

**O.A.NO.664/2021 WITH M.A.NO.77/2022  
(Sanjay D. Gangawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered across the bar affidavit in sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. Learned Counsel for the applicant also submitted a short affidavit Same are taken on record. Copies served on the other side.

3. Learned Counsel seeks time to advance final arguments in the matter. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 06-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.670/2021, 671/2021,  
672/2021 & 673/2021  
(Gokul D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Today the compliance report was to be submitted in the present matter whether salary has been released or not. No such report has been submitted. Learned C.P.O. undertakes to submit the same on the next date.

3. S.O. to 07-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.293/2022**  
**(Adinath S. Ghanwat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant has become entitled for his promotion to the post of Range Forest Officer. It is the contention of the applicant that in the meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC), the decision in regard to his promotion has been kept in sealed envelope for the reason that he is undergoing punishment imposed upon him of withholding 2 increments for 2 years without future effect. Learned Counsel submits that the period of punishment is going to expire on 30-06-2022.

3. It is the further contention of the learned Counsel that since the right has accrued in favour of the applicant to opt for a revenue zone, result needs to be declared

=2=

O.A.NO.293/2022

and the applicant needs to be permitted to opt for Revenue Zone of his choice. Learned Counsel submitted that the said process is likely to be completed soon and if he is not permitted give his preference for a particular Revenue Division, his right of choosing the said Division is likely to be frustrated. In the circumstances, the applicant has prayed direction against the respondent to open the sealed cover and to include the name of the present applicant in the list of eligible candidates to be promoted to the post of Range Forest Officer. Learned Counsel submits that the applicant is aware that the promotion order is not likely to be issued till he completes the period of punishment, however, if his name is included in the list of eligible candidates for promotion, he will be able to give his preference for a Revenue Division of his choice.

4. Learned P.O. has sought time for filing the detailed reply to the O.A. Learned P.O. submits that until the period of punishment is expired, sealed cover cannot be opened.

=3=

O.A.NO.293/2022

5. We have considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the learned Counsel for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. for the respondents. Learned Counsel for the applicant is relying on the judgment of this Tribunal in O.A.No.886/2017. Learned Counsel has also invited our attention to the relevant G.R.

6. It is not in dispute that the period of punishment imposed upon the present applicant will expire on 30-06-2022. It is further not in dispute that DPC has considered the case of the present applicant but has kept the result in the sealed envelope in view of the fact that period of punishment is not yet expired.

7. In O.A.No.886/2017, this Tribunal has held that the decision of withholding promotion cannot be upheld only on the count that candidate concerned is undergoing punishment. Referring to the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Tribunal has further held that when the DPC has found the candidate eligible for promotion, the

=4=

O.A.NO.293/2022

said candidate cannot be deprived of the said right because of the fact that he is undergoing the period of punishment.

**8. In the circumstances, while issuing notice to the respondents, we direct the respondents to open the sealed cover and based on the decision taken by the DPC, include the name of the present applicant in the list of eligible candidates for promotion, if DPC has recorded such opinion and held the present applicant eligible for promotion.**

9. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 02-5-2022.

10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case

=5=

O.A.NO.293/2022

would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. S.O. to 02-5-2022.

15. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NOS. 22/2020, 253/2020, 254/2020, 303/2019,  
492/2019, 571/2021, O.A.ST.NO.1185/2019  
(Santosh G. Rane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

S/shri P.B. Rakhunde, K.G. Salunke, K.B.Jadhav, & S.G.Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicants in the respective cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 20-04-2022 for further consideration.

**High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2018**  
**(Bansilal C. Jaiswal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Raut, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1, Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 and Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
3. The parties are directed to produce on record status report in respect of W.P. No. 4241/2019
4. S.O. to 25.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 903 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Vithal R. Mekane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Kaware, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri A.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 26.04.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. Nos. 1015/2019, 1016/2019, 1017/2019 & 1019/2019  
(Sakharam P. Kanbavle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, time granted as a most last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit in all these O.As.

3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1045 OF 2019**  
**(Sunil P. Pathrikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
3. S.O. to 27.04.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1083 OF 2019**  
**(Ambadas P. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sudhri Telgote, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 28.04.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 371 OF 2020**  
**(Sanjay B. Bhandarkawathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.P. Yenegure, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 3

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586 OF 2020**  
**(Sonaji K. Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2021**  
**(Kantilal K. Naglod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jiwan Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings up to rejoinder affidavit are complete. The present matter is pertaining to interdivisional transfer. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 04.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340 OF 2021**  
**(Popat B. Ahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Sharda P. Ghate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondents, returnable on 04.05.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 04.05.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 341 OF 2021**  
**(Prashant S. Pardhi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Sharda P. Ghate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondents, returnable on 04.05.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 04.05.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351 OF 2021  
(Kautik Y. Kachole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 409 OF 2021**  
**(Govind R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondents, returnable on 27.04.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 27.04.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 413 OF 2021**  
**(Pandhari S. Ahankare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 422 OF 2021**  
**(Satish A. Trimukhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 465 OF 2021**  
**(Azad Khadarsaheb Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 8.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 537 OF 2021**  
**(Balasaheb S. Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
3. S.O. to 29.04.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2021  
(Rajendra B. Telap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Usha N. Bholane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.P. Gase, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri N.R. Dayama, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5, **absent.**

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2021  
(Govind H. Darade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 689 OF 2021  
(Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 725 OF 2021**  
**(Bhagwat R. Borchate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Ghatol Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829 OF 2021  
(Vilas S. Namde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.R. Jain, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 28.04.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 26 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Eknath D. Male Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2022**  
**(Dilip B. Bharaskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 39 OF 2022**  
**(Vishal U. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66 OF 2022**  
**(Nathu N. Khartade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 373/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1652/2018  
(Keshav G. Lohar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 4 in M.A.
3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A. No. 604/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2158/2019  
(Venkat S. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A. No. 297/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1203/2020  
(Jayant S. Bhamare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A. No. 318/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1373/2020  
(Anil G. Lokhande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file rejoinder affidavit in M.A.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A. No. 07/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1416/2020  
(Chandrasen V. Lahade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5. Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6, **absent**.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A. No. 158/2021 in O.A. St. No. 593/2021  
(Kishor J. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Mane, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022



**M.A. No. 244/2021 in O.A. St. No. 980/2021  
(Prakash B. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit in M.A.
3. The present matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 127/2022 in O.A. St. No. 521/2022  
(Dilip R. Gavit & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Sawant, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing service affidavit in M.A.

3. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A. No. 58/2022 in O.A. St. No. 221/2022**  
**(Sindhu B. Wanve & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicants (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.04.2022 for passing necessary order.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1106 OF 2019**  
**(Sanjay R. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 08.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2021  
(Anil S. Puranik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 34/2021 in O.A. St. No. 122/2021  
(Vijay R. Shringare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 781 OF 2018**  
**(Suryakant M. Garude & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Abhishek A. Pendharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.04.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022



**M.A. 87/2021 with M.A. St. 365/2021 in O.A. St. 187/2021  
(Govinda J. Gaikwad & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. Shri P.M. Bakshi, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3, **absent.**

2. The Original Application is filed seeking direction to consider the case of the applicant No. 1 for compassionate appointment to the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Superintending Engineer, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran Mandal, Aurangabad.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the present matter would not be maintainable before this Tribunal for want of jurisdiction.

4. Record shows that learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has filed Purshish on record dated 01.09.2021 stating that the Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran is not a Government Department nor the State Government has issued any notification under

//2// M.A. 87/21 with M.A. St. 365/21  
in O.A. St. 187/2021

Section 15(2) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 conferring the jurisdiction upon the Tribunal.

5. In view of the same, the present proceedings will not be maintainable before this Tribunal for want of jurisdiction. Hence, the M.A. 87/2021, M.A. St. 365/2021 and O.A. St. No. 187/2021 stand disposed of in order to enable the applicants to pursue the appropriate remedy before the appropriate authority. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.218 OF 2022**  
**(Vinod G. Mahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Rutuja L. Jadkhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 02.05.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.No.218/22

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.294 OF 2022**  
**(Ganpat K. Sidam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This Original Application is filed challenging the impugned order/communication dated 08.02.2022 issued by the respondent No.5 i.e. the Livestock Development Officer, Veterinary Hospital, Sakharni, Tq. Kinvat, Dist. Nanded (part of Annex. 'A-8' collectively) starting recovery from the salary of the applicant pursuant to the communication dated 19.11.2020 (part of Annex. 'A-8' collectively) addressed by the respondent No.5 to the respondent No.4. Thereby the recovery of salary for the period of 01.12.2019 to 06.08.2020 was proposed allegedly on the ground that the applicant did not perform his duties during the said period.

3. It is contended that the applicant allegedly remained absent illegally from the duties from 01.12.2019 to 06.08.2020 while posted in the office of

//2//

O.A.No.294/22

respondent No.4. The said fact was revealed in the visit of the respondent No.4 in the said office/dispensary on 06.08.2022. In view of same the recovery of salary of the applicant for the said period from 01.12.2019 to 06.08.2020 was proposed.

4. In that regard the applicant received communication dated 18.11.2020 (Annex. 'A-1') from the respondent No.5. The applicant made representation dated 02.12.2022 (Annex. 'A-2') to the respondent No.3 i.e. the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nanded making grievance that the higher officials are harassing him in various ways.

5. Subsequent to that the applicant was put under suspension and the suspension was revoked. The departmental enquiry is also initiated against the applicant as well as the respondent No.5 as regards the alleged absence of the applicant from the duty during the said period and making payment of salary to the applicant by the respondent No.5 illegally.

6. In the circumstances, the learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the impugned order of recovery dated 08.02.2022 is issued without giving fair

//3//

O.A.No.294/22

opportunity of giving even explanation. By the said communication dated 08.02.2022 addressed by the respondent No.5 to the respondent No.4 it appears that the recovery is started pursuant to the direction of the respondent No.4 to the respondent No.5.

7. The alleged absence of the applicant during the period of 01.12.2019 to 06.08.2022 seems to be subject matter of the departmental enquiry. The nature of the impugned order seems to be of punitive prima-facie. In the circumstances when the departmental enquiry is already initiated against the applicant and the respondent No.5, in my opinion, it would be just and proper to stay the proposed recovery from the monthly salary of the applicant. Refusing to give interim relief is likely to defect the cause of justice at the threshold. I, therefore, proceed to pass the following order:-

### **ORDER**

The implementation and execution of impugned order/communication dated 08.02.2022 (part of Annex. 'A-8' collectively) issued by the respondent No.5 is stayed till filing of reply by the respondents.

//4//

O.A.No.294/22

- (ii) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 02.05.2022
- (iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- (iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (v) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- (vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- (vii) S.O. to 02.05.2022.
- (viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.357 OF 2020  
(Sanjay D. Bobade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol Jagtap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.336 OF 2020**  
**(Balasaheb T. Ambade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prikshit P. Dawalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that after issuance of notices nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant to take further necessary steps for further progress of the matter.

3. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the Original Application is dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.399 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Shivaji J. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri Rakesh N. Jain, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, is **absent.**

2. Record shows that inspite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondent No.4. Last chance is granted to the respondent No.4 to file affidavit-in-reply, if any.

3. S.O. to 25.04.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.276 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.871 OF 2019  
(Rakesh T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A.NO.551 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2227 OF 2019  
(Mohammad Siddiq Mohammad Sarwar Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.101 OF 2020 IN O.A.NO.926 OF 2018  
(Kishan P. Solunke @ Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anil K. Maher, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 31.03.2022

**M.A.NO.81 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.510 OF 2020**  
**(Priyadarshi S. Maske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this application the applicant is seeking amendment in the Original Application for bringing subsequent relevant events on record.

3. The Original Application is filed seeking compassionate appointment under Lad-Page Committee Scheme on the class-IV post.

4. The mother of the applicant namely Kusum Shivanji Mhaske (nee Kusum Sukhadev Kamble) was appointed as a Sweeper in the year 1984 as per order dated 21.08.1984. She retired from service on superannuation on 31.03.2019 from the post of Peon.

5. Subsequently she was transferred at Rural Hospital, Bhoom as per order dated 22.05.2003 and Rural Hospital, Parli as per order dated 31.05.2004.

//2//

She retired on 31.03.2019 from Class-IV post i.e. the Peon. The applicant is seeking compassionate appointment on the post of Sweeper as per Lad-Page Committee Scheme in place of his retired mother who was inducted in the service on the post of Sweeper.

6. It is contended that during pendency of the Original Application by order dated 08.10.2020, the respondent No.2 i.e. the District Civil Surgeon, District Government Hospital, Beed has refused the appointment on compassionate ground contending that the mother of the applicant retired from the post of Peon and not from the post of Sweeper.

7. The abovesaid subsequent development is relevant to the pleadings raised in the Original Application. In view of same, the proposed amendment is not going to change the nature of proceedings of the Original Application. In fact, the proposed amendment is just and necessary to determine the real question of controversy between the parties. I therefore, proceed to pass following order:-



//3//

**ORDER**

- (i) The Misc. Application No.81/2022 in O.A.No.510/2020 is allowed.
- (ii) Amendment as prayed for is granted.
- (iii) The applicant to carry out the amendment within the period of 15 days from the date of this order and to serve the copy of amended O.A. on the other side.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.510 OF 2020**  
**(Priyadarshi S. Maske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.370 OF 2019**  
**(Yasmin Hashmi Vasim Hasgami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Shri M.S. Dhanvat, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5, is **absent.**

2. The present matter is already part heard.
3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 04.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1099 OF 2019  
(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.598 OF 2015**  
**(Noorunnisa Begum Abdul Rehman Vs. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.388 OF 2018**  
**(Namdeo P. Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.487 OF 2018**  
**(Ramchandra L. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.723 OF 2018**  
**(Arjun N. Kolmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.101 OF 2019**  
**(Ashok R. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 5 and Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 05.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.386 OF 2019**  
**(Gautam R. Fasale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810 OF 2019**  
**(Shamsundar M. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.97 OF 2020**  
**(Tukaram V. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.172 OF 2021  
(Bairam S. Pandule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 5/2022 IN O.A.NO. 69/2020**  
**(Suresh G. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned counsel holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 73 OF 2020**  
**(Rohit H. Kandharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 292 OF 2020  
(Bhatu J. Borse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 392 OF 2021  
(Pravin R. Hivrale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. No rejoinder affidavit is to be filed as stated by the learned counsel for the applicant. In the circumstances, list the present case for hearing on 13.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 33 OF 2022**  
**(Vitthal N. Kokulwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34 OF 2022**  
**(Sachin D. Shrimanwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 58 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Sanjay K. Muley & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2022**  
**(Dattaram U. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 202 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Rohit R. Zarkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 203 OF 2022  
(Dr. Amol B. Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 55/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 363/2020**  
**(Dnyanoba N. Dhapse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 6.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022-HDD



**C.P.NO. 47/2019IN O.A.NO. 364/2016**  
**(Rudrappa L. Lungare & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in contempt petition, returnable on 13.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 13.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 9/2022 IN O.A.NO. 3/2019  
(Shivram B. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in contempt petition, returnable on 13.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 13.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 26/2021 IN O.A.NO. 834/2016**  
**(Sandu P. Ukarde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Archana Bhange, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 with certain documents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to place on record the copy of judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 227/2012. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 22.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 717 OF 2019**  
**(Rajendra A. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705 OF 2018**  
**(Akbar Khan Nadar Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022-HDD

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 07 OF 2022**  
**(Shyam Vitthal Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek U. Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 09.02.2022 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 21.09.2021 the office has raised the following office objections:-

- 1) IPO of Rs. 5/- each are deficit for R.6 to R.18; and
- 2) Page Nos. 47 & 76 are not legible.

3. Vide office note dated 02.02.2022 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections are not removed.

4. The applicant has made prayer for condonation of delay of about 34 days caused in filing Chamber Appeal No. 7/2022.

5. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today. He undertakes to remove the office objections within reasonable period.

6. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed for quashing and setting aside the promotion orders issued in favour of respondent Nos. 4 to 8 and also seeking directions to the respondent authorities to prepare seniority list and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the Interest of justice to allow the appeal by condoning delay of about 34 days caused in filing this Chamber Appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

- (i) Delay caused in filing Chamber Appeal stands condoned. Consequently, the Chamber Appeal No. 07/2022 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.
- (ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to register the O.A. after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant and place the same before the appropriate bench for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 10/2020 IN O.A.NO. 913/2017  
(Dilipkumar R. Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shivraj V. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 19.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 192 OF 2016  
(Maroti S. Koli Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 8.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77 OF 2017  
(Rajesh B. Yawalkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 8.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2018  
(Shashank B. Kamble Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, is present. Shri Mahendra Kochar, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 (**absent**).

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 9.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950/2012**  
**(Sanjeev R. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Deelip Mutalik, learned Counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 694/2013**  
**(Bashir Khan Daulat Khan Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash D. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 728/2013**  
**(Prashant N. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 20 to 22, are present. Shri R.P. Dhase, learned Counsel for respondent no. 19 and Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 16 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 9.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303/2014**  
**(Prakash N. Shrivastav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612/2014**  
**(Vidya T. Sakhare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.L. Kute, learned Counsel for the applicants  
(**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting  
Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 10.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499/2015**  
**(Dr. Maroti D. Dake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 3.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517/2015**  
**(Pathan Wajiskhan Yunuskhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Madhekar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 13.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641/2015**

**(Bhagatsingh P. Patil (Pawar) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**

**AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758/2015**  
**(Dr. Tanuja S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**REV. 8/2017 IN O.A. 498/2013**  
**(Shivraj D. Hawanna Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 137/2017**  
**(Namdeo S. Arsale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NOS. 268, 269, 270 AND 271 ALL OF 2017  
(Vishal W. Machave & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 962/2017**  
**(Bhausahab B. Rayate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Archana Bhange, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185/2018**  
**(Vasant S. Bagde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 591/2018**  
**(Purushottam N. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Deelip Mutalik, learned Counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721/2018**  
**(Bhagwant L. Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. 626, 641 AND 642 ALL OF 2019  
(Sheshrao R. Giri & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55/2020**  
**(Bhagwan D. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Archana Bhange, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 15/2014 IN O.A. 1128/1999**  
**(Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.V. Adwant, learned special Counsel for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 1.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701/2018**  
**(Dr. Santosh N. Kotule & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri V.V. Ingale, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 5, 8, 19 & 12 and Shri U.P. Gire, learned Counsel for respondent no. 12 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 9.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 573/2019**  
**(Dr. Eknath D. Male Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**O.A. NOS. 649 AND 650 BOTH OF 2019  
(Mahendra D. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Deelip Mutalik, learned Counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 146/2020**  
**(Ankush H. Manbhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. The learned Counsel for res. no. 4 has filed affidavit in reply. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265/2020**  
**(Gulab H. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 271/2020**  
**(Siddharth M. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 420/2020  
(Anish S. Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 267/2021**  
**(Akshay V. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 284/2021**  
**(Jitendra S. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300/2021**  
**(Aabasaheb B. Ghayal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 345/2021**  
**(Suvarna R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 809/2021**  
**(Dr. Imran Nizam Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. The learned P.O. as well as Shri Rajkar, learned Counsel for res. no. 4 have filed separate affidavits in replies of res. nos. 1 to 3 and res. nos. 4 respectively. Both the replies are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side.

3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 818/2021**  
**(Dr. Ashwini A. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 6 and Shri S,G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for respondent no. 8, are present. Shri Amol T. Jagtap, learned Counsel for the respondent no. 9 **(absent)**.

2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply of the respondents. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 27.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 51/2019 IN O.A. ST. 354/2017**  
**(Ashruba B. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Renuka Ghule, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 5.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 327/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1212/2019**  
**(Dr. Suryakant G. Nageshthiwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**M.A. 541/2019 IN O.A. 272/2019**  
**M.A. 542/2019 IN O.A. 274/2019**  
**M.A. 543/2019 IN O.A. 275/2019**  
**M.A. 580/2019 IN O.A. 273/2019**  
**(Whab Majid Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The learned Counsel submits that he intends to file some new documents. The applicant is permitted to file the said new documents with his own affidavit.

3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.5.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 142/2020 IN O.A. 422/2018**  
**(Raghuvir V. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Party in person is absent. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 5.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**M.A. 242/2021 IN O.A. 299/2019**  
**(Rahul T. Pol & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A. 82/2022 IN O.A. 419/2021**  
**(Deepak P. Dungahu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Amol Chalak, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A. 1/2018 W.P. 15249/2017  
(Chanda R. Hingole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The present matter is removed from board, pending decision on reference made U/s 26 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 as made in O.A. No. 414 and 613 both of 2018. .

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773/2019  
(Babu V. Gitte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766/2019  
(Devidas U. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 794/2019  
(Shrihari R. Davargave & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 120/2019  
(Yogesh S. Shingnare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66/2020  
(Mahesh V. Khelge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 809/2019**  
**(Gautam K. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 195/2020  
(Nandkishor U. Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 234/2020**  
**(Pankaj M. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 301/2020  
(Suresh B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 31.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard and concluded. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 31.3.2022