

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

C.A 38/2018 in O.A 48/2017

Ranjana Gage ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, holding for Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply. The Respondents are directed to file their affidavit in reply as it a contempt application stating specifically about the compliance.

3. S.O to 9.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>31.05.2021</u></p> <p><u>C.A 8/2020 in O.A 989/2018 with C.A 9/2020 in O.A 990/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.Y Kadam, Shri V.S Kadam ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Y.B Lengare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that all steps regarding pensionary benefits are taken. The order regarding the same is issued by the office of the Accountant General on 28.5.2021. Now only the issue of actual payment has remained.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.6.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N¹Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

31.05.2021

O.A 334/2021

Shri V.B Kale & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants who are working as Class-IV employees prays that the Respondents be directed to issue promotion orders of the applicants to the post of Clerk-cum-Typist, Group-C as per select list dated 2.1.2019 of eligible Class-IV employees and also they are to be given deemed date from 7.5.2019 on the post of Clerk-cum-Typist, Group-C and consequential service benefits. The applicants also challenges select list dated 2.3.2019 and also the subsequent orders dated 29.5.2019 and 3.6.2019 and 8.1.2020 and revised select list of promotion to the post of Junior Grade Clerk-cum-Typist.

3. By way of interim relief, learned counsel for the applicants seeks that the promotion orders of Class-III post on the basis of revised select list dated 4.5.2020 be stayed.

4. Learned C.P.O is directed to file short affidavit in reply for interim relief.

5. Issue notice made returnable on 29.6.2021.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O to 29.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N)Dixit
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

M.A 168/2021 in O.A 334/2021

Smt Vaishali.B Kale & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-

(P.N.Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

C.A 05/2021 in T.A 01/2020

Smt K.C Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that they are considering the case of the applicant positively and hence seeks two weeks' time.

3. S.O to 14.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P. N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Ra
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

C.A 41/2020 in O.A 556/2007

A.B Ghodeshwar . . . Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors . . . Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. In view of letter dated 27.5.2021 sent by Pragati Dhanawade, Deputy Director, Pay Verification Unit, Office of the Director, Pay and Accounts, M.S, Mumbai, Learned P.O seeks two months' time to file affidavit in reply.

3. S.O to 2.8.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N)Dixit
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

O.A 178/2020

Shri C.T Chaudhari & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Till today, affidavit in reply is not filed by Respondent no. 2, G.A.D. By way of last chance three weeks' time is granted to file reply. If reply is not filed within three weeks, we take it that Respondent no. 2 does not want to file affidavit in reply in this matter, and the matter will proceed without reply.

3. S.O to 21.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

O.A 750/2020

D.R Jagtap ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record.

3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 23.8.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

31.05.2021

O.A 30/2021

Shri M.P Lalwani ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.P Lalwani, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2. Same is taken on record and copy served on party in person.
3. Applicant, may file rejoinder, if he wants and copy be served on the office of learned C.P.O in advance.
4. Admit. Place for final hearing on 14.6.2021 at 2.30 pm.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.304 of 2016</p> <p>P. N. DalalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two days time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.06.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.772 of 2015</p> <p>M.V. Joshi ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. It appears that due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Counsel are not present for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Hence, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.640 of 2015</p> <p>S. M. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears that due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Counsel are not present for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Hence, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.691 of 2016</p> <p>S. M. MoreyApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears that due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Counsel are not present for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Hence, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.06.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J) vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.339 of 2016</p> <p>H. B. TanpureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for permission to file reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and has pointed out that the order passed by Tribunal (SB) on 14.12.2016.</p> <p>3. The matter pertains to benefit of 2nd Time Bound Promotion (ACP). Initially the Tribunal has passed the order on 14.12.2016 to adjourn the matter for final hearing since no reply was filed by the Respondents. However, it was made clear that if reply is tendered on next date then it will be taken on record. However, then after the matter was not listed before the Division Bench and today it is listed for the first time.</p> <p>4. We are, therefore, inclined to allow the Respondents to file reply.</p> <p>5. Reply filed by Respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 31.05.2021

O. A. No.1063 of 2015

C. V. AlsatwarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It appears that due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Counsel are not present for final hearing.

3. Hence, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 28.06.2021

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.302 of 2016 with M.A.546 of 2019 with M.A.547 of 2019</p> <p>S.R. S. Munir ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears that due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Counsel are not present for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Hence, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.07.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.05.2021

O.A.No.236 of 2021 with O.A.No.237 of 2021

K. Suryakrishnamurty (O.A.236/2021)
A.N. Padwal (O.A.237/2021) ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Akhilesh Dubey, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.236/2021, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.11 in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Dhakephalkar, learned Advocate instructed by Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4, 6, 7 in O.A.No.236/2021 & for Respondents No.5 to 10 in O.A.No.237/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha, learned Senior Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.237/2021.

3. The learned Advocate Shri Dubey who is the Advocate on record submits that learned Senior Counsel Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha who is down with malaria wants to argue this matter.

4. We direct learned Advocate Shri Dubey to continue and place the facts before us so that the Tribunal's time can be utilized as only this matter is fixed today for hearing on interim relief and no other matter is there on the board. We make it clear to Shri Dubey that Mr. Rajadhyaksha will take over on the next date for the Applicants in O.A.No.236/2021 with O.A.No.237/2021 and it will be in continuation.

5. Adjourned to 09.06.2021 at 11.30 a.m. as P.H.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)

Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.801 of 2020</p> <p>S. N. LandageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In the present O.A., the Challenge is to the suspension order dated 14.12.2020 invoking jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.</p> <p>4. The Applicant was working as Sub Inspector, State Excise, Daund Division, Bit No.2, Pune. She was served with charge sheet dated 14.12.2020 as well as by suspension order dated 14.12.2020 on 16.12.2020. Thus, the D.E. was initiated under Rule 8 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 and simultaneously having regard to the charges levelled against the Applicant, she was also placed under suspension. However, no further steps were taken in D.E. The Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension, and therefore, she has filed the present O.A.</p> <p>5. After hearing of learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O., in my considered opinion, O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions for conclusion of D.E. in accordance to law.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Indeed, in terms of Circular dated 07.04.2008, the D.E. was required to be completed within six months and if it is not completed within stipulated period of six months, specific extension is required to be sought from the Competent Authority.</p> <p>7. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. has pointed out that the Applicant has not submitted reply to the charge sheet, and therefore, no further steps were taken by the department.</p> <p>8. Shri Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client will file reply to the charge sheet within 15 days without fail so that further steps can be taken by the department to complete the D.E. in accordance to law.</p> <p>9. In view of above, the Applicant is permitted to submit reply to the charge sheet within 15 days from today.</p> <p>10. On receipt of reply to the charge sheet, the Respondent No.1 shall consider the same and to pass appropriate order for appointment of Enquiry Officer if needed and if Enquiry Officer is appointed to proceed with the enquiry, the Respondent No.1 – Commissioner shall ensure that D.E. is completed within three months from the date of receipt of reply to the charge sheet in accordance to law and the decision thereon shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. In the meantime, the Respondent is at liberty to take review of the suspension of the Applicant in accordance to law.</p> <p>12. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.05.2021

O.A.No.584 of 2020

B. S. Bhange

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 17.07.2020 whereby he was transferred from Government Ashram School at Rajput, Tal. Ambegao, Dist Pune to Government Ashram School at Gogve, Tal. Mahabaleshwar, Dist. Satara. The Applicant is serving as Primary School Teacher.
3. It may be noted that by order dated 11.08.2020 (immediately after one month from the impugned transfer order), the Government stayed the transfers and issued letter dated 11.08.2020 to the Commissioner Tribal Development. However, since the Applicant's transfer was already implemented, he was not given benefit of stay order dated 11.08.2020.
4. Now, the general transfers of 2021 are due which will be issued in July in view of the Government decision to postpone the general transfers upto 30.06.2021.
5. In coming general transfers, one post of primary teacher at Ashram School, Gohe will fall vacant as fairly stated by learned P.O.
6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly stated that his client is ready for posting at Gohe.
7. In view of above, O.A. can be disposed of with direction to the Respondents to transfer the Applicant at Ashram School, Gohe in coming general transfer of 2021 or if possible earlier to general transfer.
7. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with above terms with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.327 of 2016</p> <p>M. M. Kathe & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.686 of 2020</p> <p>S. M. BichkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.06.2021.</p> <p align="right"> \ Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.765 of 2020</p> <p>T. P. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply. However, on request of learned P.O., one week time is granted as a most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.767 of 2020</p> <p>A. D. DeokarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.769 of 2020</p> <p>I. A. SavaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.713 of 2020</p> <p>U. R. RajputApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, short time is granted for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.549 of 20120</p> <p>S.G. TandaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. The matter pertains to fist Time Bound Promotion. 3. However, in view of recent office order dated 28.05.2021 the subject of Time Bound Promotion is allotted to Division Bench. 4. Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the matter to Division Bench. 5. S.O. to 25.06.2021. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.503 of 2020</p> <p>V.S. PandhareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Already enough time is granted for filing reply but not filed yet.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.06.2021.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.129 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Sunam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2, two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhedar) Member,</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.336 of 2021</p> <p>S. H. BholeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 28.06.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.170 of 2021 in O.A.No.336 of 2021</p> <p>S. H. BholeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A.No.170/2021 is allowed. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.561 of 2019 in O.A.No.1018 of 2019</p> <p>G.F. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.50 of 2021</p> <p>A. N. Bhalchandra Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Member(J)

Original Application No. vsm
of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.182 of 2021</p> <p>R. U. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for due admission but the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</p> <p>3. Hence, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.52 of 2021</p> <p>A. D. NaganeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed. On previous date, the most last chance was granted but in vain.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.892 of 2017</p> <p>D. G. BasutkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is basically relying on the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1041/2017 decided with other connected O.A.s on 07.06.2019. However, the order passed by this Tribunal on 07.06.2019 is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and W.P. is pending. The issue involved in the O.A. is identical, therefore, it would be appropriate to adjourn the present O.A. awaiting the decision of W.P.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.280 of 2017 with O.A. No.241 of 2019 with O.A.No.1190 of 2019</p> <p>V. V. Punathil C. V. NairApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (O.A.280/17 & 241/19) and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (O.A.1190/19), Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requested for adjournment since Smt. Archana B. K. who is dealing with the matter is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.291 of 2018</p> <p>V. D. DangatApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.717 of 2019</p> <p>V. B. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 09.07.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.575 of 2020 With O.A.No.589 of 2020</p> <p>P. K. Marde S. N. Sawant</p> <p>....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (O.A.575/2020), Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (O.A.589/2020) and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both Original Applications, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 21.09.2020 in view of the registration of crime against the Applicants. Indeed, during the pendency of these O.A.s, the Government by order dated 20.04.2021 had taken decision to reinstate the Applicants on non-executive post. The Tribunal has taken note of it in order dated 15.04.2021 as that time recommendation for reinstatement ^{was} already made to the Government. Thereafter, the Government had issued order on 20.04.2021.</p> <p>3. However, surprisingly till date no posting orders are issued by the Government though the period of more than one month is over.</p> <p>4. Indeed, in pursuance of the Government order dated 20.04.2021, the order ought to have been issued immediately but the Respondents failed to issue necessary posting orders. It is nothing but laxity in governance.</p> <p>5. In view of above, both the Original Applications need to be disposed of since the Applicants are already reinstated in service with directions to the Respondents to issue posting orders within a week from today.</p> <p>6. For the aforesaid reasons, O.A.s are disposed of with direction to Respondents to issue posting order within a week from the date of order. ^{to-day}</p> <p>7. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.53 of 2021</p> <p>N. G. RanganekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.338 of 2021</p> <p>V.M. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order issued by the Government dated 25.05.2021 as well as order dated 27.05.2021 issued by Respondent No.2 – The Deputy Director of Education, Pune which has been on the basis of main order dated 25.05.2021. Thus order dated 27.05.2021 is in compliance of order issued by the Government dated 25.05.2021, and therefore, main challenge is to the order dated 25.05.2021 issued by the Respondent No.1 – Government of Maharashtra. 3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief to stay orders dated 25.05.2021 as well as 27.05.2021 contending that the Applicant's repatriation and posting in Municipal Council, Aalandi is illegal since, the Applicant has been absorbed and posted in Group 'C' in School and Education Department on the basis of orders passed by the Government as well as order dated 10.03.2021 issued by Commissioner of Education, Pune. 4. Whereas, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. pressed the issue of jurisdiction contending that basic challenge is to legality of the appointment of the Applicant on the establishment of School and Education Department by virtue of order dated 10.03.2016. She has pointed out that order dated 10.03.2016 has been cancelled and recalled by the Government by order

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>dated 25.05.2021 and this being the position, basic issue involved pertains to the appointment. She has therefore submitted that matter should be placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p>5. Admittedly the Applicant was initially appointed as Special Educator in the establishment of Municipal Council, Aalandi. However, later the Commissioner Education, Pune by his order dated 10.03.2016, approved the proposal for giving posting to the Applicant in Group 'C' cadre in School and Education Department and he was accordingly appointed as Administrative Officer. However, later it was revealed to the Government that appointment of the Applicant by order dated 10.03.2021 issued by the Commissioner Education was not in accordance to law and his appointment was contrary to the Rules. Therefore Government by order dated 25.05.2021 recalled the order of Commissioner Education, Pune dated 10.03.2021 and transferred the service of the Applicant to his Original Department in Municipal Council.</p> <p>6. Thus, it is not the case of simply repatriation but basic issue pertains to the appointment by order dated 10.03.2016, which has been recalled and cancelled by Government having found that the appointment was not in accordance to law.</p> <p>7. Thus being the position obviously issue pertains to the appointment and its cancellation which subject pertains to the Division Bench.</p> <p>8. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>9. At this stage, learned Advocate submits that he has no objection if matter is placed at Division Bench.</p> <p>10. Since the interim relief is asked for, Registrar is directed to list the matter on 03.06.2021.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 03.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.137 of 2021</p> <p>V. Y. JamdadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Respondent No.1-Commissioner of Police, Mumbai by order dated 08.02.2021 directed the Applicant to vacate the service quarter at the end of Feb, 2021 stating that it has become unsafe for residence. 3. According to Applicant, there are quarters at Mahim which can be allotted to her temporarily. Whereas, as per the stand taken by the Commissioner of Police, the subject of allotment of quarter is now been dealt with G.A.D. 4. Now, in view of ensuing rainy season the Applicant needs to be accommodated at somewhere if possible. 5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Commissioner of Police as well as from G.A. D. as to whether the Applicant can be accommodate temporarily at any other place and apprise the Tribunal on next date. 6. S.O. to 07.06.2021. <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.158 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. KalalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2018 but his retiral benefits i.e. gratuity and regular pension has been withheld, and therefore, the Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to release remaining retiral benefits. 3. On perusal of record as well as on hearing of learned Counsel as well learned P.O., it transpires that D.E. was initiated against the Applicant by issuance of charge sheet dated 20.04.2015, however, no further steps were taken to complete the D.E. and ultimately the Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2018. 4. Indeed, in view of various G.R.s as well as Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 , the D.E. initiated against the Government servant is required to be completed within six months.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In this behalf, reference may be made to Circular dated 07.04.2008 whereby the directions were issued to complete the D.E. within six months. However, in the present case though the D.E. was initiated in 2015, it is still incomplete due to sheer laxity and negligence on the part of the Respondents. The Applicant is deprived of retiral benefits due to pendency of D.E. which ought to have been completed within six months from the date of issuance of charge sheet.</p> <p>6. Suffice to day, negligence and carelessness on the part of Respondents to follow the instructions as well as rules is writ at large.</p> <p>7. In view of above observations, I am inclined to dispose of O.A. with directions to Respondents to complete the D.E. including passing final order therein within three months from today without fail.</p> <p>8. The Respondents are directed to complete the D.E. pending against the Applicant within three months from today including final order thereon in accordance to law and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. After decision of D.E. further steps for release of gratuity and leave encashment be issued subject to order in D.E..</p> <p>10. The Applicant is at liberty to avail legal remedy for grant of interest in accordance to law, if any.</p> <p>11. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>