

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.20 of 2022 in O.A. No.591 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Nashikkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed this R.A. for review of order dated 12.08.2022 passed in O.A. No.591/2021. In O.A. No.591/2021 the Applicant had challenged recovery order dated 15.04.2021 issued by Respondent No.3 – Deputy Commissioner of Police imposing penal charges of Rs.4,92,522/- (Four Lakhs Ninety Two Thousand Five Hundred and Twenty Two Only) from retiral benefits. Tribunal dismissed O.A. on 12.08.2022. However, Respondents were directed to adjust HRA amount Rs.1,15,270/- (One Lakh Fifteen Thousand Two Hundred Seventy Only) from amount due towards penal charges. That was the only relief granted to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Perusal of order dated 12.08.2022 reveals that while Applicant was serving at Mumbai she was allotted service quarter. She was transferred from Mumbai to Amravati by order dated 03.11.2016, but did not vacate the quarter. The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai issued notice dated 21.07.2017 directing her to vacate the quarter else should be liable to pay penal rent but in vain. She was again transferred from Amravati back to Mumbai and joined at Mumbai on 01.03.2018 and continued possession of quarter. Then again, by order dated 30.09.2020, she was transferred to Kolhapur from Mumbai but again she did not vacate the quarter allotted to her in Mumbai. She ultimately vacated the quarter on 04.01.2021 and thereafter retired on 30.06.2021. It is on this background, O.A. was filed challenging recovery of Rs.4,92,522/-.</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

4. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that Department has not given concession of initial three months for keeping service quarter on her transfer from Mumbai to Amravati. This contention is obviously incorrect. Perusal of order dated 15.04.2021 which was subject matter of O.A. clearly reveals that no penal charge was imposed for the period from 03.11.2016 to 02.02.2017.

5. Second ground of review is that after transfer of the Applicant from Amravati to Mumbai she has paid service charges of Rs.580/- (Rupees Five Hundred Eighty Only) per month being deducted from pay and allowance. Insofar as this issue is concerned in first place there is no such pleading in Original O.A. and secondly even if the Applicant was retransferred to Mumbai there was no allotment of the quarter to her. Needles to mention the issue which was not raised in O.A. cannot be raised in Review and Review is restricted to the apparent error on the face of record which was not so.

6. Third ground of review is that after transfer of the Applicant from Mumbai to Kolhapur. She was entitled to retain quarter for three months but for this period penal charges are imposed. As stated above though the Applicant returned back to Mumbai she was not allotted quarter which was given to her earlier. After transfer from Mumbai to Amravati she was given notice to vacate the quarter but she continued the possession of the quarter. As such, in absence of re-allotment of quarter, her possession was unauthorized. Therefore question of three months concession after transfer from Mumbai to Kolhapur does not survive.

7. Needles to mention, Review cannot be heard as appeal in disguise. Review is permissible only on limited ground as mentioned in Order 47 of Rule (1) of C.P.C. This is not the discovery of new and important matter or evidence, which after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced at the hearing of O.A. There is no apparent error on the face of record. If the decision is erroneous then it has to be challenged before the appropriate forum and it cannot be heard in guise of Review.

8. For the aforesaid reasons I see no merit in Review and it is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p><b><u>O.As.711 &amp; 712/2020</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.K. Kudtarkar &amp; Ors.      ... Applicants</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.      ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1.      Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2.      On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks' time is granted to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3.      S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>31.01.2023</b></p>
	(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.957/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.G. Mekale</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-rep. along with charge-sheet, report of Enquiry Officer and the deposition of witnesses, etc. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing on 20.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>31.01.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.112/2020</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri D.W. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to amend Prayer, so as to challenge the order dated 29.01.2019 (Page No.52 of P.B.) whereby Applicant was informed that he is not entitled to pay scale of Rs.4000-6000 on benefit of Time Bound Promotion.</li> <li>3. Notably, in Prayer Clause 9(d), the Applicant sought direction to re-fix the pay in terms of G.R. dated 03.03.2016 which <i>inter-alia</i> shows applicability of pay scale of Rs.4000-6000. As such, in substance, relief is already claimed but order dated 29.01.2019 was not specifically challenged.</li> <li>4. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A.</li> <li>5. In view of above, permission is granted to amend the O.A. Amendment be carried out immediately.</li> <li>6. Respondents are at liberty to file reply to amendment in O.A, if desired.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> February, 2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>31.01.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.54 of 2023 in O.A. No.621 of 2022 with O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus M.S. Salunke S.D. Jagdale (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to recall the order dated 04.01.2023 whereby cost of Rs.5,000/- (Five Thousand Only) in each O.A. was imposed for delay caused in filing Affidavit-in-Reply. While passing the order, Tribunal observed that enough time was granted to the Respondent but no Reply is filed. That apart, learned P.O. made submission that he made correspondence to the Respondents but are not getting response from them for preparation of Affidavit-in-Reply. It is on that background cost of Rs.5,000/- was imposed. It is already deposited by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. In view of above, I see no reason to recall the order dated 04.01.2023.</p> <p>4. M.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S.Y. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for final argument.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- √ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 31.01.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.768 of 2022 with O.A. No.28 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K.L. Vartak</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Today Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On previous date argument of learned Advocate for the Applicant was heard and he had also filed notes of argument and today matter is for hearing of learned P.O. When the matter is taken up for hearing and heard for some time it is noticed that learned P.O. is making submission on the point of pay fixation which was not pleaded in Affidavit-in-Reply to demonstrate what was the mistake and how it is corrected.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. sought two weeks time to file Additional Affidavit to explain pay fixation done by the Department which is not challenged in present O.A. Copy of Affidavit-in-Reply be served to the Applicant's counsel well in advance so that he could counter it on next date.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.64 of 2023 in O.A. No.116 of 2023</p> <p>T.R. Kamble &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 31.01.2023

O.A. No.116 of 2023 with M.A. No.64 of 2023

T.R. Kamble & Ors., .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the issue involved in the present O.A. is already decided by the Tribunal in Group of O.A. No.155/2020, 157/2020 & 161/2020 decided by common Judgment on 18.03.2021 and the Applicants being exactly similarly situated persons are entitled for pensionary benefits. He has further pointed out that Applicants at Sr. No.1, 2 & 7 already stands retired from service but they are not getting provisional Pension. He also sought stay to the recovery sought to be imposed. He has also further pointed out that Judgment delivered by this Tribunal on 18.03.2021 as referred above is already implemented by the Respondent.

3. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instruction from the Respondents as to whether the Applicants are similarly situated person and if yes then why they are not given benefits of the order dated 18.03.2021. He sought one day time.

4. S.O. to 01.02.2023.

∩  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1294 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. Vadar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. O.A. be kept tomorrow for hearing.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 31.01.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1280 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P.S. Kale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right"> <sup>^</sup>  Sd/-  <sup>v</sup>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1208 of 2022</p> <p>D.B. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date two weeks time was granted as last chance to file Affidavit-in-Reply but no Reply is filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1093 of 2022</p> <p>B.R. Raut .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same is filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 20.02.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.69 of 2023 in O.A. No.773 of 2021 with O.A. No.840 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.G. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment which is totally of different nature than the relief claimed in the O.A.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O.to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.616 of 2022 in O.A. No.1032 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 31.01.2023

O.A. No.119 of 2023

K.A. Admane .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 18.04.2022 issued by Respondent No.2 in respect of out of duty period from 02.08.2011 to 10.03.2016. As per impugned order it was not to be treated for any ~~other~~ service benefits.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.02.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 28.02.2023

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 31.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.71 of 2023 in O.A. No.736 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus V.D. Wakhare (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Respondent (Org. Applicant) and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal decided O.A. No.736/2021 by order dated 30.11.2022 and issued direction to pay interest on Gratuity, Leave Encashment, G.I.S. and other retiral benefits for the belated period at the rate of GPF and it was to be paid within two months from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Now, this M.A. is filed for extension of time for compliance of the Tribunal order. The perusal of M.A. reveals that file is simply moving from one table to another table for compliance and no concrete decision is taken. The Applicant retired on 31.05.2020 but his retiral benefits were delayed for no reasons. Tribunal has taken note of all these factors in order dated 30.11.2022. Two months period granted by the Tribunal was enough for the compliance. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time, M.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.01.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.77/2018</b></p> <p>D.S. Khatal &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that as per list of assignment, matter should be placed before the Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to place this matter before Single Bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.01.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.68/2021</b></p> <p>S.R. Koli .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Principal Secretary, Health is directed to inform whether,</p> <p>(a) If the Government employee, who is working on supernumerary post, is found medically unfit, why he should not be relieved on the ground of medically unfit ?</p> <p>(b) Whether the Government has acknowledged or drawn a line distinguishing the medical fitness and the disability ?</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 31.01.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1256/2022</b></p> <p>P.M. Khatal .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that today during the course of the day she will be filing reply. It be taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member(A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 31.01.2023</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.74/2022 in O.A.No.526/2022</b></p> <p>Sundari S.B. &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the Writ Petition filed by the M.P.S.C. challenging the order passed in O.A.No.526/2022 has been dismissed. She therefore seeks time as the Respondents are in the process of implementing the order.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha)Gadgil (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>