

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.457/2016

Shri A.B. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant does not want to file Affidavit-in-rejoinder. Admit. Placed for hearing on 28th September, 2016.

DATE: 31/8/16

R.B. Malik (Chairman)

B.A. Bandiwadekar

N.G. Gohad

Admit.
Adj. To 28/9/16

[Signature]

(skw)

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
31.08.2016

[Handwritten marks]
28-16

Date : 31.08.2016.

C.A.No.53 of 2015 O.A.No.679 of 2012

Shri D.M. Barmase ...Applicant

Vs.

Shri Mahesh Pathak, Secretary & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states on instructions received from Smt. A.J. D'souza, Under Secretary (ADF), Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department, Mantralaya, as follows:-

- (a) After the receipt of the recommendation of Applicant's name from the M.P.S.C., certificates of the Applicant qua the eligibility criteria was scrutinized, and experience is found to be deficient and therefore it is impossible to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal.
- (b) In the result the State Government has decided to approach Hon'ble High Court and the Writ Petition would be filed and further progress would be reported within two weeks.

3. In view of the statement of learned P.O., for reporting steps which may be taken i.e. filing W.P., adjourned to 19.09.2016.

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 19/9/2016

(P)

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice _____ (Member) A</p> <p>Y. P. Potbhare holding for Shri. K. R. Jagdale</p> <p>K. S. Gaikwad for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt To: <u>7/9/2016</u></p> <p>(9)</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.99 of 2014 in O.A. No.684 of 2011</u></p> <p>Shri D.N. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the writ petition filed by the State is dismissed.</p> <p>3. Thereafter the case has come on board for the first time.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO states that some time is required for taking instructions.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 7.9.2016.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 31.8.2016 </p>

Date : 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.105 of 2016 with O.A.No.106 of 2016 with
O.A.No.107 of 2016

Shri R.K. Potle (O.A.No.105 of 2016)
Shri S.K. Patil (O.A.No.106 of 2016)
Shri H.D. Sawant (O.A.No.107 of 2016) ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Giri, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. This Tribunal had directed to Respondent No.3. Shri Ramaswamy, Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune in order dated 2.05.2016 as follows:-

"6. Respondent No. 3 Shri Ramaswamy, Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune is directed to file his own affidavit stating as to what is the legal impediment in deciding the Applicant's prayers contained in this Original Applications."

(quoted from order dated 2.05.2016 para no.6 of the O.A.)

4. The affidavit in reply which is filed today does not disclose/ reveal answer to the above quoted text.


5. Shri Ramaswamy, Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune is directed to explain by filing affidavit, the points as follows:-

- Whether he has received the order passed on 2.05.2016.
- Whether he has understood the order passed on 2.05.2016.
- What is the difficulty in answering to the specific query?

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents and file affidavit-in-reply answering foregoing specific query.

7. Affidavit be filed within 10 days from today.

8. S.O. to 26.9.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE :

31/8/2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri S. K. Patil (Member) A

Presented by :

Shri / M. D. Giri

Addressed by :

Shri / Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.I.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 28/9/2016

(RJ)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 31.08.2016 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.888 of 2016

Shri A.S. Chavan ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 10.10.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 10.10.2016.

sba

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Dr. M. S. Ranekar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.V. Thorat

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 10/10/2016

(P)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, JP)
Chairman


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice (Member) A</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p><u>M. D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for Respondent</p> <p><u>K. S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/9/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(H)</p>	<p>Date : 31.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.175 of 2016</p> <p>Shri A.R. Parab ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar is busy before other bench.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 15.9.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>_____ (Member) A</p> <p>✓ <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>✓ <u>S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>✓ C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/9/2016</u></p> <p>(21)</p>	<p>Date : 31.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.839 of 2016</p> <p>Shri S.S. Naik ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar is busy before other bench.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 15.9.2016.</p> <p>sba</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J)</p> <p>Chairman</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.707 of 2016

R.S. Gaikwad

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents states as follows :-

Para-wise comments are received and two weeks time may be granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 25.09.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri S. V. Joshi (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri / Smt. / U.V. Bhosle

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Smt. / Archana B.K.

C.P.O / F.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 25/9/2016

(RJ)

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.99 of 2016

Smt. C.W. Gaikwad

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Diwan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 21.11.2016.

λ
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Ct. : S.S. Diwan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent

Adj. To: 21/11/2016

(9)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.352 of 2016

Shri H.S. Bhapkar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.R. Joshi states as follows:-

Applicant has instructed learned Advocate Shri A.R. Joshi to withdraw the O.A.

3. Original Application is disposed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice Shri S. K. Patil (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri / A.R. Joshi

Shri / Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: O.A. is disposed as
Withdrawn

(2)

ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.836 of 2016

Shri P.M. Patil etc. 2

...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.


...Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for time for enabling her to make a statement as to what steps are taken by the Respondents.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 7.09.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sb

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rajeshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri~~ M8. S. P. Manchekar

Advocate for the App. Court

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad


C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 7/09/2016

(2)

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>SLY/S. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u>.....</p> <p>C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>15/9/2016</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P)</p>	<p>Date : 31.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.886 of 2016</p> <p>Shri J.C. Shaikh ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar is busy in other bench.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 15.9.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.110 of 2016 with O.A.No.125 of 2016

Shri N.K. Mane (O.A.No.110 of 2016)

Shri B.R. Patil (O.A.No.125 of 2016)

...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this case, so far order of notice is not passed, hence Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 8.11.2016.

DATE : 31/8/2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : M. B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A. J. Chougule

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To..... 8/11/2016

(2)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, Jy
Chairman

sba

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.331 of 2016 with O.A.No.332 of 2016

Shri T.S. Lamane (O.A.No.331 of 2016)
Shri G.R. Padwal (O.A.No.332 of 2016) ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar is busy before other bench.
3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 15.9.2016.

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri M.D. Lonkar (Member) A

APPEARANCES:

/s/ Shri. M.D. Lonkar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

P.O./P.G. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 15/9/2016

(P)


 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice _____ (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>None for the Applicant</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>Shri K. B. Bhise</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>4/10/2016</u></p> <p>(2)</p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 779 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. P.P. Kulkarni ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer, for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Service report is not filed.</p> <p>3. If service report is not filed within one week the OA shall stand dismissed without further reference to court tribunal.</p> <p>4. If the service report is filed, let the OA come up on board on 4.10.2016.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p><u>(Signature)</u> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 31.8.2016</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandran (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt./Shri: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Admit.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(2)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.282 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.N. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO tenders affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 31.8.2016 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.08.2016.

O.A.No.59 of 2016 with O.A.No.61 of 2016 with
O.A.No.90 of 2016

A.A. Potnis (O.A.No.59 of 2016)
S.V. Kulkarni (O.A.No.61 of 2016)
J.S. Pirgonde (O.A.No.90 of 2016) ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Heard for some time. It has transpired that the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the Respondents is drafted in an evasive manner. The evasiveness could be due to the reckless, incompetent or deliberately.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad is called to explain as to whether she has herself drafted the affidavit in reply.

4. Learned P.C. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad states as follows:-

She has acted upon the para wise remarks and had made minor improvements only and did not effect any significant changes in the contents of the para-wise remarks.

5. Affidavit filed by Dr. Yallapa U. Jadhav for the Respondent is struck of as it deserves.

6. Respondent is directed to file fresh affidavit and pay cost of Rs.10,000/-, to the Applicants in each O.A.

7. If affidavit in reply is not filed within four weeks the O.A. would be heard and decided and treating that the text of O.A. has gone untraversed.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

9. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communciate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O. to 20.10.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 31/8/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

MR. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

MR. N.G. Gohad

Advocate for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 20/10/2016

Steno copy and
Hamdast allowed. (P)


MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>Ms. S. P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p><u>K. B. Bhise</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Admit</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(2)</p>	<p>Date : 31.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.654 of 2016</p> <p>Shri M.D. Shinde ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise prays for time on the ground that order passed by this Tribunal on 7.7.2016 is communicated, however instructions are still awaited.</p> <p>3. Interim order in terms of para 8 ordered on 7.7.2016 shall continue till final disposal.</p> <p>4. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Appheant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/16</u></p> <p><u>R.B. Malik</u> (Chairman)</p> <p><u>J.N. Kamble</u></p> <p><u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/9/16</u></p> <p><i>ME</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.797/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.V. Kasare ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. seeks further adjournment for reply which is strongly opposed by Shri Kamble, the learned Advocate and in my view, not without justification because sufficient opportunity has already been given. It is made clear that on the next date, if the reply is not filed, the OA will straightaway be admitted and put up for hearing without any further adjournment being given.</p> <p>S.O. to 7th September. 2016.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>ME</i></p> <p>(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 30.08.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/8/16</u></p> <p><u>R.B. Malik</u> (J)</p> <p><u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p><u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Admit -</p> <p>Adj. To <u>27/9/16</u></p> <p><u>F.H.</u> <u>N.G.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.573/2016</u></p> <p>Shri K.S. Kondvilkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The request of the learned P.O. for further time to file Affidavit-in-reply is turned down because the matter was already adjourned for today for the same. It is, however, made clear that on the date, the matters appears before the Bench for hearing, if Affidavit-in-reply is tendered, it will be taken on record, but no adjournment shall be given for that purpose. The OA is formally admitted. It be placed before the appropriate Bench for hearing on 27th September, 2016.</p> <p>(skw)</p> <p>Sd/- <u>8/16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 30.08.2016</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

C.A.No.57 of 2016 in
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.38 OF 2016

S.R. Jadhav Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 31.08.2016.


ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Contemnor No.2, Shri Vijay Waghmare, Director, office of Director, Vocational Education & Training has tendered additional Affidavit. It is taken on record.
3. On perusal of the affidavit it is revealed that the affidavit does not give any satisfactory explanation towards delay which has resulted in Contempt.
4. If the Respondent No.2 wants he can file further affidavit tendering explanation, an apology, as well as, if he is, willing to pay costs to the Applicant he may file appropriate affidavit by tomorrow.
5. S.O. to 01.09.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

1. Later on the case was mentioned and was heard.
2. Learned P.O. has tendered apology and affidavit written and affirmed by Shri Vijay Waghmare, Director, Vocational Education & Training. It is taken on record. Copy is given to learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale.

3. The apology appears to be sincere and can be accepted, however, Respondent No.2 cannot be left free without compensating the Applicant because the Applicant was required to loss time and money for initiating the present C.A..
4. Though Respondent No.2 has prayed for not imposing costs, however, in the interest of justice it would be appropriate to order Respondent No.2 to pay to the Applicant costs in the sum of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the Applicant directly by sending demand draft within 30 days from today.
5. In view of the apology and order for costs it shall not be necessary to take any action. Hence, Contempt Application proceedings are disposed.
6. Disposal does not come in the way of the Applicant to agitate the appropriate challenge to the decision rendered in the appeal.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>C.A. No.137 of 2014 in O.A. No.242 of 2013</u>
	Shri S.A.K. Miyan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO states that she has not read the papers and wants time.
	3. S.O. to 1.9.2016.
	 (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 31.8.2016
	(sgj)