

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 444/2022
(Shri Bibhishan N. Hawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the respondents are served and he will file service affidavit during the course of the day. Respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before the next date.

3. S.O. to 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 246/2022 IN O.A. 742/2021
(Dr. Pratap H. Salve & Another Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 5.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.
3. S.O. to 18.8.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022

M.A. 285/2022 IN O.A. ST. 1109/2022
(Seema Ajij Shaikh & Another Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the advertisement is published for the entire State and as such, the applicant no. 2, Shri Tushar Dinkar Thombare, can very well raise the grievance, if any, before this Tribunal. Reliance is placed on the judgment delivered by the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench Aurangabad in Writ Petition No. 3228/2020.

3. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has opposed for granting the application stating that so far as the applicant no. 2 is concerned, this Tribunal may not have any jurisdiction.

4. In view of the order passed by the Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court, the objection so raised by the learned

::-2-:

M.A. 285/2022 IN
O.A. ST. 1109/2022

Chief Presenting Officer cannot be sustained. The application therefore deserves to be allowed and is accordingly allowed without any order as to costs.

5. Office to register the accompanying Original Application in accordance with law.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1109/2022
(Seema Ajij Shaikh & Another Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants pointed out that in the similar set of circumstances this Tribunal in O.A. No. 547/2022 (Ganesh S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) has passed an interim order. The copy of the said order is also placed on record. The learned counsel, however, prayed for passing the interim order as per prayer clause (E) of the application, which reads thus :-

“(E) Pending hearing and final disposal of this original application, effect, execution and implementation to the revised recommendation list dated 20.06.2022 pursuant to the advertisement No. 12/2019 to the extent of candidates whose names has been included pursuant to letter dated 15.09.2021 of Range Forest Officer, Group B may kindly be stayed.”

3. In view of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. NO. 547/2022 the learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted for passing appropriate order.

4. As stated in the application the applicants have successfully undergone the selection process for the post of Range Forest Officer Group-B and their names were also recommended by the M.P.S.C. In the meanwhile the M.P.S.C. vide its letter dated 15.9.2021 modified the educational qualification and resultantly the persons, who were not earlier qualified have now been qualified by the Commission.

5. Having regard to the facts as aforesaid there appears prima-facie substance in the grievance made by the applicants that the M.P.S.C. has in the midst of the selection process has modified the criteria of educational qualification. The impugned act of the M.P.S.C. prima-facie appears to be against the settled legal principle. For the reasons stated above, I deem it appropriate to pass the following order :-

ORDER

1. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 1.8.2022.
2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

3. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. In the meanwhile if recommendation is made by the M.P.S.C. of any candidate giving relaxation in the educational qualification as contained in the letter dated 15.9.2021, the recommendation of the said candidate/s shall be subject to final outcome of the present O.A.

7. S.O. to 1.8.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A. 261/2022 WITH M.A. 257/2022 IN O.A. 447/2022
(Shri Dr. Pratap M. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 5.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel holding for Shri R.R. Kale, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A., are present.

2. After hearing the arguments of the learned counsel appearing for the parties, it appears to me that it would be appropriate to hear and decide these Misc. Applications along with Original Application.

3. S.O. to 1.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211/2022
(Smt. Smita R. Achme Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 5.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The P.O. has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 99 OF 2018
(Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2019
(Ashok R. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 781 OF 2018
(Suryakant M. Garude & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 28.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2018
(Shailendra H. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5, **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for production of original record.

3. S.O. to 20.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 70 OF 2020

(Shaikh Hamed Shaikh Dadamiyan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 31 OF 2022
(Vijay R. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri G.B. Kadlag, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other sides.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

4. S.O. to 13.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 419/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1799/2021
(Nilabai P. Mamulwar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
3. S.O. to 14.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939 OF 2016
(Rajendra B. Salvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that he has received instructions in writing dated 04.07.2022 (Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification) from the applicant for withdrawal of the present Original Applicant showing that he has already received benefit of deemed date of promotion. Therefore, he seeks permission to withdraw the present O.A.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission. Hence, permission to withdraw the present O.A. is granted. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn and grievance being redressed. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160 OF 2021
(Mayur R. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 21.07.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2020
(Kiran S. Pathare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to minor punishment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 21.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A. No. 91/2021 in O.A. St. No. 327/2021
(Bhaginath C. Malika vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avishkar Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.07.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340 OF 2022
(Nandkishor S. Chitlange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 01.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514 OF 2021
(Gautam G. Dhule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to deemed date of promotion. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 703 OF 2021
(Hema S. Dangat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.S. Undre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 & 7 and Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavits in reply jointly on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6 and separately on behalf of respondent No. 7. Same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. Await service of notice on the respondents.

4. S.O. to 01.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 489 OF 2021
(Sanjay N. Hange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Abhijit Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.07.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2021
(Kirtimala M. Sonwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to transfer. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 908 OF 2019
(Shaikh Nisar Ahmed Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to refund of recovered amount. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 835 OF 2018
(Sunil K. Pawara Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to appointment of Police Patil. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 596 OF 2022
(Kantabai B. Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.U. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2022
(Dr. Dinesh S. Ravtale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.07.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 19.07.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 476 OF 2020
(Laxmikant V. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2020
(Vishnu Eknathrao Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission. Hence, permission to withdraw the present O.A. is granted. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 131 OF 2020
(Ratan Sahebrao Narwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission. Hence, permission to withdraw the present O.A. is granted. The O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 455 OF 2022
(Satilal Z. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 834 OF 2019
(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent 1 & 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 01.08.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 01.08.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 481 OF 2022
(Dhanwantsingh H. Saini Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day service affidavit would be filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
3. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 4.
4. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
5. S.O. to 28.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 359 OF 2021
(Hajrabee @ Narbee Shaikh Nijam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 5 & 6 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4. Shri Abed M. Pathan, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7, **absent**.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed only on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 submits that the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 adopt the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 4.

4. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 5 & 6 submits that the respondent Nos. 1 and 6 adopt the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 5.

5. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the private respondent No. 7 has not filed affidavit in reply.

6. Learned Advocate submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

7. S.O. to 20.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 35/2022 in O.A. St. No. 105/2022
(Om D. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 09 OF 2022
(Dr. Prithviraj K. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer placed on record a copy of communication dated 19.04.2022 addressed by the District Civil Surgeon, Beed i.e. the respondent No. 4 to the Accountant General (A&G)-II, Nagpur, seeking grant of provisional pension to the applicant by way of continuation from 01.08.2019 onwards. Same is taken on record and marked as documents 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Record shows that there was previous litigation between the parties. Record further shows that in spite of grant of several opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Already two last chances were granted for filing affidavit in reply. In view of the same, the present matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

4. The burden is upon the applicant to produce on record the necessary documents, if any which will be necessary to decide the present Original Application.

5. S.O. to 20.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 600 OF 2022

(Dr. Rahimoddin Rasulsab Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present O.A. is filed challenging the impugned order dated 01.07.2022 (Annexure A-1) issued by the respondent No. 4 i.e. the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad (Health Division), Latur, Dist. Latur, thereby relieving the applicant from his post of Taluka Health Officer, Ausa, Tq. Ausa, Dist. Latur by purportedly exercising his powers under rule 14(2)(i) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that this impugned order is directly in contravention of the provisions of the G.R. dated 07.03.2002 (Annexure A-8) issued by the Public Health Department of State of Maharashtra, whereby it is provided that before taking such action of relieving the employee ex-parte by the borrowing authority, necessary proposal for

//2//

O.A. No. 600/2022

-

taking disciplinary action may be made to the department, if there are allegations of misconduct. Therefore, he seeks interim relief of stay to the execution and implementation of the impugned order of transfer dated 01.07.2022.

4. In the case in hand, it appears that there is background of alleged misconduct of the applicant.

5. Learned C.P.O. opposed the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and sought time for filing affidavit in reply and contended that the applicant has already been relieved ex-parte.

6. It is true that the applicant by the impugned order dated 01.07.2022 (Annexure A-1) has been relieved ex-parte by the respondent No. 4 by purportedly exercising his powers under 14(2)(i) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979. However, opening words of sub-rule (2) is that prima-facie, after receipt of and in the light of the findings in the disciplinary proceeding conducted against the Government servant, such power is to be exercised. There is nothing on record to show that the disciplinary action is initiated against the applicant. In

such circumstances, in my considered opinion, the impugned order of relieving the applicant ex-parte on 01.07.2022 is directly in contravention of the provisions of G.R. dated 07.03.2002 (Annexure A-8). In view of the same, even if the applicant is already relieved ex-parte, I am constrained to grant stay to the execution and implementation of the said impugned order by restoring position of the applicant as on the date of passing the order. In the facts and circumstances, interim relief is granted accordingly. The applicant to give undertaking of his good behavior while discharging his duties.

7. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 29.07.2022.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

//4//

O.A. No. 600/2022

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 21/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2424/2019
(Sarjerao V. Thombre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avishkar Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The present matter is pertaining to benefits of ACPS.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 28.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2018
(Bansilal C. Jaiswal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Raut, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1, Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 and Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 796 OF 2019
(Laxmibai U. Bahirwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.
3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2020
(Prashant E. Supekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit in rejoinder is not filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2020
(Sandu R. Magar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 02.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 588 OF 2020
(Savita S. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit in rejoinder is not filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2021
(Sunita E. Ghusale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Smt. S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit in rejoinder is not filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. S.O. to 01.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375 OF 2021
(Ravindra R. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 376 OF 2021
(Hanumant S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 377 OF 2021
(Vivekanad P. Dhongade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 402 OF 2021
(Sudhakar N. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 4 & 5. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 403 OF 2021
(Navnath D. Ghorpade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 4 & 5. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404 OF 2021
(Jayshree P. Hambire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 417 OF 2021
(Ramakant D. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 414 OF 2021

(Shaikh Gulab Sher Muhammad Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2021
(Dr. Sonali T. Gadhave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mayur Salunke / Yeshwant Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of granted of opportunities, affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Hence, the matter will proceed further in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651 OF 2021
(Dr. Shivaji D. BirareVs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondent No. 1, returnable on 28.07.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 28.07.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 24/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1607/2019
(Ashok Kisanrao Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this Misc. Application, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 794 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 challenging the impugned recovery order dated 20.10.2015 (Annexure A-1 in O.A.) issued by the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Nanded towards fine for unauthorized use of the Government servant even after transfer of the applicant from Nanded to Himayatnagar vide transfer order dated 10.07.2011 (Annexure A-5 in O.A.).

3. Admittedly at the time of issuance of the transfer order dated 1.07.2011 (Annexure A-5 in O.A.), the applicant along with his family was living in Government quarter allotted to him at Nanded. After his transfer from Nanded to Himayatnagar vide

//2// M.A. 24/2020 in
O.A. St. 1607/2019

transfer order dated 10.07.2011 (Annexure A-5 in O.A.), the applicant did not vacate the said quarters. He vacated the said government quarters only in February, 2014.

4. The impugned order dated 20.10.2015 (Annexure A-1 in O.A.) issued by the respondent No. 2 after receipt of account verification report of the Accountant General-II, Nagpur for the period of 01.03.2011 to 31.03.2013, thereby recovery of an amount of Rs. 1,70,348/- towards fine for unauthorizedly overstaying in the Government quarters is ordered.

5. It is the contention of the applicant that the said impugned recovery order is directly in contravention of the provisions of the G.R. dated 06.08.2002 (Annexure A-11 in O.A.), whereby it is provided that if the Government servant is transferred to Naxalite affected area, then he is allowed to retain the Government quarters allotted to him immediately before such transfer for three years or till the period of tenure in Naxalite affected area, whichever is earlier. The applicant said to have vacated the Government quarters within the said stipulated period of three

//3// M.A. 24/2020 in
O.A. St. 1607/2019

years. In view of the same, it is the contention of the applicant that he has good case on merits. After receipt of the said impugned recovery order dated 20.10.2015, the applicant said to have made various representations seeking exemption from the said recovery. He made such representations from December, 2015 to February 2019 as reflected in Annexure A-1 collectively in M.A. It is further contention of the applicant that due to lack of practical knowledge of legal provisions, he could not file the Original Application in time. Hence, the present Misc. Application.

6. The affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents, in spite of grant of opportunities. Hence, hearing of the present M.A. proceeded without affidavit in reply.

7. After having considered the contentions raised in the O.A. and M.A. prima-facie, the applicant has good case on merits. Facts also reveal that some of the amount is recovered from the salary of the applicant. However, now further recovery is not going on for whatever reasons.

//4// M.A. 24/2020 in
O.A. St. 1607/2019

8. Considering the dates involved in the matter, it is evident that there is delay of about 794 days as pleaded by the applicant.

9. From the facts and circumstances, it cannot be said that the delay was caused by the applicant deliberately or intentionally, thereby the applicant had nothing to gain. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. In view of the facts and circumstances, refusing to condone the delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay by imposing the moderate costs of Rs. 1000/- on the applicant. Hence, I proceed to pass following order :-

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 24/2020 is allowed in following terms:-

- (i) The delay of 794 days caused for filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of

//5//

M.A. 24/2020 in
O.A. St. 1607/2019

costs of Rs. 1000/- by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal by the applicant within a period of one month from the date of this order.

- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

Date :05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.608 OF 2022

(Mahendra S. Surwade V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

1. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, ld. P.O. for the respondents, are present
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 01.08.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **01.08.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.528 OF 2019
(Dr. Kishor H. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 21.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721 OF 2019
(Bhagwan W. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 20.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.310 OF 2020
(Sandip P. Nalwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 22.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2021
(Nagnath P. Telgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 21.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.714 OF 2021
(Rahul G. Malsmindar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 20.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.388 OF 2018
(Namdeo P. Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 03.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.54 OF 2019
(Varsha S. Paware Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri V.C. Patil (Ashtekar), learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. By consent of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 & 2, S.O. 04.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.122 OF 2019
(Shaikh Ahmed Abudl Satter Mujawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 04.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.564 OF 2019
(Vijay M. Lad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. 01.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.900 OF 2019
(Arvind R. Bhingardive Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 19.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.907 OF 2019
(Dr. Namdeo V. Korde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 5 and Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.6.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 21.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.965 OF 2019
(Ashok S. Birajdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 02.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.04 OF 2020
(Chhaya S. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 03.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A.NO.34 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.122 OF 2021
(Vijay R. Shrinagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 04.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384 OF 2021
(Shripatrao B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. 12.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.963 OF 2018
(Vitthal G. Mhashal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.964 OF 2018
(Laxman C. Zole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.965 OF 2018
(Navnath G. Vairal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.J. Godbole, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.404 OF 2022
(Mangesh D. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.470 OF 2022
(Prasad D. Mule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.471 OF 2022
(Jayant S. Bhamre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.102 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.458 OF 2021
(Ashok N. Jire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.P. Dube, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A.NO.209 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.580 OF 2017
(Subhmangal S. Bhakt Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 19.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A.NO.25 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.699 OF 2021
(Narayan N. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A.NO.84 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.124 OF 2022
(Govind B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. deposited extra copy of reply for the applicant.
4. S.O. to 02.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**M.A.NO.208 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.794 OF 2021
(Vishwas R. Nimbalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Sokolkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No.3.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.07.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.813 OF 2021
(Gayatri H. Katore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. 29.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.229 OF 2022
(Suresh K. Bharati Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.L. Jakhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.402 OF 2022
(Maroti C. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 19.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**O.A.NOS. 379, 408, 536, 537, 538, 539, 550, 551 AND
704 AII OF 2018
(Dr. Kanchan T. Bhogre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 05.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. The present matters are closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.07.2022

**C.P.NO. 29/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1014/2019
(Bade R. Shridhar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 5.7.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Vinaya Dharurkar, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 601 OF 2022
(Deepak U. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant,
S.O. to 19.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 22/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2431/2019
(Bhanudas A. Kalhapure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay caused in filing accompanying Original Application is condoned.

3. The Original Application be registered in accordance with law. The M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 2431 OF 2019
(Bhanudas A. Kalhapure & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration of the O.A., issue notice to respondents, returnable on 11.8.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. ST.NO. 2431 OF 2019

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**C.P.NO. 15/2022 IN O.A.NO. 25/2021
(Shankar P. Dange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant,
S.O. to 5.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 578 OF 2022
(Shailesh U. Pawar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 12.8.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - :: O.A.NO. 578 OF 2022

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 936 OF 2022
(Shital B. Tarate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant,
S.O. to 20.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 597 OF 2022
(Babasaheb B. Narwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Rahul O. Awasarmol, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 15.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2022
(Shrikrishna N. Nakate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512 OF 2022
(Suknil B. Magre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 315/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1338/2021
(Chandrashekhar S. Iyer Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant reissue notices to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. No. 315/2021, returnable on 12.8.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

:: - 2 - :: M.A. 315/21 IN O.A.ST. 1338/21

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**O.A.NO. 829/2018 WITH O.A.NO. 96/2020
(Kiran P. Prabhakar Kolte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 5.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 341 OF 2019
(Komal R. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar copy of representation submitted to the respondents and the same is taken on record. The copy of the same be given to the other side.

3. S.O. to 27.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387 OF 2018
(Pramod V. Gite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned counsel for respondent No. 5
(absent).

2. Till today respondent No. 5 has not filed affidavit in reply. All other respondents have filed affidavit in reply. List the present case for hearing without affidavit in reply of respondent No. 5.

3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 511 OF 2019
(Sambhaji I. Bhandare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol A. Kokad, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to
10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2019
(Yusuf Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing
affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 9 OF 2020
(Dr. Anita R. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and
Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing
affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45 OF 2020
(Shila A. Mule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.D. Bachate, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2021
(Arvind S. Bhavar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2021
(Santosh S. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vijay C. Suradkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 57 OF 2021
(Dr. Gangadhar V. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 59 OF 2021
(Baban S. Ramfale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vijay C. Suradkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 101 OF 2021
(Dhansingh B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2021
(Swapnil S. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Vinay Dharurkar, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri Avinash Shejwal, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 637 OF 2021
(Ravindra G. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211 OF 2022
(Smita R. Achme Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 454 OF 2022
(Rajendra B. Kawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.V. Gujar, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 534/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2024/2019
(Subhash B. ;Selukar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants
and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. Second set not filed.
3. S.O. to 1.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

M.A.NO. 5/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 778/2020
(Shridhar R. Kundatwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 3.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 402/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1631/2021
(Prakash H. Bhamare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to
2.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 55/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 604/2021
(Sarang K. Gorage Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 3.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

M.A.NO. 60/2022 IN O.A.NO. 67/2022
(Gaus Mokhayyopdin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 3.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

M.A.NO. 94/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 249/2022
(Deepak S. Shelkhane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for
filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 95/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 109/2022
(Sanjay V. Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 364/2019 IN C.P.43/2018 IN O.A.838/2015
WITH 122/2018 WITH 558/2018 WITH 376/2019
(Ramdas T. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants
and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for
the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 589 OF 2018
(Govind G. Khatgaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Patankar, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for
the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to
12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 702 OF 2018
(Dashrath G. Bure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and
Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 702 OF 2019
(Ismail @ Raju Mohd. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Jitendra V. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

C.P.NO. 19/2020 IN O.A.NO. 704/2017
(Asman D. Garje & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
C.P.NO. 27/2020 IN O.A.NO. 749/2017
(Mohd. Abdul & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.B. Choudary, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1387 OF 2000
(Smt. Prayagabai G. Ghule Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants
and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 3.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2019
(Vijaykumar S. Dhanje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Ware, learned counsel for the applicants and
Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 3.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 246 OF 2019
(Shrinivas S. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 2020
(Dr. Maheshkumar L. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kishor Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri S.K. Naikwade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.
3. S.O. to 4.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 136 OF 2020
(Rameshwar G. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.K. Borkar, learned counsel holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 5.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**O.A.NOS. 149 TO 151 ALL OF 2020
(Vithal J. Ambatwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.S. Bhapkar, learned counsel holding for Shri Sanjay L. Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 5.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 31 OF 2021
(Sattarkhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri M.P. Gude, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that he will file affidavit in reply on his behalf during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 8.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2021
(Rajendra A. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for
the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing
affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 8.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

M.A.NO.358/2015 IN O.A.NO. 238/2015
(Dinesh S. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.J. Godbole, learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2016
(Dhanraj R. Dhumare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Bharat Varma, learned counsel for the applicant
(**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondent Nos. 1 to 4, is present. Shri Avinash S.
Deshmukh, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5 has filed
leave note.

2. S.O. to 10.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 903 OF 2018
(Dr. Jalindar S. Ambhore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2019
(Prakash F. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and
Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2019
(Mukund B. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : **5.7.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Vinaya Dharurkar, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.8.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD

T.A. 11/1999 (W.P. 3251/1993)
T.A. 19/1999 (W.P. 2311/1994)
T.A. 26/1999 (W.P. 2772/1993)
T.A. 47/1999 (W.P. 776/1993)
T.A. 07/1999 (W.P. 3765/1997)
T.A. 06/2006 (W.P. 4261/1995)
T.A. 36/2002 (W.P. 3007/1991)
M.A. 311/2008 in T.A. 42/1999 (W.P. 1274/1991)
T.A. 17/1999 (W.P. 2791/1994)
T.A. 35/1998 (W.P. 296/1998)
M.A. 309/2008 in T.A. 24/1999 (W.P. 3607/1993)
M.A. 306/2008 in T.A. 36/1999 (W.P. 652/1994)
T.A. 28/1999 (W.P. 1253/1991)
T.A. 30/1999 (W.P. 617/1994)
T.A. 33/1999 (W.P. 3796/1997)
T.A. 34/1999 (W.P. 3620/1997)
T.A. 37/1999 (W.P. 1382/1994)
T.A. 14/1999 (W.P. 3770/1997)
T.A. 16/1999 (W.P. 1226/1991)
T.A. 13/1999 (W.P. 777/1995)
T.A. 20/1999 (W.P. 882/1991)
T.A. 38/1999 (W.P. 329/1992)
(Marathwada Serva Shramik Sanghatana through its Joint
Secretary M.S. Sonwane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due
to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 5.07.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicants,
(**present**). S/Shri S.R. Barlinge, Subhash Mundhe & B.B.
Yenge, learned counsel for the respective applicants in
respective matters, **are absent**.

Shri Goverdhan Ganoji, party in person in T.A. No.
06/2006 (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all the
cases, is present.

=2=

Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 in T.A. No. 11/1999 (W.P.No. 3251/1993) (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 20.7.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 5.7.2022-HDD