

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.509 & 510 of 2022</p> <p>N. K. Kurade S. D. KhomaneApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for Applicants has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matters are adjourned by way of last chance with direction that no adjournment will be granted on leave note. Advocate should remain present without fail on next date otherwise O.A. will be dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1041 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. KondeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 19.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.589 of 2021</p> <p>G.R. WaghmodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note, adjourned for Final hearing. 5. S.O. to 17.10.2022. <p align="right"> N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.658 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 17.10.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.808 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. GharateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note, adjourned for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 18.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.551 of 2022 in O.A. No.652 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus D.K. Mayekar (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Ms Pooja Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant). 2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time for compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 12.08.2022. 3. The Tribunal directed the Respondents to pay Gratuity with interest at the rate applicable to GPF from 01.09.2021 till actual date of payment and order was to be completed within a month. 4. Learned P.O. submits that steps are been taken and requested for two months time to get the pay verification done. 5. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2021 and for more than one year his Gratuity was not paid because of non verification of service book. Indeed, these steps ought to have been taken by Department well in advance. 6. However, two weeks time is granted for compliance of the order dated 12.08.2022 with clarification that the amount will carry interest as directed in the order dated 12.08.2022 till actual payment. 7. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.400 of 2022</p> <p>R. D. Kurangal ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. P. H. Hendre, learned Counsel for Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned with direction that no further date will be granted. Be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.235 of 2022</p> <p>Rajendra P. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right"> ^ Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.634 of 2021</p> <p>S. V HitareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1042 of 2021</p> <p>N. L. PaithankarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit in Reply since it is not filed within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file reply so as to adjudicate the matter on merit.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.655 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 has also sent his leave note. 5. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 6. S.O. to 17.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.825 of 2021</p> <p>G.S. KaranjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 19.10.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SheteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 17.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.758 of 2019</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter pertains to change in date of birth recorded in service book after filing of O.A. The Applicant has made certain amendment. Though the Respondents have filed Affidavit-in-Reply it pertains to Original Application only and not covered the amended portion.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to the Respondents to file additional Reply to the extent of amendment within seven days in the office without fail. If Reply is not filed within time it will not be taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.928 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KoraviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.11.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 04.11.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.573 of 2022 in O.A. No.928 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KoraviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A. No.928/2022 to set aside the order dated 20.05.2022 to the extent of Transfer of the Applicant to Sangli with direction to accommodate him at Mumbai and also sought directions to the Respondent to consider his representation dated 29.08.2022. later as per prayer clause 10 (a) & (b) of O.A, The Applicant's representation is already rejected by order dated 03.08.2022. In O.A. he had not challenged order dated 03.08.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to amend O.A. so as to challenge order dated 03.08.2022 whereby his representation is rejected.</p> <p>4. Allowed to delete prayer clause 10 (a) & (b) of O.A. and to make amendment in O.A. as per schedule challenging order dated 03.08.2022.</p> <p>5. Amendment be carried out immediately.</p> <p>6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.09.2022

O.A.No.132 of 2022

Dr. Ketati K. Patankar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Respondents have not filed reply within time granted by the Tribunal, therefore, O.A. is taken up for hearing without reply.
3. The Applicant is seeking relief to count her previous service from 11.11.2003 to 28.09.2007 for all service benefits on the basis of decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.43/2018 decided on 06.03.2020 as well as O.A.No.367/2020 disposed of on 16.03.2021. She has made representation dated 28.01.2022 claiming same relief but the Respondents did not respond it.
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction to the Respondents to consider her representation dated 28.01.2022 within stipulated period.
5. Learned P.O. concedes it.
6. In view of above. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to decide the representation dated 28.01.2022 made by the Applicant within two months from today and the decision be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, she may avail further legal remedy.
7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p>R.A. No.10 of 2022 in O.A. No.102 of 2020</p> <p>S.H. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.H. Shaikh, Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This Review Application is filed to review order passed by the Tribunal on 30.03.2022 in O.A. No.102/2020 dismissing O.A. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.11.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 04.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.820 of 2022</p> <p>P. D. PadwalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 20.12.2021 whereby he is suspended in contemplation of D.E. However, he admittedly reinstated in service on 21.02.2022 i.e. within three months from the date of suspension.</p> <p>4. However, the Applicant seems to be challenged the competency of authority who suspended him.</p> <p>5. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.370 of 2022 in O.A. No.1174 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PanseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant is not attending the matter for more than two years and simply sending leave note. Today, also he had sent leave note. O.A. is of 2019 and it has been adjourned from time to time because of leave note. Now, it cannot be adjourned more for the same reasons and Advocate shall make some alternative arrangement for hearing of the matter. O.A. and M.A. to be kept for hearing on 03.03.2022 with specific directions that no further time will be granted on the ground of leave note and he should make alternative arrangement. S.O. to 03.10.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- v- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.190 of 2022 in O.A. No.880 of 2021 with M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021 with M.A. No.197 of 2022 in O.A. No.882 of 2021 with O.A. No.883 of 2021 with M.A. No.192 of 2022 in O.A. No.884 of 2021 with M.A. No.195 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2021 with M.A. No.194 of 2022 in O.A. No.886 of 2021 with O.A. No.887 of 2021 with M.A. No.196 of 2022 in O.A. No.888 of 2021 with O.A. No.889 of 2021 with M.A. No.193 of 2022 in O.A. No.917 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. Mane H.R. Shegar H.G. Kulkarni P.H. Damodar V.P. Jagtap G.R. Brahmapukar S.K. Darekar R.K. Ghadge B.T. Bhamre B.B. Ingale K.N. GhadgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</p> <p>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>4. In view of leave note adjourned to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.343 of 2022</p> <p>H.K. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed.4. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.6. S.O. to 21.10.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="margin: 0;">Date : 30.09.2022</p> <p style="margin: 0; text-align: center;">O.A.No.550 of 2022</p> <p style="margin: 0;">O.S. YadavApplicant</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Versus</p> <p style="margin: 0;">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply in the interest of justice since the matter pertains to change in date of birth in service record.</p> <p style="margin: 0;">3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin: 10px 0;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </div> <p style="margin: 0;">vsm</p> <p style="margin: 0;">vsm</p>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.969 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. BadadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 27.07.2021 passed by disciplinary authority imposing punishment of withdrawing of two increments with cumulative effect which is modified in the appeal by appellate authority by order dated 03.03.2022 into punishment withdrawing of next one increment for two years without cumulative effect. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.11.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 04.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.09.2022

O.A. No.961 of 2022

J.R. SolankiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is seeking direction to the Respondents for appointment on the post of Sweeper in terms of recommendation of Laad Page Committee and G.R. dated 10.11.2015.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that Government by order dated 01.08.2022 relaxed age condition and directed Respondent No.3 - Dean, Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals and Grant Medical College, Mumbai to appoint the Applicant as a special case. Despite the order of Government no further steps are taken which ought to have been taken immediately.

4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instruction from Respondent No.3 as to why Applicant is not appointed in terms of Government order dated 01.08.2022 and appraise the Tribunal in afternoon session at 02.30 p.m.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

LATER ON

5. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to take instruction and to explain why the Applicant is not appointed on the post of Sweeper. Since the Applicant is deprived of the post for more than 15 years though he made application and Government also issued direction by order dated 01.08.2022, the matter is expedited.

6. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1037 of 2019 with O.A. No.1039 of 2019 to O.A. No.1042 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G.B. Taware Dr. V.A. Nadimath Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar Dr. N.B. Masaram Dr. V.P. DoijadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 4. Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.1237/2019 to O.A. No.1241/2019 is present. 5. In view of leave note, O.As are adjourned for Final Hearing. 6. Interim relief to continue till next date. 7. O.A. No.1237/2019 to O.A. No.1241/2019 be kept along with these O.As. 8. S.O. to 17.10.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.417 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. GageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Janvi Chotai, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.553 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 07.10.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.584 of 2022</p> <p>P.L. Baswant & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and requested for short time to file Reply.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.710 of 2022</p> <p>V.T. GuravApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply along with Corrigendum on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.48 of 2022 in O.A. No.110 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.H. Pathan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that respondents have complied the order dated 24.9.2021 passed in the above OA to the extent that he has been given promotion on 30.8.2022. The applicant has also been given deemed date as per directions of this Tribunal. Further he has also been given posting vide order dated 23.9.2022.</p> <p>3. It is seen that the said order dated 24.9.2021 has been fully complied with.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate submits that pay fixation of the applicant needs to be done in consonance with the deemed date.</p> <p>5. The applicant is at liberty to make an application to the department for pay fixation in consonance with the deemed date.</p> <p>6. With these directions the Contempt Application stands disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.620 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.60 of 2022 in O.A. No.231 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.U. Bawadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit and the same is taken on record. Ld. PO tenders copy of letter dated 25.5.2022 addressed to the Dean, J.J. Group of Hospitals from the Members of the Medical Board. In this letter it is stated that Members of the Medical Board were present on 21.4.2022. However, the applicant was not present as the applicant has informed that he was unable to remain present due to illness. Ld. PO submits that contempt is not maintainable.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant may be given last chance to appear before the Medical Board. Ld. PO opposes the same on the ground that he was already given three chances. First time on 11.4.2022 when the applicant remained present however due to some technical reasons the measurements could not be taken. Second time on 21.5.2022 the applicant produced medical certificate and did not appear before the Medical Board. Third time on 25.5.2022 he gave another request that he was unwell.</p> <p>4. As a matter of last chance, the applicant is directed to appear before the Medical Board, failing which his candidature would be treated invalid.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A. No.776 of 2015</u></p> <p>P.G. Sondkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This CA is filed as the order dated 29.8.2016 passed in the above OA is not complied with. Ld. PO submits that department has approached the Hon'ble High Court and in the meanwhile this contempt application is filed on 21.4.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files affidavit dated 22.9.2022 of Minakshi H. Ade, Chief Administrative Officer, DMER, Mumbai showing the amounts already paid to the applicant. However, regular pension, gratuity and commutation remains to be paid.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to take action in this regard within four weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 4.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapdne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there are certain deficiencies in the proposal which was sent to the Accountant General for approval.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that she will file affidavit during the course of the day. She further points out that though proposal was submitted to the AG, it was rejected on the ground that they have not taken necessary approval from the Finance Department.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to take necessary approval from Finance Department and submit the proposal to the Accountant General within two weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha G. Gogil) Member (A) 30.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>