

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION 875 of 2016

DISTRICT: SATARA

Shri. D.G. Gaikwad

...Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Shri Abhay Jadhavar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.

Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 30.11.2017.

ORDER

1. Heard Abhay Jadhavar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No. 1 & 2 and Shri. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No. 3.
2. Learned Advocate for applicant states that he wants to withdraw the O.A. The very filing of O.A. is objected by the respondent.
3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that applicant is a stranger to the challenge.
4. It is evident that present O.A. is a vexatious exercise. Hence though O.A. is being withdrawn, applicant must pay costs.



5. The amount of costs is quantified to R.s 25,000/- for the Respondent 1 & 2 together and Rs. 25,000/- for the Respondent No.3.
6. Cost be recovered at Rs.1000/- per month from applicant's pension and upon completion of recovery of Rs. 25,000/- the amount be paid to the Respondent No. 3 by Cheque/D.D/R.T.G.S. After completing recovery of remaining Rs. 25,000/- it be retained by the Government toward costs.
7. Recovery be caused by Pension paying bank after production of this order.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

nmn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.11.2017.

O.A. 1112 of 2017

Shri Popat D. Shinde & Ors.Applicants.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Appointment to the post of Police Patil for village Ambe, Tal. Pandharpur shall be subject to outcome of O.A.

9. S.O. to 20.12.2017.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE : 30/11/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D. Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 20/12/2017.




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>MAs disposed.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p><u>M.A. No.512 of 2017 in O.A. No.854 of 2017 with M.A. No.513 of 2017 in O.A. No.855 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri Gulab D. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend to incorporate challenge to the order passed during pendency of OA.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend and add annexures as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. MAs disposed.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, P.J.) Chairman 30.11.2017 </p> <p>(sgj)</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj.S.O. to <u>22/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p> | <p><u>O.A. No.854 of 2017 & O.A. No.855 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri Gulab D. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadker, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 22.1.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 30.11.2017 </p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.990 OF 2017

Shri Kiran R. Kedar & Ors.

..Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar – Advocate for the Applicants

Miss S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 30th November, 2017

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Admit.
3. The Collector, Thane has filed reply to show cause notice as to why he should not be saddled with cost for neglecting to attend to the case.
4. The Collector, Thane has filed reply to show cause notice which is at page 123-137. Collector, Thane has demonstrated the steps taken by him for preventive measures, in future for diligently attending to the case. He has also listed the difficulties due to which the case was not quickly attended. Excuses offered by Collector and the steps taken by the



Collector are accepted as positive action and show cause of cost is dropped.

5. Heard on the point of interim relief. The case proceeds on following admitted background.
6. By the impugned order the applicants' services have been allotted/transferred to newly created Palghar District. The applicants' case that they are entitled to be retained in Thane.
7. Admittedly, Collector, Thane has issued a communication / representation to the Government requesting relaxation of equal division of staff members in two establishments of Thane and Palghar as prescribed in Government decision dated 19.8.2016 through para 4 thereof. The said communication of the Collector was forwarded through Divisional Commissioner and Divisional Commissioner, Konkan has sent it back with certain queries. Copy of the said communication is at Exhibit R-9 page 211.
8. Admittedly, when the proposal is moved by the Collector believing that the Government decision directing allotment of equal staff requires reconsideration, in view of the factual staffing pattern, continuation of the applicants on the establishment of Collector, Thane is not going to prejudice any one and will rather help maintaining status quo as on the date of filing of OA.
9. Hence, without prejudice to the powers of the Government to take decision which may be taken by the Government in due course, interim relief is granted in favour of the applicants in terms of prayer clause 10(a), which reads as follows:

“10(a) Pending the hearing and final disposal of this OA, this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to stay the implementation of the order dated 23.8.2017 passed by the Respondent No.1 and accordingly the petitioners be allowed to continue to work as was before the impugned order of transfer was passed by the Respondent No.1.”

10. The Government shall be free to decide the proposal as would be pursued by the Collector, through the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division.

11. Depending upon what orders may be passed by the Government if Collector, Thane is required to maintain the impugned order he shall be at liberty to move this Tribunal for modification of order of interim relief.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
30.11.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

OK

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 790/2017

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri Subhash L. Chaudhari,)
Working as Additional Director,)
Industrial Safety and Health,)
Having office at Sahakar Bhavan,)
Adarsh Nagar, Pune - 37, R/o: A/602)
Sumitra Tower, Aai Nagar,)
Near Shankar Temple, Kalwa [W],)
Dist-Thane.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra)
Through Principal Secretary,)
Industries, Energy and Labour)
Department, having office at)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.)
2. Shri S.P Rathod,)
Working as Additional Director,)
Industrial Safety and Health,)
Mumbai. Holding additional charge)
of post of Director, Industrial)
Safety and Health Directorate,)
[M.S], Mumbai.)
Office at Kamgar Bhavan, 5th floor,)
Near Family Court, Opp. R.B.I,)
B.K.C, Bandra [E].)...**Respondents**



Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri S.P Rathod, Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)


RESERVED ON : 28.11.2017

PRONOUNCED ON : 30.11.2017

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1 and Shri S.P Rathod, Respondent no. 2 in person.
2. On facts that it is seen that:-
 - (a) Applicant has been posted at Pune on his own request.
 - (b) Applicant never staked claim for charge as Director/Acting Charge as Director, and hence he has impliedly conceded to loose said claim by being away from opportunity as acting in-charge Director,
 - (c) Hence the applicant does not have right to claim what he is expecting for.
3. Issue involved in present O.A is not worth exertion for writing a judgment for deciding issue involved. Decision on issues which arose can be deferred awaiting for a suitable cases. Hence all issues are kept open.

4. Hence O.A is dismissed.
5. Parties shall bear own costs.


Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 30.11.2017
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2017\Nov 2017\O.A 790.17 Posting order challenged, SB. 29.11.17.doc

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION 1118 of 2017****DISTRICT: MUMBAI****Smt. Sadhana R. Thorat & Ors.****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**


Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman**DATE : 30.11.2017.****ORDER**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.01.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Respondents are directed to produce the note sheet with orders pertaining to impugned communication and communications which are at Page 13 to 24.
9. Reply if any answering the allegation of discrimination be replied, which is the only point involved in this O.A.
10. Respondents shall be free to suo-moto reconsider or review impugned decision. In case decision on reconsideration is favorable to the applicant, production of record and filing of affidavit shall not be necessary.
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
12. S.O. to 10.01.2017.



(A.H. Joshi, *JK*)
Chairman

nmn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**O.A. 1105 of 2017 with O.A.1106 of 2017
With O.A. 1107 of 2017****DISTRICT: MUMBAI****Sunil K. Jadhav & Ors.****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.****Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.****Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.****CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman****DATE : 30.11.2017.****ORDER**

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. By way of ad interim relief this Tribunal directs that reply to show cause notice be considered and order thereon be passed but such order shall not take effect for 30 days from date of service which be done by speed post, A.D. apart from Hand Delivery.
9. Applicants shall be permitted to appear for ensuing examination of MS-CIT.



(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

nmn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.R. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>M.A. disposed off accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p> | <p>Date: 30.11.2017.</p> <p>M.A. 511 of 2017 in O.A. 1119 of 2017</p> <p>Ravindra S. Reve & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.R. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reason stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. M.A. disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">nmn</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>C.R. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/12/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p>Date: 30.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. 1119 of 2017</p> <p>Ravindra S. Reve & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. C.R. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2017. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988; and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Appointment to the post of Police Patil for village Mangalvedha, Tal. Mangalvedha, Dist. Solapur shall be subject to outcome of O.A. 9. S.O. to 20.12.2017. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">nmn</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>C.R. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sari/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.O.P.O for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj.S.O. to <u>20/12/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BJE</i></p> | <p>Date: 30.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. 1125 of 2017</p> <p>Rajendra N. KatkarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. C.R. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2017. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Appointment to the post of Police Patil for village Wagholi, Tal. Malshiras shall be subject to outcome of O.A. 9. S.O. to 20.12.2017. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">nmn</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Suryawanshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>18/12/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>nmn</i></p> | <p>Date: 30.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. 386 of 2017</p> <p>Ms. Swati S. Saranjame & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that the competent authority would consider applicant's claim for a choice post during next transfer season.</p> <p>3. S.O. to. 18.12.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.11.2017.

O.A. 1120 of 2017

Abhimanyu D. WaghmareApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.R. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days, and if service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Appointment to the post of Police Patil for village Gavdi Darfal, Dist. Solapur shall be subject to outcome of O.A.
9. S.O. to 20.12.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

DATE : 30/11/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : C.R. Thorat

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to : 20/12/2017.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. K. Nair the Ld. special</u> <u>C.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s</u> <u>counsel</u></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>13/12/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BHT</u> <u>OR</u></p> | <p>Date: 30.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. 503 of 2017</p> <p>Shri. Santosh P. Arabatti & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, and Mr. S.K. Nair, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Special Counsel prays for time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.12.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">nmn</p> |


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre holding</u> <u>Smt. Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>14/12/2017</u> F.R. to continue</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.892 of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. D.G. Basutkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Chandratre, learned Advocate files affidavit in rejoinder on behalf of the applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.12.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 30.11.2017 </p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Sangvikar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Savita Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>14/1/2018.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p align="center"><u>30.11.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 1021/2017</u></p> <p>Shri Krushna T. Dhayதாக ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V.P Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 14.1.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 14.1.2018. <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.H Joshi Chairman, PTO.</p> |

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.11.2017.

O.A. 1097 of 2017

Mr. B.P. Gaikwad

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Advocate Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. ought to have been filed at Aurangabad. Hence O.A. is rejected.

3. Applicant shall be free to take recourse to remedy as advised.

DATE : 30/11/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to O.A. is rejected.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

nmn