

Date : 6.7.2022

O.A. 611/2022

(Sultana Begum Shaikh Gafoor V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

1. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned P.O. for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on **2.8.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **2.8.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 6.7.2022

O.A. 612/2022

(Shri Vishwanath M. Tondewad V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

1. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned P.O. for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on **2.8.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **2.8.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 6.7.2022

M.A. 291/2022 IN O.A. ST. 1070/2022

(Karima Begum w/o Shaikh Daud V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

1. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned P.O. for respondents, are present.

2. Circulation is granted. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **2.8.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **2.8.2022**.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213/2020
(Smt. Apurva S. Narale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 639/2019
(Shri Ajit V. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. Await service of notice upon the respondents.
3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385/2020
(Shri Rajinder Singh Shobha Singh Kolhapure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 467/2020
(Shri Krishna T. Wagh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 477/2020
(Dr. Pushplata P. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564/2020
(Shri Shantaram P. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent no 3, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer & learned counsel for respondent no. 3 have sought time for filing affidavits in reply of the respective respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04/2021
(Shri Kiran S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 127/2021
(Shri Ambar B. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the
applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for
filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 299/2021
(Shri Nagorao W. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Sandanshiv, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.S. Ware, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6, are present.

2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339/2021
(Shri Arun S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572/2021
(Shri Babasaheb E. Jakate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for
filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512/2022
(Shri Sunil B. Magare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. On 28.10.2021 an advertisement was published by the Maharashtra Public Service Commission (for short the M.P.S.C.) for the recruitment on the posts of Assistant Section Officer, Sales Tax Inspector and Police Sub Inspector. Total 1085 posts were to be filled in. All the applicants filled in the examination form and appeared for the preliminary examination. On 2.3.2022 the M.P.S.C. published the first answer key. On 5.5.2022 the M.P.S.C. published the second answer key and while publishing the said answer key deleted the question nos. 8, 12, 13, 24, 32, 35, 46 and 73, whereas the answers to question nos. 20, 54 & 89 were modified. It is the grievance of the applicants that due to deletion of questions and modification in the answer key the grand total marks secured by the

applicants got adversely affected, their marks were reduced and they could not secure the cut-off marks fixed for making the candidate eligible to appear in the main examination.

3. Shri Joshi, learned counsel appearing for the applicants vehemently argued that as contended by the M.P.S.C. in its affidavit in reply more particularly in paragraph no. 14 of the affidavit in reply when the first answer key on the official website of the Commission was published as per the answers provided by the subject experts, why the M.P.S.C. indulged in referring the said answer key to the another subject experts as contended in paragraph no. 15 of the affidavit in reply. The learned counsel submitted that when the first answer key was also prepared as per the answers provided by the subject experts and the second time also the answers are provided by the subject experts, the question arises as to opinion of which subject experts shall prevail. The learned counsel strenuously argued that because of the casual approach of the M.P.S.C. the ultimate sufferers are the unemployed youth like the present applicants. The learned counsel submitted that there is no policy fixed in this regard and decisions are taken as per the whims of the authorities of the M.P.S.C. The learned counsel further submitted that the M.P.S.C. has also failed in considering that because of

deletion of 08 questions while preparing the second answer key the candidates who might have correctly answered the said 08 questions in conformity with the first answer key when have suffered the loss of 08 marks, the candidates who might have answered the said questions contrary to the answer key and for that who were likely to suffer negative marks for answering the said questions wrongly will be at advantageous position. The learned counsel submitted that the issues raised by the applicants deserve to be considered for the reason that they are prejudicially affecting the interest of many candidates like the present applicants. The learned counsel submitted that the said issues can be decided in the final hearing of the present matter, which may definitely require some more time. The learned counsel submitted that the mains examination is scheduled on 9.7.2022. He further urged that the applicants however have certainly made out a strong prima-facie case for giving directions to the M.P.S.C. to provisionally permit the applicants to appear in the mains examination subject to final outcome of the present O.A. The learned counsel therefore prayed for grant of interim relief as prayed for in the application.

4. The M.P.S.C. has filed the affidavit in reply opposing the contentions raised in the application. The M.P.S.C. has questioned the locus of the present applicants in filing

the application stating that the applicants have failed to demonstrate whether they have raised objections to the answers in first answer key, which they have challenged in the present application. It is further contended that after the first answer key was published on the official website of the M.P.S.C. the objections were invited to the said answer key in response to which the objections were received on various questions. It is further contended that all the objections received from the candidates were referred to the subject experts for their opinion and after duly scrutinizing and verifying all such objections and references of the candidates, the second and final answer key was published by the M.P.S.C. on 5.5.2022. It is further contended that after publication of the final answer key it is not permissible for the candidates to raise further objection as same would be then unending process. It is further contended that because of deletion of the certain questions, no prejudice can be said to have caused to the applicants. The M.P.S.C. has therefore prayed for rejecting the prayer of interim relief.

5. I have carefully considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicants, as well as, the respondent M.P.S.C. I have also perused the documents filed on record. Insofar as the objection raised for referring the answer key to the subject experts second time is

concerned, as on today the law stands settled that the Courts or the Tribunals shall be very slow in causing any interference in the opinion given by the subject experts. At the interim stage undisputedly the said issue cannot be gone into. The second issue which has been raised about loss caused to the candidates because of subsequent deletion of 08 questions from the question paper and the answer sheet is concerned, I am not prima-facie convinced that any case is made out by the applicants to grant interim relief as has been prayed by them.

6. It is the contention of the applicants that all of them have secured the marks in the preliminary examination marginally less than the cut-off marks. It has been argued that the answers provided in the first answer key are in fact the correct answers and if the answer sheets of the applicants is assessed on the basis of said answer key all of them are likely to cross the bar of cut-off marks. I have noted hereinabove that the law as on today holds the field that this Tribunal cannot examine the correctness of the opinion expressed by the experts on the subject. In the circumstances, it does not appear to me that any case for interim relief is made out by the applicants, though the issues raised by them certainly deserve consideration at the time of final hearing of this O.A. The prayer for interim relief is therefore rejected.

::-6-::

O.A. NO. 512/2022

7. List the matter for further consideration on 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615 OF 2022

(Kiran A. Jadhav Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **02.08.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **02.08.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

DATE : 06.07.2022

M.A. No. 292/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1116/2022

(Shaikh Imran Shaikh Ahmed Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **02.08.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **02.08.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

DATE : 06.07.2022

M.A. No. 289/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1064/2022

(Vijay G. Palaskar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri P.V. Ambade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **02.08.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **02.08.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2020
(Rajendra B. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586 OF 2020
(Sonaji K. Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent No. 1. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

**O.A. Nos. 739, 740 & 741 all of 2021
(Vilas M. Yadav and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Hence, the present matters will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105 OF 2022
(Amar J. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2022
(Ramdas H. Lohakare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondent No. 1, returnable on 26.07.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 26.07.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 286/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1059/2020
(Prabhakar M. Kawathekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A. No. 287/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1061/2020
(Bhalchandra P. Darurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant
(**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to
26.07.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A. No. 289/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1065/2020
(Pandharenath B. Dhorge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 290/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1067/2020
(Vilas V. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant
(**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to
26.07.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

M.A. No. 291/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1070/2020
(Jilani Azimoddin Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent No. 2 in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 26.07.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 292/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1072/2020
(Shaik Rahim Shaikh Chand Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant
(**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to
26.07.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A. No. 312/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1351/2020
(Ajgar Ali Mohiddin Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant
(**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to
26.07.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A. No. 282/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1101/2021
(Shivram N. Dhapate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.M. Shinde / P.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016
(Sanjay T. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the detailed affidavit in reply in this case is necessary, as only short affidavit in reply is filed. Therefore, he seeks time for filing detailed affidavit in reply.

3. In the interest of justice, time is granted for filing detailed affidavit reply.

4. S.O. to 28.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 16 OF 2017

(Shaikh Mukhtyar Shaikh Noor Ali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.D. Godamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last two dates i.e. on 28.04.2022 and 13.06.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138 OF 2017
(Babu J. Phule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.D. Godamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last two dates i.e. on 28.04.2022 and 13.06.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

C.P.NO. 78/2018 IN O.A.NO. 417/2018
(Chhagan B. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present contempt petition was filed alleging contempt of the interim order passed by the learned Single Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 417/2018. The said O.A. has been finally decided and has been dismissed by the learned Single Bench. Learned counsel submitted that in the circumstances, the contempt petition may not survive. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant, the following order is passed: -

ORDER

In view of the final order passed by the learned Single Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 417/2018, the present contempt petition stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 166 OF 2022
(Dharamsing V. Singal Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.M. Chate, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to
21.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 283/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 265/2022
(Gulab Harimal Imale Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ramesh R. Imale, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to
22.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2012
(Ravi Hari Kondar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay T. Kanwade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that despite availing due opportunities the respondents have not filed affidavit in reply.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that affidavit in reply in any case will be filed within two weeks and seeks time. Time granted as prayed for.

4. List the matter on 29.7.2022. It is clarified that if the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date, the matter will be heard without affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 607 OF 2021
(Sachin K. Pawar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Pushpak U. Gujrathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present matter pertains to the year of 2021 and after services of the notices ample opportunities have been availed by the respondents for filing affidavits in reply. However, till date affidavits in reply have not been filed.

3. Learned Presenting Officer undertakes to file affidavits in reply within two weeks and has, therefore, sought time till then. Time granted as prayed for. It is clarified that if affidavits in reply are not filed by the respondents on or before the next date, the present matter will be heard without their affidavits in reply.

4. S.O. to 21.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 271 OF 2020
(Siddharth M. Kadam Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across
the bar rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the
sole respondent and the same is taken on record and copy
thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**O.A. 282/2022 WITH M.A. 169/2022 WITH M.A.161/2022
(Kedar Sudamrao & Ors. Garad Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol Chalak, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. No. 282/2022 and in M.A. No. 169/2022, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants in M.A. No. 161/2022.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the purpose of filing of O.A. has been served and the prayers made in the O.A. are already satisfied. In the circumstances, learned counsel, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present O.A.

3. Leave granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn.

4. Since Original Application itself stood disposed of today as withdrawn nothing survives in M.A. Nos. 169/2022 & 161/2022 and the same also stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93 OF 2019
(Priyanka J. Janephalkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to
26.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 162, 163, 164, 173, 174, 175, 176 & 221 ALL
OF 2019
(Mahadev P. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that the respondents may not file any affidavit in reply to the amended O.As.

3. In view of the above, list the present OAs for hearing on 5.8.2022

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 626, 641 & 642 ALL OF 2019
(Sheshrao R. Giri & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Megha Mali, learned counsel holding for Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 645 OF 2021
(Ulhas D. Chavan Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.T. Chalikwar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

The present O.A. is not on today's board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicant.

2. On instructions, the learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. He has filed written pursis to that effect signed by the applicant and the same is taken on record. In view of the submission made on behalf of the applicant, the following order is passed: -

ORDER

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646 OF 2021
(Ashok Gangadhar Gaikwad Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.T. Chalikwar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

The present O.A. is not on today's board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicant.

2. On instructions, the learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. He has filed written pursis to that effect signed by the applicant and the same is taken on record. In view of the submission made on behalf of the applicant, the following order is passed: -

ORDER

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2019
(Kailas I. Khandagale & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance. It is clarified that if affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondents on or before the next date, the present case will be placed for hearing.

3. S.O. to 8.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950 OF 2019
(Jayshree T. Thakur Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 8.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385 OF 2021
(Sachin B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and
Smt. S.K. Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2021
(Sayed Mujahed Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 OF 2021
(Suhas A. Pathak Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.K. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri
Rahul O. Awasarmal, learned counsel for the applicant and
Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 769 OF 2021
(Vaishali S. Landge Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.K. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri Rahul O. Awasarmal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 456/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1919/2018
(Laxman K. Nirmal Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Kedar, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 5.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 53/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 185/2019
(Ashok B. Amrukar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.S. Chillarge, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 8.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 343/2021 IN O.A.NO. 692/2017
(Bhanudas R. Watane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.8.2022 for hearing. Interim relief granted
earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439 OF 2013
(Sakharam G. Choughule Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned counsel for the applicant
and Smt. S.K. Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 5.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2015
(Pathan Vajiskhan Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Madhekar, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, is present.

2. Second set not filed.
3. S.O. to 5.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 629 OF 2016
(Shanka S. Waghmare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.R. Wakekar, learned counsel holding for Shri
A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.
Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 8.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 345 OF 2017
(Abhiman M. Kale Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant,
Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned
counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 6, are present.

2. S.O. to 8.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 661 OF 2017
(Shailendra S. Kapse Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 874 OF 2018
(Shashikant S. Yadav Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned counsel for the applicant
and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOs. 192 TO 194 OF 2019
(Kashinath T. Soundalkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and
Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 212 TO 214 WITH O.A.NOS.
355 & 439 ALL OF 2019 WITH M.A.NO. 17/2020 IN
O.A.NO. 1072/2019
(Sunder S. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sushil Irale Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri
D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri
B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 626, 641 & 642 ALL OF 2019
(Sheshrao R. Giri & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Megha Mali, learned counsel holding for Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.8.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 185/2021 IN O.A.NO. 257/2021
(Nanasaheb L. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and
Smt. S.K. Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief
granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 192/2021 IN O.A.NO. 258/2021
(Laxman N. Sormare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief
granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 26/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 600/2019
(Shrinivas P. Karve Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

WITH

**M.A.NO. 27/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 601/2019
(Shrinivas P. Karve Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

WITH

**M.A.NO. 28/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 602/2019
(Shrinivas P. Karve Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **6.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri S.M. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply in all these cases. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 301/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1279/2021
(Dr. Eknath D. Male Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 6.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 6.7.2022-HDD

R.A.NO.1/2021 IN O.A.NO.21 OF 2021
(Laxman B. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Kanchan Saraf, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.287 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.408 OF 2022
(Krishna B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gawale, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.492 OF 2022
(Pankaj J. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh S. Pidgewar, learned advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.361 OF 2021
(Madhukar G. Misal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to pensionary benefit of gratuity and leave encashment as per 7th Pay Commission.

3. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.453 OF 2020
(Bhatu R. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash N. Suryawashi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.556 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1846 OF 2019
(Sambhaji R. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shashikant Chalikwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.558 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1845 OF 2019
(Datta L. Sangvikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shashikat Chalikwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

M.A.NO.368 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.665 OF 2021
(Dnyaneshwar K. Khadagale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A., Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in the present M.A/applicant in O.A.No.665/2021.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A., S.O. to 20.07.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.855 OF 2019
(Shobha R. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that the respondent No.4 adopts the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if, any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.929 OF 2019

(Shaikh Mohd. Rafi Shaikh Mohammad Shafi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.157 OF 2022
(Ravindra P. Bahadare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.383 OF 2021
(Siddhart Y. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.493 OF 2021
(Aruna S. Lahurikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.5 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.97 OF 2021
(Shahaji W. Surwase Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.98 OF 2021
(Bhagwat B. DeshmukhVs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vinod G. Godbharle, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these O.As. and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these O.As.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.619 OF 2021
(Rahul R. Wakle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 to 5.

4. S.O. to 26.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.723 OF 2021
(Amol V. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.P. Darandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.07.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.853 OF 2018
(Pramod C. Bute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that certain amendment is necessary for deciding the matter and therefore he seeks leave of this Tribunal to file necessary application for amendment.

4. Leave as prayed for is granted.

5. S.O. to 28.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.580 OF 2018
(Amol R. Sakruskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.672 OF 2019
(Mahesh D. Tipale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.945 OF 2019
(Dr. Prashant D. Warkari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 01.08.222 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1070 OF 2019
(Suryabhan B. Nagre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri Umesh S. Mote, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent.**

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.844 OF 2019
(Raviraj R. Darake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.406 OF 2019
(Dayanand V. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Girish Awale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 15.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.302 OF 2021
(Hitendra P. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities affidavit-in-rejoinder is not filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.303 OF 2021
(Rajendrakumar G. Baviskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities affidavit-in-rejoinder is not filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387 OF 2021
(Shankar B. Ghogare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.638 OF 2021
(Ganesh R. Admankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri G.N. Patail, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 5.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 5, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 to 5.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.27 OF 2022
(Shaikh Hamed Shaikh Hyder Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.379 OF 2022
(Dr. Prakash R. Kanade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.449 OF 2022
(Sachin R. Gupta Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.482 OF 2022
(Ganesh V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.407 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1458 OF 2019
(Savita U. Gaikwad @ Sangita S. Wagh Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 in M.A.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.04 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1401 OF 2020
(Sampat B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.5 & 6. Shri S.P. Pandit, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 4, is **absent**.

2. Record shows that on 24.02.2022, last chance was granted to the respondent No.6 to file affidavit-in-reply. However, no affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent No.6.

4. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.6.

3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.27 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.64 OF 2022
(Madhavi M. Mulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants, is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate of the applicants, S.O. to 03.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.30 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.85 OF 2022
(Shitole B. Limbaji Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants, is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.78 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.293 OF 2022
(Vaishali B. Tote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**M.A.NO.167 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.553 OF 2022
(Agatrao N. Kolekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted
for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the
respondents.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**O.A.NOS.60, 61, 116 TO 136 AND 158 ALL OF 2022
(Abhaykumar S. Salve & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. The present matters have already been treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.422 OF 2019
(Syed Khaja Syed Meeran Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 02.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810 OF 2019
(Shamsundar M. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, leaned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shi V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89 OF 2020
(Madhukar G. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., most last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.189 OF 2020
(Sanjeev G. Vishpute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.350 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 01.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.351 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 01.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.352 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 01.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.439 OF 2020
(Bhimrao B. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Milind Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 01.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.517 OF 2020
(Nandkishor K. Gupta Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya A. Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1,2, 4 & 6 and Shri U.B. Bondar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3. Shri R.V. Naiknaware, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5, is **absent**.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.525 OF 2020
(Anita R. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.229 OF 2021

(Balbir Singh Jagannath Prasad Tyagi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 02.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2021
(Vishwanbhar V. Tidke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.609 OF 2021
(Nitin A. Mohane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.616 OF 2021
(Mayus L. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Yogesh B. Bolkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.625 OF 2021
(Yogesh J. Korade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 06.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06.07.2022