

30.08.2022

O.A 752/2022

Shri N.R Garje ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Respondents to provide the copy of orders of the Tahsildars who have been promoted in the years 2017, 2018 & 2019.

3. The Addl Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file affidavit in reply giving the following information /clarification:-

- (i) To inform the date of meeting of the D.P.C which is going to be conducted in the month of September – October, 2022.
- (ii) Why the word 'निव्वळ' is used while giving ad hoc promotion in the order dated 22.5.2020.
- (iii) Why there is discrepancy in the title of the order dated 22.5.2020, wherein the word 'तात्पुरत' is used and in the body of the order the word 'तदर्थ' is used.
- (iv) Whether the promotion is given 'तात्पुरत' or 'तदर्थ' i.e. 'temporary or ad hoc' respectively.

We make it clear that we want this explanation because the word 'तात्पुरत' is not used in clause nos 9, 11 & 12 of the G.R dated 15.12.2017. The affidavit in reply to be filed on 5.9.2022 by 1.00 pm.

3. S.O to 5.9.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.Nos.1076 to 1078/2019</u> with <u>O.A.Nos.134/2019, 266/2019 & 461/2019</u></p> <p>Shri T.R. Wagh & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for final hearing with liberty to take necessary steps to bring legal heirs of deceased Government servant on record, since in one matter, original Applicant died during the pendency of O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21st September, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 25.08.2022 30/8/22 2</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.461 of 2022</p> <p>K.N. MavchiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt Geeta Gavli, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.761 of 2022</p> <p>S. G. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is taken up for admission on 04.08.2022. That day itself, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and to satisfy the Tribunal about the correctness of the order dated 18.01.2022 by today. In this behalf, the Tribunal has passed detailed order on 04.08.2022 raising doubt about legality of the impugned order.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. again sought time stating that department could not contact her.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file short reply so that O.A. could be disposed of expeditiously. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.818 of 2022</p> <p>S.V. KataskarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 29.07.2022 whereby he is suspended in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption, Act 1988.</p> <p>3. In relief clause (B) the Applicant even prayed for direction to treat suspension period bound 90 days i.e. from 29.07.2022 to 29.10.2022 to treat it as duty period. Apparently, such relief is totally premature since period of hardly 30 days is over from the date of suspension. As such, <i>prima-facie</i> the Applicant has made too ^{haste} best to file this O.A. that too without filing any representation.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to show how O.A. is maintainable.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Date: 30.08.2022

O.A. No.849 of 2022

D.S. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2018. His Gratuity and regular Pension was withheld because of pendency of D.E. Ultimately, in D.E. by order dated 26.05.2022 punishment of withholding 6% pension for one year has been imposed invoking Rule 27 of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982. Despite order dated 26.05.2022 the Applicant is not paid Gratuity and regular pension. He is already getting provisional Pension.
3. The Applicant stands retired from the establishment of Respondent No.4 – The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg and in view of punishment order imposed by Government it was his responsibility to ensure payment of Gratuity and regular Pension, at least within one month from the order dated 26.05.2022 but Respondent No.4 did not take any steps, and therefore the Applicant has filed this O.A. for Gratuity and regular Pension with interest.
4. Since, the Applicant is already getting provisional pension the question of interest on pension payment does not survives.
5. However, his claim of delayed payment on Gratuity deserves to be considered.
6. Seven days time is granted to learned P.O. to take instruction from the Respondent particularly C.E.O., Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg and to apprise the Tribunal why Gratuity and regular Pension is not paid.

7. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.08.2022

O.A. No.856 of 2022

E.V. NaikApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Cindrella Jaison, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned Advocate Ms. Cindrella Jaison adjourned for admission.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20 30.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.305 of 2022 to O.A. No.308 of 2022 with O.A. No.412 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.552 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 to O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.774 of 2022 with O.A. No.804 of 2022</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors. M.P. Patil & Ors., M.P. Rao & Ors., G.D. Gaikwad & Ors., G.D. Chaudhari D.K. Narode N.S. Lahamge N.K. Pardeshi S.S. More & Ors., S.P. Khare & Ors., B.P. Chavan & Ors., V.K. Adsul & Ors. S.B. Patole & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. By order dated 22.08.2022 passed by the Tribunal directions were also given to Respondent No.1- Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to file Affidavit in Reply with specific direction that no further time will be granted. However, no reply is filed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned P.O. submits that DGP has not yet submitted any proposal for clarification of manual relating to inter district transfer to which the Government has given approval by G.R. dated 26.10.2017.

5. The perusal of G.R. dated 26.10.2017 reveals that D.G.P. Mumbai has sent proposal on 25.09.2017 for inter district transfer of police personnel and requested the Government for approval. In turn, the Government gave its approval invoking Rule 3 of Maharashtra Police Constables (Recruitment) Rules, 2011.

6. All these Original Applications are filed for direction to the Respondents to relieve them in view of their applications made for inter district transfer. However, there appears to be no clarity about as to how count the seniority. In other words, is it to be counted from date of application or from the date of joining in Police Force. Since there is no uniformity, the Affidavit from the office of Respondent No.4 was called as to what is the practice adopted in the State of Maharashtra.

7. Today, the D.G.P. accordingly filed Affidavit in Reply giving details of the practice adopted in the State of Maharashtra. Since no reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1 – Government, it appears that he is not interested or does not want to file reply or does not want to add anything else on behalf of State of Maharashtra.

8. In view of above, all these Original Applications are required to be heard on very short point and to decide it expeditiously.

9. S.O. to 12.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.862 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. G. Shaikh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants have challenged the order dated 14.08.2022 issued by Respondent No.3- the Commandant, State Reserve Police Force, Group No.10, Solapur. By this order, the Applicants seems to have been posted in Executive Department since they have already completed more than five years as Driver in Motor Transport Section of S.R.P.F. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant however contends that till date, applicants are not relieved and requested for interim relief by way of status quo. 4. The Tribunal is required to see the Minutes of PEB which are not forthcoming before the Tribunal. 5. Learned P.O. who has already taken instructions is busy before the Division Bench. 6. In view of above, the parties are directed to maintain status quo as of today. If the Applicants are not relieved till date, they should be continued on the same post. 7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2022.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 26.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.866 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2021. The Applicant has challenged order dated 07.07.2022 whereby Department refixed pay and allowances and sought recovery for Rs.5,52,763/- (Rupees Five Lakhs Fifty Two Thousand Seven Hundred and Sixty Three Only) from his retiral benefits and also challenged order dated 19.04.2022 whereby date of senior and selection grade benefit has been changed. The Applicant retired as Class III employee, he sought interim relief to the recovery.</p> <p>3. <i>Prima-facie</i>, recovery of excess payment seems to be impermissible from retiral benefits in view of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2015) 4 SCC 334 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer)). Hence, I am inclined to grant stay to the recovery till the filing of Reply.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to continue the payment of provisional pension.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 28.09.2022.

^
Sd/-

v
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.902 of 2015</p> <p>N.G. KondhalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short reply along with deposition of witnesses. It is taken on record. 3. The matter be kept for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 08.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H. S. Khude ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short reply along with deposition of witnesses and record of enquiry. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to12.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.08.2022

O.A.No.679 of 2021

A.P. KamalkarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Jyoti Kawade, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Anand Vadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.

3. At the instance of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 13.09.2022 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 852/2022</u></p> <p>Smt Pallavi L. Barate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.9.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O 8.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 376/2022 in O.A 737/2021</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Bhamare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.P Mengane, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.9.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O 27.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.200 of 2022</p> <p>D.H. ChoudhariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Snehal N. Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 06.09.2022. For filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 865/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.V Gavhane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks directions of this Tribunal to quash and set aside the impugned show cause notice dated 24.8.2022</p> <p>3. The applicant is appointed as Police Constable Driver on 18.1.2022. Learned counsel submits that he was found medically fit according to the Certificate issued on 13.1.29022, by the Addl. Civil Surgeon, General Hospital, Ratnagiri. The learned counsel submits that while on training he suffered from piles.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that show cause notice dated 24.8.2022 was issued by the Respondents to show cause as to why his services should not be terminated as he was found unfit due to defective colour vision as per the provisions of Rule 3(4) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1956, read with Volume-I, Rule 78(vi) of the Mumbai Police Manual.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he was given 10 days' time to give reply to the said show cause notice. Accordingly, he has submitted his reply on 25.8.2022.</p> <p>6. The Dean, J.J Group of Hospital, Mumbai is suo moto added as Party Respondent 5 and the Dean J.J Group of Hospitals, Mumbai is directed to constitute a Medical Board, especially from the Department of Ophthalmology on 13.9.2022 to check the vision of the applicant. The applicant is directed to appear before the said Medical Board on 13.9.2022 and the Medical Board is directed to submit the said report to this Tribunal on or before 19.9.2022.</p> <p>7. The Respondents are directed not to take any coercive action against the applicant till the next date.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.670 of 2021 with M.A. No.392 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Ajay S. Chandanwale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today admittedly the applicant is holding the post of Joint Director, Directorate of Medical Education and Research on promotion since 3.9.2021. The applicant wants to appear for the interview of the same post to be appointed through nomination. Under such circumstances we call upon the respondents to file affidavit to meet our questions as follows:</p> <p>(A) Whether applicant is promoted on merit without giving any benefit of reservation?</p> <p>(B) Is he going to be effected due to the verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of Vijay Ghogare Vs. State of Maharashtra in SLP No.28306 of 2017 and the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.?</p> <p>(C) As of today any junior to the applicant is waiting in queue for promotion?</p> <p>(D) We call upon the respondents to make a statement whether promotion of the applicant is regular or not.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.9.2022.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Mridha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2022</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Mridha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Mridha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2022				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply is filed on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.9.2022 for interim relief.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2022 30.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1078 of 2016</u> <u>(OA No.443/2014 Nagpur)</u></p> <p>R.D. Wardhane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.Y. Sakhare, learned Senior Counsel with Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is fixed along with OA No.53/2015 and OA No.912/2015 on 6.9.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2022 30.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.930, 931 & 932 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.V. Chavan G.R. Sonawane N.D. Bari ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicants.</p> <p>2. Ms. Prabha Badadane, learned Advocate for the Applicants is not present.</p> <p>3. Matter is kept on 6.9.2022 under the same caption of 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2022 30.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.714 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.H. Bibe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file MA for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapdne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. The above matter is removed from the caption of 'For Dismissal' and it is to be kept under the caption of "CA for Orders".</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit to the extent of compliance of the order.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2022 30.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.143 of 2022</p> <p>H.P. KateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.579 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.B. Mude & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is called upon to show us the law and legal provisions under the State is bound to declare the future vacancies and any law showing correlation between cut-off date and experience.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.8.2022 30.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1235/2019 (Mumbai) with M.A.No.388/2022 O.A.No.111/2020 (Mumbai) with O.A.No.224/2020 (Mumbai) with O.A.215/2020 with M.A.No.49/2021 (Aurangabad) with O.A.No.443/2020 (Aurangabad) with O.A.No.42/2021 (Aurangabad) with O.A.No.15/2022 with O.A.No.532/2022</p> <p>M.K. Shivsaran & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1235/2019, Mr. Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.224/2020, Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.15/2022 & O.A.No.532/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 29.08.2022. In paragraph 2, the word 'Paper V' be read as 'Paper II' and in paragraph 4, the word 'severity' be read as 'sanctity'.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1235 of 2019 & Ors.</u></p> <p>1. M.K. Shivsaran & Ors. (OA.1235/19) Mumbai With MA.388/2022</p> <p>2. M.A. Deshpande (OA.111/2020) Mumbai</p> <p>3. L.M. Wankhede & Ors. (OA.224/2020) Mumbai</p> <p>4. M.P. Samag (OA.215/2020) A'bad With MA.49/2021 A'bad</p> <p>5. B.K. Pundlik (OA.443/2020) A'bad</p> <p>6. A.S. Tandale (OA.42/2021) A'bad</p> <p>7. A.R. Pawar & Ors. (OA.15/2022)</p> <p>8. V.V. Navgine (OA.532/2022)</p> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for Applicants in OA No.1235/2019, Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.224/2020, Ms. Sonali Pawar, Ld. Advocate for Applicants in OA No.15/2022 & OA No.532/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Other Advocates are not present.</p> <p>2. Without prejudice pursuant to order dated 29.8.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above matters, a Joint Purshis dated 30.9.2022 is filed by the Ld. Advocates for the Applicants and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to file point wise and para wise reply to this Purshis and furnish information accordingly. Similarly, the respondents are also directed to furnish names, designation and the present department of the persons who are recommended and promoted to the post of Assistant Accounts Officers, to enable the applicants to make them as party respondents.</p> <p>4. Shri Shantanu Raktate, Ld. Advocate for applicants in OA No.224 of 2020 informs that at page 22 Exh.B of OA No.224/2020 the Applicant No.2 Shri Babu Madhavrao Gaikwad has received information dated 22.11.2019 under RTI from Purnima Kambli, Under Secretary and RTI Officer, MPSC as follows:</p> <p align="center">“मुद्रदा क.१ व २ मधील उत्तरपत्रिकेच्या साक्षांकित प्रती संदर्भात मागितलेली माहिती आयोगाच्या कक्ष-एककडून स्वतंत्रपणे कळविण्यात येईल.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

आयोगाच्या प्रचलित पध्दतीनुसार विभागीय परीक्षेच्या Model Answer Sheet तज्ञांकडून तयार करून घेण्यात येत नाहीत. त्यामुळे उपरोक्त परीक्षेच्या भाग-१ मधील पेपर क्र.२ या विषयाची Model Answer Sheet साक्षांकित प्रत उपलब्ध नाही.

उपरोक्त माहितीमुळे आपले समाधान झाले नसल्यास आपण जन माहिती अधिका-याचे पत्र प्राप्त झाल्यापासून ३० दिवसांच्या आत परीक्षा नियंत्रक व सह सचिव, महाराष्ट्र लोकसेवा आयोग, ५१/२, ७ व ८ वा मजला, कुपरेज टेलिफोन निगम इमारत, महर्षी कर्वे मार्ग, कुपरेज, मुंबई - ४०० ०२९ यांच्याकडे अपील करू शकता. ”

5. Ld. CPO submits that this query is answered in para 12 of the affidavit dated 4.1.2022 filed by Bhalchandra P. Mali in OA No.1235 of 2019. Ld. CPO submits that the said para is consistent.

6. After considering the submissions it appears that the issue is pertaining to:

(A) Whether the model answer key was ever prepared by the Expert in respect of Part-I Paper-2?

(B) Whether the model answer key was destroyed?

There are two answers to this point:

(a) The report of the Expert (Court Exh. No.1) says that model answer key was prepared and then it was destroyed and accordingly the information was given to MPSC by letter dated 21.4.2022;

And

(b) The RTI letter of Purnima Kambli informs that there is no provision to prepare model answer key and hence not prepared from Expert.

7. We note if at all the MPSC wants to give explanation to this, it may do so on the next date.

8. S.O. to 7.9.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
30.8.2022

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
30.8.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.31 of 2020 with O.A. No.22 of 2021 with O.A. No.765 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. Zote D.M. Bhonde T.P. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that M.A. No.164/2022 filed for transfer of Original Applications from Nagpur and Aurangabad to Mumbai is still pending and requested for time.</p> <p>3. There seems to be joint enquiry against 36 delinquents and being aggrieved by it, Original Applications are filed at Nagpur, Aurangabad and Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Await for the decision of M.A.No.164/2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KakadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. In the interest of justice O.A. is adjourned to give one opportunity. 4. S.O. to 27.09.2022. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.617 of 2022</p> <p>D.B. GoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in-Sur Sur Rejoinder on the Applicant and it is also taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.980 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. Usha YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. S.O. to 02.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.520 of 2022 in O.A. No.867 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. Vibhute & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.867 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. Vibhute & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to ^{12/09/22}26.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.521 of 2022 in O.A. No.868 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. Magare & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.868 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. Magare & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. S.O. to ^{12/09/22} 26.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 30.08.2022

O.A. No.869 of 2022

S.V. KambleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to ^{26/9/22} 26.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="764 775 992 814">Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p data-bbox="841 837 1425 891">M.A. No.522 of 2022 in O.A. No.872 of 2022</p> <p data-bbox="768 914 1507 1051">S.K. Thorat & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="776 1110 1511 1257">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="781 1282 1523 1566">2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p data-bbox="1240 1635 1305 1674">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1182 1723 1386 1800">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="800 1857 846 1883">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.872 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Thorat & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 26.09.2022 ^{12/09/22} <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.474 of 2022 in O.A. No.632 of 2022</p> <p>G.M. ShaktiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant-in-Person is present. 2. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. Learned P.O. submits that proposal received from the Department for interest is already rejected by the Government. Learned P.O. requested for two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. 4. S.O. to 16.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.596 of 2021 in M.A. No.597 of 2021 in O.A. No.55 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Savlekar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri M.S. Lagu, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 is absent.</p> <p>2. These two M.As are filed to bring heir of Applicant No.6 – Manoj Sambhaji Somwanshi on record and for condonation of delay to bring them on record. The Applicant No.6 – M. S. Somwanshi died during the pendency of O.A. and therefore permission is sought to substitute his heirs.</p> <p>3. Both these M.As are allowed. Delay caused in substituting heirs is condoned. Heirs of deceased Applicant No.6 – M. S. Somwanshi be also brought on record and necessary amendment be carried out in title clause.</p> <p>4. Accordingly both these M.As are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>5. O.A. No.55/2021 is adjourned to 20.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="771 749 998 783">Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p data-bbox="831 826 1442 860">O.A. No.249 of 2021 with O.A. No.250 of 2021</p> <p data-bbox="771 904 1502 1058">M.S. Wagh R.Y. ChandramoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1143 1502 1308">1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1360 1502 1478">2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.250/2021 on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1540 1502 1658">3. Insofar as O.A. No.249/2021 is concerned, two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p data-bbox="771 1720 1107 1754">4. S.O. to 12.09.2022.</p> <p data-bbox="1193 1839 1258 1872">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1149 1934 1356 2004">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="763 2045 812 2068">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.383 of 2021 with O.A. No.385 of 2021 with O.A. No.393 of 2021 with O.A. No.434 of 2021 with O.A. No.440 of 2021 with O.A. No.441 of 2021 with O.A. No.445 of 2021 with O.A. No.446 of 2021 with O.A. No.391 of 2021 with O.A. No.386 of 2021 with O.A. No.388 of 2021 with O.A. No.389 of 2021 with O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>G.J. Mhatre G.V. Sawant R.D. Sawant & Ors. M.L. Langi N.N. Kadam & Ors. V.B. Chafekar & Ors. S.N. Rane & Ors. S.S. Salunkhe & Ors. S.S. Dixit & Ors. S.S. Manjrekar A.B. Kohtekar & Ors. A.C. Mantri D.M. VishwasraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. Nos.434/2021, 441/2021, 386/2021, 388/2021 and 390/2021 on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In the present O.A. challenge is restricted to recovery only and the Applicants are not challenging refixation of pay and allowances. Therefore O.A. needs to be disposed of expeditiously.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Reply in remaining O.As., if so desire.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.498 of 2022 with O.A. No.499 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in both these O.As will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.584 of 2022</p> <p>P.L. Baswant & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.4. S.O. to 14.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W'' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.649 of 2022</p> <p>P.L. Baswant & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.K. Sajjan, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Hakepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.710 of 2022</p> <p>V.T. Gurav,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>