O.A. NO. 752/2017

(Dr. Rajendra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4.

- 2. Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for res. no. 5. It is taken on record. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 5 in the matter. Time granted.
- 3. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, certain clerical mistakes have occurred while passing the impugned transfer order dtd. 10.10.2017 (Annex. A. 4 page 16) as by the said order the res. no. 5 is posted at the single post where the applicant is presently posted without passing any order regarding his transfer, if any.
- 4. The learned Advocate for res. no. 5 has submitted that, in fact, the applicant is relieved from the present post and the res. no. 5 has already joined on the post on which the applicant was working. He has also showed for perusal copy of the order passed by the Commandant, S.R.P.F. no. 3, Jalna in that regard.

#### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 752/2017

- 5. In the circumstances the concerned respondent to take note of the above fact and take quick corrective step in the matter.
- 6. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to carry out certain amendments in the O.A. on the above line. He is permitted to do so. The issue of grant of interim relief in favour of the applicant is kept open.
- 7. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 21.11.2017.
- 8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

#### ::-3-:: O.A. NO. 752/2017

- 11. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 12. S.O. to 21.11.2017.
- 13. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

MA 383/2017 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1330/2017 (Rajan & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay - Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. By the present M.A. the applicant are seeking leave of the Tribunal to sue jointly the O.A.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicants are allowed to sue jointly the O.A., subject to payment of requisite court fees by each of the applicant, if not already paid.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. ST. NO. 1330/2017 (Rajan & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay - Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 12.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### O.A. ST. NO. 1330/2017

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 12.12.2017.
- 8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NOS. 131, 157, 218, 254, 279 AND 861 ALL OF 2016 (Narayan & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard S/shri Ganesh Jadhav holding for Shri A.S. Shelke & V.G. Pingle, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and S/shri V.R. Bhumkar, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. At the request of learned Advocates for the applicants, S.O. to 22.11.2017 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 149/2017 (Balaji Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. No affidavit in rejoinder as sought vide order dtd. 14.9.2017 is filed by the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.11.2017 for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 228/2017 (Maroti Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.U. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the concerned respondent has not filed the copy of the entire advertisement as can be seen from page 53 R.2.
- 3. The learned P.O. seeks time to produce the original file regarding appointment as well as copy of entire advertisement, which was directed to be produced by earlier order dtd. 10.10.2017. She points out that as per the impugned order itself, the file is missing. In the circumstances, at her request S.O. to 23.11.2017 for production of original file of appointment and copy of entire advertisement.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 510/2017 (Santosh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.G. Durrani, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Perused the earlier order 4.8.2017. It appears that the res. no. 3 can very well appoint the present applicant, if he is found otherwise fit, subject to the decision in the criminal case, pending against the res. no. 4.
- 3. The learned P.O., however, seeks time to take instructions on the line as directed on 4.8.2017. The concerned respondents are also directed to take into consideration above facts and take corrective steps by the next date. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.11.2017 for taking corrective steps, if any, by the res. no. 3.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 660/2017 (Priyarani Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. seeks 2 weeks time to file affidavit in reply. It is to be noted that vide original order dtd. 27.9.2017 time was granted till 6.10.2017 to the learned P.O. for filing reply and taking corrective steps by the respondent no.
- 1. Again on 6.10.2017 two weeks time was sought by the learned P.O.
- 3. Considering above facts, as a last chance S.O. to 15.11.2017 for taking corrective steps by the res. no. 1 and filing affidavit in reply.
- 4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 698/2017 (Jalamsing Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 30.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 699/2017 (Sunita Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

.\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to file true copy of the order dtd. 13.12.2011 passed by Hon'ble High Court, Aurangabad in W.P. no. 1860/2010 (Balasaheb Ratan Londhe Vs. the State of Maharashtra and Others) reference of which is made in the impugned order dtd. 8/10.2.2017 (page 33). The liberty as prayed for is granted and the said copy is taken on record. He is directed to serve copy thereof upon the learned C.P.O.
- 3. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 29.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 691/2017 (Surekha Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for issuance of fresh notices to the respondents.
- 3. In the circumstances, issue fresh notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 6.12.2017.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

#### ::-3-:: O.A. NO. 691/2017

- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 6.12.2017.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

### MA 437/2017 AND MA ST. 1563/2017 IN O.A. 201/2006 (Govind Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has filed M.A. no. 437/2017 for condonation of 1710 days in filing M.A. St. 1563/2017 for restoration of O.A. no. 201/2006 which was dismissed in default by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 31.1.2013.
- 3. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents in M.A. no. 437/2017 & M.A. st. 1563/2017, returnable on 5.12.2017.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of both the M.As. Respondents are put to notice that the cases would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

#### ::-2-::

#### MA 437/2017 AND MA ST. 1563/2017 IN O.A. 201/2006

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 5.12.2017.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 542/2016 (Vaishali Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.11.2017 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 49/2017 (Uttamrao Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsundar Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files on record copy of the communication, which would show that the concerned respondent has made compliance and the proposal is sent to the Treasury Office. The said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.
- 3. In view of above fact, S.O. to 7.12.2017 for reporting further compliance in the matter.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. ST. NO. 1506/2017 (Vanita Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay - Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The office has raised office objection that the O.A. appears to be barred by limitation. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that the present O.A. is within limitation in view of the fact that deceased was regularized in service after his death.
- 3. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 6.12.2017.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

### O.A. ST. NO. 1506/2017

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 6.12.2017.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 653/2017 (Dr. Datta Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1. None appears for respondent no. 2.

2. S.O. to 2.11.2017 for passing necessary orders.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 354/2017 (Devendra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed on record short affidavit of the applicant. It is taken on record. She is directed to serve copy thereof upon the learned P.O.
- 3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 31.10.2017 to go through short affidavit of the applicant and make his submissions. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NOS. 544, 545, 817, 820, 821, 822 AND 155/2016 (Dr. Seema & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri I.S. Thorat, V.R. Bhumkar, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate & Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As., Shri K.U. More, learned Advocate for res. nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. no. 544/2016, Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. nos. 817, 821, 822/2016. None for res. nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. no. 820/2016.

2. Shri Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Aghav, learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5 seeks time to file reply in respective matters. At his request, S.O. to 13.11.2017 with a warning that if no compliance is made of the order dtd. 11.10.2017, the Tribunal would take coercive action against the said respondents.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 379/2017

(Sanjay Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 5.12.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 398/2017 (Devendra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the respondents. S.O. to 13.11.2017 for hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 482/2017 (Mohan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 6.12.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 496/2016 (Rangnath Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that certain oral instructions are taken from the respondents however, he wants some time to file written documents in that regard. At his request, S.O. to 22.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

M.A. 27/2017 in C.P. St. 36/2017 in O.A. 593/2015 (Shri Sandu U. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.11.2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No. 356/2016 in C.P. St.No. 1518/2016 in O.A.No. 396/1998 (Shri Chandulal G. Seth V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

: 30.10.2017. DATE

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Girish Nagori, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.11.2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No. 386/2016 in C.P. St.No. 1771/2016 in O.A.NO. 614/2015 (Shri Bhanudas Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single

Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is satisfied with the compliance. Hence, both the M.A. No. 386/2016 and C.P. St. No. 1771/2016 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No. 95/2017 in C.P.St.No. 240/2017 in O.A.No. 716/2015 (Dr. Vijayprakash N. Tambhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

.\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

: 30.10.2017. DATE

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the order passed in O.A. No. 716/2015 is complied with and states that let the M.A. be disposed of. Hence, both the M.A. No. 95/2017 and C.P. St. No. 240/2017 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No. 145/2017 in C.P.St.No. 476/2017 in O.A.No. 126/2014 (Dr. Sudhakar D. Biradar and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

: 30.10.2017. DATE

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.U. More, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the order passed in O.A. No. 126/2014 is complied with and states that let the M.A. be disposed of. Hence, both the M.A. No. 145/2017 and C.P. St. No. 476/2017 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No. 146/2017 in C.P.St.No. 478/2017 in O.A.No. 175/2014 (Dr. Deepak M. Mendhekar and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE OPPED WILLIAMS COPPEDS

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.U. More, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the order passed in O.A. No. 175/2014 is complied with and states that let the M.A. be disposed of. Hence, both the M.A. No. 146/2017 and C.P. St. No. 478/2017 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. No. 15/2014 in O.A. No. 1128/1999 (Dr. Revannath R. Landge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 4. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 4.12.2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No.235/2015 IN C.P.St.No.925/2015 IN O.A.No.517/2013 (Dr. Syed Nazia Syed Hashm V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE: 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 17-11-2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 30-10-2017 AHJ F

M.A.No.317/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1491/2016 IN O.A.No.554/2013 (Dr. Ashok Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 17-11-2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.No.01/2017 IN O.A.No.487/2014 (Dr. Sunil Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By consent of both the parties,S.O. to 17-11-2017 for compliance.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No.277/2017 IN C.P.St.No.994/2017 IN O.A.No.399/2016 (Dr. Pradip Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5. Shri N.G.Nagode learned Advocate for respondent no.6 is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5, S.O. to 17-11-2017 for compliance.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No.358/2017 IN C.P.St.No.1261/2017 IN O.A.No.801/2015 (Smt. Yasmin Hashmi w/o. Vasim Hashmi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O.,S.O. to 17-11-2017 for compliance.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. No.02/16 in OA No. 344/14. (Shri T. D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D. A. Karnik, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Dhage, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent no.1 and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondent no.2.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, according to his instructions within a short period the order is going to be complied. At his request, S.O. to 15.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### OA No.570/2016.

(Shri K. P. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P. B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, today he has no instructions. The order dated 20.9.2017 would show that, it was warned that, in case no response is received of cost of Rs.10,000/- by Govt. cheque would be imposed. In the circumstances, the matter is adjourned till 14.11.2017 subject to depositing of cost of Rs.10,000/- by the Respondent no.3 and also subject to the personal explanation as to why no communication is being made to the learned P.O. Failing which the Tribunal would be constrained

to take actions including the actions for contempt. With this, S.O. to 14.11.2017.

3. Steno copy as well as authenticated copy allowed to both side.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### OA No.869/2016.

(Shri D. T. Lazade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

### CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H. P. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, fresh notice be issued to respondent no.3, in the interest of justice, to find out as to whether respondent no.3 is interested in joining the post. Hence, issue fresh notice to respondent no.3, returnable on 23.11.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 23.11.2917.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O. A. No. 429/2017. (Shri K. L. Basar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

### CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri F. R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A. At his request, S.O. to 21.11.2017. Interim relief to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O. A. No.442/2017.

(Shri S. P. Wakchaure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The leaned P.O. seeks time to file reply for respondents no.1 to 3. At his request, S.O. to 29.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O. A. No.558/2017.

(Shri S. S. Dubey V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The leaned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply for respondents no.1 to 3. At his request, S.O. to 30.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 570/2017. (Smt Anita Tope V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Dr. Swapnil Tawashikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both sides the issue appears that, the present applicant does not possess the prescribed qualification of handling Engineering Stores or Maintenance of Stores accounts as is found at page no.27. The experience certificate of the applicant at page no.16 would show that, applicant worked as a Trainee Engineer with some Co-operative Society in Electrical Department without any experience in handling Engineering Stores or Maintenance of Stores accounts. In the circumstances, the learned

Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions regarding withdrawal, if any. At his request, S.O. to 29.11.2017 for taking instructions.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O. A. No. 662/17 with MA No. 440/17.

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. R. Raundale learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A., Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A.

- 2. The learned P.O. submits that, during course of the day reply would be filed. It be accepted. Copy be served on the other side.
- 3. The learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A. also submits that, if necessary he would file reply to the M.A. It be accepted. Copy be served on the other side.
- 4. S.O. to 22.11.2017 for hearing and replies. Interim relief to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O. A. No. 872/2016. (Shri Salim Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V. G. Pingale learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 & 2 Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondents no.3 to 5.

2. The learned Advocate for the respondents no.3 to 5 seeks four weeks' time on the submission that, the proposal is pending before the Mantralaya. Vide para no.4 of the order dated 26.9.2017 it was also observed that the superiors of the respondents no.3 & 4 are not involved in the controversy. However, as the learned counsel for respondents no.3 to 5 seeks time to comply the order, time is given till 29.11.2017, failing which the Tribunal would be constrained to take coercive action, if any.

- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2017.
- 4. Steno copy and authenticated copy allowed to both sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O. A. No. 291/2014. (Shri R. S. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P. B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. submits that, she is yet to get verification of the copy of the letter placed by the learned counsel for the applicant as per order dated 21.09.2017. At her request, S.O. to 27.11.2017 for verification.
- 3. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.21/2015.

(Shri G. D. Khandagle & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicants. Smt. R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. The case is taken on board for ascertaining the issues. In the circumstances, office to issue notice to the learned counsel for the applicant to remain present on 27.11.2017.
- 3. S.O. to 27.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 631/2017.

(Shri D. L. Gadchelwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicant. Heard Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. No steps are taken for collecting the notices. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 28.11.2017 for taking steps.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 22/2015. (Sow Chhaya Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicants. Smt. D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. The case is taken on board for ascertaining the issues. In the circumstances, office to issue notice to the learned counsel for the applicant to remain present on 28.11.2017.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 35/2015.

(Shri G. J. Anmod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicants. Smt. S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. The case is taken on board for ascertaining the issues. In the circumstances, office to issue notice to the learned counsel for the applicant to remain present on 29.11.2017.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 173/2017.

(Shri M. B. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicant. Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 28.11.2017 for taking instructions regarding filing of reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.913/2016.

(Shri R. S. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

.\_\_\_\_\_

DATE : 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. D. Hiwrekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned C.P.O. seeks time. At their request, S.O. to 13.11.2017, as a last chance.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 54/2017.

(Shri B. V. Malchapure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

\_\_\_\_\_

DATE : 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. D. Hiwrekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned C.P.O. seeks time. At their request, S.O. to 13.11.2017, as a last chance.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

M.A.NO. 140/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 472/2017 IN O.A.NO. 63/2015 (Shri Saylu Prabhajirao Nawod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 280/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 898/2017 IN O.A.NO. 814/2015 (Shri Shivaji Madhavrao Borole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Heard both the parties. Perused the order passed in O.A. No. 814/2015 and the affidavit in reply.
- 3. This Tribunal's order as modified reads thus:-

"The respondents are directed to finally complete and conclude the inquiry initiated against the applicant by the Respondents vide memorandum dated 22.5.2012 within a period of two months from today. There shall be no order as to costs."

(Quoted from page-18 of C.P. St. No. 898/17)

- 4. Perused the affidavit in reply filed by the contemnor No. 2.
- 5. The order passed by this Tribunal is shown to be complied with though belatedly. Now the

### M.A.NO. 280/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 898/2017 IN O.A.NO. 814/2015

matter is awaiting final decision at the level of the Government. Hence, contempt does not survive.

- 6. Applicant shall be free to adopt suitable course, if Government does not take decision expeditiously or takes an adverse decision.
- 7. In view of the above, both the M.A. No. 280/2017 & C.P. St. No. 898/2017 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 235/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 865/2017 IN O.A.NO. 497/2015 (Smt. Aisha Begum V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

### **CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Rafat Hussain Ansari, representative of the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer states that the Collector, Nanded has decided applicant's claim and delivered his findings on 29.09.2017.
- 3. Copy of the said decision dated 29.9.2017 is delivered to applicant's representative.
- 4. According to the applicant, the order passed by the Collector is contrary to record. Be it as it may, however, contempt is not a remedy to agitate a grievance against the order passed by the Collector.
- 5. According to the representative of applicant, the applicant would like to challenge the order passed by the Collector. Hence, the present M.A.NO. 235/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 865/2017 is adjourned without date. Liberty to

### :: - 2 - :: M.A.NO. 235/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 865/2017 IN O.A.NO. 497/2015

both the parties is granted to circulate the present case as and when occasion arises.

6. Attendance of contemnors is dispensed with for future dates.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 31/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 77/2017 IN O.A.NO. 500/2014 (Shri Dattatray Parte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HIGTIGE A H. LOCHI CHAIDMAN

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer states that the Government has decided to challenge the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 500/2014 and hence, he prays to adjourn the hearing of the present case.
- 3. Hence, hearing of present M.A.NO. 31/2017 IN C.P.ST.NO. 77/2017 is adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 by way of last chance.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 326/2016 IN C.P.ST.NO. 1528/2016 IN O.A.NO. 568/2015 (Shri Mohd. Hussain Tayyubsab Inamdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

: 30.10.2017. DATE

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of the fact that personal notice of contempt is not served on the contemnor, it is necessary to take cognizance of the contempt. The applicant shall be free to serve personal notice and in case the order remains to be complied, the applicant shall be free to file fresh case for contempt. Hence, both the M.A.NO. 326/2016 and C.P.ST.NO. 1528/2016 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1608 OF 2017 (Shri Feroz Khan S/o. Ismail Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

 $\underline{\mathbf{CORAM}}$ : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI,

VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 30.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri H.I. Pathan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant wishes to withdraw the present Original Application with liberty to file similar petition before the Hon'ble High Court, in view of the fact that the advertisement is issued by the Zilla Parishad.
- 3. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, permission to withdraw the present Original Application is granted.
- 4. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for by the applicant. There shall be no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 763 OF 2017 (Shri Indurao B. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 763 OF 2017

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Heard both sides on the point of interim relief.
- 8. The submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant would show that for the fault of the Tahsildar, Jalna in placing the detailed enquiry report before the respondent No. 1, the present applicant is being transferred midterm, interim relief in terms of prayer clause (a) is hereby granted until further orders. The said prayer clause (a) reads as under: -
  - "a) Pending hearing and final disposal of original application the impugned order dated 26.10.2017 issued by the Sub Divisional Officer, Jalna transferring the applicant's services from Sirswadi to Sevali as Talathi may kindly be stayed."

(Quoted from page-5 of O.A.)

- 9. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1610 OF 2017
(Shri Pandharinath R. Patil & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE: 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Suresh P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon registration of the present O.A., issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. ST. NO. 1610 OF 2017

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Heard both sides on the point of interim relief.
- 8. Considering the fact that the impugned order is midterm transfer, without going into the merits of the case, interim relief in terms of prayer clause (C) is granted until further orders. The said prayer clause (C) reads as under: -
  - "C) Pending hearing and final disposal of the present Original Application by way of interim orders execution and implementation of the impugned order dated 29.09.2017 passed by the respondent No. 2 may kindly be stayed, for that purpose issue necessary orders."

(Quoted from page-10 of O.A.)

- 9. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 445/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1610/2017 (Shri Pandharinath R. Patil & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 30.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Suresh P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the misc. application and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamp, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN