

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 27/2020 IN O.A. ST. 601/2019**  
**(Shri Shriniwas P. Kave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present application is filed for condonation of delay which has occasioned in filing accompanying O.A. In the O.A. the applicant is seeking quashment of the charge-sheet dated 5.2.2010 issued by the respondents. Considering the prayer clause, outwardly it may appear that the delay occasioned is about the period of 9 years. The learned counsel for the applicant however brought to our notice that since the applicant was ready to participate and face the enquiry, there was no reason to approach the Tribunal. But when nothing effective happened during the period 2010 to 2019, ultimately the applicant has filed the present O.A. for quashment of the enquiry with the present application seeking condonation of delay. The delay occasioned is unintentional and for bona-fide reason, the learned counsel added.

3. The learned Presenting Officer opposed for condoning the delay stating that the reasons assigned are not cogent and sufficient. He therefore prayed for dismissal of the present application.

4. After having gone through the pleadings in the present M.A., as well as, in the O.A., it appears to us that the delay in the present matter has to be condoned for the reasons as are stated in the said application. As has been stated by the learned counsel for the applicant up to the year 2016 the applicant was participating in the enquiry proceeding and has also submitted his say to the charges leveled against him. According to the applicant, the respondents are harassing him by keeping the enquiry proceeding pending for years together.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant has brought to our notice that the departmental enquiry has not proceeded an inch further. In the meantime the applicant has got retired on attaining the age of superannuation and his pensionary benefits are not fully paid

6. In the above circumstances, we are inclined to condone the delay so that the applicant may get an opportunity to prosecute the O.A. on merit. Hence, the following order :-

**O R D E R**

- (i) The M.A. stands allowed with no order as to costs.
- (ii) The delay occurred for filing the O.A. is condoned.
- (iii) Registry to register the accompanying O.A. according to law.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. ST. 601/2019**  
**(Shri Shriniwas P. Kave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.11.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 633/2012**  
**(Shri Sachin S. Unawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303/2014**  
**(Shri Prakash N. Shrivastav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555/2014  
(Shri Sudhir A. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575/2014**  
**(Shri Deepak B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 159/2016 WITH O.A. NO. 31/2017**  
**(Shri Subhash P. Gutte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Counsel for the applicant in both the matters and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 476/2016**  
**(Smt. Ashvini P. Gange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 733/2016**  
**(Shri Vithal L. Badhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 739/2016**  
**(Shri Datta B. Mukhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773/2016**  
**(Shri Govind D. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Karne, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 920/2016**  
**(Smt. Sunita M. Gabale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 171/2019**  
**(Dr. Vaishali R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri B.N. Gadegaonkar, learned counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 169/2021 IN O.A. ST. NO. 141/2021**  
**(Shri Anil J. Kande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Munde, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 614/2018**  
**(Dr. Minakshi B. Pathak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Rahul Pawar, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. When the present case is taken up for consideration Shri Pawar, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 4 & 5, on instructions, made a statement that favourable proposal has been forwarded by aforesaid respondents for approval to the State Government insofar as the grievance raised in the present O.A. is concerned and in such circumstances the learned counsel has prayed for disposing of the present matter.

3. Shri Wagh, learned counsel appearing for the applicant is not disputing the fact stated by Shri Pawar, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 4 & 5. Shri Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant has also prayed for disposal of the present matter relying on the submission so made by the learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

4. In view of concurrence given on behalf of applicant to the aforesaid proposal of learned counsel appearing for respondent nos. 4 & 5, the present Original Application stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 518/2021  
(Smt. Asmita M. Kekan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Arguments are finally heard and concluded.
3. For judgment on 12.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 614/2019 IN O.A. ST. NO. 2365/2019**  
**(Shri Hanuman P. Jararre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 882/2022**  
**(Dr. Swati V. Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The present applicant has been held not eligible for the admission to the P.G. course through in-service quota on the ground that the applicant appeared for NEET PG 2022 examination held on 21.5.2022 without obtaining the NOC from the competent authority before the date of the said examination and the NOC was obtained on 3.6.2022 i.e. after the date of the said examination.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant invited our attention to the letter dated 11.3.2022 (Annex. A-1 page 20 of paper book) written by the Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Parbhani to the Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad Region. The said communication reveals that the applicant had preferred the application on 10.1.2022 and the said application was forwarded by the Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Parbhani to the Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad Region on 4.3.2022. The Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant had applied well within the date before the said examination. He further submitted that if the delay had

occurred on part of the respondents in according such permission the applicant cannot be blamed and cannot be deprived from the right which has accrued in her favour.

4. The learned Chief Presenting Officer opposed for granting interim relief stating that time may be provided to take instructions as to why the delay had occurred in deciding the proposal of granting NOC to the applicant.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant had applied within time limit prescribed for grant of NOC, therefore, the applicant cannot be held responsible for delay caused by respondent authorities in granting NOC. The learned counsel for the applicant further pointed out that the process of admission has already began and if the candidature of the applicant is not considered, she may lose the chance of admission.

6. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties. We have perused the documents filed on record. The permission has been refused to the applicant on the sole ground that she did not seeks permission within prescribed time limit before appearing the NEET-PG-2022 examination. By placing on record the document at Annex. A-1 page 20 of paper book, which is referred hereinabove, the applicant has made out a prima-facie case evidencing that she had duly applied for permission to appear for NEET-PG-2022 examination in advance by filing application dated 10.1.2022. At present there is nothing contrary on record. The applicant has asserted that the delay in granting the permission has not at her instance. In the circumstances, it appears to us that the applicant has certainly made out a *prima-facie* case for

grant of ad-interim relief in her favour. Hence the following order :-

**ORDER**

Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.11.2022.

2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**7. Respondents are directed to provisionally consider the candidature of the applicant for her admission to the PG course through in-service quota, subject to outcome of the present O.A.**

8. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 26/2020 IN O.A. ST. 600/2019**  
**(Shri Shriniwas P. Kave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application seeking condonation of delay which has occasioned in filing accompanying Original Application. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that against the impugned order initially the applicant approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing Writ Petition No. 5187/2016. He further brought to our notice that when the matter was taken up for consideration before the Hon'ble High Court on 4.12.2018, the learned counsel for respondents raised an objection that the petitioner has an alternate remedy before this Tribunal and thereafter the request made by the learned counsel for the applicant for withdrawing the said Writ Petition with liberty to file appropriate petition before the Tribunal was allowed by the Hon'ble High Court. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that thereafter within 3 months accompanying O.A. has been filed. He further submits that the delay occasioned is unintentional and without any mala-fide reason.

3. The learned Presenting Officer opposed for condoning the delay stating that the reasons assigned are not cogent and sufficient. He therefore prayed for dismissal of the present application.

4. From the documents filed on record and after hearing the submissions of both the sides it reveals that the applicant was prosecuting the Writ Petition. However when it was brought to the notice of the Hon'ble High Court that the applicant has an alternate remedy before the Tribunal, the applicant has withdrawn the said Writ Petition and Hon'ble High Court has granted liberty to file O.A. before this Tribunal.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant has brought to our notice that the departmental enquiry has not proceeded an inch further. In the meantime the applicant has got retired on attaining the age of superannuation.

6. It is true that despite having alternate efficacious remedy to raise the grievance before this Tribunal, the applicant filed the Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court. However, it cannot be said that there was any mala-fide in filing such petition or that it was deliberate attempt on part of the applicant to file the Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court. As has been submitted by the learned counsel, the applicant was bona-fide prosecuting the remedy of Writ Petition and the moment it was realized that there is alternate efficacious remedy, the applicant sought leave from the Hon'ble High Court to withdraw the said petition with liberty to file the same before this Tribunal. As such, we are inclined to allow the present application. Hence the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) The M.A. stands allowed with no order as to costs.
- (ii) The delay occurred for filing the O.A. is condoned.
- (iii) Registry to register the accompanying O.A. according to law.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 600/2019**  
**(Shri Shriniwas P. Kave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.11.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 25.11.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. NO. 09/2021 IN O.A. NO. 70/2018**  
**(Shri Dadabhau T. Parte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.10.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 734/2019**  
**(Shamsundar R. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 760/2019**  
**(Vishnu B. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56/2021**  
**(Santosh S. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri Vijay C. Suradkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 59/2021  
(Baban S. Ramfale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri Vijay C. Suradkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 799/2021**  
**(Shirish R. Yadav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.11.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continued till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62/2022**  
**(Sanjay N. Hange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.168/2022**  
**(Shirish R. Yadav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.J. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.S. Chapalgaonkar, learned Counsel for the respondent No.3, are present.

2. S.O. to 07.10.2022. **High on board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.51/2021 IN O.A.ST.184/2021**  
**(Sangameshwar M. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.206/2021 IN O.A.ST.608/2021**  
**(Nitin M. Baviskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.345/2022 IN O.A.NO.125/2019**  
**(Uttam G. Shinde (Died) LRs. Lilawati U. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Despande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.346/2022 IN O.A.NO.127/2019**  
**(Uttam G. Shinde (Died) LRs. Sumanbai U. Kshirsagar Vs. State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.383/2022 IN O.A.NO.687/2022**  
**(Shivaji S. Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Despande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.28/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.602/2019**  
**(Shriniwas Karve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-10-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Accompanying O.A. is filed seeking following reliefs:

*"A) The charge sheet dated 16-07-2010 issued by respondent may kindly be quashed and set aside being illegal.*

*B) The period of suspension from 17.08.2010 to 30-06-2014 may kindly be regularized with annual increments for intervening period including the amount of leave encashment accruable on the same period.*

*C) The applicant may kindly be granted 12% interest from 16-07-2010 till the amount is actually paid.*

*D) The cost of Rs.50,000/- may be imposed on the respondents.*

*E) To grant any other just and equitable relief which this Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit in favour of the applicant.”*

3. Since some delay has occasioned in filing the O.A., present separate M.A. has been filed seeking condonation of delay so occasioned. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted the Government has not taken any action for years together and in the circumstances there was no other alternative with the applicant but to approach the Tribunal praying for setting aside the chargesheet and departmental enquiry against him. Learned Counsel submitted that the delay occasioned is for the genuine reasons and without any mala fides.

4. Learned P.O. opposed for condoning the delay stating that reasons as are assigned are neither cogent nor sufficient. Therefore, he has prayed for rejection of the M.A.

5. After going through the pleadings and arguments advanced by both the sides, it appears to us that



matter will have to be decided on merit and delay has to be condoned. Since the Government itself has stayed the further departmental enquiry proceedings in 2012 and the same is not proceeded further till date, as has been submitted by the learned Counsel, the matter needs to be inquired into thoroughly. Hence, we pass following order:

ORDER

- (i) M.A.No.28/2020 is allowed.
- (ii) The delay occasioned in filing the accompanying Original Application is hereby condoned.
- (iii) The Registry is directed to register and number the accompanying O.A. in accordance with law by taking into account other office objection/s, if any.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.602/2019**  
**(Shriniwas Karve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **06-10-2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.M.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25-11-2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25-11-2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.439/2022 IN O.A.NO.498/2022**  
**(Sandip Laxman Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-10-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned CPO undertakes to file affidavit in reply in any case on or before 13-10-2022. The matter be listed for hearing on the same day.

3. S.O. to 13-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.773/2022**  
**(Mangala Vishwanath Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06-10-2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned CPO has tendered across the bar certain documents received to his office vide communication dated 30-09-2022 from the Government. Documents are taken on record. Copies of the said documents are supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 19-10-2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 06-10-2022

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532 OF 2020**  
**(Hemant Jayant Kinhikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**M.A. 430/2022 IN M.A. 431/22 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1463/22**  
**(Kana Surmal Pawara & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Y.H. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in delay condonation application, returnable on 21.11.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 333/2022 IN O.A.NO. 472/2022**  
**(Pandhari G. Devershe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanjay Kolhare, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 876 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Pravinkumar Y. Thakare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 14.11.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 14.11.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 870 OF 2022**  
**(Shantaram M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1619 OF 2022**  
**(Sachin Ravindra Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kuldeep Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri Nilesh J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 27/2022 IN O.A.NO. 349/2022**  
**(Sahebrao S. Pallewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar certain documents claiming that the order has been complied with. There are some reservations in respect of the submissions made from the side of the applicant. In the circumstances, matter stands adjourned to 14.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 870 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Devrao Satwaji Dakhure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.10.2022 by way of last chance. **High on board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 325 TO 327 ALL OF 2021**  
**(Pankaj Kailash Kelkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants, on instructions, submits that the purpose of filing the present Original Applications has been served. He has, therefore, sought permission to withdraw the present Original Applications. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

The present Original Applications stand disposed of since withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 341/2019 AND 589 & 702 BOTH OF 2018**  
**(Smt. Kamal R. Rathod & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

S/Shri A.M. Nagarkar & V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective matters and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

Shri S.S. Patankar, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 589/2018 (**absent**).

2. Some record was directed to be produced on record. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the said record is not yet received. He has sought one week's time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696 OF 2019**  
**(Supadu Kashiram Surwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 960 OF 2018**  
**(Sunil Baban Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Vidya Ajay Taksal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 14.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 42/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1695/2021**  
**(Dr. Shivaji G. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that as per order passed by this Tribunal on 28.09.2022 respondent No. 3 has deposited the amount of costs of Rs. 5000/- in the office of this Tribunal today. Hence, affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 be accepted on record and copy of it be served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel Shri Umesh S. Mote appeared today and submits that he is appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4 and he has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of the said respondent along with **VAKALATNAMA**. The copy of the affidavit in reply is taken on record and same has been served on the other side.

4. S.O. to 15.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226 OF 2022**  
**(Bhaskar V. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752 OF 2022**  
**(Manisha C. Panchal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Anand Deshpande, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.11.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A.NO. 11/2022 (W.P.NO. 7659/2022)**  
**(Dr. Shaikh Aizaz Iqbal Ahmed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.N. Sabnis, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 149 TO 151 ALL OF 2020**  
**(Vitthal S. Ambatwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 9.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2022**  
**(Shaikh Nasir SHAikh Miya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 65/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1296/2021**  
**(Raju U. Hanawate & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 370/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1556/2018**  
**(Jagannath P. Hanwate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 6.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 **(absent)**.

2. List the present case for hearing on 20.10.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 6.10.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A.NO. 01/2018 (W.P.NO. 15249/2017)**  
**(Chanda d/o Ramrao Hingole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**  
**Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **6.10.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is motion moved for speaking to minutes in the order passed in T.A. No. 01/2018 (W.P. No. 15249/2017) on 28.09.2022.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the direction also needs to be issued against the Maharashtra Public Service Commission since that is the body which first has to recommend the name of the applicant. As such, following order is passed:

**ORDER**

(i) Clause (i) of operative order dated 28.09.2022 be corrected as follows:

“Respondent No. 1 is directed to recommend the name of the applicant for the appointment of applicant to the post of

Deputy Engineer (Mechanical) within three weeks from today and respondent No. 3 shall issue the order of appointment in favour of the applicant within three weeks thereafter.”

(ii) Motion is accordingly disposed of. Aforesaid corrections be carried out in the order dated 28.09.2022 and corrected copy of order be issued to the concerned immediately.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 06.10.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883 OF 2022**

**(Ramchandra S. Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **04.11.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **04.11.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**DATE : 06.10.2022**

**M.A. No. 437/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1552/2022**  
**(Balaji G. Harale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on **10.11.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **10.11.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 04/2020 in O.A. No. 333/2017  
(Shital U. Soni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P. No. 05/2020 in O.A. No. 336/2017  
(Dr. Yogesh V. Solanke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P. No. 06/2020 in O.A. No. 332/2017  
(Dr. Anil S. Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these cases, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases and S/shri M.S. Jagtap-Ware, M.S. Taur & V.P. Narwade, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 in respective cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 790 OF 2019  
(Gajanan K. Kakade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Mete, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2020  
(Shantaram P. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A. No. 07/2021 (W.P. No. 10329/2021)  
(Shilpa A. Chate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**T.A. No. 08/2021 (W.P. No. 10446/2021)  
(Sonali R. Raghuwanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**T.A. No. 09/2021 (W.P. No. 11027/2021)  
(Sahil Badshaha Shaikh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

S/shri A.C. Darandale & H.U. Dhage, learned counsels for the applicants in respective cases (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, is present.

2. Notices not collected by the applicants.
3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2021  
(Arvind S. Bhavar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93 OF 2022  
(Vidya S. Sudane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115 OF 2022  
(Pralhad V. Kurewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Alkesh Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri R.B. Ade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 137 OF 2022  
(Abhijit V. Bhapkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. Nos. 153/2022, 154/2022 & 155/2022  
(Rupali J. Namewar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these cases (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present. Shri S.R. Yadav-Lonikar, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 in O.A. Nos. 154/2022 & 155/2022, **absent**.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any in all these cases.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 169 OF 2022  
(Nisar Kha Abdullatif Kha Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 423 OF 2022  
(Appasaheb B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.V. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2022  
(Swannand B. Thorve & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2022  
(Akash K. Chougule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 789 OF 2022  
(Sukhdeo R. Solankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.K. Khandelwal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 224/2019 in O.A. No. 236/2019  
(Sanjay B. Udar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 611/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2374/2019  
(Rambhau A. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,  
Vice Chairman  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 06.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.10.2022