakruskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 12.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 85 OF 2019  
(Mahadeo P. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to recovery / refund of recovered amount. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 111 OF 2020  
(Rajendra B. Buwa V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2020  
(Prashant E. Supekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.S. Mali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 588 OF 2020  
(Savita S. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2021  
(Nandkishor C. Ramdin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day rejoinder affidavit would be filed.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 302 OF 2021  
(Hitendra P. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.F. Bankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2021  
(Rajendrakumar G. Baviskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.F. Bankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 449 OF 2022  
(Sachin R. Gupta V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 88/2021 in O.A. St. No. 323/2021  
(Shrikant K. Bhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 403/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1539/2021  
(Uttamrao S. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 27/2022 in O.A. St. No. 64/2022  
(Madhavi M. Kulkarni & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 28/2022 in O.A. St. No. 57/2022  
(Pankaj D. Soudankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787 OF 2018  
(Shahadeo S. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 26.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37 OF 2019  
(Chandrasen K. Bahure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 323 OF 2019  
(Jairam V. Mitke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 237 OF 2021  
(Kakasaheb K. Thote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2019  
(Suresh G. Sadavarte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1011 OF 2019  
(Vitthal S. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 388 OF 2020  
(Rajendra V. Marale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2021  
(Chandrakant L. Shirkhedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 229 OF 2021  
(Balbirsingh J. Tyagi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 457 OF 2021  
(Sahil A. Kankal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Maya Jamdhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 458 OF 2021  
(Mahendra K. Yangade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Maya Jamdhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2021  
(Bhujang V. Godbole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 578 OF 2021  
(Nanasaheb S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 07.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 674 OF 2021  
(Pravin J. Rasal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 674 OF 2021  
(Pravin J. Rasal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2018  
(Bansilal C. Jaiswal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Raut, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2. Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent**.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

3. S.O. to 30.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 672 OF 2019  
(Mahesh D. Tipale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 796 OF 2019  
(Laxmibai U. Bahirwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1070 OF 2019  
(Suryabhan B. Nagre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.S. Mote, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2022, as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 593 OF 2020  
(Yuvraj R. Giri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri U.P. Giri, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri Y.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent**. None present on behalf of respondent No. 4, though duly served.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2022 as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764 OF 2021  
(Rajendra R. Pal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. R.L. Jakhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 765 OF 2021  
(Shivaji R. Wayal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. R.L. Jakhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 781 OF 2021  
(Afroz Khan Karim Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Deogude, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 188/2022 in O.A. No. 480/2019  
(Ashok G. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in O.A.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 to 6.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.10.2022 for filing service affidavit of respondent No. 3.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 351/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1391/2021  
(Dr. Surekha S. Totala V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day service affidavit would be filed.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

4. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 217/2021 in O.A. St. No. 598/2021  
(Vitthal S. Lokhande & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 284/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1213/2020  
(Sumanbai R. Tayde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondents in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 06.10.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 407/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1458/2019  
(Savita U. Gaikwad @ Sangita S. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 733 OF 2022  
(Rahul R. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 709 OF 2022  
(Jyoti B. Ghadoje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622 OF 2022  
(Sharda M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615 OF 2022  
(Kiran A. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 611 OF 2022  
(Sultana Begum Shaikh Gafoor V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2022  
(Vishwanath M. Taondewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to respondent No. 2, returnable on 07.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4.

8. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2022  
(Dr. Dinesh S. Ravtale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514 OF 2022  
(Madhukar S. Dudhgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503 OF 2022  
(Kailas R. Walekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500 OF 2022  
(Jaganlal B. Kewat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 respectively. Same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 06.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 129 OF 2021  
(Dr. Sheshrao P. Lohgave V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 06.09.2022.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 29.09.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 06.09.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 770 OF 2022**

**(Surendra M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **12.10.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **12.10.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 06.09.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 783 OF 2022**

**(Nilabai P. Mamulwar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **11.10.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **11.10.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 06.09.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 781 OF 2022**

**(Shaikh Mohd. Shahbaz Sk. Mohd. Shakil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **10.10.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **10.10.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 06.09.2022**

**M.A. No. 375/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1210/2022**

**(Abhiman G. Saindane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **11.10.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **11.10.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 107 OF 2020**  
**(Dr. Abdul Mubbashir & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2021**  
**(Sangram U Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2021**  
**(Jayshree A. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06.09.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit is not to be filed. List the matter for hearing on 11.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 446 OF 2022**  
**(Giriraj K. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Chetan Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri Vijay P. Latange, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.G. Bhalerao, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that respondent Nos. 1 & 2 adopts affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 5.

3. List the matter for hearing on 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 531 OF 2022**  
**(Sachin G. Lasure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.K. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 635/15, 21/16, 618/16 & 619/16**  
**(Dr. Abdul Mubbashir & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

S/Shri R.P. Bhumkar & M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective matters and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 02/2021 IN O.A.NO. 984/2018**  
**(Jaiprakash L. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06.09.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel holding for Ms. Anita A. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent No. 4.

2. When today the present contempt petition is taken up for consideration the learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has again sought four weeks' time for the necessary compliance. We consider the request so made and grant further four weeks time for making necessary compliance. It is made clear that if necessary compliance is not made, the authority concern shall cause appearance before this Tribunal on the next date.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 765 OF 2022**  
**(Vasant B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 3.11.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 3.11.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766 OF 2022**  
**(Keshav M. Jambutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 13.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 776 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Jaya P. Dighe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 8.9.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 777 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Shital Somsing Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is brought to our notice that in the similar set of facts and circumstances this Tribunal has granted interim relief thereby directing the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to call the applicants therein for interview subject to outcome of the said petitions. In the present matter the same order is prayed for. The present applicant is holding the qualification of M.D. in Community medicine. It is submitted that even for the said subject there cannot be a precondition to have one year experience of working on the post of Senior Resident. In the circumstances we deem it appropriate to pass order which we have already passed in the similar earlier matters. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

**(i) Respondent NO. 3, MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result**

**of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Community Medicines, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.**

(ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28-9-2022.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 28-9-2022.

**((viii) The learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled from 5<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.**

(viii) Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 778 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Sharada U. Sonkhedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is brought to our notice that in the similar set of facts and circumstances this Tribunal has granted interim relief thereby directing the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to call the applicants therein for interview subject to outcome of the said petitions. In the present matter the same order is prayed for. The present applicant is holding the qualification of M.D. in Community medicine. It is submitted that even for the said subject there cannot be a precondition to have one year experience of working on the post of Senior Resident. In the circumstances we deem it appropriate to pass order which we have already passed in the similar earlier matters. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

**(i) Respondent NO. 3, MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result**

**of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Community Medicines, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.**

(ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28-9-2022.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 28-9-2022.

**(viii) The learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled from 5<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.**

(viii) Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 780 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Kailash P. Kagne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is brought to our notice that in the similar set of facts and circumstances this Tribunal has granted interim relief thereby directing the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to call the applicants therein for interview subject to outcome of the said petitions. In the present matter the same order is prayed for. The present applicant is holding the qualification of M.D. in Community medicine. It is submitted that even for the said subject there cannot be a precondition to have one year experience of working on the post of Senior Resident. In the circumstances we deem it appropriate to pass order which we have already passed in the similar earlier matters. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

**(i) Respondent NO. 3, MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result**



**of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Community Medicines, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.**

(ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28-9-2022.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 28-9-2022.

**(viii) The learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled from 5<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.**

(viii) Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 274 OF 2019**  
**(Prashant S. Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 3.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 675 OF 2019**  
**(Eknath C Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In view of above, list the present case for hearing on 10.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 760 OF 2019**  
**(Vishnu B. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In view of the above, list the present case for hearing on 6.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 790 OF 2019**  
**(Gajanan K Kakade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Mete, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 6.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1024 OF 2019**  
**(Lata S. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 373 OF 2020**  
**(Rohan S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2020**  
**(Dr. Datta M. Dhanve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 29 OF 2021**  
**(Vijay N. Khawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Santosh S. Dambe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit. List the present matter for hearing on 10.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 61 OF 2021**  
**(Shantilal H Deore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.B. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 10.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 126 OF 2021**  
**(Dadabhau N. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 682 OF 2021**  
**(Ashok K. Mehetre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 12.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 683 OF 2021**  
**(Dhanaji A. Ugale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2022**  
**(Ravita B. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.9.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2022**  
**(Manisha G. Bargaje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 520 OF 2022**  
**(Satish B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 639 OF 2022**  
**(Prashant S. Morale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 14.9.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2022**  
**(Nashaboina S. Yadgiri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Yogesh P. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. Await service for respondent No. 3.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705 OF 2022**  
**(Amol V. Sanap & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 449/2019 IN O.A.ST.No. 1490/2019**  
**(Sunil M. Lagad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.P. Sonpethkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 26/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 600/2019**  
**(Shrinivas P. Karve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 27/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 601/2019**  
**(Shrinivas P. Karve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 28/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 602/2019**  
**(Shrinivas P. Karve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.M. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and S/Shri S.K. Shirse, V.R. Bhumkar & N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondent authorities in respective matters, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file rejoinder affidavit in all these cases.

3. List the present cases for hearing on 6.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 81/2020 IN O.A.ST.No. 2435/2019**  
**(Dipali N. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 94/2022 IN O.A.ST.No. 249/2022**  
**(Dipak S. Sherkhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.10.2022

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 552/2019 IN O.A.ST.No. 1681/2019**  
**(Sushma E. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06.09.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay, which has occasioned in filing annexed Original Application by her. It is the case of the applicant that she had successfully completed the selection process for the post of Chief Officer of the Municipal Council but she was not given the order of appointment on the ground that criminal case was pending against her. The said reason was communicated to the applicant vide order dated 6.4.2017, against which the applicant preferred representation to the Appellate Authority on 26.4.2017. It is the contention of the applicant that the said representation was not decided. In the meanwhile, learned counsel added that the applicant was acquitted in the case, which was pending against her. The said decision came on 3.11.2017. Learned counsel submitted that thereafter the applicant again



preferred a representation dated 16.1.2018 requesting the authorities to consider her candidature for the appointment for which she was duly selected since she was acquitted of the criminal case filed against her. Learned counsel submitted that the representations were however, not considered by the said authority and the rejection of the said representation was communicated to the applicant on 30.7.2019. Learned counsel submitted that thereafter within shortest possible time the applicant has approached this Tribunal by filing annexed Original Application.

3. Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that the delay, which has occasioned is for the aforesaid reasons. It is contended that the applicant has good case on merit and if an opportunity is not given to the applicant to prosecute her case on merits that will cause grave injustice to her.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has opposed for accepting the request of the applicant for condonation of delay. It is contended that the delay has to be reckoned from the first rejection of the request of the applicant i.e. from 6.4.2017 and the same has not been appropriately explained by the applicant. Learned P.O. submitted that for condoning the delay of such huge period the reasons which have assigned by the applicant cannot be said to be just and sufficient.

Learned P.O. in the circumstances, has prayed for rejecting the application.

5. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the parties.

6. Insofar the factual matrix is concerned as about the rejection of the candidature of the applicant and the dates of making representations to the higher authorities by the applicant are not in dispute. It is also not in dispute that the applicant got acquitted from the criminal case by the Special Court vide its judgment and order dated 23.11.2017. It is true that the applicant was not supposed to wait for a longer period for decision by the Appellate Authority even in the earlier rejection. However, the contention raised on behalf of the applicant that she was bona-fide believing that since she has been acquitted, her request will be considered positively she did not rush to the Tribunal and has approached this Tribunal only after her representation has been finally rejected by the Appellate Authority. As such, it appears to us that delay occasioned cannot be said to be for any mala fide reasons or deliberate. We are, therefore, inclined to condone the same, so that applicant may get opportunity to prosecute the O.A. on merits. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

(i) The delay caused in filing annexed Original Application is condoned subject to costs of Rs. 2,000/- (Rs. two thousand only). On depositing the costs, annexed O.A. be registered in accordance with law.

(ii) The M.A. stands allowed in the aforesaid terms.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1681/2019**  
**(Sushma E. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration of present Original Application, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 271/2021 IN O.A. 170/2021**  
**(Shivkumar V. Chivde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06.09.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. By filing the present application the applicant has claimed the following reliefs: -

“**a.** To direct the respondent authorities to produce and supply the copies of the documents as sought by the applicant in his application dated 05.01.2021 (Annexure-A-1;

**b.** To grant any other benefits to which the applicant is entitled to.”

3. We did not notice the copy of the application dated 5.1.2021 in the documents filed along with the present application. The said application is shown to have been marked as Annexure 'A-1'. The documents which are annexed along with the present application are not marked as annexures. The very first document annexed to the present application is dated 29.12.2020. Learned counsel appearing for the

applicant also could not bring to our notice any such Annexure 'A-1' dated 5.1.2021. From the arguments made by the learned counsel it is revealed that some documents were sought by the applicant under Right to Information Act, but said request was rejected. If this be so the remedy for the applicant was to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority. It does not seem to have been filed. Learned counsel has at this juncture brought to our notice that such appeal was filed but it also came to be rejected.

4. From the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant it is revealed that according to the applicant, the candidates whose appointments are sought to be cancelled by him in the O.A., were not eligible for being appointed on the post of Drugs Inspector and were illegally appointed by the respondents. According to the applicant, the information was, therefore, sought in respect of the said candidates. If this be so, we fail to understand on the basis of which information the applicant has filed the O.A. seeking quashment of the order of appointments issued in favour of the said candidates. However, at this juncture we may not make any more discussion on the said point. The application dated 5.1.2021 since is not on record we see no reason for allowing the present application. Hence, the following order: -

**:: - 3 - :: M.A.NO. 271/2021 IN  
O.A. 170/2021**

**ORDER**

The present application is rejected however, without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 95/2021 IN O.A. 170/2021**  
**(Shivkumar V. Chivde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 272/2021 IN O.A. 171/2021**  
**(Shivkumar V. Chivde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06.09.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. By filing the present application the applicant has claimed the following reliefs: -

“**a.** To direct the respondent authorities to produce and supply the copies of the documents as sought by the applicant in his application dated 05.01.2021 (Annexure-A-1;

**b.** To grant any other benefits to which the applicant is entitled to.”

3. We did not notice the copy of the application dated 5.1.2021 in the documents filed along with the present application. The said application is shown to have been marked as Annexure 'A-1'. The documents which are annexed along with the present application are not marked as annexures. The very first document annexed to the present application is dated 29.12.2020. Learned counsel appearing for the

applicant also could not bring to our notice any such Annexure 'A-1' dated 5.1.2021. From the arguments made by the learned counsel it is revealed that some documents were sought by the applicant under Right to Information Act, but said request was rejected. If this be so the remedy for the applicant was to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority. It does not seem to have been filed. Learned counsel has at this juncture brought to our notice that such appeal was filed but it also came to be rejected.

4. From the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant it is revealed that according to the applicant, respondent No. 5 cannot be appointed on the post of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs) Food & Drugs Administration) in preference to the applicant and hence, in the O.A. recommendation dated 24.12.2020 made by the M.P.S.C. of respondent No. 5 for the appointment on the post of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs) Food & Drugs Administration is sought to be quashed and set aside. According to the applicant, the information was, therefore, sought in respect of the said candidate. If this be so, we fail to understand on the basis of which information the applicant has filed the O.A. seeking quashment of the order of appointment issued in favour of the said candidate. However, at this juncture we may not make any more discussion on the said point. The application dated 5.1.2021 since is not on record we

**:: - 3 - :: M.A.NO. 272/2021 IN  
O.A. 171/2021**

see no reason for allowing the present application.  
Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

The present application is rejected however, without  
any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 96/2021 IN O.A. 171/2021**  
**(Shivkumar V. Chivde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 439/2019 IN O.A. 718/2019**  
**(Sudhakar R. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.09.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 06.09.2022-HDD