FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.09.2019.

O.A.No.1123 of 2018

A.B. Gawari

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant andMs N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 15.11.2018 on the ground that he was not given posting as per the options given by him and subjected to discrimination.
- 3. The Applicant was posted as Naib Tahsildar at Solapur w.e.f. 17.12.2013 and had completed normal tenure. After completion of normal tenure, he had made representation dated 11.04.2018 and has given ten options. However, none of his options were considered and by order dated 15.11.2018, he was transferred as Naib Tahsildar (Election), Phaltan, Dist. Satara.
- 4. During pendency of O.A., the Government has passed the order dated 02.05.2019 rejecting his request for transfer in Home, Dist. Pune only on the ground of ensuing Legislative Assembly Election, 2019.

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

- 5. Perusal of record reveals, particularly letter dated 13.05.2019 issued by the Divisional Commissioner that the post of Naib Tahsildar at Junnar and two posts at Ambegaon are vacant.
- 6. By way of amendment, the Applicant has also challenged the order dated 02.05.2019 which has been passed during the pendency of O.A. thereby rejecting the request of the Applicant for posting in Pune District.
- 7. Thus, what transpires that the Applicant's request for Home District has been rejected solely on the ground of Legislative Assembly Election scheduled in October, 2019.
- 8. In view of above, O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions to consider the request / representation of the Applicant for Home District after elections are over.
- 9. It is desirable that Applicant should make fresh representation within two weeks from today and the same shall be considered by the Respondent No.1 within two months thereafter.—
- Original Application is therefore, disposed of with following directions.

ORDER

- (A) The Applicant shall make representation to Respondent No.2 Divisional Commissioner for change in his posting and the same shall be considered and decided by the Respondents appropirately within two months from the date of receipt of the representation and the decision shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.
- (B) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.
- (C) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orde ;

Date: 30.09.2019

O.A.No.961 of 20 '9

R.P. Adisare ... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.! Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission r turnable on 04.11.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notic for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation, lotice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obt ined and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is out filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 04.11.201 3.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUIJAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applie nt/s
Advocate)		
	vers	us	
The	e State of Mahar	ashtra and others	
			Resperadent/s
Presenting Officer)	-
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orde	or	Tribunal's orders	
		Date: 30.09.2019	
		R.A.No.14 of 2019 .n	O.A.No.103 of 2019
		B.L. Patil Versus The State of Maharashti 1 &	Applicant.
		 Heard Shri B.A. Advocate for the Applican learned P.O. holding for S Respondents. 	
·		Today learned P.O.	Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has filed
		affidavit-in-reply in R.A. The	same is taken on record.
		3. Adjourned for hearing	ng on 24.10.2019. Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		prk	
1	l l		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Origi	nal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advo	cate		
		n	ersus
	m C4-		harashtra and others
	The Sta	te or mai	
			Respondent/s
(Prese	nting Officer)
Off	fice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	,	Tribunal's orders
			Date: 30.09.2019.
			O.A.No.666 of 2019
			S. P. HadakeApplicant
			Versus
			The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
			1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
			2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits
			that Affidavit-in-Rejoinder will be filed during the
			course of the day.
			3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the
			stage of admission.
			4. S.O. to 23.10.2019.
			Sd/-
		vsm	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT
			Applicant/
(Advocate)		
	ver s	110	
m			
	ie State of Manar	ashtra and others	
			Respondent/
(Presenting Officer	*****)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal	's orders
	Da	nte: 30.09.2019.	
		O.A.No	.278 of 2019
·	В.	B. Rathod	Applicant
	Ve	ersu s	
	Th	e State of Maharashtr	a & OrsRespondents.
	1.	Heard Shri A.	V. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Co	unsel for the Applic	ant and Ms N. G. Gohad,
	lea	rned Presenting Office	er for the Respondents.
	2.		P.O. has filed reply on behalf
			.4. It is taken on record.
Affidavit of Respondent No.1 is alre			
	re _l	ay is filed on behalf d	of the Respondent Nos.2 and
	3.	On the request	of learned Counsel for the
			time is granted for filing
	1	joinder.	and to granted the mind
	4.	S.O. to 17.10.201	9.
			Sd/-
	vsm	. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./Q.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.09.2019.

O.A.No.224 of 2019

S. D. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

...Respondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

- Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing. However, at the very outset, it is noticed that there is a typographic mistake in prayer clause No.15(a)(ii), where instead of 12.01.2009, it is typed as 12.01.2001.
- Perusal of order dated 21.12.2017 reveals that the Applicant was given deemed date of promotion in the cadre of PI from 12.01.2009 as such obviously there is a typographical mistake in prayer clause of the O.A.
- Learned Counsel for the Applicant has requested to correct the date, it being typographical mistake.
- Allowed to correct the typographical error in prayer 5. clause.
- Necessary correction be carried out during the course of the day.
- 5.O. to 07.10.2019.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.09.2019.

O.A.No.903 of 2019

D. S. Deshmukh

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard the Applicant in person as well as Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has filed the present O.A. seeking direction to Respondents to release his retiral dues which were withheld despite his retirement on 13.04.2018.
- 3. However, today learned Counsel has tendered a letter of the Applicant stating that the department has processed the pension papers, and therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. Letter is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.
- 4. Thus, Applicant's grievance seems to have been in the process of redressal.
- S. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.09.2019.

O.A.No.668 of 2019

D. K. Pajai

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

- Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 09.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

	1110111		
Original Application No.	of 20	District	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	ver	sus	
The S	tate of Maha	arashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	******)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Con Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders R.A. No.12 of 2017 in O.A. N	lo.808 of 2016
unconomb dura vig		J.M. Gurav	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri S.D. Dhongade, le Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougul Officer holding for Ms. Neelima Go Officer for the Respondents.	e, learned riesemme
		2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf provides copy to the Ld. Advocate fo	of respondent no.2 and r the applicant.
		3. The respondents are directed regarding para 2 of the order dated above matter which reads as under:	to furnish compliance 12.4.2019 passed in the
		"2. Respondents no.1 & affidavit explaining as to who dated 12.9.1991 was received before next date."	2 are directed to the nether the representation of and fate thereof on or
		4. Admit. Place the above ma 9.12.2019.	atter for final hearing or
			Sd/-
		Vic	(P.N. Dixit) ce-Chairman (A) 30.9.2019

(sgj)