

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>30.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 891/2021</u></p> <p>K.S.A H Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Kanchi i/b Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the seniority list is finalized by the Respondents on 15.6.2023 and the applicant has no objection about the said seniority list.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the matter can be disposed of with directions to the Respondents to consider the case of the applicant for promotion on the basis of seniority.</p> <p>4. We make it clear to the learned counsel for the applicant in presence of the applicant that promotions are always given on the basis of seniority, but by following the rules and considering the C.Rs.</p> <p>5. The Original Application is disposed of with directions to the Respondents that promotions be given on the basis of seniority by following the rules and in accordance with the law within six months from the date of this order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.791 OF 2023
(Aurangabad Bench)
(Through Video-Conference)**

Dr. Meenakshi A. Bhattacharya,).. **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & 2 Ors.) ..**Respondents**

Shri Ajay S Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : SMT. JUSTICE MRIDULA R. BHATKAR,
HON'BLE CHAIRPERSON**

DATE : 30.08.2023.

ORDER

1) Heard Shri Ajay S Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2) The Applicant is Professor & H.O.D., Department of Medicine, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar and has been displaced by Transfer order dated 10.08.2023 and sent to Nanded. Hence, it is prayed that the Order dated 10.08.2023 be quashed and set aside and also interim relief be granted.


3) Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that she was due for Transfer but it is mid-term transfer.

4) Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Dr. Siddeshwer Briajdar who was posted in place of the Applicant has obtained stay from the Tribunal and therefore he has not joined, hence at present nobody is working at Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar though the Applicant is relieved

on the date of Transfer Order itself. The Applicant is allowed to continue to work at Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar since the said post is vacant.

5) Learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on Para 7 of the Order in O.A. No.884/23, 885/23 & 888/23 passed by this Tribunal on 21.07.2023 which is reproduced as below:-

“Section 4 specifically mentions that Government servants who are due for transfer, the authority is required to prepare list of the Government servants who are due for transfer much earlier i.e. in the month of January itself to enable to issue transfer orders in the month of April-May in the year. When the Act mentions the time and the period specifically then the Government is duty bound to follow the procedure as it is. There is always a though, lot of deliberation by the law makers, when such time frame is mentioned in the ‘Act’ itself. If at all Govt. wants to go beyond the period then it will amount of mid-term transfer. It is to be noted that last year on account of COVID-19 Pandemic being global calamity it was not possible to follow the schedule of the transfer contemplated under Section 4 of the Transfer Act. But it is not the case in this year and therefore it is expected that every department should do their paper work of the transfer in the month before April and so that the CSB can be conducted within time to enable to issue the order of transfers in the month of April-May in each year. It is made clear that mere conducting CSB is not fulfilling the procedure when further the approval of the Hon’ble Minister or Hon’ble Chief Minister is required. It is the responsibility of the concerned department to complete the procedure within time frame and the department should place the file for orders well within time before the Hon’ble Minister for issuing orders in the month of April-May. If the files are placed in late May and the signatures are not obtained within time limit then orders issued after stipulated time frame are to be considered as mid-term transfers. Thus considering the legal position prima facie I am of the view that the ground that signature could not be obtained on account of administrative ground and therefore the transfer orders could not be issued within time, is a lame excuse. This is a matter of putting up file efficiently within time before the Hon’ble Ministers.”



6) Learned C.P.O. while opposing the interim relief submits that the Applicant was posted at Aurangabad from 2016 till today and therefore she is due for transfer.

7) In view of the submission of the learned C.P.O. and learned Advocate for the Applicant & considering the Provisions of ROT Act the impugned order of Transfer is stayed till the pendency of this O.A.

8) The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9) Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.09.2023.

10) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12) By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13) In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.772 OF 2023
(Aurangabad Bench)
(Through Video-Conference)**

Vijaya S. Nilewad,).. **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr.) ..**Respondents**

Ms. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : SMT. JUSTICE MRIDULA R. BHATKAR,
HON'BLE CHAIRPERSON**

DATE : 30.08.2023.

ORDER

1) Heard Ms. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2) Learned Advocate for the Applicant who is working as Sales Tax Officer at Aurangabad prays that the representation of the Applicant dated 08.08.2023 is to be considered favorably and Respondent No.1 be directed to keep one post vacant of State Tax Officer, G.S.T. Bhavan, Nanded.

3) Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is posted at present post at Aurangabad on 19.05.2022. The Applicant is working at present post for last one year and she was not due for Transfer but however the Applicant has requested that she be transferred to Nanded. Learned Advocate for the Applicant justified his request on following grounds:-

- A) The Applicant is having baby of 08 months old.
- B) Father-in-Law of the Applicant is having serious health issues such as Heart and Kidney.
- C) Learned Advocate for the Applicant relied on the G.R. dated 11.08.2014 of unification of Husband and Wife.
- 4) Learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on G.R. dated 11.08.2014 and submits that her case is covered under Clause No.1 in respect of dependent having serious Health issues wherein Sr.3 & 6 the serious Health issues are given as Heart and Kidney. Her case is covered under Clause 3 of unification of Husband and Wife. The Applicant is having baby lesser than three years old. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though the Applicant was earlier posted at Nanded from 2018 to 2022, she is transferred to Aurangabad and she is working in Aurangabad for three years. However, his request is not considered though three posts are still vacant at Nanded.
- 5) Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant is posted on promotion to Aurangabad and she has not completed regular tenure of three years.
- 6) Considered the submission and the ground raised by the learned Advocate for the Applicant which are based on the policy of the State in the relevant G.Rs. mentioned above, thus *prima-facie* it appears that the Respondents deviated from their own policy. Hence, business of inconvenience lies in favor of the Applicant, in view of that interim protection is granted and the impugned order is stayed till filing of the Reply. Learned P.O. to take necessary instruction whether the Applicant can be accommodated in view of the G.R. at Nanded as three posts are vacant.
- 7) The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 8) Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.09.2023.

9) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11) By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12) In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13) Applicant to serve the Department and matter is fixed on 06.09.2023.

14) S.O. to 06.09.2023.

12 U
Sd/-

/
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place: Mumbai
Date: 30.08.2023
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.775 OF 2023
(Aurangabad Bench)
(Through Video-Conference)**

Dr. Dhondba P. Bhurke,).. **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & 6 Ors.) ..**Respondents**

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : SMT. JUSTICE MRIDULA R. BHATKAR,
HON'BLE CHAIRPERSON**

DATE : 30.08.2023.

ORDER

1) Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2) The Applicant is working as Professor in the Department of Medicine in Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College, Nanded and he is transferred to Swami Ramanand Tirth Rural (S.R.T.R.) Government Medical College, Ambajogai, Dist. Beed by Transfer dated 10.08.2023. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays that the said order be quashed and set aside. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though the Applicant was posted on promotion at Nanded College on 02.08.2023 but on 28.09.2021 he was sent to Government Medical College, Sindhudurg till 17.06.2022 and then again on 27.02.2023 he was sent on deputation to Government Medical College, Parbhani and then once again on 07.07.2023 he was relieved and posted at Government Medical College, Nanded on 08.07.2023 and now again he is transferred on 10.08.2023 to Swami Ramanand Tirth Rural (S.R.T.R.) Government Medical College, Ambajogai, Dist. Beed.

3) Learned Advocate for the Applicant further submits that the Applicant has not completed his tenure and it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also submits that there is no compliance of Section 4 (4) and 4 (5) thereby giving special reasons and exceptional case for mid-term Transfer.

4) Learned Advocate for the Applicant further prays for interim relief and submits that Dr. M.A. Bhattacharya who is transferred from Department of Medicine, Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar to Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College, Nanded has also challenged the Transfer Order and the said order is stayed today itself and now at present she is working at Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar and therefore the Applicant also prayed same parity.

5) Learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on Para 7 of the Order in O.A. No.884/23, 885/23 & 888/23 passed by this Tribunal on 21.07.2023 which is reproduced as below:-

“Section 4 specifically mentions that Government servants who are due for transfer, the authority is required to prepare list of the Government servants who are due for transfer much earlier i.e. in the month of January itself to enable to issue transfer orders in the month of April-May in the year. When the Act mentions the time and the period specifically then the Government is duty bound to follow the procedure as it is. There is always a though, lot of deliberation by the law makers, when such time frame is mentioned in the ‘Act’ itself. If at all Govt. wants to go beyond the period then it will amount of mid-term transfer. It is to be noted that last year on account of COVID-19 Pandemic being global calamity it was not possible to follow the schedule of the transfer contemplated under Section 4 of the Transfer Act. But it is not the case in this year and therefore it is expected that every department should do their paper work of the transfer in the month before April and so that the CSB can be conducted within time to enable to issue the order of transfers in the month of April-May in each year. It is made clear that mere conducting CSB is not fulfilling the procedure when further the approval of the Hon’ble Minsiter or Hon’ble Chief Minister is required. It is the responsibility of the concerned department to complete the

procedure within time frame and the department should place the file for orders well within time before the Hon'ble Minister for issuing orders in the month of April-May. If the files are placed in late May and the signatures are not obtained within time limit then orders issued after stipulated time frame are to be considered as mid-term transfers. Thus considering the legal position prima facie I am of the view that the ground that signature could not be obtained on account of administrative ground and therefore the transfer orders could not be issued within time, is a lame excuse. This is a matter of putting up file efficiently within time before the Hon'ble Ministers."

- 6) Learned C.P.O. opposes the interim relief and seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
- 7) *Prima-facie* case is made out in view of the facts & breach of Provisions of ROT Act. Time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply, however till then order dated 10.08.2023 being mid-term Transfer Order is stayed.
- 8) The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 9) Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.09.2023.
- 10) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 11) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 12) By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13) In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

14) S.O. to 13.09.2023.

Sd/-



(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place: Mumbai

Date: 30.08.2023

Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1123/2023

Dr. S.S. Mohod ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant is working as Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology in B.J. Medical College and has been transferred by order dated 30.06.2023. She states that Respondents have not observed the provisions of GAD G.R. dated 09.04.2018, particularly provision relating to 'Counseling' prior to the issue of transfer orders. Further, she also states that Dr. Asma F. Quadeer who is working on the post of Assistant Professor Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College & Hospital, Aurangabad had filed O.A.No.538/2023 in which Interim Relief is already been granted on 04.07.2023 permitting her to continue on the same post of Assistant Professor Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College & Hospital, Aurangabad.
3. Dr. Asma Quadeer, Assistant Professor Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College & Hospital, Aurangabad was transferred on 30.06.2023 in place of Applicant who is Assistant Professor Ophthalmology in B.J. Medical College, Pune. The Applicant has completed her Normal Tenure. However, Transfer Orders which are required to be issued in the month of April and May as per the provisions of Section 4(4) of 'Transfer Act 2005' ~~which~~ have not been observed by Respondents. Therefore, the Transfer Orders of 30.06.2023 amount to 'Mid-Term Transfers'. Further, Dr. Asma Quadeer, Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College at Aurangabad continues on her post as per Interim Relief granted to her in O.A.538/2023 and consequently for all reasons mentioned above, 'Interim Relief' is granted to the Applicant allowing her to continue on the post of Assistant Professor Ophthalmology, B.J. Medical College, Pune till the disposal of O.A.
4. S.O. to 20th September, 2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A

30.08.2023

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1122/2023

Dr. R. Telang
Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant was appointed on the post of Professor of ENT on recommendation of MPSC by the State Government on 18.10.2022 and his place of posting was shown as B.J. Medical College, Pune. She further states that Applicant has been transferred within a period of one year and posted to Dr. V.S. Government Medical College, Solapur.
3. The learned P.O. on behalf of Respondents states that transfer order of the Applicant has been issued on 10.08.2023, invoking Section 4(4) and 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. However, in order dated 10.08.2023, it is not mentioned that the competent authority has passed the orders under Section 4(4) and 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'. Therefore, it is evident that the transfer of the Applicant is both mid-term as well as much before the completion of his normal tenure under the 'Transfer Act 2005'.
4. The Tribunal has already granted Interim Relief in similar cases (i.e. Nagpur Bench by its Order dated 17.07.2023 in O.A. No.784 of 2023 & 5 other O.As (ii) Aurangabad Bench by its order dated 06.07.2023 in O.A. No.550 of 2023 and 14 other O.As). Therefore, considering that the Applicant's transfer is both Mid-Term and before completion of his Normal Tenure of One Year. Interim Relief is granted to Applicant till the disposal of O.A.
5. The Applicant accordingly allowed to continue to work on the post as Professor of ENT at B.J. Medical College, Pune.
6. S.O. to 20th September, 2023. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
30.08.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.383/2023

S.T. Thombre**... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed. Admit.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
30.08.2023

(skw)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
30.08.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2023</p> <p>O. A. No.537 of 2023 to O.A. No.539 of 2023 with O. A. No.550 of 2023 to O.A. No.559 of 2023 with O. A. No.563 of 2023 with O.A. No.565 of 2023 with O. A. No.566 of 2023 with O.A. No.571 of 2023 with O. A. No.581 of 2023 with O.A. No.582 of 2023 with O. A. No.585 of 2023 with O.A. No.629 of 2023 with O. A. No.531 of 2023 with O.A. No.631 of 2023 to O. A. No.633 of 2023 with O.A. No.635 of 2023 with O.A. No.749 of 2023 (Aurangabad Bench) (Through Video-Conference)</p> <p>Dr. Snehalata T Yellambkar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.R. Wankhade, Shri J.B. Choudhary, Shri J.S. Deshmukh, Shri S.B. Patil, Shri Shamsunder B Patil, Shri K.G. Salunke, Shri M.R. Kulkarni learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, Shri N.U. Yadav, Shri M.P. Gude, Shri D.R. Patil, Shri I.S. Thorat, Shri S.K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that entire group involves one and common issue in respect of Transfer and therefore all these above matters can be adjourned to 06.09.2023.</p> <p>3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.781 of 2023 (Aurangabad Bench) (Through Video-Conference)</p> <p><i>Dr. H. V. Godbole</i>Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is due for Transfer. Learned Advocate further submits that it is mid-term Transfer as order is issued on 10.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on Para 7 of the Order in O.A. No.884/23, 885/23 & 888/23 passed by this Tribunal on 21.07.2023 which is reproduced as below:-</p> <p>“Section 4 specifically mentions that Government servants who are due for transfer, the authority is required to prepare list of the Government servants who are due for transfer much earlier i.e. in the month of January itself to enable to issue transfer orders in the month of April-May in the year. When the Act mentions the time and the period specifically then the Government is duty bound to follow the procedure as it is. There is always a though, lot of deliberation by the law makers, when such time frame is mentioned in the ‘Act’ itself. If at all Govt. wants to go beyond the period then it will amount of mid-term transfer. It is to be noted that last year on account of COVID-19 Pandemic being global calamity it was not possible to follow the schedule of the transfer contemplated under Section 4 of the Transfer Act. But it is not the case in this year and therefore it is expected that every department should do their paper work of the transfer in the month before April and so that the CSB can be conducted within time to enable to issue the order of transfers in the month of April May in each year. It is made clear that mere conducting CSB is not fulfilling the procedure when further the approval of the Hon’ble Minsiter or Hon’ble Chief Minister is required. It is the responsibility of the concerned department to complete the procedure within time frame and the department should place the file for orders well within time before the Hon’ble Minister for issuing orders in the month of April-May. If the file is placed in late May and the signatures are not obtained within time limit then orders issued after stipulated time</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

frame are to be considered as mid-term transfers. Thus considering the legal position prima facie I am of the view that the ground that signature could not be obtained on account of administrative ground and therefore the transfer orders could not be issued within time, is a lame excuse. This is a matter of putting up file efficiently within time before the Hon'ble Ministers."

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant though relieved, nobody is posted on his place and the post is lying vacant.

5. Learned C.P.O. opposes the interim relief and seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

6. Time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply, however till then order dated 10.08.2023 being mid-term Transfer Order is stayed and the Applicant is allowed to continue on his previous post hereafter.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.09.2023.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 13.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1053 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.K. RokadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks amendment for correcting title address of Respondent No.2 as 'Dean, Government Medical College, Miraj.'</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.618 of 2023 with O.A. No.676 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. GoneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. shall also seek information as to why after 'Interim Relief' was granted by the Tribunal on 23.06.2023 wherein it was directed that nobody should be transferred and posted on One Post of Circle Officer at Theur, Chinchwad & Chakan; However, the Respondents have filled up One Post from the Circle Officer Theur, Chinchwad & Chakan as per statement made by learned Advocate for the Applicant. 4. 'Interim Relief' granted on 23.06.2023 of hearing of O.A. to Continue till next date. 5. S.O. to 01.09.2023. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.868/2023

Shri N.N. Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.P. Kharkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. to find out what are the provisions under 'Section 2' in respect of 'Care Givers' under 'Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'. Further, what 'Special Guidelines', if any have been issued by GAD in respect of transfers of Government Servants who are also 'Care Givers' under Section 2 of the 'Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to obtain requisite information from GAD. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 5th September, 2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A

30.08.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.733 of 2023 with M.A. No.483 of 2023</p> <p>V.J. Mane S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.733/23 & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.483/23 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.764/2023</u></p> <p>Shri G.N. Badadarae ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. Interim Relief initially granted on 05.07.2023 and continued thereafter on 26.07.2023 to continue further and till filing of Affidavit-in-reply by learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13th September, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.322/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. M.M. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarfaraj Shaikh holding for Shri S.S. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 files reply today. State to file reply.</p> <p>3. Two weeks' time is granted to State to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023 <small>(skw)</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>R</i> <i>th</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.08.2023</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1037/2022 (Nagpur Bench)</u></p> <p>Sohail Siraj Khan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amita Chaware, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this Original Application the applicant prays that communication dated 12.5.2022 and 9.6.2022 rejecting his candidature is to be quashed and set aside. Learned counsel further prays that the applicant be sent for re-examination at J.J Hospital, Mumbai or any other Government Medical College at Nagpur as the applicant is residing at Yavatmal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O informs that the applicant was already sent twice for medical examination, first to District Civil Hospital, Amravati and second time before the Government Medical Board, Yavatmal.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances, it is difficult to send the applicant again for re-examination before the Medical Board at Nagpur.</p> <p>5. Hence, the request of the applicant is rejected. Thus nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.547/2023</u></p> <p>H.R. Chaudhari & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry to take note. Assigned to Single Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) /(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 30.08.2023 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.Nos.840 & 841/2023</u></p> <p>M.S. Jamble A.S. Gorane ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SPEAKING TO MINUTES</u></p> <p>1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This matter is listed today for correction in order dated 25.08.2023 passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In Para No.5 of the order, it is mentioned that "Interim relief to continue till next date". It be corrected as "Interim relief to continue till the disposal of O.A."</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.784/2023</u></p> <p>Shri R.T. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri H. Bali, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.2. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-reply has already been filed.3. Shri Kadam, learned Advocate states that he will file reply within two days.4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant requires two weeks' time to file Rejoinder.5. S.O. to 13th September, 2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.792/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.H. Sarode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre holding for Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks some time to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant also agrees that the O.A. be kept on 8th September, 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.807/2023</u></p> <p>Shri V.P. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-reply of Respondents has already been filed on 08.08.2023.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 files Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.825/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.M. Sarangdhar & Ors.... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. received instructions and requests for two weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.688/2023</u></p> <p>Shri V.Y. Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 files Affidavit-in-reply to amended portion of O.A. and also files Affidavit-in-Sur-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Hon'ble High Court while disposing of Writ Petition No.7679/2023 on 28.06.2023 directed that the parties concerned shall cooperate to the Tribunal for expeditious hearing of the O.A. and accordingly on 21.08.2023, orders had been passed to admit and place the O.A. for final hearing on 30th August, 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. files reply of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Shri Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 seeks time to go through the reply filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>6. One week time is granted.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 6th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.26/2022 with M.A.201/2022 with</u> <u>O.A.428/2022 with O.A.696/2022 with</u> <u>O.A.697/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.K. Pawar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Poona Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere and A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks short time to take information about vacancies position.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.53/2023 in O.A.472/2021</u></p> <p>P.B. Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondents to take note that the instructions from Account General are required in this matter. Therefore, Respondents are directed hereby to remain present in this matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Debashish Chakra party) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 30.08.2023 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.69/2023 in O.A.1021/2019 with O.A.13/2022</u></p> <p>S.K. Lolage D.B. Haral & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Smt. Saba Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Smt. Saba Shaikh, learned Advocate submits that O.A.13/2022 is also connected with the same issue. 3. It appears that the order of the Tribunal is not fully complied with. Hence issue Notice under contempt. 4. Matter be fixed on 8th September, 2023. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023 (skw)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.08.2023</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.248/2023</u></p> <p>B.A. Samudre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Affidavit-in-reply filed. Admit. 3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his case is covered by the Judgment of MAT Bench at Nagpur in O.A.318/2021 decided on 20.10.2022. The copy is given to learned CPO to verify whether the case of the Applicant is covered under this Judgment. 4. S.O. to 20th September, 2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.08.2023</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.70/2023 in O.A.823/2022 with C.A.75/2023 in O.A.116/2021</u></p> <p>A.T. Patil S.S. Bhusasre Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.</p> <p align="right">... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This Tribunal has passed order dated 27.04.2023 directing that the order in respect of placement of seniority is to be complied with on or before 15.06.2023. No application for extension of time is preferred by the Respondents. 3. This is the third date, nobody is present. Hence issue Contempt Notice. 4. S.O. to 20th September, 2023. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023 (skw)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.08.2023</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.249/2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Kale & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. states that she has not received para-wise reply. Hence, two weeks' time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13th September, 2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p>  <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p>  <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.08.2023</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A.43/2023 in O.A.32/2023 with M.A.364/2023 in O.A.619/2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Nargude & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in C.A.43/2023 in O.A.32/2023, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in M.A.364/2023 in O.A.619/2023 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.619/2023.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13th September, 2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 30.08.2023 (skw)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.08.2023</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 30.08.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.532/2023</p> <p>S.K. MandeApplicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the select list prepared for promotion to the post of Tahasildar is going to expire tomorrow i.e. 31.08.2023. He therefore submits that his representation dated 25.04.2023 be decided in accordance with law within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Reply is to be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has prayed that if, at all, the Applicant is found suitable he is to be considered for promotion.</p> <p>5. Respondents to consider the submissions made by the learned Advocate.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>
--	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.586/2023 (Aurangabad) (Through Video Conferencing)</p> <p>U.R. KavleApplicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that this is the third date.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the he has taken instructions from the Department.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Principal Secretary, Food and Drugs Department is hereby directed to file reply as to why the representation of the Applicant was not considered though the directions were given by this Tribunal by order dated 11.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Reply is to be filed on or before next date.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 06.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1093/2023</p> <p>R.N. KiniApplicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.09.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 13.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2023

O.A.No.1094/2023

S.D. DhumalApplicant
Vs
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.09.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 13.09.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2023

O.A.No.1095/2023

S.A. ChavanApplicant
Vs
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.



1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.09.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 27.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1104/2023</p> <p>K.M. ChavanApplicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.R. Page, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.09.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 20.09.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1118/2023</p> <p>N.M. BaraskarApplicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant who has applied in the recruitment process of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs), Food and Drugs, challenges eligible candidate list dated 25.08.2023 published by the Respondents and seeks direction to the Respondent No.1 that the name of the Applicant is to be added in the eligible candidate list.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has cleared examination conducted by the M.P.S.C. However her name is not included in the eligible candidate list. According to M.P.S.C. the Applicant does not possess the requisite experience of 5 years in the manufacturing or testing and Drugs. Learned Advocate states that the Applicant has experience certificate dated 07.12.2021 issued by Mylan Laboratories Ltd. Thereafter, the Applicant gave detailed experience certificates dated 07.08.2023 and 11.08.2023 issued by Medispray Laboratories Pvt. Ltd and Mylan Laboratories Ltd. respectively. These are two different companies where the Applicant has experience of Manufacturing.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has further submitted that one Mr. Govind Nagu Dhongade who is working with the Applicant in Mylan Laboratories Ltd. is called for the interview and his name appears at Sr. No.3 in the eligible candidate list. Learned Advocate has admitted that the Applicant has received the email dated 21.07.2023 on 22.07.2023. Learned Advocate has submitted that due to personal difficulties it was not possible for the Applicant to procure all the necessary experience certificates and therefore after procuring those certificates she submitted those all documents of certificate on 25.08.2023. Learned Advocate Mr. Khaire has submitted that the M.P.S.C. has given reply on 29.08.2023 to the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Applicant's email dated 25.08.2023 informing that her documents regarding experience are not as per the requisite experience mentioned in the advertisement. Learned Advocate has submitted that the M.P.S.C. has not mentioned that the reason for rejection of the applicant's candidature as delay in submitting the documents within a stipulated period i.e. till 25.07.2023.

5. Learned C.P.O. has pointed out letter dated 21.07.2023 written by the M.P.S.C. Secretary wherein M.P.S.C. directed the Applicant to submit all the details of her documents of experience on or before 25.07.2023 by 12 noon. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the explanation given by the Applicant regarding delay in submission of the documents is not given in the O.A., instead it is mentioned in the synopsis of the O.A. that the Applicant considered that if the documents of experience are not produced then it will not affect her interview process and so she submitted her documents on 25.08.2023. Further learned C.P.O. has submitted that the experience certificates which were produced long with the application form and the experience certificate which submitted on 25.08.2023 are different.

6. We are not in a position to grant any relief in view that the documents were not submitted within time and the interviews are going to be held on 31.08.2023 and 01.09.2023.

7. Under such circumstances, we call upon M.P.S.C. to inform the reasons of rejection of the applicant's candidature, whether the rejection is done on the ground of delay or it is considered on merit.

8. Adjourned to 31.08.2023 at 1.00 p.m.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member (A) Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>30.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 43/2022</u></p> <p>Mrs Meena B. Sonavane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents No 1, 2 & 3 and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Whatever order passed by the Respondent is not at all satisfactory in view of the opinion expressed by G.A.D.</p> <p>3. Dr Sanjay Mukherjee, the then Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, who was heading the Committee of the D.P.C and has in fact not considered the orders of the Tribunal dated 4.1.2018 in O.A 1123/2016 and Shri Prakash Survase, Deputy Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. It is also to be noted that the order of the Tribunal dated 4.1.2018 in O.A 1123/2016 directing the Respondents to hold fresh meeting of the D.P.C to consider the case of the applicant on merits was not complied with till 24.10.2019 and in between the applicant retired on 31.8.2019. In view of the above Dr Sanjay Mukherjee, the then Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department and Shri Prakash Survase, Deputy Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department are directed to explain the delay.</p> <p>5. S.O to 21.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Aku</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.589 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Gadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.9.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.943 of 2023</u></p> <p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Dy. Secretary, Higher & Technical Education to remain present in the Court on the next date along with the record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.603 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.V. Bhaik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.557 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.E. Talekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Livestock Development Officer in the office of District Animal Husbandry Officer, ZP, Pune. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has submitted the medical bills and the same are not reimbursed and he is also not given the HRA, Additional Charge Allowance, Tribal area allowance, 7th Pay dues and dearness allowance and in this respect the applicant has made representations dated 18.1.2020, 3.11.2020, 25.1.2020, 1.7.2020, 14.7.2020, 17.8.2020, 3.11.2020, 5.1.2020, 11.4.2022, 12.8.2022, 1.12.2022 & 13.1.2023. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the medical bill may be reimbursed and these representations may be decided within a stipulated period of time.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO has no objection.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to decide the representation within a period of four weeks from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No. 558 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.K. Kolhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as Livestock Development Officer in the office of District Animal Husbandry Officer, ZP, Pune. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has submitted the medical bills and the same are not reimbursed and he is also not given the HRA, Travelling Allowance, Additional Charge Allowance, Licensing fees, service charges, 6th Pay 4th and 5th installments and in this respect the applicant has made representations dated 23.6.2022, 19.9.2022, 13.1.2023 & 6.3.2023. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the medical bill may be reimbursed and these representations may be decided within a stipulated period of time.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO has no objection.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to decide the representation within a period of four weeks from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.521 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.9.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.415 of 2023 with O.A. No.384 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.D. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks permission for substitution of OA.</p> <p>3. Permission to substitute the OA granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1042 of 2023</u></p> <p>E.D. Mahadik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant submits that no pension has been granted to the applicant who was working as Forester, Class III. He retired on 31.5.2021. He has not been granted pensionary benefits, leave encashment and gratuity. There is no criminal case or DE pending against the applicant.</p> <p>3. We direct that a responsible officer from the Forest Department to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.31 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.D. Shendge & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.9.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1086 of 2023

A.S. Londhe

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

30.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.

- | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Dr. G.B. Taware | (OA.1037/2019) |
| 2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath | (OA.1039/2019) |
| 3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar | (OA.1040/2019) |
| 4. Dr. N.B. Masaram | (OA.1041/2019) |
| 5. Dr. V.P. Doijad | (OA.1042/2019) |
| 6. C.G. Patil | (OA.1237/2019) |
| 7. Dr. M.M. Pawar | (OA.1238/2019) |
| 8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal | (OA.1239/2019) |
| 9. Dr. P.N. Rathi | (OA.1240/2019) |
| 10. Dr. A.B. Pandav | (OA.1241/2019) |

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.

3. S.O. to 20.9.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
30.8.2023

(sgj)