

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>31.03.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 93 &amp; 94/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.A Jekte A.R Sakunde ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge , learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri Kishor Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the Departmental Enquiry is challenged by the applicants on various grounds. Today Shri Milind S. Shinde, Manager, Government Printing Press, Wai, Respondent no. 3 is present. Learned P.O informs that Respondent no. 3 is the one who takes decision in this matter and on the basis of his decisions further orders are passed by Respondent no. 2, Director, Printing &amp; Stationary, Charni Road, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Considering the dispute between the parties, we genuinely feel that such type of disputes can be resolved by mediation, the recourse which is available under Section 89A of the Civil Procedure Code. So also, this Tribunal has every power under Sec 22 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 to follow the principles of natural justice and also has power to regulate its own procedure and so also though we are not bound by the procedure laid down under the Civil Procedure Code, we would like to invoke our powers under section 89, i.e. a special proceeding under Part-V of the Code of Civil Procedure. In the presence of both the parties who are present here personally, i.e. Applicants Shri Atul A. Jekte and Shri Animish R. Sakunde and Shri Milind S. Shinde, Manager, Govt. Printing Press, Respondent no. 3, the procedure of mediation is explained to them. As both the parties along with the learned counsel agreed, we keep this matter on Tuesday, i.e. 6.4.2021 so that the applicants will come with the letter of request to the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.4.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>Akn <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.346 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.S. Karande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions submits that reply is under preparation and it will be filed tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.4.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 31.3.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bha'kar, J.) Chairperson 31.3.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.326 of 2020 with M.A. No.182 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.S. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sejal Haryan holding for Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks one weeks time to inform whether private respondents are served.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.4.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 31.3.2021 31.3.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.216 of 2020 with M.A. No.342 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.W. Thakare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.N. Rajpurohit holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.3-Union of India has filed MA No.342 of 2020 pointing out that applicant has filed the above OA challenging the GR dated 1.7.2016 issued by the State of Maharashtra and Respondent No.3 have no role to play and no relief is sought against them and they are just formal party and they are to be deleted.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant fairly submits that GR dated 1.7.2016 issued by State of Maharashtra is challenged and hence he has no objection if respondent no.3 is deleted. Ld. CPO concedes to the order of the Tribunal. Respondent No.3 is deleted. Applicant to carry out necessary amendment of deletion. MA No.342 of 2020 disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to amend the cause title of the OA regarding respondent no.2. He states that the words "Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development" be deleted and substituted by words "School Education" in cause title of respondent no.2.</p> <p>5. Amendment in cause title of respondent no.2 as prayed is allowed. Amendment be carried out forthwith and respondent no.2 be served.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.4.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 31.3.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 31.3.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1197 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.R. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last date is given to the respondents to file reply to the amended OA as reply is awaited since long. If reply is not filed, matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.4.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 31.3.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 31.3.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.722/2019 (A'bad) with OA.1084/2019 with MA.149/2020 in MA.506/2019 in MA.410/2019 in OA No.733 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.B. Bansode &amp; Ors. (OA.722/19) K.D. Salunke (OA.1084/19) M.R. Rathod &amp; Ors. (OA.733/19) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.9 in OA No.1084 of 2019.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that details of email id of private respondents as mentioned in the earlier order dated 15.3.2021 are not available with the office of DGP. However, they can be available with the MPSC. So it is necessary for the applicants to make a request to the MPSC. Ld. CPO is directed to keep the details of email id of private respondents ready.</p> <p>3. Due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation litigants and lawyers are unable to attend the Courts and therefore the matter is adjourned by one week. Shri S.A. Valimbe, Ld. Advocate for Applicant in OA No.1084 of 2019 is down with Corona.</p> <p>4. As per request of Shri A.S. Deshpande, Ld. Advocate for Applicants in OA No.722 of 2019 the matters are adjourned to 8.4.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 31.3.2021 <span style="float: right;">31.3.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2019 in O.A. No.1134 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.R. Nalawade &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the matter appeared before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 8.3.2021 and the next date is not provided.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.4.2021. Ld. PO to follow up and communicate the position on the next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 31.3.2021 31.3.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_


I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.708 of 2020</b></p> <p>Sadhana Gulhane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Shriya Gune, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the Respondents that the Respondents shall not issue any order till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 01.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.226 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. R.R. Asawa ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person is not present.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to file reply about the enquiry against the applicant which is pending since 2010 and about the prayer made by the applicant.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 31.3.2021 <span style="float: right;">31.3.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.196 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.G. Soude</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 as well as Respondent No.2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.572 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>P. P. Suryawanshi</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder and requested to expedite the matter.</li> <li>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.131 of 2021 in O.A.No.572 of 2020</p> <p>P. P. Suryawanshi <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for early hearing of O.A.No.572/2020. The order is already passed in O.A.No.572/2020 for hearing at the stage of admission since according to Applicant, the issue involved in the matter is no more <i>res-integra</i>.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.617 of 2020 With O.A.No.617 with 618 of 2020</p> <p>G. M. Y. Shaikh S.J. Agale <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.33 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. Jagtap <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. L. Dhone holding for Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1,2, 4 to 6.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.477 of 2019</p> <p>M. S. Markand <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 31.03.2021

O.A.No.218 of 2021

S. K. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N.D. Pote, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 25.02.2021 whereby he was suspended invoking Rule 4(1) (c) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.04.2021.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 27.04.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.644 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. D. R. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. Today, the matter is filed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 03.05.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.575 of 2020 with O.A. No.589 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>P.K. Marde</b>  <b>S.N. Sawant</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.575/2020, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.589/2020 and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. the Applicants have challenged the suspension orders.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that review committee in its meeting held on 26.03.2021 has recommended for revocation of suspension and reinstatement in service. According to the learned P.O. necessary orders after the approval of Government will be issued within two weeks. So therefore, requested to adjourn the matter for two weeks.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Respondent is directed to issue appropriate order in the matter of suspension / reinstatement of the Applicants within two weeks from today, so that O.A. can be disposed of.</p> <p>5. Despite specific direction given by the Tribunal on 22.02.2021 subsistence allowance is not paid. Respondent is therefore directed to ensure payment of subsistence allowance and compliance report be submitted on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.04.2021 for P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.208 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Kamble</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of day and copy will be supplied to the other side. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since O.A. pertains to grant of retrial benefits, hearing is expedited.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.451 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.G. Gote</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Ms. Priyanka Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri Lad, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 &amp; 5 (Mhada).</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 has sent her leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 &amp; 5 (Mhada) submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed in O.A. No.453/2020 and she has adopted the same for this O.A.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in O.A. No.453/2020 and he has adopted the same for this O.A.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission along with O.A. No.453/2020.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.453 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.G. Gote</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Ms. Priyanka Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri Lad, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 &amp; 5 (Mhada).</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 has sent her leave note.</p> <p>3. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in respect of Affidavit-in-Reply filed by Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 &amp; 5 (Mhada) has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondents No.4 &amp; 5. It is also taken on record.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.646 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A. D. Kakatkar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.602 of 2020</p> <p>P. P. Suryawanshi <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter be kept for final hearing with liberty to learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 30.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1189 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.J. Ambilwade</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Applicant is conducting the matter in person, but is absent today.</li> <li>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>The perusal of record reveals that this O.A. was to be taken up for hearing after decision of another O.A. (460/2019), where initiation of D.E. is challenged by the Applicant.</li> <li>It appears that O.A. No.460/2019 is not yet decided.</li> <li>In view of above, adjourned for Final Hearing <del>(Date)</del>.</li> <li>S.O to 29.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>  Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.496 of 2020</p> <p>A. B. Baviskar &amp; Anrs. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K. P. Salunkhe <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</li> <li>4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.473 of 2020</p> <p>S. N. Maule <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter be kept for final hearing with liberty to the learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder in the meantime.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.461 of 2020</p> <p>D. J. Ambilwade <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant is absent. Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is lastly granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. \_\_\_\_\_**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.425 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. M. B. Deolikar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.553 of 2020</p> <p>J. J. Khaire <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sangita Dongare holding for D.S. Pagare , learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms Shaila Taware, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.295 of 2020</p> <p>A. G. Shinde &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijit Pawar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is lastly granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.90 of 2021 with O.A.No.164 of 2021</p> <p>R. B. Pingle <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 19.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.12 of 2021 with O.A.No.727 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>J. H. K. Inamdar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.42 of 2020 in O.A.No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H. S. Khude <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 19.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.516 of 2019 in O.A.No.950 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. K. Inamke</b> <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant had filed leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Enough time is granted for filing reply to M.A. as well as O.A. but the same is not filed.</li> <li>4. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>5. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.520, 521 and 522 of 2020</p> <p>S. K. Harugade &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.152 of 2021</p> <p>R. S. Vannam &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 31.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.557 of 2020</p> <p>V. T. Kadam <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted as a last chance to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.497 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>H.P. Waghela</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Rejoinder is already filed.</li> <li>3. Pleading is complete.</li> <li>4. Adjourned to 26.04.2021 for Final Hearing.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  <sup>WV</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.593 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>V.M. Sonawane .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 07.10.2020, whereby she was transferred from Health and Family Welfare Training Centre, Aundh, Dist. Pune to Primary Health Centre, Ambawane, Tal. Mulshi, Dist. Pune, on the ground that it is on request.</li> <li>3. The Applicant contends that she had never requested for transfer and it being mid-term and mid-tenure transfer is unsustainable in law.</li> <li>4. The Tribunal by order dated 22.10.2020 granted interim relief having satisfied that <i>prima-facie</i>, case is made out.</li> <li>5. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order passed by Government dated 30.03.2021, whereby Government modified posting order of the Applicant and posted her at Regional Mental Hospital, Yerwada, Pune.</li> <li>6. The learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions from the client states that the grievance of the Applicant is addressed and prayed to disposed of the O.A.</li> <li>7. Thus, the Applicant is satisfied with the subsequent transfer order dated 30.03.2021.</li> <li>8. O.A. has therefore become infructuous.</li> <li>9. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 31.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.161 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Koli</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.117 of 2021 with O.A.No.726 of 2020 with O.A.No.727 of 2020 with O.A.No.721 of 2020</b></p> <p>H.B. Shaikh (O.A.117/2021) R.A. Ankalgikar (O.A.726/2020) S.P. Patil (O.A.727/2020) M.N. Kashimso (O.A.721/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.726/2020, O.A.727/2020 and O.A.721/2020 is already filed. She seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in O.A.117/2021.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.04.2021 for reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>	

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.664 of 2013 with M.A.No.303 of 2016</b></p> <p>A.H. Kaktikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We verified whether the decision to reject the representation was taken by the Government or at Secretary level.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that the decision was taken at Government level and to that effect the respondents have filed a short affidavit-in-reply on 09.03.2021 and the soft copy of the said affidavit-in-reply is sent to the learned Counsel.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel submits that he has prepared the rejoinder and has sent the rejoinder to the applicant. He submits that the matter was earlier adjourned on 06.04.2021 and now it is pre-poned today. Hence he is not ready with the matter today.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the matter is fixed on 08.04.2021. (HOB)</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.215 of 2021</b></p> <p>P.S. Manjare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel Mr. Dere submits that the applicant wants to amend the application. Hence he will be moving application for draft amendment.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to 08.04.2021 as per convenience of learned Advocate for placing draft amendment. Applicant is directed to remove all the objections.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>31.03.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 85/2019 in O.A 710/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.C Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed by the applicant to amend the prayer clauses e(1) &amp; e(2). Learned counsel for the applicant submits that though the matter is of 2016, he received certain information after filing the Original Application and therefore, it was necessary to amend the prayer clause to seek the whole relief pertaining to the cause of action.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O relies on the affidavit in reply of Shri Rajesh K. Vaidya, the Sr. Office Superintendent, in the office of Addl. D.G.P, (Training and Special Unit), M.S, Mumbai filed on 13.6.2019. Learned P.O submits that the applicant did not fulfill the conditions of securing the aggregate marks and therefore he was not entitled in getting promotion to the Supervisory post, i.e. Head Clerk. The prayer made in the amendment cannot be granted and hence it is to be rejected.</p> <p>4. The amendment is pertaining to the prayer clause. Hence, it is better to allow to amend the prayer clause as requested, so that it is clear to the Respondents to what challenge the Respondents want to meet.</p> <p>5. Amendment to be carried out within a week.</p> <p>6. M.A allowed. Respondents may file further affidavit in reply to the amendment carried out by the applicant.</p> <p>7. O.A 710/2016 to be placed on Board on 28.4.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>31.03.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 172/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.S Katke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 4 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 7.</p> <p>2. The applicant had challenged the selection of Respondent no. 7 and his appointment order dated 6.12.2014 and he claims that he is be appointed as Technician by removing Respondent no. 7.</p> <p>3. The Respondent no. 4, Deputy Director of Health Services, has issued the advertisement dated 22.8.2013, inviting applications for 25 posts of Technician, HEMR. The applicant had applied on 26.8.2013 for the post from open category as no post was available for S.C category. The select list was published on 20.12.2013 and in the merit list the name of the applicant appeared at serial no. 10 and he was entitled for selection from open category, because 12 posts of open category was available for selection. One post for Ex-servicemen category was complied with by appointing Ex-servicemen, so also four posts for Women were complied. Some part time employees were given benefit of Horizontal reservation and therefore the claim of present applicant was ignored and Respondents no 7 who was at serial no. 12 in the merit list, that is below the applicant, who is at serial no. 10. The applicant relies on the G.R dated 16.3.1999. He was informed that Respondent no. 7 was selected from Horizontal reservation giving benefit of Sports category, though Respondent no. 7 was not eligible as he was not having Certificate of Sports and Respondent no. 7 has never claimed the post from Sports category. However, applicant was informed that selection of Respondent no. 7 was made as per recommendation of Respondent no. 5, that is Managing Director &amp; CEO, MKCL, as no Sports candidate was available</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar for Respondent no. 7 submits that in the sur-rejoinder, Respondent no. 7 has pointed out that in fact the applicant was not holding the necessary educational qualification and also the necessary experience as advertised.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. S.O to 5.4.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.03.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.806 of 2014</b></p> <p>S.D. Borkar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri M.R. Patil today by letter dated 30.03.2021 has informed this Tribunal that he is 83 years old and he has lost his siblings due in this CORONA pandemic and therefore he needs to be careful and so he has requested that he to be treated as discharged from the matters.</p> <p>3. We appreciate the sincere communication from learned Counsel Mr. Patil. However in view of the present CORONA situation we adjourned all his matters till 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2021 to enable the learned Counsel to come and argue the matters, subject to his litigants requesting the Tribunal about expediting the hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>