ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.141/2019

(Dr. Pandharinath Gawali & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer

for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 3

is filed in October, 2019. It has been shared with the

applicant.

3. Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate has indicated

that he is not willing to file affidavit in rejoinder. The

matter is of final hearing.

4. S.O. to 16-07-2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.683/2019 (Shivaji Ghantewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 20-07-2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.902/2021 (Shivaji Thorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of both parties, S.O. to 20-07-2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.02/2021 (Kiran Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned CPO seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. to 30-07-2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.83/2021
(Ashok Tonde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.K.Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that recovery has been initiated in support of which he has filed copy of pay fixation order dated 19-01-2021. However, actual recovery order showing amount to be recovered per month has not been filed.

3. Learned CPO for the respondents has pointed out that the documents filed today dated 19-01-2021 are not part of the O.A. which have been filed subsequently. He seeks a short adjournment for filing affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 29-07-2021.

MEMBER (A)

C.P.ST.NO.394/2019 IN O.A.NO.200/2016 (Shaikh Rahim Shaikh Chand Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

None present on behalf of the Applicant.

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Record shows that there is office objection including the point of limitation.
- 3. Time was given to the Applicant for addressing the Tribunal on the point of office objection.
- 4. As none present on behalf of the Applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2021.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.53/2018 (Shri Sopan E. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Respondent Nos.5 & 6 separately.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that affidavit-in-rejoinder is not necessary.
- 4. In view of the same, the case is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 23.08.2021.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.639/2019 (Shri Ajit V. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Nidhi Loya, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3, S.O. to 11.08.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2021-SAS

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.249/2019 (Shri Ashok R. Khandagale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that affidavit-in-rejoinder is not necessary.
- 4. In view of the same, the case is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 18.08.2021.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.336/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1208/2020 (Shri Balasaheb D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. This Misc. Application is made by invoking Rule 4(5)(b) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 for seeking relief in representative capacity on behalf of particular cadre.
- 3. The application is filed by the Association of Engineers working under Respondent Nos.2 & 3.
- 4. The Applicant No.1 is an Association of Engineers working under Respondent No.2 & 3 is affiliated to Maharashtra Engineering Services Confederation, Maharashtra Gazetted Officers' Association- a recognized Association and seeking to espouse the cause of the of Engineering members Services working Respondent Nos.2 & 3 and especially who are working in the cadre of Deputy Engineer (Mechanical) as well as Senior Drilling Engineer under Respondent No.3.

- 5. The Original Application is filed for seeking directions to the Respondents to appropriately chance the nomenclature of the post in Engineer Cadre rightly from the post of Senior Drilling Engineer to the post of Joint Director (Engineering) under Respondent No.3 and to extend those posts appropriate pay-scales to commensurate with the posts parallel to the identical posts in other Govt. departments in the State.
- 6. Considering the above fact, it is evident that the Original Application is filed in the representative capacity. In view of the same, it is fit case to grant permission as contemplated under Rules, 4(5)(b) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
- 7. In the result, the Misc. Application No.336/2020 is allowed. The Applicant is grant permission to sue the Respondent in representative capacity.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.ST.NO.1432/2020 AND O.A.ST.NO.1208/2020 (Shri Balasaheb D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A.St.No.1432/2020 and O.A.St.No.1208/2020, returnable on 23.08.2021.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - ::

M.A.ST.1432/2020 & O.A.ST.1208/2021

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 23.08.2021.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2021-SAS

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.105/2017 (Shri Nagesh S. Mapari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 2. None appears for Respondent Nos.3 to 15.

- 2. S.O. to 28.07.2021.
- 3. The present case be treated as part-heard.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2021-SAS

T.A.NO.01/2018 IN W.P.NO.15249/2017 (Shri Chand R. Hingole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Record shows that after completing the pleadings, the matter was admitted and was fixed for final hearing.
- 3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that after affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.1, there is change in principles governing reservation and therefore, the Applicant wants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders affidavitin-rejoinder.
- 5. In the facts and circumstances and to meet the ends of justice, the affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

//2//

T.A.1/18 IN W.P.15249/2017

6. S.O. to 29.08.2021, for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder by the Respondents, if any.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2021-SAS

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.66/2018 (Shri Dyneshwar P. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. As per order dated 29.11.2019, the learned P.O. had sought time to take instructions from the Government and Maharashtra Public Service Commission, as to whether the post reserved for Open Sport Category has been filled up or vacant.
- 3. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned on 16.01.2020 and 13.02.2020. However, there is no mention in roznama of any statement responding to the said query.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant placed on record compilation of 34 pages and invited our attention to communication dated 05.10.2019 & 14.01.2019, both addressed by Respondent No.1 stating that the post

reserved for Open Sport Category was vacant as on 14.01.2020 (wrongly mentioned as 14.01.2019). In the said letter, there is reference to the order of this Tribunal dated 29.11.2019. The said compilation is taken on record.

- 5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the Respondent No.2 i.e. M.P.S.C. has recommended the candidature of the Respondent No.5 to the said post. In this regard, he placed on record the communication dated 03.02.2020, received from the Respondent No.2 i.e. M.P.S.C.
- 6. In this regard, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the said Respondent No.5 though was recommended earlier had not joined the services and at that point of time in December, 2018, by amending the pleadings, the Respondent No.5 was added.
- 7. In view of above, the case is fixed for hearing on 30.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.374/2018 (Shri Girish B. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 22.07.2021.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2021-SAS

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.414/2018

(Vranda P. Sadgure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is seeking permission to file short affidavit in view of development in the matter of horizontal reservation by certain case laws.
- 3. In the facts and circumstances, permission is granted to file the said short affidavit on record.
- 4. The Respondents are at liberty to respond.
- 5. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4, S.O. to 27.07.2021.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 79/2021 IN O.A.NO. 288/2021 (Pralhad V. Fiske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 6.7.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Hemant D. More, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 5.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. The present case be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 1/2021 IN O.A.NO. 83/2018 (Vyankat S. More & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 6.7.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 4.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.
- 3. The present case be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO. 23/2020 IN O.A.NO. 769/2018 (Pralhad C. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 6.7.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that the order in question is complied with and he would like to file affidavit in reply to that effect. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 20.7.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.
- 4. The present case be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 316 OF 2019

(Shamlal C. Bhagure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 6.7.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.B. Karande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 23.8.2021 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

O.A.NO. 160/2018 WITH O.A.NO. 844/2018 (Nilesh P. Bansude & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 6.7.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard S/Shri S.S. Dambe & A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocates for the respective applicants in respective OAs and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.

2. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 2.8.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission itself.

MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 210/2021

(Lala M. Jadhavar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.T. Chalikwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 6.

2. Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate has filed V.P. for res. nos. 2 to 5 and seeks time to file affidavit in reply on

their behalf. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file affidavit in

reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 & 6. Time as prayed for is

granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.8.2021 for filing

affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 207/2021

(Devidas E. Baviskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting

Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that respondents have

complied with the reslef sought by the applicant in terms of

prayer clause (C) of O.A. partly and in that regard he places

on record relevant documents. The said documents are

taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively

for the purpose of identification. He seeks time to file

3. S.O. to 27.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply by the

affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 197/2020 (Vidyullata R. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has already made the representation dated 9.6.2021 to the res. no. 1 for cancellation of the impugned transfer / deputation order on the ground the medical ground of husband.
- 3. As per the order dtd. 14.6.2021 passed by this Tribunal, the res. no. 1 was directed to consider the representation of the applicant dated 9.6.2021 for cancellation of impugned transfer / deputation order.
- 4. Today, the learned Advocate for the applicant places on record copy of order dtd. 30.6.2021 passed by the res. no. 2 the Civil Surgeon, Ahmednagar, whereby the representation of the applicant is considered favourably. The said document is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.
- 5. In view of the said order of res. No. 2 (document 'X') the grievance of the applicant said to have been redressed. In view thereof the O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566/2020

(Nathu N. Khadtare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he has received communication dtd. 1/2.7.2021 from the concerned respondent for seeking time for filing affidavit in reply in the present case. The said communication is taken on record.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 289/2021 (Madhukar L. Pradhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. This O.A. is filed for seeking pensionary benefits. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the provisional pension was paid to the applicant from July, 2020 to 31.12.2020, however, since 1.1.2021 even the provisional pension is stopped by the respondent. In view of the same, the applicant seeks interim relief in terms of prayer clause 12(D) of the O.A. for issuance of directions to the respondents to pay forthwith the arrears of provisional pension to the applicant from 1.1.2021.
- 3. In the above circumstances, learned P.O. submits that he would seek necessary instructions from the respondents and would respond immediately.
- 4. In the circumstances, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12.8.2021.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. S.O. to 12.8.2021.
- 10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 982/2019

(Govind Y. Bharsakhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.D. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete, and therefore, the present case is admitted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 31.8.2021 for final hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 85/2020

(Satwa N. Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to file on record the application for permitting the applicant to place on record the copy of report dated 24.8.2018 of the R.F.O. Kinwat i.e. res. no. 4 regarding impugned transfer of the applicant.
- 3. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted and the application of the applicant for producing copy of report of RFO, Kinwat dtd. 24.8.2018 regarding the impugned transfer of the applicant are taken on record and copy thereof is supplied to other side.
- 4. Accordingly, matter be placed on board on 2.8.2021 for hearing on admission. The present case be treated as part heard.
- 5. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 347/2020

(Jitendra B. Raipure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Asha Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer

for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf

of res. nos. 1 to 5.

3. Learned Advocate submits that the applicant does

not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the

present case, S.O. to 4.8.2021 for hearing on admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 513/2020

(Uttam S. Varpade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that applies does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In the circumstances, with consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.7.2021 for hearing on admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 109/2021 (Ashok D. Shradkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 111/2018 IN O.A. ST. 412/2018

(Abdul Rakhib Gulam Nabi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpaande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned

Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he

has received copy of affidavit in reply of the respondents

today only. He, therefore, seeks time to advance his

submissions.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 9.8.2021 for hearing on

admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 270/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1034/2018

(Pandurang B. Nilewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpaande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer

for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he

has received copy of affidavit in reply of the respondents

today only. He, therefore, seeks time to advance his

submissions.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 9.8.2021 for hearing on

admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 6/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1419/2020 (Vijay R. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 10.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in M.A.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 7/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1416/2020 (Chandrasen V. Lahade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in M.A.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 39/2021 IN O.A. ST. 37/2021 (Azizkhan Yusufkhan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri N.D. Sonwane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 11.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in M.A.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 427/2019

(Ranjeet S. Savale (Dhangar) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears from the record that affidavit in reply is already filed by the respondent no. 2. Learned Advocate submits that applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.8.2021 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 99/2021

(Shrikant V. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.8.2021 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents, as a last chance.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 203/2021

(Satish G. Dighe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2021

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned

Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. places on record communication dtd.

5.7.2021 received from the res. no. 2 seeking time for filing

affidavit in reply in the present case. Time granted.

3. As the respondents are seeking time for filing affidavit

in reply, it means that all the respondents are served with

the notice of this Tribunal.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.8.2021 for filing

affidavit in reply by the respondents.

5. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

Date: 06.07.2021
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 316 OF 2021
(Monikarani N. Rankhamb V/s State of Maha. & Ors.)

<u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri Hanumant P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11.08.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on **11.08.2021**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Date: 06.07.2021 ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 317 OF 2021 (Vishwambhar V. Tidke V/s State of Maha. & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 03.08.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on **03.08.2021**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Date: 06.07.2021
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 323 OF 2021
(Shaikh Nazir Ahemad V/s State of Maha. & Ors.)

<u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on **28.07.2021**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Date:06.07.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.327/2021

(Ms. Savita Dinkar Jadhav V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, ld. Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, ld. P.O. for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 28.07.2021.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Date:06.07.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.326/2021

(Shri Madhav Narayan Gayakwad V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, ld. P.O. for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 28.07.2021.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Date:06.07.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.325/2021

(Shri Pankaj Kailash Kelkar V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,</u> M.A.T., <u>Mumbai</u>

- 1. Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, ld. Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, ld. P.O. for the respondents, are present.
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 28.07.2021.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.