



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>३. (ब) ..... या परीक्षेला प्रवेश मिळण्यासाठी -  (एक) उमेदवाराचे वय १९ वर्षांपेक्षा कमी व २८ वर्षांपेक्षा अधिक नसावे आणि मागासवर्गीय उमेदवारांच्या बाबतीत ते ३३ वर्षांपेक्षा अधिक असता कामा नये ;</p> <p>(दोन) उमेदवाराने सांविधिक विद्यापीठाची पदवी किंवा शासनाकडून त्या बाबतीत लेखी आदेशाद्वारे योग्य रीत्या मान्याता देण्यात आलेली इतर कोणतीही समतुल्य अर्हता केलेली असली पाहिजे."</p> <p>5. Assistant (Legal) are appointed through different recruitment rules. As we can see the recruitment rules for both the cadres and qualification required are different. Hence, we think that Assistant (Legal) should not be compared with Desk Officer in Mantralaya but with Assistant (Legal) in Ministry of Law &amp; Justice, Government of India.</p> <p>6. They are different channels with different educational qualification and duties. In any case the proper forum to redress their grievance would be the Pay Anomalies Committee of the Government on the ground that theirs is a totally different channel of recruitment.</p> <p>7. Ld. Advocate for the applicants, on instructions from the applicants, seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to approach the proper forum i.e. Pay Anomalies Committee of the Government.</p> <p>8. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such with above liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">30.11.2021 <span style="float: right;">30.11.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 30.11.2021

**M.A.No.247 of 2021 in O.A.No.220 of 2020**

D.M. Taware & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. We call upon the State to file affidavit-in-reply to the M.A. wherein it is accepted that the State to clarify the provisions of law or the Rules as to on what basis the State prepared the State level seniority list.

3. Adjourned to 14.12.2021.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.355 of 2021 in O.A.No.626 of 2017</b></p> <p>S.B. Wategaonkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Perused the prayer B in O.A. that the Applicant is to be transferred from Solapur to Pune Division as the post at Pune is lying vacant, as per communication dated 21.04.2017. Hence the prayer B has now become infructuous due to the time. Clause B-1 prayer is for promoting him to the cadre of Regional Deputy Commissioner of Fisheries and post him to Mumbai. As on today the applicant is posted in Mumbai, but however he is working on the cadre of Assistant Commissioner of Fisheries. Hence prayer B-1 is alive. Now, by way of amendment application, (M.A.No.355/2021) he prays that the applicant may kindly be promoted to the cadre of Regional Deputy Commissioner of Fisheries. First amendment was made by inserting prayer B-1 which was allowed on 20.06.2021 and also on 24.06.2019. Now the learned Advocate submits that he has made prayer B-2. The learned Advocate by way of M.A. seeks paragraph 20-E, to 20-J to be inserted and also he seeks prayer clause B-3 and B-4 to be inserted after B-2. He has made one colleague Mr. V.B. Shikre as Respondent No.3 by way of amendment dated 07.02.2018 because he was promoted to the post of Regional Deputy Director, Fisheries. Now, the learned Advocate submits that again he is given promotion. He submits that on 20.06.2018 further promotion was given to Respondent No.3 and also it is another</p>

[PTO]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>cause of action and now he has moved M.A. for adding paragraph 20-E to 20-J in the O.A. and also prays that prayers B-3, B-4, C-3 and C-4 to be allowed.</p> <p>3. No affidavit-in-reply is filed by the State or Respondent No.3. However, after going through these extensive amendments we are not inclined to allow such amendments. It is the separate cause of action in view of the earlier prayer and we direct the applicant to file fresh O.A. with all the comprehensive prayers and also to withdraw with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. submits that proposal dated 6/7<sup>th</sup> August, 2021 is sent by Respondent No.2 to Respondent No.1 i.e. from Commissioner of Fisheries to Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department.</p> <p>5. We direct the Respondents to take decision on the proposal till 15.01.2022. Amendment is not allowed. M.A. is rejected and O.A. is disposed of, accordingly with above liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"> <u>CA No.36/2021 in OA No.1029/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.37/2021 in OA No.1030/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.38/2021 in OA No.1031/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.39/2021 in OA No.1032/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.40/2021 in OA No.1033/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.5/2021 in TA No.1/2020</u> </p> <p> R.S. Bidkar (CA.36/2021)  M.D. Sundarkar (CA.37/2021)  S.S. Kinhekar (CA.38/2021)  J.G. Rohankar (CA.39/2021)  V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke (CA.40/2021)  K.C. Pawar (CA.5/2021) ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for Applicant in CA No.4/2021 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CAs No.36 to 40/2021 is not present. </p> <p> 2. Ld. PO submits that order has been partly complied with and all the 6 applicants have been reinstated. As regards matter of back wages and arrears she submits that writ petition has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court in two matters and they are in process of filing writ petition in remaining matters. She submits that vide letter dated 29.11.2021 the department is considering the issue of payment of back wages and asked for proposal from the Commissioner subject to final outcome of writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court. </p> <p> 3. Ld. PO submits that Respondent no.5 Indra Malo has been transferred to GAD as Secretary and Smt. Rubal Agarwal has been posted in her place. She therefore submits that the name of respondent no.5 may be substituted. Ld. Advocate for the applicant opposes the substitution as she is personally responsible for contempt. </p> <p> 4. Ld. PO seeks time. </p> <p> 5. S.O. to 24.1.2022 by way of last chance. </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Meetha Gadgil) / Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  30.11.2021 30.11.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.101 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.B. Sabale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Adjourned to 08.02.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 371/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.G Dhavalikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 24.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 24.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 482/2021 in O.A 876/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri H.A Redekar ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the cause of action arose on 15.12.2018 and hence the period of limitation started from 14.12.2019. The present Original Application was filed on 26.10.2021.</p> <p>3. In view of the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in M.A 665/2021 in Suo Moto W.P (Civil) No. 3/2020 dated 23.9.2021, there is no delay in the matter.</p> <p>4. Misc Application is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b>  Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 876/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri H.A Redekar ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 20.12.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b>  Akn</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.534 of 2021 in O.A.No.949 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>K. V. Koli</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of four months or in alternate condonation of delay of 2 ½ years caused in filing O.A.No.949/2021 challenging the order dated 19.06.2018 whereby recovery ofRs.5,20,656/- has been imposed from monthly salary of the Applicant starting from July, 2018.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant pointed out that the Applicant has worked on promotional post from 01.04.2000 to 31.05.2018 and thereafter he was reverted on the ground that he did not submit Caste Validity Certificate in terms of roster. He submits that since the Applicant has already worked on promotional post, the question of recovery of salary on promotional post is totally bad in law. As regard delay, he submits that in view of Covid-19 pandemic situation and the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court extending the period of limitation, the delay comes to four months. He, therefore, prayed for interim relief.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. opposes for interim relief on the ground that Applicant has approached the Tribunal belatedly.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Admittedly, the Applicant had worked on promotional post for 18 years and thereafter he reverted to his original post on the ground of non submitting Caste Validity Certificate in terms of roster. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, impugned action of recovery appears not sustainable.</p> <p>6. I am, therefore, inclined to grant interim relief to the impugned order dated 19.06.2018 to the extent of recovery till filing of reply.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2022.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.947 of 2021</p> <p>V. M. Randive .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 15.10.2021 issued by Respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Police, Solapur whereby the Applicant along with three candidates were deputed for training at Police Head Quarter, Solapur without mentioning any period of training.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant raised grievance that Applicant is subjected to frequent shifting and under the garb of training order. She, therefore, sought stay to the order dated 15.10.2021. Admittedly, the Applicant has joined training at Head Quarter. However, the question would arise about the period of training, curriculum etc. which needs to be decided on merit in view of the contentions raised by Applicant that impugned order has been passed only to circumvent the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2021.</li> <li>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>10. S.O. to 14.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.826 of 2021 with O.A. No.828 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>T.M. Rathod</b>  <b>A.K. Chauhan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By order dated 23.11.2021, Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police was directed to file Affidavit and to explain how promotion order dated 28.04.2021 were issued without verifying service record. In para 4 of order dated 23.11.2021 this Tribunal observed that apparently while issuing promotional order due care was not taken to see whether Police personnel promoted were subjected to any punishment or Departmental proceeding which ought to have been firstly verified and confirmed by D.P.C. as well as by D.G.P. who issued promotional order. Thus promotion order seems to have been issued in very causal <sup>order</sup> without verifying service record and confirming fitness for promotion. The Affidavit was to be filed by today.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. however seeks one week time to file Affidavit.</p> <p>4. Time granted to file Affidavit of D.G.P. till 03.12.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.819 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>V. R. Katkar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken on board for 'Speaking to Minutes' pertaining to order dated 25.11.2021 passed by this Tribunal whereby directions were given to decide the representation made by the Applicant by next date.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has sought posting in Mumbai Division but in order dated 25.11.2021 in Para No.2 in sentence No.6 and in Para No.3 in sentence Nos.2 and 4, the place is mentioned as Nagpur instead of Mumbai. It should be corrected as Mumbai since inadvertently it is mentioned as Nagpur.</p> <p>4. It be corrected accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.935 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R. A. Lokhande</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 29.12.2020 whereby claim for appointment on compassionate ground is rejected on the ground of having third child to the deceased born after cut-off date mentioned in G.R. dated 28.03.2001.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2022</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 30.11.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.958 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.H. Suryawanshi</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 25.11.2021 issued by Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur whereby she is temporarily attached to control room, Kolhapur on the ground of default.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks interim stay <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the impugned order is in contravention of Maharashtra Police Act.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned P.O. sought one day time to take instructions and to make submission on the point of interim relief.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 21/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S Mali &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is wrongly shown in the caption of Final Hearing and it should be under the caption of Due Admission.</p> <p>3. Matter be shown in the caption of Due Admission.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O to 15.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 643/2021</u></b></p> <p>R.R Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yogesh Morbale i/b Shri V.P Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that the affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is granted time to file affidavit in rejoinder and copy be served on the Respondents before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 865/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.M Ramsingh &amp; Ors .. Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri Ashish Gaikwad, learned counsel for the Respondents 2 to 10 except, Respondent no. 6.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.1.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p>Sd/- </p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 664/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.Y Hegade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the new list contains the name of persons who are senior to the applicant and the names of the juniors who were initially shown as senior have been deleted. The applicant is not interested in stalling the promotion of the seniors.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 8.9.2021 is vacated.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O states on instructions from Ms Jyotsna Arjun that the department has prepared the select list and the name of the applicant will be considered in the D.P.C meeting for promotion to the post of Agriculture Officer, Group-B.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>6. S.O to 7.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 236 &amp; 237/2021</u></b></p> <p>K.Suryakrishnamurthy A.N Padwal ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1, 2 &amp; 3 and Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondent no. 11 in O.A 237/2021.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O for the Respondents is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 400/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for Respondents no 3 to 6.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 28.9.2021, learned C.P.O for the Respondents submits that the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Department (Transport) has examined the report and forwarded the file after correction and modifications on 25.10.2021 to G.A.D.</p> <p>3. The Addl. C.S, G.A.D is made party as Respondent no. 1A by way of amendment dated 28.10.2021 and notice served on the Respondent.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O to inform whether any decision is taken by Addl. C.S., G.A.D in respect of the file.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O informs after taking instructions from G.A.D that the said file which was received by G.A.D on 25.10.2021 is cleared by Desk-16B and now it has reached Desk-12 two days before.</p> <p>6. S.O to 14.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 750/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.S Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V Waghmare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 10.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 10.1.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 391/2021 in O.A 750/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.S Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V Waghmare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the cause of action arose on 22.7.2019 and the limitation started from 21.7.2020 and the Original Application was filed on 28.9.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in M.A 665/2021 in Suo Moto W.P (Civil) No. 3/2020 dated 23.9.2021.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Misc Application is allowed.</p> <p align="center">" /</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (J) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 352/2021</u></b></p> <p>B.R Kadam ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yogesh Morbale i/b Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O has raised the objection of territorial jurisdiction in filing the Original Application before the Principal Bench at Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 371/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.G Dhavalikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 24.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 24.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>30.11.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 876/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri H.A Redekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 20.12.2021. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (J) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.355 of 2021 in O.A.No.626 of 2017</b></p> <p>S.B. Wategaonkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Perused the prayer B in O.A. that the Applicant is to be transferred from Solapur to Pune Division as the post at Pune is lying vacant, as per communication dated 21.04.2017. Hence the prayer B has now become infructuous due to the time. Clause B-1 prayer is for promoting him to the cadre of Regional Deputy Commissioner of Fisheries and post him to Mumbai. As on today the applicant is posted in Mumbai, but however he is working on the cadre of Assistant Commissioner of Fisheries. Hence prayer B-1 is alive. Now, by way of amendment application, (M.A.No.355/2021) he prays that the applicant may kindly be promoted to the cadre of Regional Deputy Commissioner of Fisheries. First amendment was made by inserting prayer B-1 which was allowed on 20.06.2021 and also on 24.06.2019. Now the learned Advocate submits that he has made prayer B-2. The learned Advocate by way of M.A. seeks paragraph 20-E, to 20-J to be inserted and also he seeks prayer clause B-3 and B-4 to be inserted after B-2. He has made one colleague Mr. V.B. Shikre as Respondent No.3 by way of amendment dated 07.02.2018 because he was promoted to the post of Regional Deputy Director, Fisheries. Now, the learned Advocate submits that again he is given promotion. He submits that on 20.06.2018 further promotion was given to Respondent No.3 and also it is another</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

cause of action and now he has moved M.A. for adding paragraph 20-E to 20-J in the O.A. and also prays that prayers B-3, B-4, C-3 and C-4 to be allowed.

3. No affidavit-in-reply is filed by the State or Respondent No.3. However, after going through these extensive amendments we are not inclined to allow such amendments. It is the separate cause of action in view of the earlier prayer and we direct the applicant to file fresh O.A. with all the comprehensive prayers and also to withdraw with liberty to file afresh.

4. The learned P.O. submits that proposal dated 6/7<sup>th</sup> August, 2021 is sent by Respondent No.2 to Respondent No.1 i.e. from Commissioner of Fisheries to Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department.

5. We direct the Respondents to take decision on the proposal till 15.01.2022. Amendment is not allowed. M.A. is rejected and O.A. is disposed of, accordingly with above liberty.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.38 of 2018 in O.A. No.48 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.P. Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Government has failed to pay arrears from 9.4.1999 to 30.11.2005. The respondents have already granted deemed date of promotion to the post of Chief Officer, Class-I w.e.f. 7.4.1988 with all consequential service benefits including monetary benefits. However, the respondents have failed to pay arrears from 9.4.1999 to 30.11.2005.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO was directed vide order dated 27.10.2021 to go through the opinion of the Law and Judiciary Department and the record and argue the matter accordingly on the point of compliance. Ld. PO seeks time to file specific affidavit only on this point.</p> <p>4. It is further pointed out that in the affidavit dated 8.6.2021 filed by Shri S.D. Sahastrabudhe, Under Secretary, Urban Development Department the opinion given by the Law and Judiciary Department is not on the point of arrears.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO is directed to go through the ratio laid down in the three judgments referred to in order dated 8.9.2017 in OA No.48 of 2017 which are as follows and justify the action of not giving the arrears to the applicant by filing affidavit to that effect:</p> <p>(i) OA No.1010/2016 Manda V. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra dated 6.4.2017.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(ii) Ramesh Kumar Vs. Union of India, AIR 2015 SC 2904.</p> <p>(iii) W.P. No.539/2016 Rajesh D. Waghmode Vs. The Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra &amp; Anr. dated 2.9.2016.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.1.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.11.2021 30.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																																								
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.33 of 2021 in O.A. No.148 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.D. Mane @ Jankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO refers to short affidavit dated 28.9.2021 filed by Bai Sarjerao Mane, Tahsildar, Atpadi, Sangli. It is clearly stated that the applicant has been paid provisional pension as per Rule 130(b) of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982. It is further stated in para 3 that as per order dated 5.3.2021 in CA the respondents have released following retirement benefits except regular pension and gratuity:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Amount Rs.</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>GIS</td> <td>97,082</td> <td>16.7.2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Encashment of leave</td> <td>5,65,710</td> <td>20.11.2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Provisional pension first installment 1.6.19 to Nov. 2019</td> <td>1,56,247</td> <td>30.11.2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Second Installment 1.12.2019 to 31.5.2020</td> <td>1,63,950</td> <td>13.9.2021</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Third Installment 1.6.2020 to Nov. 2020</td> <td>1,63,950</td> <td>13.9.2021</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Fourth Installment 1.12.2020 to 31.5.2021</td> <td>1,63,950</td> <td>Order passed by Collector, Sangli on 27.9.2021</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>GPF difference as 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission</td> <td>44,963</td> <td>29.7.2019</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td>Original amount of GPF</td> <td>6,10,799</td> <td>22.1.2020</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>Second difference GPF</td> <td>44,961</td> <td>9.9.2021</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>3. Regular pension and gratuity shall be paid after completion of the enquiry in accordance with rules.</p> <p>4. <i>The order of the Tribunal is complied with</i> CA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.11.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.11.2021</p>	Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs.		1	GIS	97,082	16.7.2019	2	Encashment of leave	5,65,710	20.11.2019	3	Provisional pension first installment 1.6.19 to Nov. 2019	1,56,247	30.11.2019	4	Second Installment 1.12.2019 to 31.5.2020	1,63,950	13.9.2021	5	Third Installment 1.6.2020 to Nov. 2020	1,63,950	13.9.2021	6	Fourth Installment 1.12.2020 to 31.5.2021	1,63,950	Order passed by Collector, Sangli on 27.9.2021	7	GPF difference as 7 <sup>th</sup> Pay Commission	44,963	29.7.2019	8	Original amount of GPF	6,10,799	22.1.2020	9	Second difference GPF	44,961	9.9.2021
Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs.																																							
1	GIS	97,082	16.7.2019																																						
2	Encashment of leave	5,65,710	20.11.2019																																						
3	Provisional pension first installment 1.6.19 to Nov. 2019	1,56,247	30.11.2019																																						
4	Second Installment 1.12.2019 to 31.5.2020	1,63,950	13.9.2021																																						
5	Third Installment 1.6.2020 to Nov. 2020	1,63,950	13.9.2021																																						
6	Fourth Installment 1.12.2020 to 31.5.2021	1,63,950	Order passed by Collector, Sangli on 27.9.2021																																						
7	GPF difference as 7 <sup>th</sup> Pay Commission	44,963	29.7.2019																																						
8	Original amount of GPF	6,10,799	22.1.2020																																						
9	Second difference GPF	44,961	9.9.2021																																						

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.114 of 2021 in O.A.No.982 of 2019</b></p> <p>R.K. Bhosale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-rely pursuant to order dated 23.11.2021.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha/Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.852 of 2019 with M.A.No.505 of 2021</b></p> <p>N.D. Dharade .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that the office of C.P.O. has not been served with the copy of M.A.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate is directed to serve the copy forthwith. To be placed under the caption of 'M.A. for orders.'</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.176 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.11.2021 30.11.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.492 of 2021 in O.A. No.899 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Vibhute &amp; 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Deokar holding for Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">30.11.2021</td> <td align="center">30.11.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	30.11.2021	30.11.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
30.11.2021	30.11.2021								



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.930 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.A. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.11.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.11.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.899 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Vibhute &amp; 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Deokar holding for Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.11.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.11.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.533 of 2021 in O.A. No.948 of 2021</u></p> <p>K.V. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 11.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. &amp; MA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 30.11.2021 <span style="float: right;">30.11.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.164 of 2021 in O.A.No.38 of 2021</b></p> <p>Dr. V.V. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for promotions of Applicants (Medical Officers) to the post of District Health Officer.</p> <p>3. We direct the Government to finish the procedure on or before 30.04.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.206 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. C. Shinde</b> .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for Respondent No.3, three days time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that reply to amendment will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.939 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>N. S. Bhosale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicants are challenging communication dated 07.10.2021 whereby their request for inter district transfer has been rejected in view of the decision already taken by PEB in meeting dated 30.06.2021.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2022</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.934 of 2021</p> <p>A. D. Chaudhari .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 12.03.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is bad for want of competency of Respondent No.2 and secondly the Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension without taking any steps to initiate D.E. or take review of suspension order.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.12.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 21.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.388 of 2021 in O.A.No.790 of 2020</p> <p>S. U. Kulkarni ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply to M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.146 of 2021</p> <p>S. A. Bhosale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day or latest by tomorrow.</li> <li>3. Allowed to file the same. It be taken on record and copy be served to other side.</li> <li>4. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 14.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.654 of 2021</b></p> <p>S. R. Shete <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 14.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.703 of 2021</p> <p>P. R. Pawar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 23.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.762 of 2021</p> <p>K. J. Patki <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 submits that reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.765 of 2021</p> <p>R. F. Khairnar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day or latest by tomorrow. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 23.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.807 of 2021</p> <p>T. V. Pawar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. is further directed to get compliance of order dated 16.11.2021 done within three days.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 14.12.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.11.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.862 of 2021</p> <p>T. B. Vhanmane <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.11.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.528 of 2021 in O.A.No.939 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>N. S. Bhosale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.528 /2021 is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.50/2019**

<b>Shri V.T. Jadhav</b>	<b>... Applicant</b>
<b>Vs.</b>	
<b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b>	<b>... Respondents</b>

1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Shri U.V. Bhosle holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate submits that Advocate Sugdare is unable to attend and requested for adjournment.

2. Adjourned to 10.12.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**30.11.2021**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b><u>O.A.418/2017</u></b>
	<p><b>Shri S.D. Kharat</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra</b> <b>&amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3. Respondent No.4 is absent though served.</p> <p>2. Since the issue of permissibility of reservation in promotion is subjudice before Hon'ble Supreme Court and it will take some time for final decision, it would be appropriate to hear this O.A. later on, as Applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion on the basis of reservation.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter be placed on Board on 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>30.11.2021</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>