

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.217 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. ThaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri. S.R. Thale Applicant-in-Person is absent and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.727 of 2024</p> <p>S.T. MaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Order dated 12.01.2024 of 'Deemed Suspension' of Applicant issued by 'Home Department' under provisions of 'Section 4(1)(c)' of 'MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979' should be revoked based on 'Judgment' dated 16.02.2015 of 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India' in case of (2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr.)</p> <p>3. Learned PO referring to 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 08.08.2024 filed on behalf of 'Home Department' states that 'Charge Sheet' dated 11.07.2024 of 'Departmental Enquiry' has been served on Applicant on 16.07.2024.</p> <p>4. The case of Applicant which is about challenge to Order dated 12.01.2024 of 'Deemed Suspension' issued by 'Home Department' under provisions of 'Section 4(1)(c)' of 'MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979'. The 'Home Department' was thus required to review case of Applicant upon expiry of period on 90 days based on Judgment dated 16.02.2015 of Hon'ble</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Supreme Court of India in case of (2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr.) However as 'Charge Sheet' of 'Departmental Enquiry' has now been served on Applicant on 16.07.2024; and considering that 'Criminal Case' against Applicant under 'Prevention of Corruption Act 1988' may take substantial period of time for completion of trial and ascertaining direct culpability of Applicant; it is directed that Order dated 12.04.2024 of 'Deemed Suspension' of Applicant be placed before 'Suspension Review Committee' of 'Home Department' in keeping with the essence of Judgment dated 16.02.2015 of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case of (2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr.) Thereupon as per 'Proviso' clause of 'Rule 4(5)(c)' of 'MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979' appropriate decision regarding extension of 'Suspension Period' or otherwise may be taken expeditiously by 'Appointing Authority'.

5. S.O. to 25.09.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 30.08.2024</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.10 of 2023 in O.A. No.555 of 2021</p> <p>S.N. RaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'R.A. No.10/2023 in O.A. No.555/2021' has been filed by Applicant to review and call back 'Judgment' dated 17.03.2023 in O.A. No.555/2024.</p> <p>3. The 'Judgment' dated 17.03.2023 in O.A. No.555/2021 had directed that following course action be taken in respect of claim of Applicant for grant of benefits of 2nd T.B.P.S. The contents of 'Para 7' & 'Para 8' of 'Judgment' dated 17.03.2023 in O.A. No.555/2021 read as follows:-</p> <p><i>"7. In view of this specific order, the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that his client is entitled to all consequential service benefits, particularly benefit of 2nd TBPS is totally fallacious, misconceived and unsustainable in law. The aim and object of TBPS is to remove stagnation and to give the benefit of next promotional post to the Government servant who completed 12 years' service and eligible for next promotional post in the light of TBPS. Whereas in the present case, Applicant is claiming benefit of 2nd TBPS for completing 12 years from 01.08.2020 to 2020. Admittedly, out of this period, he was not in service from 01.03.2008 and 20.10.2014. As such, he was not in continuous service for 12 years which is one of the condition precedent for such benefit. Consequently, he was not at all eligible for the benefit of 2nd TBPS. Only because he was granted continuity in service, that ipso-facto does not include the benefit of 2nd TBPS,</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>the entitlement of which is required to be decided independently considering 12 years' performance, eligibility and Annual Confidential Reports, etc. If the contention of learned Advocate for the Applicant is accepted, it would have disastrous effect of giving benefit of TBPS to a person who was not in service for about 50% period out of 12 years' service period, which is not at all intended in the scheme. Such claim is totally untenable. Filing such claim is nothing but abuse of process of law.</i></p> <p><i>8. The totality of aforesaid discussion leads me to sum-up that the challenge to the impugned communication dated 07.06.2021 is totally devoid of merit. The Applicant was not at all eligible for the benefit of 2nd TBPS and his claim is rightly rejected. Hence, the order."</i></p> <p>4. The 'Judgment' dated 08.08.2014 in 'W.P. No.2930/2014' by 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' has important bearing on the prayers made by Applicant in 'R.A. No.10/2023 in O.A. No.555/2021'. Hence, the contents of 'Para 4' and 'Para 5' of 'Judgment' dated 08.08.2014 in 'W.P. No.2930/2014' are reproduced below:-</p> <p><i>4. The petitioner though has sent the necessary documents for verification of his claim to the Scrutiny Committee, the Committee has not decided the same. The petitioner should not be penalised for inaction on the part of the respondent no.1-Committee. We find that the termination of the petitioner unless his caste claim was invalidated, was not justified. In any case now the petitioner has decided to give up his claim of belonging to Koli Mahadeo Scheduled Tribe. In that view of the matter and taking into consideration the long period of service rendered by the petitioner, the petition deserves to be allowed.</i></p> <p><i>5. The impugned order dated 01.03.2008 is quashed and set aside. The respondent no.2 is directed to reinstate the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today. It is, however, made clear that the appointment of the petitioner be treated as having been made for a candidate belonging to open category and not the reserved category. Though it is directed that the petitioner is entitled to continue in service, he shall not be entitled to any back wages for the period during which he was out of employment.</i></p> <p>5. The office of 'District Collector, Ratnagiri' has infact implemented the essence of 'Judgment' dated 08.08.2014 of 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in 'Writ Petition No.2930/2014' but not granted Applicant benefits of 2nd T.B.P.S. from 18.01.2016. Instead the Applicant has been granted benefits of 2nd T.B.P.S. from 20.10.2022 by 'RDC, Ratnagiri Order dated 28.07.2023'.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The Applicant has thus been granted benefits of 2nd T.B.P.S. from 20.10.2022 instead of 18.01.2016 by 'RDC Ratnigri Order dated 28.07.2023'. The grant of benefits to Applicant of 2nd T.B.P.S. from 20.10.2022 instead of 18.01.2016 constitutes an independent cause of action having no co-relation to 'Judgment' dated 17.03.2023 in O.A. No.555/2024 which had dealt with earlier communication to Applicant made on 07.06.2021 by office of 'District Collector, Ratnagiri'. Hence, 'R.A. No.10/2023 in O.A. No.555/2021' is not maintainable. Nonetheless; it must be observed that Applicant in O.A. No.555/2021 has discretionary right to independently challenge grant of benefits of 2nd T.B.P.S. from 20.10.2022 instead of 18.01.2016 by 'RDC Ratnagiri' Order dated 28.07.2023.</p> <p>7. The 'R.A. No.10/2023 in O.A. No.555/2021' therefore stands Dismissed. 'No Order as to Costs.'</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.08.2024

O.A. No.555 of 2023

S.G. RanpiseApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Commissioner of State Excise; Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has issued 'Transfer Order' dated 17.08.2024 of Applicant who is 'Ex-Serviceman' to post him as 'Sub Inspector State Excise' in 'O-1 Division' of 'Mumbai Suburban District'.

3. Learned PO states that he will also place on record the 'Transfer Order' dated 17.08.2024 of Applicant to post of 'Sub Inspector State Excise' in 'O-1 Division' of 'Mumbai Suburban District' by way of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of State Excise; Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

4. The grievance of Applicant who is 'Ex-Serviceman' thus stands completely redressed upon his transfer to post of 'Sub Inspector State Excise' in O-1 Division' of Mumbai Suburban, District in observance of 'Policy Guidelines' for 'Ex-Serviceman' in GAD GR dated 29.11.2022. Hence as nothing survives in this O.A. No.555 of 2023; it is accordingly disposed off. No Order as to Cost.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 30.08.2024
	<p align="center">O.A. No.631 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. BawulgaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO is informed to refer to contents of letter dated 26.05.2023 of 'Commissioner of Fisheries, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by which proposal for 'Mid Term' & 'Mid Tenure' transfer of Applicant had been submitted to 'Secretary (Fisheries) Agriculture; Animal Husbandry & Fisheries Department' and produce 'File Notings'; so as to ascertain what action was subsequently taken by 'Secretary (Fisheries) Agriculture Animal Husbandry & Fisheries Department' as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' to seek approval to proposal of 'Commissioner of Fisheries, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' for transfer of Applicant from post of 'Assistant Fisheries Development Officer, Raigad' to post of 'Assistant Fisheries Development Officer, Jalna' which was subsequently implemented by 'Office Order' dated 31.05.2023 of 'Commissioner of Fisheries, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1095 of 2024</p> <p>K.D. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that O.A. No.1095/2024 has been filed by Applicant who was serving on post of 'Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon' in 'MAT - Mumbai Bench' subsequent to issue of 'Suspension Order' dated 26.08.2024 by 'School Education and Sports Department' under 'Rule 4(1)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that though Applicant was serving on post of 'Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon' which would come under jurisdiction of 'M.A.T., Aurangabad Bench'. However as challenge in O.A. No.1095/2024 was to 'Suspension Order dated 26.08.2024' of 'School Education and Sports Department', it was pertinent that the place at which it has taken effect to result in actual cause of action should be the determinant factor <i>regarding</i> jurisdiction. The 'Headquarter' of Applicant during 'Suspension Period' has been fixed as office of Divisional Deputy Director Education, Nashik'. Hence, this O.A. No.1095/2024 is maintainable and be heard by 'M.A.T., Mumbai Bench'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. emphatically opposes filing of O.A. No.1095/2024 before 'M.A.T. - Mumbai Bench' on grounds of jurisdiction by highlighting that Applicant was serving on post of 'Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon' when 'Suspension Order' dated 26.08.2024 came to be issued by 'School Education and Sports Department'. Therefore this O.A. No.1095/2024 is not maintainable before 'M.A.T. - Mumbai Bench' on grounds of jurisdiction as it should have been filed before 'M.A.T., Aurangabad Bench'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant apprehends that 'Suspension Order' dated 26.08.2024 of 'School</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Education and Sports Department' may have been issued only to facilitate transfer of another officer to post of 'Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon' which was held by Applicant. The Applicant had already been subjected to 'Departmental Enquiry' earlier regarding her tenure as 'Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Chandrapur for which even 'Minor Punishment' of 'Stoppage of One Increment' has been imposed on Applicant. Thus; there was no other plausible reason to issue 'Suspension Order' dated 26.08.2024 of 'School Education and Sports Department'.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply about maintainability of this O.A. No.1095/2024 on grounds of jurisdiction based on relevant provisions of the 'The Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985'; as also precedence of 'Judgments' passed in similar instances by 'M.A.T. - Mumbai Bench.'</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.09.2024.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.08.2024

O.A. No.492 of 2024

S.S. GhosarwadeApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1 to 3 wherein it has been affirmed that 'Divisional Commissioner, Pune' by letter dated 26.08.2024 addressed to 'District Collector, Kolhapur' has communicated decision to revoke 'Suspension Order' dated 13.07.2023 of Applicant and also given further directions that Applicant be transferred to post of 'Talathi' which is categorized as 'Non-Executive Post'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' and then states that this O.A. No.492/2024 be kept for 'Final Hearing'.
4. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.762/2024

R.S. Jagtap

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Dere adjourned to 13.09.2024

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.944/2024

S.B. BandgarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 11.09.2024.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.762/2024

R.S. JagtapApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere , learned Counsel for
the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Dere
adjourned to 13.09.2024

Sd/-

✓
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.507 of 2024 in O.A. No.996 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.N. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri D.H. Pandya, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1220 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Devmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Ms. S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board. The above matter is scheduled on 24.10.2024.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the appeal of the applicant is pending before the State and the same is to be decided.</p> <p>4. It is to be decided on or before 24.10.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 24.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.932 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Padule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri A.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.969 of 2024</u></p> <p>T.A. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Shirang Katneshwarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. CPO states that there is delay in filing the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to replace the impugned order dated 28.2.2019. Leave granted.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.994 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.R. Kshirsagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri D.H. Pandya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.995 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.R. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri D.H. Pandya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.996 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.N. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri D.H. Pandya, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1073 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.R. Karande & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are seeking directions to call them for the interview for the post of Professor Group-A for the subject of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and declare the result afresh. The applicants also pray for quashing and setting aside the recommendation list dated 14.8.2024 and respondent no.3 be restrained from issuing appointment order in favour of respondent no.4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the process of giving appointment order be stayed mainly on the ground that on 31.7.2024 the list of eligible and non-eligible candidates were published and the names of applicants appeared at Sr. No.3 & 9 and respondent no.4 is shown at Sr. No.8 in the list of eligible candidates. There is only one post. Ld. Advocate submits that though both the applicants were eligible they were never intimated about the date of interview by the MPSC and therefore they could not remain present for interview on 8.8.2024. To that effect the applicant no.1 has forwarded email on 13.8.2024.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that interviews are over on 8.8.2024 and MPSC has informed that interview letters for advertisement No.402/2023 was created on 30.7.2024 and updated on 31.7.2024. She submits that simultaneously all the emails were created and sent. She submits that MPSC has received message of delivery and messages are uploaded in the profile created by the candidates on the same day and accordingly information was uploaded in respective profile of both the applicants.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances no interim relief can be granted at this stage.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1083 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.B. Gavade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1089 of 2024

S.R. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri S.S. Bhange, learned Advocate for the Applicant and
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents are present.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court
fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.9.2024. The
respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate submits that
merit list is expiring tomorrow. Ld. PO submits that similar
matters are filed and status quo is granted in OA No.910/2024.
Therefore lapsing of waiting list will not come in the way of the
applicant.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on
Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly
authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of
O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice
that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of
admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988,
and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept
open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served
and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with
affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week.
Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or
service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable
date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench
under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the
subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
30.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
30.8.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.43/2023 in O.A. No.32/2023

A.D. Nargude & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
With
M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A. No.619/2023

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
R.D. Pardhi & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CA.43/2023 in OA.32/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants in MA.364/2023 in OA No.619/2023 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the respondents.

3. Ld. PO seeks time.

4. S.O. to 9.9.2024. Interim relief to continue.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
30.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
30.8.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.996 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.N. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri D.H. Pandya, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.23/2024 in OA.632/2016</u></p> <p>S.D. Malwade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Deepak Pote, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue contempt notice to the respondents returnable on 20.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.58 of 2024 in O.A. No.82 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.K. Khairnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the order dated 17.1.2024 passed in the above OA is required to be implemented.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that order dated 17.1.2024 could not be implemented as it is against the law of reservation. She states that the State has filed RA No.9/2024 along with MA No.478/2024 for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant opposes the RA and MA.</p> <p>5. We direct the Ld. Advocate for the applicant to file reply accordingly.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 13.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	R.A. No.13 of 2023 in O.A. No.512 of 2022
	H.B. Yelde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Reply is filed.
	3. S.O. to 4.10.2024.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.397 of 2024 in O.A. No.981 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.A. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant has filed this MA for expediting the hearing of the OA. She submits that the case of the applicant is covered by the order dated 22.11.2022 passed in OA No.373/2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that the matter is not covered by the said order dated 22.11.2022.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances the matter is required to be heard. Ld. PO is directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. We are hearing the matters of the year 2017 and in between we are taking the matters of appointment, selection, termination and they are given priority. Hence, the MA for early hearing is dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.408 of 2024 in O.A. No.192 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.N. Shingade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2024 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.894 of 2024 with MA.447/2024</u></p> <p>C.M. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.523 of 2024 in O.A. No.750 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.R. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions states that case of the applicant will be considered within four weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2024 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.11 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. Sunanda R. Katke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he is withdrawing his appearance from the above matter as the applicant wants to prosecute the case herself.</p> <p>3. We need the applicant to remain present in the Court.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.9.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.95 of 2024 in O.A. No.1591 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.L. Bali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.591 of 2024</u></p> <p>J.S. Toke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2024 for filing rejoinder..</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.748 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.T. Nikam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2024 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.784 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.S. Adagale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.898 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.T. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archan B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.974 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.S. Gawali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that the State has denied that the matter is covered by the judgment of the Nagpur and Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in their affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Under such circumstances as the pleadings are complete the matter be fixed under the caption of Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2024 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.982 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.D. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits on instructions that order dated 29.8.2024 will be withdrawn within two days with a view to obey the order dated 16.8.2024 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.9.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2024 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.135 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.V. Sahane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that in this matter the competent authority viz. Superintendent of Police, Nashik has passed order on 26.7.2024 terminating the services of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he wants to amend the OA in view of this order.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 30.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.697/2024

A.S. ShaikhApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned P.O. has submitted today he will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.
3. Adjourned to 10.09.2024.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.744/2024

A.B. Shirsat Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. . . . Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere , learned Counsel for
the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Dere
adjourned to 13.09.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.769/2024 with O.A.No.761/2024

U.B. Omase & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.769/2024 and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.761/2024

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Dere adjourned to 13.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.943/2024

A.R. HivarkarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 11.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1005/2024

S.B. JanaveApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 (through video conference).
2. Learned P.O. has submitted that file is pending before the Hon'ble Deputy C.M.. However, in view of the order of status quo no decision can be taken in this file.
3. In view of the submissions made by learned P.O. order of status quo is withdrawn. However, the applicant is not to be relieved and he be continued to work as the Additional Superintendent of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Pune till next date.
4. Adjourned 06.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1076 of 2024

B.B. HulgeApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply on 05.09.2024.

3. Adjourned to 06.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1058 of 2024

R.K. JamdareApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jadgale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays that Respondent No.1 be directed to transfer the Applicant on the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli, Tahasil Office, Kalyan, District Thane. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order of Respondent No.2 dated 14.08.2024 issued by of Respondent No.1 and transfer the Applicant on the post of Revenue Awal Karkoon, Tahasil Office, Kalyan, Dist. Thane forthwith.

3. Learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Kishor Jadhav, NT and Mr. Gopinath Rathod, A.K. has submitted from Collector, Thane that the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli is still vacant and Collector, Thane has given instructions that the case of the Applicant will be placed before the C.S.B. meeting and option will be considered.

4. Statement of learned P.O. which is based on the instructions given by the Collector, Thane is accepted. Collector, Thane is directed to conduct C.S.B. meeting within one week i.e. on or before 05.09.2024 and place the case of the Applicant before the said meeting to consider whether the Applicant can be transferred to Thakurli.

5. Adjourned to 06.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.973/2024

C.S. Wable & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.09.2024.

4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 09.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

M.A.No.494/2024 in O.A.No.973/2024

C.S. Wable & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.494/2024 is filed seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. Learned Counsel is directed to serve copy of M.A.No.494/2024 to the office of C.P.O.

4. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

5. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

6. M.A.No.494/2024 is allowed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.972/2024

S.B. Bamane & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.09.2024.

4. Applicant are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 09.09.2024.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

M.A.No.493/2024 in O.A.No.972/2024

S.B. Bamane & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.493/2024 is filed seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. Learned Counsel is directed to serve copy of M.A.No.493/2024 to the office of C.P.O.

4. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

5. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

6. M.A.No.493/2024 is allowed.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

**O.A.No.1050 of 2024 with O.A.No.1051 of 2024
with O.A.No.1057/2024 with O.A.No.1063/2024**

A.G. Jagtap

C.M. Godse

A.D. Padwal

S.P. Dhande

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.1050/2024, O.A.No.1051/2024 and O.A.No.1057/2024, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1063/2024, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.1050/2024, O.A.No.1051/2024, O.A.No.1063/2024 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.1057/2024.

2. In view that the facts in all the O.As are similar, these matters are tagged together by consent of all the parties.

3. By order dated 28.08.2024 passed by this Tribunal learned P.O. was directed to seek instructions from the Commissioner of Police, Thane City whether the C.P.O. can accommodate the applicants on non-executive posts.

4. Today learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that as on today 13 posts are vacant and there is only one non-executive post of Unarmed Police Inspector. Learned P.O. has further submitted that no muster is maintained at Control Room, instead 'कर्तव्य तक्ता' is maintained.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to produce the 'कर्तव्य तक्ता' in respect of the applicants during the period when they were working in Control Room along with the affidavit stating that no muster is maintained in the control room. Applicants are directed to continue to working at Thane, as nobody should remain without work.

6. Adjourned to 03.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1058 of 2024

R.K. JamdareApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jadgale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays that Respondent No.1 be directed to transfer the Applicant on the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli, Tahasil Office, Kalyan, District Thane. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order of Respondent No.2 dated 14.08.2024 issued by of Respondent No.1 and transfer the Applicant on the post of Revenue Awal Karkoon, Tahasil Office, Kalyan, Dist. Thane forthwith.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted on instructions from Collector, Thane that the post of Circle Officer, Thakurli is still vacant and Collector, Thane has given instructions that the case of the Applicant will be placed before the C.S.B. meeting and option will be considered.

4. Statement of learned P.O. which is based on the instructions given by the Collector, Thane is accepted. Collector, Thane is directed to conduct C.S.B. meeting within one week i.e. on or before 05.09.2024 and place the case of the Applicant before the said meeting to consider whether the Applicant can be transferred to Thakurli.

5. Adjourned to 06.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.846/2024 with O.A.No.730/2024

S.V. HitareApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Warunjikar holding for Mr. U.P. Warunjikar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 (through video conference).

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar is directed to remain present on the next date.

3. Adjourned to 02.09.2024 at 10.15 a.m.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1034/2024

P.S. ManeApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned Counsel Mr. M.B. Kadam has submitted that he appears for Private Respondent No.4 in present matter.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted she will be filing affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.
4. Learned Counsel Mr. Kadam has submitted that he adopts the reply filed by the Respondents.
5. Learned Counsel Mr. Kadam is directed to file vakalatnama.
6. Adjourned to 05.09.2024. To be tagged along with O.A.No.1056/2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1065 of 2024

G.R. RathodApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has produced the report dated 29.08.2024 received from Deputy Director, Mr. Jayant Nikam, Land Records, Kokan to the office of C.P.O. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I. He has submitted that as per the directions of this Tribunal by order dated 29.08.2024, the Committee was immediately constituted and the counselling of the Applicant was done yesterday i.e. 29.08.2024 as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018. Learned P.O. has submitted that he would like to take detail instructions and also file affidavit-in-reply to that effect.
3. Copy of the said report dated 29.08.2024 is to be served upon the concern. The efforts taken by the Deputy Director are very much appreciated and so also the efficiency of learned P.O. Mr. Chougule is also committable.
4. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that the ground regarding Notification of the competent authority is pressed by him and which is mentioned in paragraph 3(a) of the Tribunal's order dated 29.08.2024 is to be considered. Learned Counsel presses for interim relief.
5. In view of the report dated 29.08.2024, no interim relief can be granted at this stage. As far as ground / objection raised by learned Counsel in paragraph 3(a) is concerned, I do not find any case to grant interim relief. Applicant is directed to join the transferred post under protect.
6. Adjourned to 20.09.2024. Matter will be heard finally on the next date.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1056 of 2024

M.B. SakhakarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the facts in O.A.No.1056/2024 are similar to that of facts in O.A.No.1034/2024. Learned P.O. has submitted today she is filing affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No. 1034/2024 and seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in O.A.No.1056/2024.

3. Learned Counsel Ms. Pradhan has submitted that the Applicant has filed representation on 17.08.2024 to the Respondent-Department stating her difficulties.

4. Adjourned to 05.09.2024. To be tagged along with O.A.No.1034/2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1020/2024

R.R. SuryawanshiApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that during the course of the day he will file rejoinder. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 02.09.2024 at 10.15 a.m.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1090/2024</p> <p>D.S. KhutwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. 2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that he has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.2. 3. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has requested that he be heard on the interim relief order which was passed on 28.08.2024. 4. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that as on today there are 48 posts of Deputy Collector vacant in the State of Maharashtra. He produces letter dated 05.01.2018 issued by Mr. Mukesh Khullar, Additional Chief Secretary, (Services). Learned Counsel Mr. Dere relies of Clause (3) of the said letter. Along with letter he also relies on chart showing that there are 48 vacant posts in the cadre of Deputy Collector as on 22.08.2024. He has submitted that he will file the said documents by way of affidavit. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has further submitted that the State has issued transfer order on 29.08.2024 wherein the post of SDO Parbhani has fallen vacant and that should have filled up by the equivalent cadre of Deputy Collector. However, till today no transfer order is issued to the Applicant and hence there is no compliance of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity). Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has further submitted that no direct appointee is to be given any post of SDO as he is required to be first posted as Supernumerary Assistant Collector.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has produced the copy of the minutes of C.S.B. meeting held on 22.08.2024. He pointed out paragraphs 3 and 4 of the said minutes. He prays that the interim relief granted by order dated 28.08.2024 is to be vacated. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that the Private Respondent No.2 has suffered for non-joinder of party as the person who is posted is not made Party Respondent.

6. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on the point that there are special reasons and exceptional circumstances. Respondent-Department is also directed to file short affidavit-in-reply.

7. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that he will serve affidavit-in-reply to all the concern by 02.09.2024.

8. Adjourned to 04.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1090/2024</p> <p>D.S. KhutwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1.2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that he has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.2.3. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has requested that he be heard on the interim relief order which was passed on 28.08.2024.4. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that as on today there are 48 posts of Deputy Collector vacant in the State of Maharashtra. He produces letter dated 05.01.2018 issued by Mr. Mukesh Khullar, Additional Chief Secretary, (Services). Learned Counsel Mr. Dere relies of Clause (3i) of the said letter. Along with letter he also relies on chart showing that there are 48 vacant posts in the cadre of Deputy Collector as on 22.08.2024. He has submitted that he will file the said documents by way of affidavit. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has further submitted that the State has issued transfer order on 29.08.2024 wherein the post of SDO Parbhani has fallen vacant and that should have filled up by the equivalent cadre of Deputy Collector. However, till today no transfer order is issued to the Applicant and hence there is no compliance of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity). Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has further submitted that no direct appointee is to be given any post of SDO as he is required to be first posted as Supernumerary Assistant Collector.

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has produced the copy of the minutes of C.S.B. meeting held on 22.08.2024. He pointed out paragraphs 3 and 4 of the said minutes. He prays that the interim relief granted by order dated 28.08.2024 is to be vacated. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that the Private Respondent No.2 has suffered for non-joinder of party as the person who is posted is not made Party Respondent.

6. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on the point that there are special reasons and exceptional circumstances. Respondent-Department is also directed to file short affidavit-in-reply.

7. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that he will serve affidavit-in-reply to all the concern by 02.09.2024.

8. Adjourned to 04.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.03 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. LandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. based on information received from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' mentions that proposal regarding request of Applicant to be posted in jurisdiction of 'I.G.P. Kolhapur Range' will be placed for consideration in meeting of 'P.E.B.-II' scheduled to be held today i.e. 30.08.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.08.2024

O.A. No.637 of 2024

A.D. MohareApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. states that parawise comments are received and he will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' within 'Two Weeks' of behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.
3. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.08.2024

O.A. No.636 of 2024

K.V. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.
3. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1100/2024</p> <p>S.A. KhandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that he has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order dated 26.08.2024 issued by the Respondent No.2 and further pleased to direct the Respondents to permit the applicant to complete his normal tenure SDO, Igatpuri-Trimbakeshwar, District Nashik,</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that by order dated 15.02.2024 the applicant was posted as Sub Divisional Officer, Igatpuri Trimbakeshwar, District Nashik and applicant took charge of the said post on 17.02.2024. Thus, Applicant completed only six months on the said post. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant was working in Vidharbha District since nine years. He was given the posting at Sub Divisional Officer, Igatpuri Trimbakeshwar, District Nashik by choice. Learned Counsel prays for interim relief as the order of somebody at his place and making him without post within six months is passed which is in violation Sections 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity).</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar opposes interim relief on the ground that the copy of O.A. is served right now and State has no opportunity to oppose interim relief.</p> <p>6. However, considering the facts of the case which are placed by the learned Counsel Mr. Dere, prima facie, it is found that the applicant is transferred within six months and if it is true, I am of the view that it is good ground to protect the applicant and therefore he should not be relieved from his post till next date.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2024.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
13. Adjourned to 04.09.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1067 of 2024

M. N Pande

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has prayed for interim relief on the ground that impugned order dated 25.06.2024 passed by Respondent No.4 is illegal.
3. Learned CPO seeks one week time for obtaining instructions. It seems that notices were sent to Respondents on 27.08.2024.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted that amount is already deducted from the pay of June and July and further deduction by the end of August. At this stage, I am not inclined to grant interim relief. It could be appropriate to pass order after hearing both sides.
5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.09.2024.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
11. S.O. to 06.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2024

O.A.No.1092 of 2024

S. G. Malandkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.09.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 27.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.637 of 2023 in O.A.No.919 of 2023</p> <p>K. J. DevkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O. to 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.10 of 2024</p> <p>Sevanivrutti Engineers Aashwashit Samajik SansthaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh, learned Advocate along with Ms Vaidehi Pradeep and Ameya Tawde, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.929 of 2024</p> <p>M. D. PardeshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale holding for Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.10.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.344 of 2024</p> <p>D. S. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that copy of Original Application is not served to the Respondents by Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant is directed to verify and comply the service of OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.202 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. RavatkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.903 of 2023</p> <p>S. B. Kumawat & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Tushar Wankhede holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. Upasani holding for Shri N. K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 3 through video conference.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1097 of 2024</p> <p>Ş.V. WagheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to modify the impugned transfer order of the Applicant dated 14.08.2024 issued by the Respondent, which is in violation of the provisions of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 and transfer the Applicant on the post of Talathi at Dohle, Tal. Bhiwandi which is vacant till today, with all other consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that on 22.05.2014 the Applicant was transferred on the post of Talathi from Talathi Saza Kosale, Tal Kalyan to Talathi Saza Kalambhad, Tal. Murbad which is a difficult area. Thereafter on 10.08.2020 the Applicant was transferred on the post of Talathi from Talathi Saza Kalambhad, Tal. Murbad to Talathi Saza, Dhasai, Tal. Murbad, Dist. Thane, both being difficult areas. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant was working in difficult areas from the years 2014 till 2020. Learned Counsel has submitted that as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018, 10 options/preferences, out of which two difficult areas were to be given. However, on 05.08.2024 the said options were called from the Applicant. Thereafter the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 was issued wherein the Applicant was shown transferred from the post of Talathi from Talathi Saza Kalambhad, Tal. Murbad difficult area to the post of Talathi, Talathi, Saza Maal, Tahasildar Officer Murbad which is again difficult area.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has pointed out options which the Applicant has given as per G.R. dated 09.04.2018. He has submitted that out of these options, option at Serial No.4, Dohle, Tal. Bhiwandi is vacant till date. Learned Counsel has requested that Applicant be transferred to on the said posts as he has put in 10 years of service in difficult areas and now again he is transferred to Talathi, Saza Maal, Tahasildar Officer Murbad which is again difficult area.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned P.O. is directed to produce the minutes of the C.S.B. meeting before the Tribunal as the Tribunal would like to know the reasons given for not transferring the applicant on his preferential place at Sr. No.4, Dohle, Tal. Bhiwandi. Meanwhile the Respondent to consider to transfer the Applicant on the post at Sr. No.4, Dohle, Tal. Bhiwandi. Further Respondent is directed to keep one post vacant at Dohle, Tal. Bhiwandi as prayed by the Applicant in prayer clause 11(a) of interim relief, till next date.

6. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 03.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk