

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.218/2015**

**Shri R.S. Jikare**

... Applicant

V/s.

**The State of Mah. & ors.**

... Respondents

Heard Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondent nos 1 to 3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

Affidavits have already been filed.

Admit, liberty to mention.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)  
MEMBER (J)  
30.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)  
VICE-CHAIRMAN  
30.11.2016

**DATE:** 30/11/16

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Shri. **RAJIV AGARWAL**  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri **R. B. MALIK** (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.K. Hande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

OA is Admit  
Liberty to mention.

(vsm)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1006/2016

**Shri S.D. Patil** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO seeks further time to file Affidavit-in-reply. Sufficient opportunity has been given. The Applicant is without the protective Umbrella of any interim relief. As of today, I do not grant the relief strictly in terms of the interim relief prayer. However, I direct that in case the Respondents are so disposed as to terminate the services of the Applicant; such an order shall not be given effect to for a period of two weeks from the date thereof. The OA stands adjourned for Affidavit-in-reply to 25<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 as last chance. Stenocopy and Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
30.11.2016

*Malik*  
*30-11-16*

DATE: *30/11/16*

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. *K.R. Jagdale*

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. *Ms. S. Suryawanshi*

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: *25/12/16*

Hamdast.

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**O.A.826/2016**

**I.M.P. Association** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

On the oral request of Mr. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, on personal ground, time to comply with my order of 16.11.2016 is enlarged by one week from today. Rest of the directions remain.

S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
30.11.2016

30.11.16

**DATE:** 30/11/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To S.O. to 7/12/16.~~

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>30/11/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri/Smt. <u>J. N. Kamble</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 4/1/17</u>  </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1112/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.R. Bhalerao</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 04.01.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> January, 2017,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-   (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  30.11.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**O.A.1108/2016**

**Shri M.S. Utpat** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B.Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.01.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.

**DATE:** 30/11/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 4/1/17.

*(Signature)*

Sd/- (Signature)

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
30.11.2016

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.11.2016.

O.A.No.995 of 2015

V.K. Jagdhane

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Shri Mahindra Rokade, Assistant Commissioner of Police is present. He states that the affidavit which is filed on 15.11.2016 which is at page 90 A onwards is not properly drafted and affidavit in page 90 A be struck off, and prays that said permission to file fresh affidavit be granted.

3. Liberty to file fresh Affidavit is granted and affidavit at page 90 A onwards is struck off.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant prays for leave to amend for correcting nomenclature of Respondent 3 and split up two officers clubbed together as Respondent No.4.

5. Leave to carry out the amendment as prayed is granted. Amended O.A. be served upon the Respondents.

6. Learned P.O. prays for 3 week time for filing reply.

7. Time as prayed for by learned P.O. is granted.

8. Reply of the Respondents be filed on or before 18.01.2017.

9. S.O. to 18.01.2017.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

DATE: 30/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.A. Gharte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 18/1/17. Steno copy  
& Hamdast is allowed to  
ld. P.O.

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>30/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>P.V. Patil with sri S. Solanke</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/12/16</u></p>	<p>Date : 30.11.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.31 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. S.N. Waydande .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate with Shri Shamsundar Solanke, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) That Applicant has decided to submit a representation to the competent authority requesting for post facto sanction for increments drawn by him.</p> <p>(b) Applicant honestly believes that he is entitled to as similar benefit is given to various other officer.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for 2 weeks time for submitting representation and prays for adjournment on that ground.</p> <p>4. S.O. 21.12.2016</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   (A.H. Joshi, J)  Chairman [P.T.O.] </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.11.2016.

M.A. 493 of 2016 in O.A.No.237 of 2015 (N'pur)

R.M. Janbandhu & Ors. ....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for transfer of O.A. from Nagpur Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai. O.A. is of the year 2015.

3. In view that Division Bench is not available at M.A.T. Bench Nagpur, O.A. can be transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai.

4. Hence, in view of the foregoing following order is passed :-

- (a) O.A. No. 237/2015 is transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai for hearing and disposal according to law.
- (b) Applicants should apply and collect the original papers by hand delivery and to deposit the same within 15 days.
- (c) Applicants shall serve intimation of transfer in the office of C.P.O. and all Respondents.
- (d) Furnish additional sets and paper book to the Respondents.
- (e) No notice of transfer would be issued to the Applicants.

5. M.A. is accordingly allowed.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

nmn

DATE: 30/11/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. R.G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To MA is accordingly  
allowed.

HT



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 30/11/16</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. Lata Patne Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 2/12/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">PT</p>	<p>Date : 30.11.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.11 of 2016 in O.A.No.1053 of 2013</p> <p>A.R. Jadhavar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondent Ms. K.S. Gaikwad states as follows:-</p> <p>a) The sanction of the Hon'ble Minister in relation to applicant's case is received.</p> <p>b) Now order will be issued from the Home Department and will be dispatched today to the office of D.G.P.</p> <p>c) Further process will be pursued and actions as may be taken shall be reported.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.12.2016</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   (A.H. Joshi J.)  Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: left;">nmn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>30/11/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>2/12/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 30.11.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.128 of 2015 in C.A. 33 of 2015 in O.A. 910 of 2004</p> <p>V.P. Bhanushali .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has filed a leave note.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. Shri. K.B. Bhise states that Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. who is incharge of the brief is unable to attend the Tribunal. He prays for adjourning the case after two days.</p> <p>4. In view of the request of learned P.O., Adjourned to 07.12.2016.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>nmn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>30/11/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>18/11/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 30.11.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 433 of 2016</p> <p>P.G. Pingle .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. S. Suryawanshi for the Respondents states that is affidavit is ready, however, she want to satisfy herself as to aptness thereof, and prays for two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. 18.01.2017.</p> <p align="center">             (A.H. Joshi J.)            Chairman         </p> <p align="center">nmn</p>