

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.346 of 2023</p> <p>G.S. Shirke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sought transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur on the post of Sr. Clerk. However, N.O.C. has been given by the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur on the condition that as no post of Sr. Clerk available, the Applicant can be allowed to join as and when post of Sr. Clerk is available consequent to promotion of eligible Sr. Clerks to the posts of Head Clerks by the office of Director General of Police, Maharashtra State.</p> <p>3. The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is therefore directed that after order of promotion to the posts of Head Clerks are issued by the office of Director General of Police, Maharashtra State, the Applicant be relieved within 15 days therefrom and permitted to join as Sr. Clerk on the establishment of the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur.</p> <p>4. The Original Application for reasons stated above is disposed off with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. More .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant who is from the cadre of 'Chief Officer (Selection Grade) under the 'Urban Development Department' has been working on the post of 'C.E.O., Smart City Development Corporation Ltd. (SCDCL), Nashik' on deputation and the initial period Appointment was for the One Year, though it is fact that he has continued to work till date; as per order issued by Urban Development Department dated 30.06.2021. Now the Revenue &amp; Forest Department vide order dated 29.08.2023 has posted Respondent No.2 who is from the cadre of Deputy Collector and has been assigned Amravati Division and was in waiting, in place of the Applicant as C.E.O., SCDCL, Nashik for period of Three Years on deputation and also directed the Respondent No.2 to immediately report to the Urban Development Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 3 states that the Respondent No.2 was waiting for order of posting and accordingly now he has been appointed on deputation for period of Three years as C.E.O., SCDCL, Nashik vide order of Revenue &amp; Forest Department dated 29.08.2023. Further on information from the officer present from the Urban Development Department; the learned P.O. makes a statement that further order by the Urban Development Department has not yet been issued for appointing Respondent No.2 to the post of 'C.E.O., SCDCL, Nashik'. The Orders of P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>deputation of the Respondent No.2 should have been issued by the Revenue &amp; Forest Department the 'Cadre Controlling Authority' of Deputy Collectors, only after repatriation of the Applicant from the post of 'C.E.O., SCDCL, Nashik' following the due procedure laid down in G.A.D. G.R. dated 17.12.2016 and 16.02.2018 and relevant provision of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules of 1981' for brevity) and the guidelines in G.A.D. G.R. dated 17.12.2016 and 16.02.2018. The Revenue and Forest Department order of 29.08.2023 posting the Respondent No.2 to the post of 'C.E.O., SCDCL, Nashik' though not as yet implemented by Urban Development Department it is primarily the responsibility of the Urban Development Department as the 'Cadre Controlling Authority' of Chief Officers to ensure the observance of provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 17.12.2016 and 16.02.2018 which contain specific instructions relating to 'Transfer on Deputation' provisions of law as under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005. Urban Development Department must accordingly take further action regarding the posting of Respondent No.2 only after observing all the guidelines regarding 'Transfer on Deputation' as the Respondent No.2 is from the cadre of Deputy Collector under the Revenue and Forest Department.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to continue to work on the post of C.E.O., SCDCL, Nashik till next date.</p> <p>5. Urban Development Department to also consider if there are any guidelines of the Central Government and the State Government regarding specific qualification and experiences encapsulated as 'Eligibility Criteria' for 'Suitable Officers' who are to be Considered for posting on the post of C.E.O., SCDCL and if so whether the 'Respondent No.2' meets those 'Eligibility Criteria' requirement prior to issuing further order of deputation of 'C.E.O, SCDCL' <b>Nashik</b> .</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2023.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1112/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>S.S. Borude &amp; Ors.</b> ... Applicants <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Suhas Deokar holding for Shri Chatan Alai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is fixed on tomorrow for submission of learned CPO, as she wants to obtain the instructions from MPSC and TCS.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Debashish Chakrabarty</b>) / (<b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b>) Member-A Chairperson 31.08.2023 31.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1057 of 2023 with O.A. 1058 of 2023</p> <p>P.B. Dubal Dr. A.A. Pawara .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces the copy of the corrected Office Order dated 28.08.2018 by which 'Administrative Work' earlier assigned to the Applicants whose services had been attached by the Animal Husbandry Dairy Development Department &amp; Fisheries Department to the Chief Executive Officer (C.E.O.), Aarey Milk Colony has now been withdrawn and they have been now given the work only relating to Livestock Development Officer (L.D.O.). The Officer present on behalf of the Animal Husbandry Dairy Development Department &amp; Fisheries Department further informed through learned P.O. that this 'Temporary Arrangement' of attaching services of L.D.O.'s from Abattoir Devnar, Mumbai to work under the C.E.O., Aarey Milk Colony may continue till 31.03.2024. However, it appears that the Applicants have been working for more than One Year and Two Months continuously, while as per information given by the officer present on behalf of Animal Husbandry Dairy Development Department &amp; Fisheries Department there are total of 14 L.D.Os. are present posted in Abattoir Devnar, Mumbai. Therefore as C.E.O., Aarey Milk Colony has now withdrawn orders of 'Administrative Work' assigned to the L.D.O.'s he may continue with the 'Temporary Arrangement' of attaching services of L.D.O.'s from Abattoir Devnar, Mumbai by relieving the present Applicants who have already worked under the 'Temporary Arrangement' for One Year and Two Months and in their place draft services of other L.D.O.'s by 'Rotation Method' till appropriate arrangement on long-term basis is made by the Animal Husbandry Dairy Development Department &amp; Fisheries Department</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.706 of 2023</p> <p>P.D. Gadhave .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant briefly states that 'Interim Relief' is already been granted on 07.07.2023 and continued thereafter.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on behalf of the Respondents states that he will obtain correct information regarding the 'Minutes of Meeting' of the C.S.B. – II which is not filed along with 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply.'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.862 of 2023</p> <p>P.V. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has produced a letter dated 04.08.2023 written by Tehsildar, Pune to Collector, Pune requesting Transfer of the Applicant to the post of 'Revenue (Assistant)'. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he will place this Order in 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.'</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. states that he will seek information from Respondents about the <sup>letter</sup><del>order</del> written by Tehsildar Pune, requesting Transfer of the Applicant to the post of 'Revenue (Assistant).'</li> <li>4. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of Admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 08.09.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>                    </u> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.391 of 2023</p> <p>N.D. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' is ready and will be filed at the time of Hearing.</p> <p>3. Hence, matter is kept for Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.554 of 2023 in O.A. No.1011 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.S. Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants leave to amend O.A. by adding the word "issued by Respondent No.1 &amp; 3" respectively.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend as prayed is granted and accordingly M.A. is disposed off.</p> <p>4. O.A. is adjourned to 20.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2023

O.A.No.992 of 2023

S. D. Javir & Ors.

...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere with Shri H. S. Bali and S. H. Bali, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants produced letter of School Education and Sports Department dated 30.08.2023 wherein DPC has been convened on 31.08.2023 to grant temporary promotion for the Vacant Posts of (i) Education Officers in the Maharashtra Education Service (Education Empowerment Branch) Group-A and (ii) Senior Lecturers in Maharashtra Education Service (Education Empowerment Branch) Group-A.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that proposal for DPC was received on 30.08.2023 from the Commissioner (Education), Maharashtra State, Pune and therefore meeting of the DPC has been expeditiously convened on 31.08.2023 at 3.30 P.M. Further, learned C.P.O. on instructions states that Respondents are willing to keep 3 Vacant Posts of Education Officers after DPC on 31.08.2023 and take review DPC after 31.08.2023 for the Applicants subject to result of O.A.

4. Learned C.P.O. requested for time to take review of DPC.

5. S.O. to 11.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1129 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. Daundkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant was posted on the post of Chief Accounts &amp; Finance Officer, Transport undertaking, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation (NMMC) by order dated 28.12.2022. Thereafter, he was promoted by the Orders of Finance Department on 11.08.2023 to the cadre of Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance &amp; Accounts Services and posted as Under Secretary &amp; Deputy Director, Relief and Rehabilitation Department.</p> <p>3. The Finance Department Order of 11.08.2023 has names of 55 Officers who have been promoted in the cadre of Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance &amp; Accounts Services and have been given Appointment in various establishments and it also include some instances were 'Proforma Promotion' as permissible under Appendix-III of Rule 40 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules of 1981' for brevity) has been granted <del>to some</del> other Assistant Directors of Maharashtra Finance &amp; Accounts Services have been promoted to the cadre of Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance &amp; Accounts Services but retained on the same posts after having being given 'Proforma Promotion'. The Commissioner, NMMC had also made request on 12.07.2023 to retain the Applicant in NMMC subsequent to his promotion to the cadre of Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance &amp; Accounts Services by giving him 'Proforma Promotion'. However, it seems that the request of the Commissioner, NMMC on 12.07.2023 was not considered by Finance Department. Therefore in the aforesaid background it would be necessary to know the reasons why in the case of the Applicant the C.S.B. did not consider granting 'Proforma Promotion' especially when the Commissioner, NMMC had also requested the Finance</p>

P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Department in this regard on 12.07.2023. Hence 'Interim Relief' is granted to the Applicant and accordingly the Applicant is permitted to continue on the post of Chief Accounts and Finance Officer, Transport Undertaking, NMMC.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to amend the O.A. by adding the Commissioner, NMMC as Respondents. Leave to as prayed is granted to amend the O.A.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. on behalf of Respondents seeks time to obtain information about whether any other officer the cadre of Assistant Director, Maharashtra Finance &amp; Accounts Services has been given posting in place of the Applicant on the post of Chief Accounts &amp; Finance Officer, Transport Undertaking, NMMC as on today and also nature of action to be taken on the letter written by The Commissioner, NMMC on 12.07.2023 to Finance Department.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2023.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.628 of 2023</p> <p>R.A. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Pushpa Ganediwala, learned Special Counsel along with Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant argued at length based on contents of Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. states that he needs to file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 07.09.2023 for Final Hearing (P.H.).</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.08.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.283 of 2023</p> <p>K.V. Bachhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant draws attention to the Affidavit-in-Reply filed by the Respondents on 12.04.2023 <sup>especially</sup> Para 8 of Affidavit-in-Reply wherein it has been stated that the Applicant was posted at Tahsil, Nandgaon on revocation of suspension order dated 18.01.2023 and now she has joined at the post on 30.01.2023. Hence, her Application to change her posting can only be treated as a mid-term and mid-tenure and hence cannot be considered under the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfer and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act 2005.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that her posting to Tahsil, Nandgaon is subsequent to the revocation of suspension order dated 18.01.2023 and now she has joined at the post on 30.01.2023. Hence, as stated in Affidavit-in-Reply her Application for change of posting cannot be considered. Nonetheless, learned P.O. is directed to obtain information about the Transfer of Women Government Servant who have been widowed and guidelines if any may be produced on next date of hearing.</p> <p>4. Matter be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>Date : 31.08.2023</b>
	<b>C.A.No.79/2022 in O.A.No.605/2022</b>
	Dr. B.T. Karvekar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.
	1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the year 2018, D.E. was finalized on 26.05.2022 and the Applicant was held guilty and directions were given for 25% deduction from the pension permanently. In January, 2023 Applicant's final pension was confirmed. Appeal is pending before the Governor.
	3. For reporting further progress adjourned to 04.09.2023.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1174 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.Y. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</li><li>3. Admit.</li><li>4. S.O. to 21.9.2023 for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.677 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.S. Choughule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. Ld. PO files reply.</li><li>3. Admit.</li><li>4. S.O. to 21.9.2023 for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgā) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.566 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.H. Deore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. Reply is filed.</li><li>3. Admit.</li><li>4. S.O. to 21.9.2023 for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.382 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.R. Tonpe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.76 of 2023 in O.A. No.132 of 2023

G.B. Nerkar & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri I.L. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that there is delay of 27 months in filing the above OA. The applicants were working as PSI and stood retired between 2014 and 2017. They claim revised pension on the post of Pl. Ld. Advocate prays that there is continuous cause of action and hence the delay may be condoned.

3. Ld. PO states that no specific reasons have been given for condoning the delay which is 2 to 4 years.

4. However, in the interest of justice and as there is continuous cause of action which relates to pension, the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
31.8.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.132 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.B. Nerkar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri I.I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1126 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Sheikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.557 of 2023 in O.A. No.1109 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Dagale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 20.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A and MA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1108 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.V. Shete ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cases, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.548 of 2023 in O.A. No.1079 of 2023

R.Y. Kamble & 8 Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
31.8.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.548 of 2023 in O.A. No.1079 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.Y. Kamble &amp; 8 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 21.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.802/2023</b></p> <p>A.S. Jachak .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.H. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.R. Avachat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate is directed to serve copy of O.A. to the office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.35/2021 in O.A.No.229/2019 with O.A.No.408/2022</b></p> <p>A. Nazirkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply in O.A. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.71/2022 in O.A.No.1095/2016</b></p> <p>B.S. Killedar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order of the Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate on instructions confirms the same.</p> <p>4. In view of above, as nothing remains in the C.A. the same stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1027 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>Smt. M. O. Lokhande &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this Original Application, the Applicants are challenging final seniority list dated 18.07.2023.</li> <li>3. If promotions are granted and juniors are promoted and if the substance is found in case of the Applicants and application is allowed then Applicants will get their deemed date and seniority will be restored.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 21.09.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2023

O. A. No. 1125 of 2023

Shri S. S. Wanve .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A., the Applicant is working as Talathi and challenges final seniority list dated 27.07.2023 issued by the Respondent No.2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that while preparing seniority list, the Respondents have violated the Sub-Service Departmental Examination Rules, 1997 and the Maharashtra Revenue Qualifying Examination Rules.

3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.09.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 29.09.2023, if at all anybody is promoted, it will be subjected to outcome of this O.A.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2023

O.A.No.1114 of 2023

Y. B. Kadam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 21.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Chairperson

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1107 & 1121/2023**

**Dr. N.P. Banage**

**Dr. T.S. Uike & Ors.**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants submits that both the matters are to be fixed along with similar matters on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.

3. Issue notice made returnable on 08.09.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member-A

31.08.2023

(skw)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

31.08.2023

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1100/2023**

**B.P. Chaudhari & 12 Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3. None present for Private Respondents though served.

2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants submits that he has served the copy of Affidavit of Service on all Respondents, especially on Private Respondent Nos.4 to 9.

3. The Applicants who are working as Police Sub-Inspectors in Wireless Department, Mumbai apprehend that the Private Respondents who are juniors to them are going to be promoted as options for giving postings are invited from all the Private Respondents. The Private Respondents are also working on equal post of Police Sub-Inspector in Wireless Department. All the Respondents belong to Reserved Category and all the Applicants are from Open Category. All the Respondents were given the benefit of reservation and they were promoted first as Assistant Sub-Inspector and thereafter, as Police Sub-Inspectors.

3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants refers to Clause No.6.4 of the application where the Chart stating the names of all Private Respondents with their date of joining as Head Constables, Date of Promotion as ASI and Date of Promotion as PSI is mentioned. All the Applicants are seniors to these Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants submits that as Applicants are seniors, they are to be promoted to the post of Police Inspector prior to all Private Respondents. The Applicants are senior to Private Respondents at the time of joining the service. However, Private Respondents are given seniority on account of giving the benefit of reservation to them at the time of promotion to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector and Police Sub-Inspector. Ld. Advocate refers to G.R. of 07.05.2021 and submits that as per this G.R. the benefit is given to the Applicant and then they are to be promoted.

4. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants submits that the process of promotion be stayed.

5. Ld. C.P.O submits that she has not received instructions.

6. ACP, Wireless, Pune is directed to remain present tomorrow.

7. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2023 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)

**Member-A**

**Chairperson**

**31.08.2023**

**31.08.2023**

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.945/2022 with O.A.No.1272/2022 with O.A.No.1307/2022 with O.A.No.1308/2022 with O.A.No.33/2023</b></p> <p>Y.S. Jagtap &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks time in view that he needs to take signature of the Applicants on the rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">M B</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.438/2023 with O.A.No.439/2023</b></p> <p>D.R. Jadhav (O.A.No.438/2023) G.S. Salunkhe (O.A.439/2023) .....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Yashaswi Pande, learned Advocate i/b Mr. P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that he wants to withdraw the O.As.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw. Hence, O.A.No.438 /2023 and O.A.no.439/2023 are disposed of as allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.493/2023</b></p> <p>G.S. Kakad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 07.09.2023 to file reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medhā Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

---

Tribunal's orders



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.561/2020</b></p> <p>Dr. K.S. Jawale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Gugale.</p> <p>3. O.A. is preponed and kept for Final Hearing on 25.09.2023. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.524 of 2023 in O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No.911 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Vaishnav &amp; Ors., Vaibhav Pilare</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Harshad Indamdar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed this M.A. for amendment in O.A. to implead certain averments in O.A. as well as add One Respondent and also place on record the Transfer Order by which the Respondent has been posted in place of the Applicant No.1.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that amendment would be completed by the returnable date on 08.09.2023.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. O.A. is adjourned to 08.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1031/2023</b></p> <p>V.R. More .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned P.O. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad.</p> <p align="center">SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Ms. Gaikwad has submitted that in order dated 22.08.2023 in paragraph 5, 3<sup>rd</sup> line, 'Respondent No.3' is to be substituted as 'Respondent No.1'.</p> <p>4. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.416/2020</b></p> <p>D.D. Kamble .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Mr. Aditya Kharkar, learned Advocate I/b for Mr. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 to 5.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Mr. Chougule adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.452/2022</b></p> <p>D.A. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that she could not serve Respondent No.5 and seeks time for the same.</p> <p>3. Time granted. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 31.08.2023**

**O.A.No.1204/2016**

Y.R. Angle & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.


1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. is directed to inform the Respondent No.1, Public Works Department and Respondent No.2, Higher and Technical Education Department to make specific statement who is a competent authority to decide the issue of equivalence.

3. It is necessary to taken into account while preparing affidavit the Maharashtra State Board of Technical Education (MSBTE) Act 1997 wherein it is said that the Maharashtra State Board Technical Education is the competent authority for granting equivalence and therefore we call the specific statement from these two Departments. We prefer the Principal Secretary of these two Departments (Respondents No.1 and 2) to make the statement in short affidavit. A letter is dated 20.12.2013 sent by the Deputy Director, Higher and Technical Education to the Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education and Section 34 of the MSBTE Act is also to be taken on account while preparing the affidavit.

4. Adjourned to 07.09.2023.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-   
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.384/2023 in O.A.No.393/2023 (A'bad) (Through Video Conferencing)</b></p> <p>S.G. Wajge .....Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Earlier on account of order dated 24.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal thereby dismissing the O.A.No.393/2023 certain communication was made by the Applicant and also by learned Advocate for the Applicant. However, learned Advocate today has submitted that it was due to certain miscommunication and now he would like to proceed with the matter. Learned Advocate has further submitted that the entire file and the record of the matter along with the notings which are made by the Civil Services Board in respect of transfer of the Applicant are to be placed before the presiding Judge and then he will address.</p> <p>3. The request made by learned Advocate is fair and correct. Neither the Applicant nor the learned Advocate has any objection to argue the matter before the same Presiding Judge i.e. before me.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.384/2023 in O.A.No.393/2023 adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

C.A. No.78 of 2023 and O.A. No.271 of 2023

S.B. Deshinukh ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files compliance affidavit dated 30.8.2023 of Anoop Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 along with Govt. order dated 21.8.2023 and submits that DE is completed and final order is passed on 21.8.2023 exonerating the applicant.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that interim directions given by this Tribunal by order dated 17.4.2023 are complied with and hence CA may be disposed off.

4. In view of the above, CA is disposed off.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is working as Agriculture Officer, Group-B Senior and was due for promotion to the post of Dy. Director of Agriculture, Group-A. His case was considered in DPC held on 22.12.2022. However, due to pendency of DE his matter was kept in sealed cover. Now the applicant has been exonerated by order dated 21.8.2023. Hence, Ld. Advocate prays that applicant should be considered for promotion.

6. The respondents are directed to open the sealed cover and consider the applicant for promotion within a period of three months from today. CA and OA are disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
31.8.2023

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
31.8.2023

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.71 of 2023 in O.A. No.56 of 2018</u> <u>with</u> <u>C.A. No.72 of 2023 in O.A. No.55 of 2018</u></p> <p>N.B. Kamble A.T. Mane ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that orders passed in the above OAs are complied with and hence the CAs can be disposed off.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CAs are disposed off.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 31.8.2023 31.8.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>