

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.724/2018

Shri B.B. Bagul

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs. Y.R. Waje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The present O.A. is filed to challenge the order dated 17.05.2018, whereby he was promoted from the post of Unskilled Craftsman to the post of Semiskill Craftsman and was posted at Buldhana. According to Applicant, the proposed place of promotion and posting Buldhana given to him is not convenient on the ground that his wife is suffering from Psychological disorder and under the treatment of Doctor at Ulhasnagar. As such, the posting at Buldhana is not convenient to him. On this ground, he filed the present application for cancellation of order dated 17.05.2018 with direction to Respondent No.3 to transfer and promote him at his convenient place nereby Ulhasnagar.

3. Today, the Respondent No.3 has filed Affidavit-in-reply. The same is taken on record.

4. Basically, the application itself is not maintainable in its form. Surprisingly, the Applicant has sought the relief of cancellation of order dated 17.05.2018 whereby he was promoted contending that it is in violation of provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005. In fact, the order dated 17.05.2018 cannot be termed as transfer order, as it is promotion order. It may be noted that Section 4(4) proviso of Act, 2005 makes it clear that the transfer may be made any time in the year, if necessitated on account of retirement, vacancy, promotion, resignation, reversion, reinstatement, etc. Whereas, as per Section 2(i) of Act 2005, transfer means posting of a Government servant from one post, office or department to

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>Hon'ble JUDGE (Member) A <u>Shri. A.P. Kurhekar</u> <small>(Member-J)</small></p> <p>By Applicant <u>Y. R. Waja</u></p> <p>By Respondent's <u>S. D. Dole</u></p> <p>Reply filed by R. No. 3. <u>O.A. - ces disposed</u> <u>of</u> <u>by</u></p>	<p>another post, office or department. Suffice to say, the petition itself is not maintainable.</p> <p>5. At this stage, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that she wants to withdraw the application and she does not want to forego the promotion. Her client wants to join his place of posting given on promotion and to file representation before Department for convenient place.</p> <p>6. In view of the statement made by the learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as the statement made by the Applicant in person that he accept the promotion, this application is disposed of.</p> <p>7. Permission to withdraw the petition is granted. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 31.10.2018</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.206 of 2018

With

**O.A.274 of 2018 with O.A.289/18 with O.A.304/18
with O.A.318/18 with O.A.328/18 with O.A.329/18
with O.A.334/18 with O.A.386/18**

V. V. Pingale

S. S. Sawant & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant (O.A.206/18) and Shri U. V. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants (O.A.274/18 & Ors.). Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer, Shri S. D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.

Sd/-

**(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18.

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : K. R. Jagdale / U. V. Bhosale
(206/18) (274/18)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar / S. D. Dole / A. J. Chougule
C.P./P.O. for the Respondents

Adv./S.O. to 27/11/18.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon.ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon.ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>D.A. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: Shri/Smt. <u>A.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.F./P.O. for the Respondents: <u>None</u></p> <p>AG/S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal column C.D stands disposed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh C.D.</i></p>	<p><u>31.10.2018</u></p> <p><u>C.A 46/2016 in O.A 352/2014</u></p> <p>Shri R.J Tundiwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned advocate Shri Bandiwadekar who appears in 60% of the matter before this Tribunal and is aware of Division Bench order of this Tribunal that before filing a case for action for contempt personal notice to contemnors have to be served. 3. Yet present C.A is filed without personal notice to the Contemnors. 4. In view that no personal notice is given to the Contemnor, we do not propose to entertain the contempt application. 5. In view that Shri Bandiwadekar is aware of the principle referred to in para no. 2, Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar is directed to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/-. 6. The amount of cost Rs. 10,000/- is to be deposited in this Tribunal. If amount of cost is not deposited within two weeks, Registrar shall make a report to the Bench, so that further orders can be passed. 7. Contempt Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh contempt application after serving notice on the contemnor. <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Member (A) (A.H Joshi, J. Chairman)</p>

Date : 31.10.2018

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O. A. No.364 of 2017

N. J. Kharale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant proposes to file substituted O.A. today and circulate the same.

3. Permitted.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.12.2018.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 11.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. D. Dole

C/P P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to Heard

order passed in
Tribunal Column

So to 11/12/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.879 of 2018

T. R. Apte & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.B. A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv/S.O. to 30/11/18

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 30.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.505/2018

Shri S.G. Kadam

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. By order dated 26th October, 2018, this Tribunal directed that the Review Committee headed by Divisional Commissioner to take review of the matter of suspension of the Applicant which is continued for more than four years. It is further noted in the order that Review Committee is expected to examine the matter impartially and objectively, keeping in mind that the suspension is continued for more than four years and criminal case as well as departmental enquiry is not progressing.
3. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered a letter dated 30.10.2018 written by Naib Tahasildar, Koregaon, Dist : Satara and also produced the correspondence made between department. It seems that the Divisional Commissioner has sought direction of the Government.
4. Another development in the matter is that the Applicant is retiring today on attaining the age of superannuation.
5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for passing further orders in the light of order passed by this Tribunal on 26.09.2018 and contended that the suspension was continued for more than four years and the Respondents are now depriving the Applicant from getting the retirement dues.
6. Thus, what emerges is that the Applicant was under suspension for more than four years, but superannuated today. The criminal case is pending without any progress. One departmental enquiry is concluded, but not final order has been passed as the criminal case is still subjudice in Court.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. In view of above, the matter needs to be heard finally to consider the effect of superannuation on the challenge to the suspension order.

8. S.O. to 26th November, 2018 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
31.10.2018

(skw)

DATE 31/10/18

~~MEMBER DIXIT (Member) A~~
Sd/- A.P. Kurhekar Member (J)

~~MEMBER~~
Sd/- A.V. Bandiwadkar

Attorney for the Applicant

~~Sd/-~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

Attorney for the Respondent/s

S.O. to 26/11/18

FH
[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri. A.N. Bhandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri. <u>S.D. Dole</u></p> <p>Shri. S.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>20/11/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.552 of 2018</p> <p>S.A. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 20.11.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.11.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble SHRI P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri. <u>A.P. Kurhekar</u>, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. <u>S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>Shri. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>App. O. to <u>19/11/18</u> Reply filed by A.No. 3.</p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.175 of 2018</p> <p>G.R. MukaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 is filed. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. wants to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member A) Shri. A.P. Kurhekar Member B</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. J.N. Kamble</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri. Me. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent's Adj./S.O. to <u>28/11/18</u> Reply filed by Riteo 2</p>	<p>Date : <u>31.10.2018</u></p> <p>O.A.No.305 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. JattiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents made a statement that the same reply is adopted by the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 28.11.2018.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O.A.No.277 of 2017 with 278 of 2018

With

O.A.No.379 of 2017

M.S. Shete (O.A.No.277 of 2017)

B.N. Mane (O.A.No.278 of 2018)

A.D. Dalvi (O.A.No.379 of 2017)

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In these Original Applications the Applicants are challenging the order of suspension passed against them.

3. However, during the pendency of this proceeding as per the directions given by this Tribunal, review was taken by Competent Authority and the Applicants came to be reinstated in service.

4. However, while reinstating in service, the Applicants were posted at different place from the place where they were serving at the time of suspension.

5. Therefore, issue only remains as to whether their place of posted can be changed while giving them reinstatement.

6. The Applicants have filed affidavit-in-rejoinder raising grievance that the Respondent has not posted them at the same place where they were working. This limited issue only remains in these O.A.s

7. Learned P.O. for the Respondents holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks time to take instructions from the department and to file counter affidavit-in-reply on limited point on change of posting on reinstatement.

8. As the matter is filed in 2017 and very limited point is now remained, last chance granted to file counter affidavit to the Respondents.

9. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekâr)
Member (J)

DATE 31/10/18

CORAM

Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Shri A.P. Kurhekâr,

Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. S.O. to 26/11/18

(Signature)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>8/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A- Sub. A.P. Kurhekar, Mumbai</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Ms. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s A.S.O. to <u>11/12/18</u> short affidavit Filed by R.No.2</p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.420 of 2018</p> <p>L.S. MohanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, short affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 is filed. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 11.12.2018.</p> <p>4. In the meantime, liberty is granted to Applicant to file rejoinder, if desire.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 31.10.2018

O.A.No.421 of 2018

M.Y. PhalkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, short affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 is filed. It is taken on record.

3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 11.12.2018.

4. In the meantime, liberty is granted to Applicant to file rejoinder, if desire.

5. S.O. to 11.12.2018.

DATE 31/10/18
SECRET
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A
Shri. A.P. Kurhekar cor. *reimbursed*

APPEARANCE:
~~Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar~~
Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri. S. Suryawanshi~~
Presenting Officer for the Respondents
Reply filed by A.No. 2.
on 11/12/18.

[Signature]

sba

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A. Shri. A. P. Kurhekar Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. A.V. Bandiwadkar</p> <p>For the Applicant Ms. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>For the Respondent/s <u>10/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.401 of 2018</p> <p>R.L. BogaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has already filed. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder during the course of the day. 4. Liberty granted to do so. 5. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 10.12.2018. 6. S.O. to 10.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./CA. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>MEMBER</p> <p>Mr. J. H. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>Shri. A. P. Kurhekar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Mr. A. V. Bandiwadkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>10/12/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>sba</p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.330 of 2018</p> <p>V.H. KakdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Liberty granted to do so.</p> <p>5. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 10.12.2018.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>MEMBER SHRI. N.Y. CHAVAN (Member) A SHRI. A.P. KURHEKAR Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: SHRI. N.Y. CHAVAN</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant SHRI. S. SURYAWANSHI Advocate for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/11/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.956 of 2018</p> <p>N.D. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant at the very beginning requested for grant of time to amend the application. 3. Leave as prayed is granted. 4. S.O. to 26.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O.A.No.975 of 2018

B.S. LambhateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 19.11.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply. Time granted.

9. It is hoped that the reply will be filed positively on the next date.

10. S.O. to 19.11.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE 31/10/18

CORAM

MEMBER (J) P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Shri. A.P. Kurhekar
Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: A.V. Bandiwadekar

For Respondent:

S.D. Dole

For the Respondent/s

S.O. to 19/11/18

(Signature)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 31.10.2018

O.A.No.932 of 2018

G.K. SanapApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.B. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 5.12.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 5.12.2018.

DATE 31/10/18

CORAM

Hon'ble ~~Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A~~

~~Shri A.P. Kurhekar~~

Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri S.B. Suryawanshi~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri S.D. Dole~~

~~Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s~~

Adj. S.O. to 5/12/18

(Signature)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate _____)

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer _____)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.764 of 2018

N. S. Shinde

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment to file reply and find out the progress.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2018

Sd/-

**(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Jeevi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. B. P. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C. P. O. for the Respondents

Adv. S.O. to 19/11/18.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
_____ applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.613 of 2018

A. V. MahajanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge , the learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, the learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Original Application is admitted and kept for final
hearing in due course.

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R. M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. D. Dole

C/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj/S.O. to Admit and kept for
final hearing in due
course.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

Division _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer _____)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.565 of 2018

P. P. Langote

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2018 as a last chance.

Sd/-

**(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. P. P. Langote

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

CGO for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 27/11/18 as a last chance

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Curam,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's Order

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.218 of 2017

P. G. Lakhat & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

**(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to admit and keep for
final hearing in due
course.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer _____)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.339 of 2018

U. B. JarandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. is directed to clarify whether reply from Respondent No.1 proposes to be filed or otherwise.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2018.

Sd/-

**(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

DATE : 31/10/18

CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:
Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K. S. Gaikwad
C.O. for the Respondents

Adv. S.O. to 19.11.18

0

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: _____ of 20 _____

Date: _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer:

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.858 of 2018

G. H. ShelkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaiwkad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. produces a communication on record to seek four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaiwad

C. P. O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to 27/11/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
(Advocate _____)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others

(Presenting Officer _____)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's order

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.04 of 2018 with O.A.689 of 2018

S. M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre with Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Advocates for the Applicants mention that no promotions have been given in pursuance of the order issued on 24.09.2018.

3. Learned C.P.O. proposes to furnish further details of action taken.

4. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeehi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : C.T. Chandratre with A.V.

Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondents MS

S.S. Dere for RS. H.

Adj./S.O. to Head

order passed in Tribunal
column

SOB 14/11/18

l

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer:.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.325 of 2018

A. M. JadhaoApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that the date for inspection is yet to be intimated.

3. Respondent is directed to ensure that inspection is provided within one week.

4. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. P. D. Lambay

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. manchekar
Ch. P.O. for the Respondents 1, 2
K. R. Jagdale for R-3.
Adv/S.O. to

order passed in Tribunal

Column
S.O. 26/11/18

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 31/10/18.</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice M. N. Desai, Member (A)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. P. Mandekar C.F./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to Heard</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column.</p> <p>O.A. is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.</p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.65 of 2018</p> <p>A. B. Haral & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply from the respondents as well as the Rejoinder from the learned Advocate have been submitted.</p> <p>3. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>Ms. A.S. Patki</u>..... ms. Advocata for the Applicant</p> <p>Miss. Neelima Gohad Sd/- <u>Ms. Neelima Gohad</u>..... Adv. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adv/S.O to <u>Heard</u>..... <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u> <u>SO to 30/11/18</u></p>	<p>31.10.2018</p> <p>O.A No 915 /2018</p> <p>Shri S.S Mali Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms A.S Patki, learned for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.11.2018</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 30.11.2018</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**




M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. B. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. <u>Head</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column SO 20 6/11/18</p>	<p><u>31.10.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 811 /2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Shenolikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard, B.A Bandiwadkar, learned for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O prays for two weeks for filing reply. 3. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. S.O 6.12.2018. 5. Later on learned C.P.O tenders affidavit in reply. The same is taken on record. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 31.10.2018
<p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>Mr. A. Choudhari</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.C. to <u>Head</u></p> <p>order passed by Tribunal column</p> <p>so 10/12/18</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 974/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.A Choudhari, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 12.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. Applicants shall be free to apply pursuant to the advertisement and undergo the process of selection. If applicants are selected, the pendency shall not be an impediment in appointing the applicants. 9. In the event any adverse action is likely, applicants shall be free to move before due date. 10. S.O to 12.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi,  Chairman </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>order passed in Tribunal column - M.A is allowed.</u></p>	<p><u>31.10.2018</u></p> <p><u>M.A 584/2018 in O.A No 974/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.A Choudhari, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>T.A.H Joshi, J.Y.</u> Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>None for the Applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>Smt. Savita Suryavanshi</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad./S.O. to <u>The Appearance</u> <u>shown in the judgment</u> <u>to be corrected.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>31.10.2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 269/2018</u></p> <p>Shri H.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None for the applicant and heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O's request for substituting the name of Ms Savita Suryavanshi by name of Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents is granted. 3. The appearance shown in the judgment be corrected. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi (J.))</u> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI.**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

Dated: _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 31/10/18</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. ... (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : K. R. Jagdale</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adl./S.O. to 29/11/18</p>	<p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.272 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. BarapatreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. seeks three weeks to file reply. 3. S.O. to 29.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.14 of 2018 with M.A.503 of 2018

Or. R. S. ShingeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Shyamsundar Solanke holding for Shri P. V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions to add the names of the legal heirs since the original Applicant has expired on 31.07.2018.
3. Learned P.O. has not objection to the same.
4. Request to add the name of legal heirs is granted.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.01.2019.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 02.01.2019.

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Shyamsundar Solanke for
P. V. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.F. P.O. for the Respondents

Adl/S.O. to M. Gaikwad

order passed in
Tribunal Column
S.O. 21/19

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant's

(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer _____)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.726 of 2018

D. V. SidamApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

Sd/-

**(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

DATE : 31/10/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : Mr. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj/S.O. to 12/12/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

DATE : 31/10/18

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C. T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B. K.

C. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 19.11.18

2

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.851 of 2018

A. N. GhodkeApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. mentions that no parawise comments have been received from the Respondents.
3. Respondent is directed to ensure either to file reply or deputing the officer responsible for the same to clarify the issue.
4. S.O. to 19.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No _____ of 20 _____ Dated _____
Applicant/s _____

(Advocate) _____

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer) _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.210 of 2018

S. M. JadhavApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE: 31/10/18

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. SB : B. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to Admit and keep for
final hearing in due course.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
District _____ Applicant's
(Advocate)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE : 31.10.18
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.F./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 12.12.18



Date : 31.10.2018

O. A. No.645 of 2018

P. S. KadamApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O proposes to trace the original file and ask the concerned department to file reply at the earliest.

3. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> <p>DATE: <u>31/10/18</u> CORAM: Hon'ble J. Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri Shyamsundar Solanke & L. S. Deshmukh Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> Co. P.O. for the Respondents Adv/S.O. to <u>29/11/18</u></p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p> <p>Date : 31.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.669 of 2018</p> <p>S. R. VarkhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shyamsundar Solanke holding for Shri L. S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule; the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time to file reply. 3. S.O. to 29.11.2018. <p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>
--	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>31/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>1/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>12/11/18</i></p>	<p><u>31.10.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 694 /2018</u></p> <p>Ms R.S Sonwalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A is heard for sometime. Applicant has relied on the order passed by this tribunal in O.A 1052/2017, (along with O.A 1007/2017 as main O.A)</p> <p>3. Applicant Ms Rupali D. Gosavi, who was applicant in O.A 1052/2017 has been recommended and sent for training.</p> <p>4. According to the applicant, applicant's claim prima facie is governed on the same principle.</p> <p>5. M.SP.SC is directed to make statement tomorrow as to what are the circumstances due to which applicant's case is liable to be differentiated and cannot be governed by same principle.</p> <p>6. S.O to 1.11.2018.</p> <p>7. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>