(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

C.A.No.63 of 2016 in O.A.No.715 of 2015

S.R. Shitut .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents states that second set of affidavit would be filed within two days.

3. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit further states that learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is incharge of the brief and she is not available and therefore prays that the case be heard on the later date.

4. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 08.09.2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

[P.T.O.

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.711/2014

Shri S.B. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Shri Sachin Chavan, the learned Advocate mentions the matter. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the Applicants on the next date. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

S.O. to 14th September, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 30/8/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL. (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Sachin Chavan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri ~~Smt.~~ K. B. Bhise
~~C.G.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents No. 1
G. A. Bandiwadekar for
R. No. 223.
~~Adv. for~~
S.O. to 14/9/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2-1)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicants

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders **M.A.286/2016 in O.A.715/2016**

Shri A.S. Thombare & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-
(~~R.~~B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 30/8/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri~~ G. M. Savagave
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri~~ Ms. Savita Suryawanshi
~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To~~ M.A. is Allowed

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

M.A.287/2016 in O.A.716/2016

Shri A.D. Shinde & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 30/8/16.
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri~~ G.M. Savagave
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Sooryawanshi
~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. to~~ M.A. is Allowed.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicants

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 30/8/16.

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. chougule

~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Appli.

~~Affi. to~~

O.A. is Admit.

Liberty to Mention granted.

O.A.314/2016

Shri R.S. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. To come up in due course. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.08.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 30/8/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R.B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri~~ Applicant in person Present.

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~

Shri A.J. chougule

~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Appli.

~~Adj. to~~ O.A. is admitted.

Liberty to mention granted.

O.A.162/2016

Shri M.A.M.I. Sayyed ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Applicant in person present. He undertakes to file the Rejoinder during the course of the day after proper pagination. Upon this undertaking, the OA is admitted. To come up in due course. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement to be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 30/8/16
Member (J)
30.08.2016

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.715/2016

Shri A.S. Thombare & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 27.09.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 4 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 27th September, 2016

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 30.8.16
Member (J)
30.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 30/8/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ G. M. Savagave
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Sureyawanshi
~~C.P.O./~~ P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 27/9/16.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.716/2016

Shri A.D. Shinde & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 27.09.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule ... of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 27th September, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.08.2016

DATE : 30/8/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
Shri/~~Smt.~~ G. M. Savagave
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Suryawanshi
~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 27/9/16.

(skw)

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 District

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

~~O.A.1027/2014~~

Shri P.J. Ambhore ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri L.S. Mane, Office Superintendent, Medical Education & Drugs Department, Mumbai.

As the arguments of the Applicant resumed, we find that by our order of 15.2.2016, we had given detailed directions which can for the purpose of understanding be called questionnaire which was very relevant to a proper decision of this OA. By an Additional Affidavit filed by Mr. G.N. Baddare, Chief Administrative Officer dated 10th March, 2016, the answers have been given. However, as the argument proceeded, we were taken through the record and proceedings including so called information at Pages 157 & 158 of the Paper Book. The said information only mentions the names of the candidates who did not report for joining despite the letters of appointment and their number is six. On Page 158, there is a similar list of another six candidates and both the lists are for the year 2009. If we have correctly understood the submissions especially when the learned P.O. on instructions said that in 2014, a fresh advertisement was given. The whole thing if we might say so is messed up mainly because of the fact that though the questions raised by us on 15.2.2016 were clear, the information is not complete and the supporting documents are not annexed. Even in the 2nd list at Pages 157 & 158 even elementary details, etc. have not been set out. With this, therefore, absolutely no assistance has been rendered to us even as it consumed fair amount of public time. We shall therefore impose costs on the person concerned who is the maker of the said Affidavit and give further directions to fully clarify the points raised by us on 15.2.2016 with the aid and assistance of supporting documents. It is made clear that if even now, our directions are not

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 30/8/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble~~ Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble ~~Shri~~ S. B. MALIK (Member)

APPE...

~~Shri/s.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. N.G. Gohad

~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents

~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 14/9/16.

Hamdast.

Part heard

PH

complied with, then perhaps we shall be left with no alternative but to hold that the candidates upto Serial No.80 should have been and may be appointed. The maker of the above referred Affidavit shall pay cost of Rs.5000/- within two weeks from today and the compliance of this order shall be made within the said time limit.

S.O. to 14th September, 2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
30.08.2016

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
30.08.2016

(skw)

(C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.As.918 & 1094/2015

Shri S.B. Shingte & Ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R. Patil & Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 90,142, 201, 274 & 258 in O.A.918/15, Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 4 to 6 in O.A.1094/15 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 6A to 6E in O.A.1094/15.

Perused the Affidavit of Service submitted by Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA 1094/15. Shri Patil, the learned Advocate in the companion OA along with Mr. Khaire, the learned Advocate undertakes to file a similar Affidavit by next date.

We have perused our order dated 13th June, 2016 along with our order of 10th August, 2016. The service is hereby declared legal and the Respondents want to file the Affidavit-in-reply will be allowed to do so by the next date. The matter shall now be set down for hearing.

S.O. to 26th September, 2016.

Sd/- Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 30.08.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 30.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 30/8/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. M.R. Patil & C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

P.O. for the Respondents

A.V. Bandiwadekar for R.No. 90, 142, 201, 274 & 258

Adj. to OA 918/15

M.D. Lonkar for R.No. 4 to 6.

K.R. Jagdale for R.No. 6A to 6E

in OA 1094/15 & Affidavit of service filed (by Applicant)

S.O. to 26/9/16

FOB

FOB

Nakure P.H. Board.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

M.A.No.584 of 2015 in O.A.No.1036 of 2015 with
M.A.No.626 of 2015 in O.A.No.1115 of 2015 with
O.A.No.25 of 2016 with O.A.No.26 of 2016 with
O.A.No.27 of 2016

Shri 5.K. Baravkar & Ors. ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre holding for Shri V.V. Joshi prays for adjournment due to the personal difficulties of learned Advocate Shri V.V. Joshi.

3. Adjourned to 25.10.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesakumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre holding for Shri. V.V. Joshi
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 25/10/2016

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.37 of 2016 Shri M.P. Prabhukhanolkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.. ..Respondents Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri Joshi, Ld. Advocate prays for time to prepare draft amendment and tender it on the next date. 3. S.O. to 12.9.2016. Sd/— (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 30.8.2016 (sgj)

DATE: 30/8/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble [illegible] (Chairman)

Hon'ble [illegible] (Member) A

AD:

SL: — A.R. Joshi

Adv.

Shri — Archana B.K.

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To 12/9/16

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

CA.62/16 in RA.14/15 in OA.805/11

Shri L.A. Magdum & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri R.S. Apte, learned Senior Counsel, for the Respondents.

2. Shri R.S. Apte, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the contemnor prays for two days time for filing proper apology.

3. S.O. to 2.9.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
30.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE : 30/8/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri [illegible] (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE :

Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Applicant

Shri R.S. Apte spl. counsel

C.P.O. for Respondent/s

Adj. To 2/9/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 30.08.2016. O.A.No.784 of 2016 Shri S.R. Sapate ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents 1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Adjourned to 1.09.2016. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman sba

DATE : 30/8/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : None for the app.
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 1/9/16

BVK

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.400 of 2016 with M.A.No.202 of 2016

Shri D.T. Joshi ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that affidavit is ready, and original is in transit.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply.

4. Time as prayed for is granted.

5. S.O. to 1.09.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 30/8/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice [illegible] (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri [illegible] (Member)~~ A
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Punam Mahajan
~~Advocate for Applicant~~
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : S. Suryawanshi
~~C.P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To 1/9/16

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.689 of 2016

Shri A.T. Dhavade ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan prays for two weeks time to consider the affidavit-in-reply filed by the Respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 20/9/2016

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... .Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.295 of 2016

Shri A.K. Randive **...Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit in reply. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to consider the affidavit and come with rejoinder if necessary.

4. S.O. to 20.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member)~~ A

APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : C.T. Chandratre holding for Smt. V.V. Joshi
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 20/10/2016

sba

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.738 of 2016

Shri P.B. Wagh etc.7 ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has not taken Tribunal's notice.

3. Returnable date is extended for enabling to Advocate/ Applicant to take notice and serve fresh notice.

4. Returnable date shall be extended till 20.11.2016.

5. If notices are not collected on or before 20.11.2016, O.A. shall stand dismiss without further reference to the Tribunal.

6. S.O. to 20.11.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh kumar (Member) A
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : C.T. Chandratre
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 20/11/2016

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.837 of 2016

The Association of the Subordinate Service of Engineers Maharashtra State & Ors. ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan prays for time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 6.9.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh kumar (Member) A
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 6/9/2016

(B)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.679 of 2016

R.M. Bhapkar .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents has tendered Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for Respondent No.4 has tendered Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 06.10.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Smt./Shri : Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : N. K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 6/10/2016

(24)

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.08.2016.

O.A.No.798 of 2016

Ms. M.A. Mohite **...Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Ms. M.A. Mohite, Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise prays for time for filing reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. Reply be filed on or before 7.9.2016.

5. S.O. to 7.9.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 30/8/2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh~~ (Member) A
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri~~ Applicant in Person
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri K.B. Bhise
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 7/9/2016

[P.T.O.

O.A. No.761 of 2016

Shri A.V. Warghade ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Uday Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO is directed to secure instructions from respondent on the following points:

(a) As to whether there exist any legal impediment in considering and deciding the applicant's request for posting of his choice as and when occasion for that purpose arises or would arise.

3. The statement be made day after tomorrow.

4. S.O. to 1.9.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
30.8.2016

(sgj)

O.A. No.254 of 2016

Shri N.S. Deshmukh ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.2.

2. Ld. PO has tendered copy of communication received by Ld. PO. It is taken on record.

3. The letter reveals that an amount of Rs.3,78,491/- is paid to the applicant by crediting in his account and remaining payment for salary and allowances is in the process.

4. In view of this statement, purpose for which the OA was filed has been served.

5. OA has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed off.

Sd/—
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
30.8.2016

(sgj)

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.183 OF 2016

DISTRICT : THANE

B.B. Patil .... **Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....**Respondents**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 30.08.2016.

O R D E R

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents was called to address on the following points :-

(a) Applicant has shown that the cases in which raid by A.C.B. was successful and due to which officers were suspended, were reinstated by revoking suspension.

(b) Applicant's case is far simpler than those cases because in applicant's case, as well, in alleged compliant against officers, any pointed indictment of applicant was not made, and any raid was not conducted by A.C.B., and applicant is suspended barely on a vague compliant.

4. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit was put to notice that if the points urged by learned Advocate for the Applicant are found to be true and for not traversed, and if the continuation of suspension is not shown to justify and if the Tribunal is required to decide the case, consequences of exemplary personal costs on the officer may be attracted.

5. At this stage, learned C.P.O. prays for one week's time to examine the matter and discuss the same with the officer.

6. Time as prayed for is granted.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

8. S.O. to 06.09.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.409 OF 2016

Shri Kusha G. Sarang ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri M.D. Lonkar – Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 30th August, 2016

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Para 5 of the order dated 8.7.2016 passed in the above OA reads as follows:

> "5. It is hoped that the case would be seen by the Government after taking into consideration following points:
>
> (a) The case is closed on A Summary.
>
> (b) The Competent Authority did not initiate any Departmental Enquiry.
>
> (c) Unless the Applicant is dealt with in accordance with the rules and procedure governed in the disciplinary matters,

his service could not be dispensed with and impugned order needs to be viewed from the point of view of law and justice."

3. During the course of hearing it has transpired that clause (b) and (c) of above quoted para 5 are based either on incorrect submissions or incomplete reading of record. Therefore, para 5 of the order dated 8.7.2016 is struck off and/or deleted.

4. Ld. PO prays for time for filing reply.

5. It would be proper for the applicant to review his status. Shri Lonkar, Ld. Advocate states that he would reexamine the matter consulting the applicant and thereafter make suitable submissions.

6. S.O. to 1.9.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
30.8.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2016\8 August 2016\OA.409.16.J.8.2016-KGSarang-SO.1.9.2016.doc

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

O.A.No.312 of 2016 with O.A.No.313 of 2016 with O.A.No.406 of 2016

Shri R.A. Kulkarni (O.A.No.312 of 2016)

Shri P.B. Avhad (O.A.No.313 of 2016)

Shri S.K. Sawant (O.A.No.406 of 2016) **...Applicants**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.312 and 313 of 2016.

Shri A.A. Gharte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.406 of 2016 is absent.

Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 30.08.2016.

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.312 and 313 of 2016. Shri A.A. Gharte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.406 of 2016 is absent, Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learnd P.O. for the Respondents Smt. S. Suryawanshi states on instructions received from Shri M.R. Shelke, Under Secretary, Home (Transport) and Shri A.M. Jage, Motor Vehicle Prosecutor, Transport Office as follows:-

> The instructions are received based on the letter written by the Deputy Commissioner (Transport) to the Additional Chief Secretary, Home (Transport) giving details of time / duration required for completing scrutiny of eligibility of various candidates.

3. It is seen that the time is sought for more 20 days, 7 days and additional 10 davs for various steps.

4. Time sought for 1st stage of 20 days and 7 days is wholly unjustified because the exercise required to be undertaken is to be done in the Government office and in office of the Commissioner of Transport only.

5. The time sought for remaining stages is curtailable.

6. Respondents are directed to comply with steps stated in item nos.1 and 2 within two days and come with report on the next date.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communciate this order to the Respondents.

8. S.O. to 2.09.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

30.08.2016

O.A No 1057/2015

Smt B.M Aaglawe ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri D.N Karande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savit Suryavanshi, holding for Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

With the approval of this Tribunal the Applicant has amended the Original Application and added Joint Director of Agriculture, Konkan Region, Wagle Estate, Thane as Respondent no. 4. A copy of the O.A was served in the office of Respondent no. 4 on 5.2.2016. However, no reply has yet been filed on behalf of Respondent no. 4.

Learned Presenting Officer seeks two weeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondent no. 4. Granted as a last chance. It is made clear that if no reply is filed before the next date, costs will be imposed.

S.O to 14.9.2016.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 30/8/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri. R.B. MALIK (Member)~~
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ D.N. Karande
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Suryavanshi
~~C.P.O./~~ P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. To:~~ S.O. to 14/9/16.

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO.270 OF 2016

IN

CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO.42 OF 2015

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.339 OF 2012

The Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai ..Applicant

Versus

Shri Satish C. Bhosale ..Respondents

Shri K.B. Bhise – Presenting Officer with Shri Pramod Jadhav, Law Officer in the office Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai – Advocate for the Applicant-original Respondent

Shri S.C. Bhosale – Respondent-original Applicant in person

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 30th August, 2016

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer with Shri Pramod Jadhav, Law Officer in the office Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, learned Advocate for the Applicant-original Respondent and Shri S.C. Bhosale, Respondent-original Applicant in person.

2. By this MA the applicant Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and the State have moved for leave to correct the entries made in column no.8 of the seniority list published by the applicant-original respondents furtherance to the order passed in OA No.339 of 2012.

3. The plea is raised that an error has crept in, while assigning the deemed date by mentioning it in column no.8 of the seniority list and this error is a product of ministerial error in the "copy paste" work.

4. This Tribunal has perused para 12 of the order dated 26.2.2014 passed in OA No.339 of 2012. For ready reference said para is quoted below:

> "12. Accordingly, since the Affidavit-in-reply filed by the Respondent disclosed that, in view of the order dated 25.5.2012, the gradation list (provisional) of Assistant Sub-Inspectors to Police Constables is published in the Police Gazette and all the In-charge of Police Stations and Branches were informed to submit objections thereto and since it is stated therein that the process of gradation list (final) for the year 2012 is in progress, the Respondent is directed to finalise the said lists as per GR dated 21.12.2011 issued by Principal Secretary, GAD, Mantralaya, Mumbai, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within the period of four months, from the date if this order, and present Original Application stands disposed off accordingly."

5. It prima facie appears, that the correction which the applicant wants, as pleaded is aimed to overcome and correct the errors committed by the ministerial staff of the applicant, and prima facie it does not in any way invade the order passed by this Tribunal.

6. It is hoped that applicant-original respondent should have sought legal advise before making present M.A. Prima-facie the leave the Applicant has sought through present MA seems to be wholly un-required.

7. It also appears that this application is moved sheerly to use it as a face saving device.

8. If some employees are likely to be adversely affected, an individual or public notice could be given, showing proposed correction, reasons due to which it is sought to be done as well corrections proposed to be made, in terms of legal advise which Applicant should seek and follow.

9. At this stage the learned P.O. prays for one weeks time to enable the Applicant to consider various aspects involved in the matter and to take suitable steps.

10. S.O. to 3.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
30.8.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2016\8 August 2016\MA.270.16 in CA.42.15 in OA.339.12.J.8.16-SCBhosale-SO.3.10.16.doc