MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2015

DATE	:	31st January, 2	2019 	
CORAM	:	JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)		
APPEARANCE :-		Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.		
Apprana) 	RESPONDENTS
Forest: Throug Conser Aurang Infront Railway	Departmonth its Section of Foundation of Foundation of H.S.Constantion	retary Dy. Chief Forest Officer . Board,)))))	
Forest : Throug Conser Infront Railway	Regional Selection Committee Forest Department, Through its President Chief Conservator Officer, Infront of H.S.C. Board, Railway Station Road, Aurangabad.			
Throug Forest	ate of Mai h its Sec: Departmo laya, Mu	ent,)))	
v	ERSUS			
Pathan Kaus Age. 24 years R/o Kalaswa Tq. Kannad,	s, Occ. Ho di Post B	ome Guard, rahmni,))))	DIST.: AURANGABAD APPLICANT

JUDGMENT

(Per : Justice M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman)

- 1. Heard Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. By filing present Original Application, the applicant is claiming following reliefs:-
 - "(B) To quash and set aside the impugned corrigendum dated 23.7.2015 issued by the respondent authority and give the appointment in favour of the present petitioner for the post of Forest-guard in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned in the original application and in the interest of justice.
 - (C) To direct the respondent authority to correct the category of petitioner from Anshkalin category to home-guard category as per notice dated 16.5.2015 issued by respondent authority for the purpose of objection and correction which is corrected in case of other 4 candidate as per corrigendum dated 9.4.2015 wherein the name of candidate is change from Sasane Supriya Mahadev to Sarkate Mira Brahamaji and name of 3 candidate change the caste column namely Dandekar Ravi Baburao, Baburao Dandekar Kundan and Dandekar Mangala Baburao in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned inoriginal and application and in the interest of justice."
- 3. Shri Nagarkar, learned Advocate submits that the present applicant has wrongly mentioned in the application form that he should be considered from horizontal reservation meant for earlier

O.A. NO. 460/15

3

part time Government employees and it should have been in the

category of Home-Guard. In the circumstances, the impugned

decision of the respondent dtd. 23.7.2015 (Annex. XVI page 56)

that the present applicant cannot be considered from part time

Government employees category as he does not have any

documentary evidence in this regard, should be quashed and set

aside.

4. Learned P.O. submits that as per the conditions of the

advertisement, reservation cannot be changed once option is given

by the candidate in the application form.

5. However, without adverting to the rival claims of both the

sides, upon going through the table mentioned in the

advertisement regarding vacancies to be filled in for the concerned

Aurangabad region, it reveals that, no posts from the category of

Home-Guard were to be filled in. Therefore, even if prayer of the

applicant is accepted the same would be meaningless.

6. In view of above, the present Original Application is

dismissed without any order as to costs.

(ATUL RAJ CHADHA)

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (A) V

Place: Aurangabad
Date: 31.1.2019
ARJ-O.A.NO. 460-2015 D.B. (APPOINTMENT)

(M.T. JOSHI) VICE CHAIRMAN