

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 323/2022
(Baliram Babunath Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Two advance increments granted in favour of the applicant payable from 1.10.2008 have been withdrawn vide order dated 4.3.2021 passed by respondent no. 3. Aggrieved by the aforesaid order, the applicant has preferred the present Original Application seeking quashment of order dated 4.3.2021. The applicant has also sought the direction against the respondents to implement the order whereby 2 advance increments were granted to the applicant.

3. The applicant is working on the post of Jr. Clerk. When he was working on the establishment of Police Superintendent, Nashik (Rural) 2 advance increments were granted to the applicant and order

::-2-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

in that regard was issued by respondent no. 2 on 20.6.2009. On 24.8.2017 Government Resolution came to be issued from the General Administration Department of the State, whereby it was resolved that during the period in which the revised pay scale, according to the recommendations of the 6th Pay Commission, was made payable (from 1.10.2006 to 1.10.2015) the benefit of advance increment shall not be given. According to the applicant, the said GR was contrary to the provisions under the law and hence representation was made by him for release of 2 advance increments granted in his favour payable from 1.10.2008. The said request ultimately came to be rejected vide order dated 4.3.2021 referred hereinabove.

4. Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the applicant has assailed the impugned order on several grounds. The learned counsel submitted that the impugned decision is contrary to the law laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in the judgment delivered in **Writ Petition No. 1954/2018**, as well as, **Writ Petition No.**

12699/2018 (Ravindra Vana Patil Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.). The learned counsel pointed out that while the benefit of advance increment is refused to the applicant by the office of the Special Inspector General of Police, Range Nashik, the office of Inspector General of Police, Nanded Range has issued an order in favour of one Shri Sheshrao Tukaram Anchule implementing earlier order dated 3.9.2007 whereby said Shri Sheshrao Anchule was granted 2 advance increments. The learned counsel in the circumstances prayed for setting aside the impugned order and for implementation of the earlier order passed in favour of the applicant giving him 2 advance increments with all consequential benefits.

5. The respondent nos. 3 & 4 have filed common affidavit in reply to the OA thereby opposing the claim of the applicant. According to the respondents, as the Government had made applicable the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission and has accordingly revised the pay scale w.e.f. 1.10.2006, the applicant was not entitled for the advance increments payable under the old

::-4-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

scheme i.e. as per the recommendations of 5th Pay Commission. The learned PO reiterated the contentions raised in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent nos. 3 & 4 and supported the impugned order.

6. I have duly considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant, as well as, the respondents. I have gone through the pleadings of the parties, documents filed on record and the GRs referred to and relied upon by the parties.

7. After the recommendations were made by 6th Pay Commission, a decision was taken by the State Government vide GR dated 23.9.2008 to appoint a Committee to make recommendations for improvising pay scales of the employees of the Government as per the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission. One Shri PMA Hakim, retired Secretary in the Central Government was heading the said Committee known as “राज्य वेतन सुधारणा समिती, २००८”. The said Committee submitted its report to the State Government on 20.12.2008. After such report was submitted to the State Government, the State Government vide Resolution dated 27.2.2009

::-5-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

resolved to accept the recommendations made by said Hakim Committee with some modifications and accordingly Schedule was appended to the said GR dated 27.2.2009. The subject of advance increment is at clause 3.24 of the said Resolution at Sr. No. 27. Hakim Committee recommended as under :-

3.24 "that barring the employees falling in pay-band 4, to 5% of the officers and employees falling in other pay-bands shall be given increment at the rate of 4% of their pay instead of usual increment being given at the rate of 3% for the extraordinary work done by them. Such increment be given to such employee once in a 5 years. If such mode is adopted, the existing mode of giving 1 or 2 advance increments shall be stopped."

Against the said recommendation, the following remark is noted :-

"that the necessary steps be taken by the General Administration Department."

::-6-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

8. Government Resolution dated 27.2.2009 demonstrates that most of the suggestions and recommendations of Hakim Committee were accepted by the Government. However, insofar as the aforesaid recommendation is concerned, no decision was taken and the issue was left with the General Administration Department. The aforesaid GR was issued by the Finance Department. On the basis of the aforesaid recommendation and the decision taken in that regard the GAD issued a Circular on 3.7.2009. Vide the said Circular it was directed to carry out process of provisional pay fixation according to the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission without taking into account the advance increments. It was further stated that after the final decision is taken by the State about the issue of advance increments or increments at the enhanced rates as suggested by Hakim Committee, the pay scales be modified taking into account the decision, which may be taken. The Government took a final decision in regard to the issue of advance increment vide GR dated 24.8.2017. It was resolved that :-

::-7-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

“that the benefit of advance increment shall not be given for the period in which the revised pay scales, according to the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission, are made applicable.”

In view of the decision taken by the GAD as above the applicant has not been given the benefit of 2 advance increments granted in his favour payable from 1.10.2008.

9. As has been argued by Shri Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the applicant, 2 advance increments granted to the applicant on 1.10.2008 could not be withdrawn by the respondents on the basis of GR dated 24.8.2017. The learned counsel cited the judgment delivered by the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No. 12699/2018. The learned counsel submitted that to the petitioners in the aforesaid WP before the Hon'ble High Court, advance increments were granted in the years 2006 to 2008. To some of the petitioners, the benefit was already paid and recovery was claimed from them of the said amounts and to some of the petitioners benefit was not

extended till then. The learned counsel taking me through the judgment cited supra pointed out that the Hon'ble High Court has unambiguously held in the said matter that if the petitioners are already granted certificate of excellent work in the years 2006, 2007 and 2008, then the same cannot be withdrawn retrospectively on the basis of subsequent GR dated 24.8.2017. The Hon'ble High Court further held that GR dated 24.8.2017 will have prospective effect and not retrospective and in that case benefit that was accorded to the petitioners of excellent work of the years 2006, 2007 & 2008 shall not be withdrawn and if any recovery is made pursuant to the same, the same shall be refunded to the petitioners. The learned counsel submitted that the law laid down by the Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court in the aforesaid matter squarely applies to the facts of the present case.

10. There appears substance in the arguments so advanced on behalf of the applicant. 2 advance increments have been already given to the applicant vide order dated 20.6.2009 payable from 1.10.2008. In view of the law laid down in the judgment cited

::-9-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

supra the advance increment already granted to the applicant from 1.10.2008 thus could not have been withdrawn on the basis of GR dated 24.8.2017.

11. It was sought to be contended by the learned PO that when the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission were made applicable w.e.f. 1.1.2006 and accordingly the revised pay scale was made applicable to the applicant from the said date, 2 advance increments were not liable to be granted in favour of the applicant. It was further contended that the provision of granting advance increment was discontinued after the pay of the applicant and alike employees was revised as recommended by 6th Pay Commission. The learned PO further submitted that the GAD had issued a Circular on 3.7.2009 and had thereby directed the various Departments of the State Government to carry out process of provisional pay fixation according to the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission without taking into account the advance increments already granted. In the circumstances, according to the learned PO, the respondents have rightly resolved not to give the benefit of 2 advance increments granted in favour of

the applicant w.e.f. 1.10.2008. The learned PO further submitted that according to the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission the pay scale was substantially enhanced w.e.f. 1.1.2006.

12. The argument so advanced by the learned PO, however, is difficult to be accepted. Though it is true that recommendations of 6th Pay Commission were made applicable from 1.1.2006 and accordingly pay scales also stood revised from the said date, the said decision was taken sometime in the year 2009 and insofar as the issue of grant of advance increments is concerned, the final decision was taken vide GR dated 24.8.2017. 2 advance increments were granted to the applicant admittedly vide order dated 20.6.2009. Till then the revised pay scale, according to the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission, was not given. The practice of granting advance increments was also not discontinued till the said date i.e. 20.6.2009. Vide Circular dated 3.7.2009 for the first time the direction came to be issued from the GAD to provisionally revise the pay scale of the employees in accordance with the recommendations of 6th Pay

Commission without taking into account the advance increments. By the said time the advance increments were already paid to some employees and though orders were passed in favour of some of the employees alike the present applicant, the said benefit was not actually extended to them.

13. In premise of such facts the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the cited judgment ruled that the additional increments granted earlier cannot be retrospectively withdrawn. As I have noted hereinabove the case of the present applicant is identical with the case of the petitioners in **W.P. No. 12699/2018** (cited supra). The law laid down in the said judgment would, therefore, apply to the facts of the present case also. For the reasons stated above, the order dated 4.3.2021 deserves to be set aside.

14. The applicant has also prayed for interest on the amount of arrears from the date the said arrears become payable till actual realization. Since there seems no deliberate attempt on part of the respondents in not paying the benefit of 2 advance increments, I am not inclined to accept the request

::-12-::

O.A. NO. 323/2022

so made. In the result, the following order is passed:-

ORDER

- (i) The decision dated 4.3.2021 of respondent no. 3 is quashed and set aside. The applicant is held entitled for 2 advance increments, payable on 1.10.2008 as were granted vide order dated 20.6.2009 passed by respondent no. 2.
- (ii) The respondents are directed to extend the said benefit in favour of the applicant and pay the arrears thereof to the applicant within 12 weeks from the date of this order.
- (iii) Request as about interest is rejected.
- (iv) The Original Application stands disposed of in above terms. No order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.284/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1213/2020
(Sumanbai R. Tayade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 05-12-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Present M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay which has occurred in filing the O.A. by the applicant wherein the only relief claimed by the applicant is the interest on the delayed payment of pension as well as the pensionary benefits. As has been submitted in the M.A., the delay occurred is of the period 3 years and 4 months. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is illiterate lady not conversant with the provisions of law and another defence which has been raised by the applicant is the ailment of her son during the period of pandemic. Learned Counsel submitted that the delay which has occurred in filing the O.A. is not intentional and for some *bona fide* reasons. In the circumstances, learned Counsel submitted

that considering the genuine case of the applicant delay may be condoned so the applicant can prosecute the O.A. on merit.

3. Learned C.P.O. opposed for condoning the delay caused for filing the O.A. Learned C.P.O. submitted that from the documents on record it reveals that in the past also the applicant had approached this Tribunal by filing O.A.No.433/2015 claiming directions against the respondents to pay retiral benefits on account of death of her husband on 24-03-2010. Learned CPO submitted that in view of the fact that the applicant had earlier approached this Tribunal with the aforesaid relief, it cannot be said that the applicant is not aware of the provisions of the law and her illiteracy cannot be a ground for condoning the delay. Learned CPO raised another objection that the applicant could have very well claimed interest in the said application also on account of delay in making such payment as has been urged by the applicant in the present O.A. After such submission was made, learned Counsel for the applicant has brought to my notice that in the said application also such prayer

was made. Learned CPO on the aforesaid grounds prayed for rejection of the M.A.

4. I have duly considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant as well as the respondent authorities. In so far as the ground as regards illiteracy is concerned, the same cannot be considered in view of the fact that the applicant has earlier availed the forum of this Tribunal seeking retiral benefits and has also succeeded in getting such benefits. Otherwise also, illiteracy and ignorance of law cannot be grounds for claiming relief of condonation of delay. Second aspect which the learned CPO has argued appears to be quite relevant that in the earlier O.A. also the prayer was made by the applicant seeking interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits. I have gone through the order passed by the Tribunal in the said matter. Tribunal has not directed payment of interest as was requested by the applicant. The order passed by the Tribunal was restricted only to the effect of giving directions to the respondents to take steps to grant family pension and other retiral benefits as may be admissible as per rules

within three months from the date of that order. It is thus evident that the request for interest was not considered and also not allowed by the Tribunal in the said O.A. When the relief is asked for and not granted by the Tribunal, that must be deemed to have been rejected. For the same relief, it was not permissible for the applicant to again approach this Tribunal.

5. Secondly, facts on record reveal that the entitlement of the applicant to receive pension was itself in dispute till 02-12-2015. Her right came to be determined by this Tribunal in O.A.No.443/2015 on 02-12-2015 and accordingly in June, 2016 payments have been made. As such, it does not appear to me that in release of pensionary benefits respondents have committed any deliberate delay so as to hold them liable to pay interest. Thus, even on merits there appears no case for the applicant. This fact apart, the applicant has failed in justifying the long delay of 3 years and 4 months. It has not been properly explained. The reasons which are assigned by the applicant cannot be said to be just, sufficient and cogent. In the circumstances, M.A. deserves to

=5= M.A.NO.284/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1213/2020

be rejected and is accordingly rejected.
Consequently, the O.A. also stands disposed of.
There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566/2020
(Natthu Khartade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri KB Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri NU Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants has sought leave to correct surname of applicant no. 1 'Shri Natthu s/o Narayan Khadtare'. He submits that surname of applicant no. 1 has been wrongly mentioned as 'Khadtare', whereas it has to be written as 'Khartade'. Leave as sought for is granted. The necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. The applicants stood retired on 30th June of their respective year of retirement. Their next increment was due on 1st July of the respective year in which they have retired. Since the applicants retired on attaining the age of superannuation before 1st July of their respective year of retirement,

the respondents did not grant them the benefit of increment of the said year. The applicants have prayed for holding them eligible for next increment, which fall due one day after their retirement i.e. on 1st July of their respective year of retirement.

4. The necessary information in respect of the applicants is given hereunder in the tabular form :-

Sr no .	Name	Date of retirement	Increment due on Date	Retired as
1	Natthu s/o Narayan Khartade.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	A.S.I.
2	Mirza Atullha Baig Karimullha Baig.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	A.S.I.
3	Kashinath s/o Santhram Singnath.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	A.S.I.
4	Namdeo s/o Aneba Gitte,	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	A.S.I.
5	Ramnath s/o Gopinath Kendre.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	A.S.I.
6	Rama s/o Piraji Waghmare.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	A.S.I.
7	Shaikh Chand Vajir Sahab.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	P.H.C .
	Jayendra s/o Kerba Markanti.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	P.H.C .
9	Govind s/o Pandurang Kamble.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	P.H.C .
10	Ramesh s/o Dattatry Surykar.	30.6.2017	1.7.2017	P.S.I.

11	Shaikh Ghuduu Raheman Shaikh.	30.6.2018	1.7.2018	P.H.C
12	Udhav s/o Laxmanrao Kamble.	30.6.2018	1.7.2018	A.S.I.
13	Ramesh s/o Dhansing Rathod.	30.6.2018	1.7.2018	A.S.I.
14	Gangadhar s/o Madhavrao More.	30.6.2018	1.7.2018	A.S.I.
15	Samhaji s/o Nanarao Honrao.	30.6.2018	1.7.2018	A.S.I.
16	Shamiulha Khan Husain Khan.	30.6.2019	1.7.2019	A.S.I.
17	Vitthal s/o Ramrao Bavne.	30.6.2020	1.7.2020	A.S.I.
18	Subhash s/o Darasing Rathod.	30.6.2020	1.7.2020	A.S.I.
19	Madhukar s/o Raghunath Kendre.	30.6.2016	1.7.2020	A.S.I.

5. The learned counsel has relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad recently delivered on 12.10.2022 in the case of **Shri Ramesh Eknath Suryawanshi and Others Vs. the State of Maharashtra through its Chief Secretary and Others, WP No. 10272/2022**, as well as, the judgments earlier delivered by this Tribunal at principal seat at Mumbai and by this Bench in support of his case and has prayed for allowing the application.

6. The learned Presenting Officer fairly conceded that the judgments relied upon by the learned counsel for the applicants are supporting the contentions raised and prayers made by the applicants. The learned PO therefore submitted for passing appropriate orders.

7. It is not in dispute that the applicants have retired after attaining the age of superannuation on 30th June of the respective year of retirement. It is also not disputed that vide order impugned in the OA the respondents have refused the request made by the applicants for grant of next increment, which fell due on the next day of their retirement.

8. I deem it appropriate to reproduce herein below para nos. 3 & 4 of the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad on 12.10.2022 in the case of **Shri Ramesh Eknath Suryawanshi and Others** (cited supra), which read thus :-

“3. The issue raised is no longer res integra, having been concluded by the learned Division Bench of the Madras High Court, vide judgment dated 15.09.2017, in WP

No.15732/2017, filed by P.Ayyamperumal Vs. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal and others, which judgment has been sustained by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide order dated 23.07.2018, in Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.22283/2018. Even this Court has passed several orders granting such benefits, which have been sustained by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4. In view of the above, this petition is partly allowed. The petitioners are entitled to the notional addition of the last yearly increment for the purpose of calculating their pension, gratuity, earned leave, commutation benefits etc. In so far as arrears of the benefits are concerned, the petitioners would be entitled for the same for a period of three years, preceding the date of filing of this petition or as per actuals, whichever is less. Such arrears should be calculated and be paid to the petitioners, on or before 30.12.2022 .”

9. It will also be useful to refer to the discussion made by the principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in the case of **Shri Sadashiv Kashinath Inamke & Ors. Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors., O.A. No. 950/2019 with other OAs** on 5.7.2022. Considering the earlier judgments on the issue the Tribunal has recorded following finding in para 15 of the said judgment, which reads thus :-

“15. In view of the aforesaid legal position, the Applicants cannot be deprived of benefit of increment which was due on 1st July of the concerned year. All that learned P.O. submits that since the Applicants have approached belatedly, the actual monetary benefits be restricted to three years preceding to filing of Original Applications. I find merit in her submission on the point of arrears. Indeed, the Applicants have filed these proceedings long after retirement when they got knowledge of the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court giving benefit of increment due on next day of retirement. Be that as it may, insofar as arrears are concerned, it will have to be restricted to three years preceding to the date of filing proceeding.”

10. In view of the judgments relied upon by the learned counsel for the applicants there has remained no doubt that the employees who stood retired on 30th June of their respective year of retirement on attaining the age of superannuation, must be held entitled for next increment which fell due on immediately next day of their retirement and all the consequential benefits deserve to be granted in their favour. Hence, the following order :-

ORDER

(i) The present Original Application stands allowed.

::-7-::

O.A. NO. 566/2020

(ii) The Applicants are held entitled for increment due on 1st July of the concerned year of their retirement. It shall be reckoned with for the purpose of pension and gratuity and other retiral benefits subject to rider that the Applicants would be entitled to arrears of monetary benefits for the period of three years only preceding the date of filing Original Application.

(iii) The Respondents are directed to make payment of arrears accordingly within three months from today and also to ensure that revised pension is paid accordingly.

(iv) No order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1055/2022
(Hemantkumar M. Sonawani & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to all the respondents, returnable on 1.2.2023.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 1.2.2023.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1075/2022
(Balchand D. Tejinkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri AD Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to all the respondents, returnable on 5.1.2023.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 5.1.2023.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A. NO. 522/2022 IN O.A. ST. NO. 951/2022
(Pratik S. Dube Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Umesh A. Bhagdaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri MS Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2022 in Regular Admission Category.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 343/2021
(Raosaheb B. Neharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Gokul M. Shingare, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri BS Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. It appears from the record that no one is attending the matter for the applicant. On 7.11.2022 i.e. on the last date no one was present. Prior to that i.e. on 27.9.2022 also no one was present. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is given to the applicant and the matter stands adjourned to 13.12.2022. If no one appears for the applicant on the next date, the O.A. shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 344/2021
(Mohan Komatwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Gokul M. Shingare, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri BS Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. It appears from the record that no one is attending the matter for the applicant. On 7.11.2022 i.e. on the last date no one was present. Prior to that i.e. on 27.9.2022 also no one was present. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is given to the applicant and the matter stands adjourned to 13.12.2022. If no one appears for the applicant on the next date, the O.A. shall stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 989/2019
(Raviraj Darak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri IS Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.1.2023 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 632/2021
(Harishchandra Lohkare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri PD Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri SK Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar the rejoinder affidavit. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 27.1.2023 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 789/2021
(Ganesh Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Azamoddin Pathan, learned counsel holding for Shri Ramesh Wakde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri IS Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 3.1.2023 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 85/2022
(Madhur Nilawad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SB Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri NU Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.1.2023 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177/2021
(Lata Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SS Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel submits that the applicant is not intending to file the rejoinder affidavit.

3. Hence, S.O. to 1.2.2023 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 201/2021
(Vasant Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SS Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned counsel submits that the applicant is not intending to file the rejoinder affidavit.

3. Hence, S.O. to 1.2.2023 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291/2021
(Dattatraya More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SN Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.12.2022 for filing the rejoinder affidavit.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 509/2021
(Dr. Ramling Mahajan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri AB Girase, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri SK Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 13.1.2023 for filing the affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 611/2021
(Sayyed Salim Sayyed Yaqub Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 16.12.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 728/2021
(Sk. Abdul Gafur Md. Sarwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri AB Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 238/2022
(Rajabai Kawadikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SD Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri SK Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 19.12.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 239/2022
(Surajkumar Vanje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SD Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DR Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 19.12.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829/2022
(Musaddiq Ahmed Madni Masood Ahmed Madni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri JS Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri MP Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 848/2022
(Vilas Thombre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri JS Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri NU Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.12.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 934/2022
(Rahimatbi Sk. Babulal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri KG Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri MP Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await Service.
3. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 961/2022
(Sunanda S. Harsulkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri SG Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri VR Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 15.12.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502/2022
(Mohd. Siddiq Md. Sarwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. MS Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned PO, S.O. to 6.12.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 898/2019
(Babu K. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri KB Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri VR Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The present matter is not on today's board. At the request of learned counsel it is taken on board. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that this Tribunal vide order dated 22.11.2022 had granted leave to the applicant to bring on record the subsequent events occurred during the pendency of the OA. The amendment so granted was to be carried out within a week from that date. However, the applicant failed to carry out suitable amendment in O.A. within the given time. The learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for some more time for carrying out the necessary amendment. In the interest of justice one week's time is extended for carrying out necessary amendment.

3. Accordingly motion stands disposed of.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.62/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.257/2019
(Babasaheb Rakte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 05-12-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R.Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is an application filed by the applicant seeking condonation of delay which has occurred in filing the accompanying O.A. In the O.A., the applicant has sought two reliefs; first that the difference of wages for period of 6 months i.e. from 01-06-2012 to 06-12-2013 and second that the pension and pensionary benefits be granted in his favour. Learned Counsel submitted that the applicant retired on 31-01-2018 after attaining the age of superannuation. On 09-10-2018, he made a request for remittance of difference of wages as stated above and to grant him regular pension as well as the pensionary benefits. Since the request of the applicant has not been considered, the applicant is claiming to have approached this Tribunal.

=2= M.A.NO.62/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.257/2019

Learned Counsel submitted that the delay which has occasioned is for bona fide reason that he was persuading the departmental authorities for the same. However, after failing in the said endeavor, applicant has approached this Tribunal.

3. Respondents have opposed the request made by the applicant. Common affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 5. Paragraph 5 of the said reply is material in so far as the grievance raised by the applicant is concerned, which reads thus:

*“05. I most respectfully say that, it is true that as per G.R. dated 31.10.2013 the applicant was made permanent w.e.f. 01.06.2012 and as per order dated 02.12.2013 the applicant has joined the services w.e.f. 07.12.2013. Therefore, the respondent authorities has not been paid the difference of wages for the period of 01.06.2012 to 06.12.2013. I further humbly say and submit that, after retirement of the applicant on superannuation, the respondent authorities accordingly paid Leave Encashment on 31.01.2018 and thereafter Gratuity on 11.06.2018 respectively. The copies of same are annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE R-1** Collectively.”*

(Reproduced ad-verbatim from p.b.page 20 of M.A.)

=3= M.A.NO.62/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.257/2019

4. Learned P.O. submitted that in view of the legal position as has been explained by the respondents, no relief can be granted in favour of the applicant and the O.A. itself is devoid of merit. Learned P.O. further contended that the delay though stated to be 480 days, in fact, it is of about 9 years. Learned P.O. submitted that the delay has not been properly explained and therefore, he has prayed for rejecting the M.A. as well as the O.A.

5. I have duly considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant as well as the respondent authorities. From the prayers made by the applicant, it is evident that applicant has claimed difference of wages of the period prior to 2013. Applicant was admittedly in service till 31-01-2018. Nothing has been brought to my notice as to what efforts were made by the applicant for getting the said amount released while he was in service. The sole representation which has been made by the applicant is on 09-12-2018 i.e. after a period of 9 months from his retirement. Learned Counsel could not explain as to why the applicant did not ventilate his grievance and could not claim

=4= M.A.NO.62/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.257/2019

difference of wages within the reasonable period from 2013 and waited for the period of long six years. In the circumstances, in so far as the prayer in regard to difference of wages is concerned, there is huge delay of about 6 years and since the same has not been explained satisfactorily, I am not inclined to condone the same.

6. So far as the requests for pension and pensionary benefits are concerned the cause of action seems to have arisen in the year 2018 and the applicant has approached this Tribunal in 2019. Delay which has occurred in filing the M.A. so far as the pension is concerned, it is of relatively shorter period. In the circumstances, to the extent of the request for the pension and pensionary benefits, the delay caused for filing the O.A. deserves to be condoned. In the result, following order is passed:

ORDER

- [i] M.A. is partly allowed.
- [ii] The delay caused in claiming the amount of the difference in wages for the period during 01-06-2012 to 06-12-2013 is rejected.

=5= M.A.NO.62/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.257/2019

[iii] The delay caused in claiming the pension and pensionary benefits is condoned.

[iv] O.A. be registered, limited to the said prayer in accordance with law.

[v] No costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.12.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.257/2019
(Babasaheb Rakte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 05-12-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.R.Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration of O.A., **issue notice to the respondents only in respect of the claim as about pension and pensionary benefits**, returnable on 02-02-2023.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 02-02-2023.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A.NO.338/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1455/2021
(Amol P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 05-12-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 31-01-2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.12.2022

**M.A.NO.466/2022 IN O.A.NO.619/2021
(Rahul Wakle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 05-12-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Subsequent events having bearing on the subject matter are sought to be brought on record by the applicant by seeking amendment in the O.A. Prayer for amendment is therefore allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out within 2 weeks.

3. It would be open for the respondents to file additional affidavit in reply if they so desire to the amended portion. M.A.No.466/2022 stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

4. O.A. to come up on board on 18-01-2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A.NO.479/2022 IN O.A.NO.43/2020
(Baliram Malhare Vs. D.G.P. Mumbai & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 05-12-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.N.Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant has filed the present M.A. seeking amendment in the O.A. so as to bring on record the subsequent events occurred during the pendency of the present O.A. As is contended in the application, pensionary benefits and regular pension have not been granted to the applicant on account of pendency of the criminal case against him. During the pendency of the present O.A. said criminal case has been decided and the applicant has been acquitted from the criminal prosecution. Copy of the judgment delivered by JMFC-5, Parbhani on 07-03-2022 in the Regular Criminal Case No.567/2011 is placed on record.

3. In view of the submissions made, M.A. deserves to be allowed and is accordingly allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out by the applicant by the next date.

4. O.A. to come up on board on 09-12-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 1 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO. 83 OF 2018
(Vyankat S. More & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicants (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2,3 5 & 6.

3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

C.P.NO. 29 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO. 283 OF 2021
(Gautam A. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 16.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

**C.P.NO. 32 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO. 547 OF 2020
(Vishvajit S. Kotkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the
applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 16.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

T.A.NO. 11 OF 2022 IN W.P.NO. 7659 OF 2022
(Dr. Shaikh Aizaz Iqbal Ahmed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.A. Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N. Sabnis, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No.1.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 & 3.
4. S.O. to 19.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 145 OF 2021
(Jagdish N. Saindane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Satyabhama Awhad, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 7.

3. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 153 OF 2021
(Dr. Kiran P. Rochkari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 768 OF 2021
(Arvind R. Bhingardive & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent No.2.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

4. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 240 OF 2022
(Ankij P. Sawai Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Mirajgaonar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 03.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 812 OF 2022
(Rashtra Seva Arogya Karmachari Sanghatna Through its Secretary Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prasad D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 09.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 820 OF 2022
(Navnath Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.T. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.4 is taken on record.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

4. S.O. to 16.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 926 OF 2022
(Biradar Adil Turab Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jitendra S. Jain, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sayed Tauseef Yaseen, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2022
(Shagir R. Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned
Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat,
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 13.12.2022. Interim relief granted
earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 931 OF 2022
(J.H. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 943 OF 2022
(Balaji G. Madaswar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2022
(Madhukar V. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O.to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 947 OF 2022
(Nikita M. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jitendra S. Jain, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sayed Tauseef Yaseen, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950 OF 2022
(Chaitali J. Katariya & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jitendra S. Jain, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sayed Tauseef Yaseen, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 958 OF 2022
(Vasant S. Tupkari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshapnde, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 02.01.2023. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

**M.A.NO.273/2020 IN M.A.NO.344 OF 2021 IN
O.A.ST.NO.684 OF 2020
(Vasant B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

**M.A.NO.385/2021 WITH M.A.NO.314 OF 2021 IN
O.A.NO. 555 OF 2021
(Mahendra D. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. At the request made on behalf of the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

3. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO.158/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1221 OF 2022
(Arzoddin M. Pinjari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.8.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is only filed on behalf of the respondent No.4.

3. At the request made on behalf of the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply other respondents.

4. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO.351/2020 IN O.A.NO. 470 OF 2018
(Mahadabi G. Dulkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for
the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for filing rejoinder affidavit,
if any by the applicant and also for filing the
affidavit in reply by respondent Nos.4 & 5.

MEMBER (A)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 05.12.2022

M.A.NO.115/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 138 OF 2022
(Mangesh S. Vishwasu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.R. Jain, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1951 OF 2022
(Pramod G. Ramdasi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for compliance of office objection.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.ST.NO. 664/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 665/2022
(Reshma K. Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, time is granted for compliance of office objection.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**O.A.NO.154 OF 2017 WITH O.A.NO. 259 OF 2017
(Naseem Banu Nazir Patel & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra
& Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As.

2. S.O. to 07.12.2022. **High On Board.**

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 171 OF 2019
(Dr. Vaishali R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri N.B. Gadegaonkar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Due to non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 08.12.2022. **High On Board**.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO.185 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO. 257 OF 2021
(Nanasaheb L. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 05.01.2023 for hearing. **High On
Board.** Interim relief granted earlier to continue till
then.

MEMBER (A)

**M.A.NO.192 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO. 258 OF 2021
(Laxman N. Sormare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 05.01.2023 for hearing. **High On
Board.** Interim relief granted earlier to continue till
then.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 222 OF 2022
(Annasaheb M. Shide & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents. Shri P.R. Katneshwar, learned Special Counsel for the respondents (**Absent**).

2. S.O. to 06.12.2022 for re-hearing. **High On Board.**

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 851 OF 2022
(Prashant B. Kachhawa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 20.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 891 OF 2018
(Dr. Uddhav S. Khaire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Dr. Uddhav S. Khaire, party in person and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant in person has submitted written notes of arguments. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. for the respondents has clarified that the affidavit in reply may be treated as written notes of arguments on behalf of the respondents.

4. Pleadings are complete. The matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2022
(Mahendra K. Wadgaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Mahendra K. Wadgaonkar, party in person and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Short affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Record shows that on the last date, one more last chance was granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply. However, till date affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents.

4. Today, learned P.O. seeks further time for filing affidavit in reply. In the interest of justice, further time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents, failing which the matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

5. S.O. to 14.12.2022

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO.359 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO. 729 OF 2018
(Pavansing M. Chungda & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A., Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A.No.729/2022.

2. Affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent No.4 to 9 (Org. Applicants in O.A.) is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent authorities.

4. S.O. to 06.12.2022. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250 OF 2014
(The Karyakari Adhikari Sanghatna(R.T.O.) Through its
Joint Secretary Rameshchadra L. Kharade Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned
Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan,
learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 21.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2014
(Prakash N. Shrivastav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 02.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563 OF 2014
(Tushar B. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 12.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2014
(Vidya T. Sakhare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.L. Kute, learned Advocate for the
applicants (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 12.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Dr. Balaji G. Phalke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 06.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 312 OF 2015
(Dr. Shiwani V. Sachdeva Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5 and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri R.P. Adgaonkar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.6, is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 07.02.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2016
(Madansing S. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. S.O. to 19.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 314 OF 2016
(Mohd Majeed Mohd Farku Miyan Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2016
(Dhanraj R. Dhumare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Bharat R. Warama, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. S.O. to 05.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177 OF 2017
(Anand V. Ganjewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate
for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 19.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2017
(Maharashtra State Vaidyakiya Mahavidyalaya & Rugnalaya
Karmachari Sanghatana A'bad Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.L. Paithane, learned Advocate
holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate
for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 12.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 432 OF 2017
(Kishan P. Ghodekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the
applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 13.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 669 OF 2017
(Balasaheb B. Surwase Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 03.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2018
(Nirmala S. Bhandari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 04.01.2023 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 410 OF 2019
(Santosh R. Jagdale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate
for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.R.
Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. S.O. to 20.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

O.A.NOS.626, 641 AND 642 ALL OF 2019
(Sheshrao R. Giri & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Megha Mali, learned Advocate holding for Shri. S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. S.O. to 19.12.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1020 OF 2019
(Deepak B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 21.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**M.A. No. 398/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1666/2021
(Sadashiv S. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 05.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

M.A. No. 383/2022 in O.A. No. 687/2022
(Shivaji S. Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 10.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

M.A. No. 439/2022 in O.A. No. 498/2022
(Sandip L. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 10.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2020
(Dr. Pratap M. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for caveator and Shri S.K. Kadam, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, are **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 02.02.2023.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 29 OF 2021
(Vijay N. Khawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 21.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2021
(Madhuri B. Panzade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.K. Bansod, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. S.O. to 09.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2021
(Aziz Immam Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.V. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the
applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar,
learned Presenting Officer for the respondent
authorities.

2. S.O. to 10.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**O.A. 463/2021 with M.A. 242/21 in O.A. 299/19
(Payal P. Tathe & ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate
for the applicants in both the cases and Smt.
Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for
the respondent authorities in both the cases.

2. S.O. to 16.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78 OF 2019
(Dr. Mamata R. Chincholikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 274 OF 2017
(Anil A. Beedkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 09.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

O.A. No. 453/2018 with O.A. No. 454/2018
(Balasaheb R. Medhekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As., Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 to 12 in O.A. 453/2018.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 650 OF 2018
(Shivaji R. Phalegaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771 OF 2018
(Shrihari D. Ghogare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities. Shri M.V. Bharuka, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584 OF 2019
(Balu S. Jambe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate for
the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2019
(Kailas I. Khandagale & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate
for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 21.12.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 811 OF 2019
(Bhushan D. Kagane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.D. Choudhari, learned Advocate
for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 09.01.2023 as one more last chance for
filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2022
(Girish A. Bidave & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 235 OF 2022
(Dilip A. Patole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 04.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236 OF 2022
(Bhagyashri T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 04.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265 OF 2022
(Ranjana B. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 04.01.2023 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 403 OF 2022
(Pandit S. Tiparse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the
Single Bench due to non-availability of
Division Bench)

DATE : 05.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned Advocate for the
applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned
Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 10.01.2023.

MEMBER (A)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 686 OF 2021
(Mahesh Gajanan Premalwad Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 738 OF 2022
(Jagdish Madhukar Sahu Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K. Sawangikar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 19.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701/2022
(Raosaheb Anantrao Pallewad Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 40/2019
(Dr. Manisha R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128/2020
(Pandit V. Sonawane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Manoj M. Katu, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 293/2020
(Vilas U. Jagtap Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580/2020
(Dr. Sunita N. Pawar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 319/2021
(Netaji G. Shinde Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 373/2021
(Ramesh N. Wagh Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Santosh S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66/2022
(Nathu Narayan Khartade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.01.2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 99/2021
(Shrikant V. Mundhe Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 7.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712/2021
(Dr. Subhash G. Kabade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 669/2022
(Vivek T. Bade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. By consent of both the parties, S.O. 12.12.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 359/2021
(Hajrabee @ Nurbee haikh Nijam Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ravindra. V. Gore, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri. D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 and Shri Abed M. Pathan, learned counsel for respondent No. 7, are present.

2. S.O. to 8.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 892 TO 895 & 869 ALL OF 2022
(Balaji V. Potdar & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman**

DATE : 5.12.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.12.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 5.12.2022-HDD