

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 547/2023

H.R Chaudhari & OrsApplicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.7.2023.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

7. Adjourned to 28.7.2023.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**
Member (A)

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.343/2023 in O.A.No.547/2023</p> <p>H.R. Chaudhari & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None present for the Applicants. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 618 with 676/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Gone ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 2, Mr Saurabh Rao, Divisional Commissioner, Pune, is called upon to file his personal affidavit explaining why Mr Bal Krishna Salunke, though he is from the cadre of Awal Karkun, was again and again considered for the post of Circle Officer though there are guidelines in the G.R dated 29.11.1995 and 20.10.2020. Further, Respondent no. 2, to explain as to how the persons, who are working as Awal Karkun in the parent department were posted as Circle Officer for more than two years.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 30.06.2023
---	--

O.A 531/2023

Dr S.D Suryawanshi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1. None present for Respondent no. 2.

2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 30.6.2023, by Shri Chandraakant Wade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department. Same is taken on record.

3. S.O to 6.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 930/2016</u></p> <p>Mrs Geeta J. Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is below in the merit list. She submits that the issue of G.R is to be kept open.</p> <p>3. After perusal of the record, it appears that influx of time the issue of this appointment does not survive.</p> <p>4. Hence, without touching other merits the matter is disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 96/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. N.P. Majajan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the applicant produced the list of non-availability of medical facilities at Government Medical Hospital, Baramati and so also list of persons working in Baramati Medical Hospital, Baramati.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 909/2021

A.M. ChandanshivApplicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri S.S Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant files leave note. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Matter is Adjourned to 14.07.2023

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No.376/2023

Dr. A.S. KudaleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri. U.V Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K. S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel prays for the preponement of the date of hearing.

3. Matter is preponed and placed on 13th July 2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

kds

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>30.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 289/2016</u></p> <p>S.L. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere learned advocate for the applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 10.07.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

30.06.2023

O.A 1091/2023

Shri A.G. Phulsange ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard P. Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O submit leave notice on 12th July 2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

30.06.2023

O.A 137/2019

Dr. N.P. Mahajan ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard P. Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Leave notice adjourned for 2 weeks.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/- _____
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 354/2023 & Ors

A.B Bahirwal & OrsApplicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for applicants submitted that she wants to delete some papers from these Original Applications.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.8.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 25.8.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 534/2023

A.K BidweApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.7.2023.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

7. Adjourned to 6.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 735/2022

K.V. BadveApplicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Adv. P. Mahajan learned Advocate for the Applicant learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that presently the applicant is working as Naib Tahsildar seeking promotion to the post of Tahsildar. He is due for retirement on 31st October 2023. He has been Naib Tahsildar 15.06.2009 by order dated 24th May 2023.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the Government has issued order of promotion from Naib Tahsildar to Tahsildar on 20th April 2023, in which his juniors were promoted. Hence decision be taken to promote him at the earliest.

4. Adjourned to 10.07.2023

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 734/2023

D.M. Bhoir & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri. Kailas Jadhav files leave note learned Advocate for the Applicant learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2023.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

7. Adjourned to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No.946/2016

V.B. SalunkheApplicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Adv. P. Mahajan learned Advocate for the Applicant and , S.P. Manchekar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 10th of July.

Sd/- _____

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**
Member (A))

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

pik

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>30.06.2023</u>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 466/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Rawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.7.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 1009/2022

H.G. UdawantApplicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Adv. P Mahajan learned Advocate for the Applicant learned A.J. Chougule Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application.

3. Allowed to Withdraw.

Sd/-

(Meena Gaagi)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No. 376/2023

Dr. A.S. KudaleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri. U.V Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and, K. S Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel prays for the preponment of the date of hearing.
3. Matter is preponed and placed on 13 July 2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>30.06.2023</u> <u>O.A 516/2021</u>
	<p>Smt L.S Donde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the order dated 11.8.2022 and 15.11.2022 issued by Respondents no 1 & 2 and thereby set aside the direct to recover an amount of Rs. 10,08,268/-.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>30.06.2023</u> Tribunal's orders O.A 535/2023</p>
	<p>Smt Surekha S. Lahakar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Original Application is not served.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Original Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.7.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O to 21.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

30.06.2023

O.A 733/2023

Shri V.J Mane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant challenges the order dated 17.6.2023. The applicant was working as Treasury Awal Karkun at South Solapur challenges the order dated 17.6.2023 transferring him to North Solapur.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has challenged the impugned order on limited ground based on G.R dated 9.4.2018, wherein applicant has given 10 preferences and none of the preference is considered by the Civil Services Board.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant points out to Option No. 4, given by the applicant at Tirhe, Solapur, which is still vacant, where he can be accommodated.

5. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions.

6. S.O to 3.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>30.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 738/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.N Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Police Constable is retiring on 31.7.2023 and he prays that his Service Book is to be completed to enable him to get his retirement benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is requesting since 2012 to the Respondents to fill up his Service Book. Learned counsel for the applicant draws attention to letter dated 28.4.2017 written by Mr Mangesh Karade, Administrative Officer, in the office of Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai addressed to the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Armed Force, Tardeo, Mumbai, by which he has pointed out that though earlier letter was sent to the office at Tardeo, about updating the Service Book of the applicant it is not done and reminder was sent again.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that applicant was dismissed from service on 26.11.1991 and he was reinstated by order dated 12.10.1993. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 21.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

30.06.2023

O.A 497/2023

Shri M.L Bagal ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application.

3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.670 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.H. Metkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders copy of judgment and order dated 22.1.2016 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.393 of 2016 Mrs. Patil Vijaya Milind Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. and submits that his case is covered by this judgment.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to consider this judgment while preparing the affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.6.2023 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.713 of 2023</u></p> <p>Khandu D. Khandare & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that this matter was supposed to be listed today; however, it is not listed on board. Hence, the matter is taken board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that inadvertently the Ld. Advocate for the applicants is shown absent on 27.6.2023 and the matter is wrongly adjourned to 20.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Perused the record. The OA & MA to sue jointly are filed on 21.6.2023. It was mentioned on 23.6.2023 and circulation was granted for 27.6.2023. Since the Ld. Advocate for the applicants was absent on 27.6.2023, it was adjourned to 20.7.2023.</p> <p>5. The applicants who are working as Archivist in the office of Directorate of Archives, Elphinstone College Premises, Mumbai have filed this OA for directions to the respondents to consider the applicants for promotion to the post of Assistant Director, Archives. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants have submitted their forms and today is the last date for submitting the documents.</p> <p>6. Today Ld. CPO is not available and due to the matter already adjourned to 20.7.2023 the officers from the Department are not present today. We, therefore, keep this matter on 4.7.2023 and we will consider whether the documents of the applicants are to be accepted by the department.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 4.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.6.2023 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.134 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.M. Padule & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA No.134 of 2016 is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.6.2023 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.405 of 2023 in O.A. No.713 of 2023

Khandu D. Khandare & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-
✓ ✓
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
30.6.2023

Sd/-
✓ ✓
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
30.6.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.06.2023

O.A. No.781 of 2016

M.R. Ghate,Applicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This is Part Heard matter in which certain queries were raised by the Tribunal and therefore matter was adjourned.
3. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar states that his senior who argued the matter is unable to remain present and requested for short time.
4. S.O. to 04.07.2023 after Division Bench is over or at 04.30 p.m. whichever is earlier.

Sd/-

^{NW}
 (A.P. Kurhekar)
 Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 30.06.2023

O.A. No.134 of 2019 with O.A. No.1076 of 2019 to
O.A. No.1078 of 2019 with O.A. No.266 of 2019 with
O.A. No.461 of 2019

V.L. Kardile,
T.R. Wagh & Ors.,
S.S. Nikam & Ors.,
P.K. Jadhav & Ors.,
B.F. Gaikwad
W.Z. Pahilwan & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. sought adjournment on the ground that matters are being handled by learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule but due to his illness he is unable to remain present.

3. She requested to keep the matter on 07.07.2023.

4. S.O. to 07.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.423 of 2021</u></p> <p>Vikas S. Mehta ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.322 of 2023 in O.A. No.628 of 2022</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. Vivek R. Kumbhar ..Respondent</p> <p> Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents. Respondent-original Applicant and his Advocate both are not present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.7.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.173 of 2023 in O.A. No.307 of 2023</u></p> <p>Geeta D. Johar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that this MA is filed for condoning the delay of 286 days in filing the OA. MA and OA are filed on 20.3.2023. The cause of action arose on 22.1.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicants relies on the order dated 10.1.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.21/2022 in MA No.665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Peution (C) No.3 of 2020 and submits that excluding the period of limitation granted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court there is delay of 286 days for circumstances beyond the control of the applicant, who is seeking appointment on compassionate grounds.</p> <p>3. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.307 of 2023</u></p> <p>Geeta D. Johar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.7.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.154 of 2023 in O.A. No.1329 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. G.A. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his Advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that copy of MA is not served in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to serve the same.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>RA No.4/2021 in OA No.323 of 2019 with RA No.5/2021 in OA No.321 of 2019 & RA No.6/2021 in OA No.322 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.T. Rajan, S.A. Koyande M.Y. Raorane ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants has filed Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that this is a Review Application in which review is sought of the order dated 25.5.2021 passed by Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J) of this Tribunal in the above OAs. Ld. PO therefore submits that the review application may be placed before the same Bench.</p> <p>3. Hence, Registry is directed to place the above Review Applications before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.519 of 2021</p> <p>Y. B. Chavan ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought two weeks' time to file Rejoinder in view of certain information sought under RTI ACT.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter be treated as De-Part Heard and place it before regular Single Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.06.2023

O.A.No.479 of 2020

K. P. Salunkhe

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is being handled by P.O. Shir A. J. Chougule but today he is unable to remain present due to illness.

3. This matter is part-heard and adjourned for 2-3 days because of absence of learned P.O. Shri Chougule.

4. It being part-heard matter needs to be disposed of at the earliest.

5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the department as well as P.O. Shri Chougule and call the concerned person from department, if necessary.

6. S.O. to 03.07.2023 at 4.30 P.M. or after D.B. work is over whichever is earlier.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm