

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 246/2024 in O.A 1214/2022</u></b></p> <p>V.R Sawant &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.R Sawant, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this Misc Application applicant seeks amendment in the Original Application pursuant to the rejection of the representations of the applicants by order dated 13.4.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks and copy to be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p>6. O.A No 1214/2022 to be place on Board on 25.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.260 of 2024 with O.A. No.264 of 2024 with O.A. No.265 of 2024 with O.A. No.266 of 2024 with O.A. No.269 of 2024 with O.A. No.270 of 2024 with O.A. No.283 of 2024 with O.A. No.285 of 2024 with O.A. No.288 of 2024 to O.A. No.292 of 2024 with O.A. No.310 of 2024 with O.A. No.311 of 2024 with O.A. No.313 of 2024 with O.A. No.314 of 2024 with O.A. No.323 of 2024 to O.A. No.325 of 2024 with O.A. No.329 of 2024 with O.A. No.330 of 2024 with O.A. No.354 of 2024 with O.A. No.385 of 2024 with O.A. No.354 of 2024 with O.A. No.385 of 2024 with O.A. No.545 of 2024 with O.A. No.555 of 2024 with O.A. No.557 of 2024</p> <p>A.K. Padekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar &amp; Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K., Shri A.D. Gugale and Shri D.R. Patil learned Presenting Officers for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' has recently initiated the process for 'General Transfers: 2024' which are likely to happen after 'General Election Lok Sabha: 2024'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant stressed that if transfers of 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.' by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024 effected as per directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 and subsequent clarifications issued by letters dated on '18.01.2024 &amp; 24.01.2024 are not upheld upon consideration of all aspects of law and judicial pronouncements then adequate posts of 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.' must be kept available for Applicants in batch O.A. No.260/2024 &amp; Others to join back at their earlier places of postings in respective 'Police Establishments'.</p>

4. The 'Police Personnel' who have challenged their transfers in present batch of O.A. No.260/2024 & Others are 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.' but several others have also been filed by 'Police Inspectors' under 'Section 19' of 'Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985'.

5. The directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 are to transfer 'Government Servants' including 'Police Personnel'; who are directly connected with 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024' on grounds of having completed '3 Years' in the district during last '4 Years' or being posted in 'Home Districts'.

6. The directions of 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' for transfer of 'Government Servants' including 'Police Personnel' thus are distinct from the criteria adopted for transfers of (a) 'Government Servants' under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' and (b) 'Police Personnel' under 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.

7. The criteria for transfer of 'Government Servants' with certain exceptions is completion of 'Normal Tenure' of '3 Years' as per provisions of 'Section 3(1)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'; while criteria for transfer of 'Police Personnel' with specific exceptions is completion of 'Normal Tenure' ranging from '2 Years' upto '8 Years' as per provisions of 'Section 22(N)(1)' of the 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Further it is pertinent to note that both these 'State Legislations' do not place any restrictions on transfer of 'Government Servant' or 'Police Personnel' in their 'Home Districts'.

8. The batch of O.A. No.260/2024 & Others relate to transfer of 'Police Personnel' who are 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.'; thus it is imperative to further elucidate nuanced differences in structures of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' which governs transfers of all 'Police Personnel' and 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' which regulates transfers of all other 'Government Servants'.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. The 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' under 'Section 22N(1)' does not provide uniform 'Normal Tenure' of '3 Years' to all 'Police Personnel'. While it extends upto '5 Years' for 'Police Constables'; it can vary from '2 Years to 3 Years' if 'Police Personnel' such as 'P.S.I.'; 'A.P.I.' and Police Inspectors' are serving at 'Police Station' or 'Crime Branch' or 'Special Branch' under 'Commissioners of Police' and 'Superintendents of Police' or if they are serving in any 'Specialized Agency'. Interestingly the law even provides for aggregation through 'Cumulative Tenures' from '4 Years' upto '8 Years' within 'District' or 'Range' and 'Commissionerate'. Hence, distinguishing feature of 'Section 22N(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' is that tenures of 'Police Personnel' can vary based on the post they occupy; even if they are of equal rank and serving within same 'Police Establishment'.</p> <p>10. The batch of O.A. No.260/2024 and Others filed by 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.' and those filed separately by 'Police Inspectors' would have to be eventually adjudicated after 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024'. The legal entitlement of tenures of 'Police Personnel' under 'Section 22(N)(1) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' which is 'State Legislation' have not been extirpated just because transfers have been effected as per directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023 although based on decisions taken by 'P.E.B.' with 'Transfer Orders' issued with due approval of 'Competent Authority' under 'Section 22N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Important dimension of such transfers of 'Police Personnel' is that many have been effected as per directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' only on grounds of 'Home District' and much prior to completion of their 'Normal Tenures' of '2 Years' to '8 Years'; notwithstanding the fact that 'Competent Authority' are still empowered to transfer 'Police Personnel' in exceptional cases in 'Public Interest' or on grounds of 'Administrative Exigencies' under 'Section 22(N)(2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'.</p>

Naturally therefore 'ad-rem' question of law arises whether enforcement of directions of 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' for 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024' are to be considered as those included in category of 'Exceptional Cases' when transfers orders of 'Police Personnel' can be issued in 'Public Interest' or on grounds of 'Administrative Exigencies'.

11. The 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' is therefore informed to refer to observations made in following judgments of 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' which have delved into issues relating to transfers of 'Government Servants' and 'Police Personnel' as per extant directions of 'State Election Commission' which are generally similar to those of 'Election Commission of India'.

12. The 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in Judgment dated 04.04.2018 in Writ Petition No. 6041 of 2017 & Others has observed the following:-

(i) *"The petitioners and others were transferred on consideration of guidelines/directives of the State Election Commission and in view of the initiation of process of election for local authorities and since the purpose for which they were shifted before completion of their normal tenure, is already over and the process of election has come to an end long back, it would be open for the State to pass appropriate orders of transfer for reposting them at an appropriate place, in observance of the procedure prescribed under the Transfer Act."*

(ii) *"Apart from this, it also deserves to be considered that the orders of transfer have been given effect more than a year back and any interference, at this stage, would amount to displacement of several employees thereby creating difficulties in the administration. The orders of transfer are expected to be issued considering the administrative exigencies. However, as has been noted above, interference at this stage, would, instead of, protecting interest of the administration, would created difficulties, as has been canvassed by the State. In this view of the matter and for the reasons recorded above, according to us, orders passed by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, directing to quash the orders of transfer under the impugned judgment and order dated 24.04.2017, deserves to be quashed and set aside and same is accordingly quashed and set aside. It is, however, made clear that it would be open for the State to pass appropriate orders transferring the employees, if deemed necessary for administrative exigencies while effecting regular process in the months of April-May, 2018"*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13. The 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in its Judgment dated 07.12.2016 in Writ Petition No. 9499 of 2016 has observed the following:-</p> <p><i>(i) "It is likely that in some cases, some inconvenience could be caused to the employees who had been transferred due to holding of elections in a particular district or local area, but keeping in view the larger public interest, if the State Election Commissioner had taken a decision, the State Government would implement the same keeping in view constitutional intent and spirit and for holding free and fair elections. It is informed that in the State of Maharashtra, election process relating to elections of Corporations, Councils, Panchayats, Zilla Parishad, Village Panchayat is already set in motion".</i></p> <p><i>(ii) "The State Government and the State Election Commissioner are free to contemplate on the issue of transfer of officers keeping in view various aspects for consideration and frame a proper policy for future with sole objective of holding free and fair election".</i></p> <p>14. The 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in Judgments cited above have not only highlighted the transient character of such transfers which are often done in observance of directions given by 'State Election Commission' or 'Election Commission of India' but more importantly they have specifically emphasized about necessity to frame separate policy for such transfers. However, no information about formulation of any separate policy has thus far been submitted on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department' or 'D.G.P Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>15. The indulgence of 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department' as 'Chairperson' and 'D.G.P Maharashtra State' as 'Vice-Chairperson' of 'P.E.B. No.1' as constituted under 'Section 22C(2)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' is therefore sought to resolve the grievances of all 'Police Personnel' who are Applicants about their transfers based only on directions in Election Commission of India letter dated</p>

21.12.2023 and subsequent clarifications issued by letters dated on 18.01.2024 & 24.01.2024 because provisions of 'Section 22D(1)' empowers 'P.E.B. No.1' to make appropriate recommendations to 'State Government' regarding 'Service Conditions' of 'Police Officers' excluding 'Salary Allowances'; while 'Section 22D (2)' specifically assigns 'P.E.B. 1' with distinct role to advise and make recommendations to 'State Government' regarding 'Postings' and 'Transfers' of 'Police Officers' even emphasizing that 'State Government' shall give due weightage to its recommendations. Hence, against backdrop of vast powers vested with 'P.E.B 1' under 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' and upon considering on one hand that implementation of directions of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 will have cascading effect in near future with its outreach going beyond 'General Elections Lok Sabha 2024' upto 'General Elections of Maharashtra Legislative Assembly: 2024' and possibly at time of 'General Elections' to 'Urban & Rural Bodies' when conducted in by 'State Election Commission'; and on the other hand the necessity to diligently carry out fair assessment of overall impact on 'Public Exchequer' as well as other 'Administrative Exigencies', if such transfers were to be modified or changed or even set aside in 'Judicial Review', it is imperative to subject this entire issue to indepth deliberations at the level of 'P.E.B.-1'. Hence, the 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' as 'Chairperson' of 'P.E.B-1' is informed to expeditiously convene 'Special Meeting' to consider all the detailed observations made above and upon due approval by 'State Government' of its considered recommendations as contemplated under 'Section 22D(2)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' propose what appropriate course of action could be taken to redress grievances of such 'Police Personnel' from rank of 'Police Inspector'; 'A.P.I.' and 'P.S.I.' who have challenged their transfers by invoking 'Section 19' of the 'Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.'

16. The 'General Transfer 2024' of 'Police Personnel' may be done after 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024'. Further as several posts of 'Police Inspectors', 'A.P.I.' and 'P.S.I.' may be vacant especially in the 'Functional Departments' which have been identified by 'Home Department' Circular dated 16.02.2009 thus till such time as 'Affidavits-in-Reply' as expected are filed on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department' and 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>conveying appropriate course of action that 'State Government' intends to take; in the interregnum 'Status Quo' be maintained in respect of 'Vacant Posts' in 'Functional Departments' only in respondent 'Police Establishments' viz (a) 'Commissioners of Police' and (b) 'Superintendents of Police'.</p> <p>17. S.O. to 12.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	NMN



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.284 of 2024 &amp; O.A. No.287 of 2024</p> <p>V.D. Kadbane &amp; Anrs., S.S. Wagh .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant No.1 in O.A. No.284/2024 &amp; for Applicant No.3 in O.A. No.287/2024 and Shri A.J. Chougule &amp; Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.284/2024 &amp; O.A. No.287/2024 states that Applicants had already been transferred to posts in 'Functional Department' by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' Order dated 13.01.2024 and therefore were not required to be transferred again by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant stated that 'M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench' by its Judgment in O.A. No.217/2024 dated 21.03.2024 has taken view that if 'Police Personnel' had recently been transferred to posts in 'Functional Department' as is the case of present Applicants in O.A. No.284/2024 &amp; O.A. No.287/2024; then there was no justification to transfer them again as per directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'. The 'M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench' granted prayers of Applicants in O.A. No.217/2024 and set aside 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024 qua the Applicants.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No.284/2024 &amp; O.A. No.287/2024 thereupon presented few Judgments of 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India' to emphasize about observance of Judgments passed by 'Co-ordinate Bench' of (a) 'Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT)' (b) 'Armed Forces Tribunal (AFT)'.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant upon query about if there was any reference date for effecting transfers of 'Police Personnel' and whether such reference date could even be different for (i) 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' (ii) 'Commissioners of Police' and</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

(iii) 'Superintendents of Police'; explained that there was no reference date as such because nothing has been mentioned in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023. The transfers of 'Police Personnel' were thus required to be completed by 31.01.2024; before submission of 'Compliance Reports' by respective 'Chief Secretary' and 'D.G.P.'.

6. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon stated that as per judgment of 'M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench' in O.A. No.217/2024 dated 21.03.2024 and considering that transfer of Applicants had been effected before 31.01.2024 by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' 'Transfer Order' dated 13.01.2024 which was as per 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'; the Applicants should have been permitted to continue on their posts in 'Functional Departments' instead of being transferred again by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Order dated 26.02.2024.

7. Learned Advocate for Applicant also drew attention to contents of 'Home Department' Circular dated 16.02.2009 which encloses list of 'Specialized Agencies' as well as names of 'Special Branches' in establishments of (a) Commissioners of Police & (b) 'Superintendents of Police' which had been classified into 'Functional Department' for granting exemption from transfers to all 'Police Personnel'.

8. Learned Advocate for Applicant stated that it was also pertinent to note that it has not been brought to knowledge of 'Chief Secretary' or 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department' & 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' that they had not implemented the directions of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 with respect to transfers of 'Police Personnel'.

9. Learned P.O. seeks time of atleast 'Four Weeks' to obtain detailed information from 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department & 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'; so as to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.284/2024 and O.A. No.287/2024.

10. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakraborty)  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.477 of 2024</p> <p> <b>A.J. Shaikh</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.         </p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri P. Nayak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he is representing Applicant having being appointed by 'Establishment Officer, High Court Legal Services Committee' on 08.01.2024 and files his 'Vakalatnama'.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant has been working as 'Sanad Clerk' in office of 'Special Deputy Superintendent of Land Records No.2, Nashik, District Nashik' since 25.08.2021.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that Applicant was earlier serving as 'Copy Clerk' in office of 'Special Deputy Superintendent of Land Records No.2' 'Rahuri, District Ahmednagar' when he was suspended on 03.06.2020 due to 'F.I.R.' registered against under 'Section 7' of 'Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988'. However, Applicant was reinstated on 25.06.2021 and then posted as 'Sanad Clerk' in office of 'Special Deputy Superintendent of Land Records No.2, Nashik, District Nashik'.</li> <li>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that after joining on post of 'Sanad Clerk' he had submitted representations to 'Hon'ble Lok Ayukta Maharashtra State' as well as to authorities under 'Settlement Commissioner &amp; Direction of Land Records, Pune' seeking to be posted back to any post in 'Ahmednagar District' on the grounds that he was 'Disabled Person' afflicted to overall extent of 43% with impairment of 30% due to 'Low Vision' and impairment of 20% due to 'Mental Illness'.</li> <li>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he as Advocate appointed by 'Establishment Officer, High Court Legal Services Committee' has received reply</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

from office of 'Respondent No.2' – 'Deputy Director of Land Records No.2, Nashik Division, Nashik' which stated that request of Applicant had been rejected on grounds that there is policy guidelines by which Applicant who had been reinstated after 'Suspension Period' on 25.06.2021 could <sup>not</sup> be posted back again in 'Ahmednagar District'.

7. Learned P.O. states that no information is available about case of Applicant, it being first date and seeks time of 'Four weeks' to obtain information from 'Respondent No.2' – 'Deputy Director of Land Records, Nashik Division, Nashik'.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.06.2024.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

14. S.O. to 18.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 30.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.242 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A.D. Shinde</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms Aruna Ghadge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division - Respondent No.2'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is informed that as it is by 'Revenue &amp; Forest Department Order dated 15.02.2024 that Applicant was transferred from post of 'Assistant Commissioner Land Estate, Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation' to post of 'Deputy Collector (General), Latur'; therefore it would be necessary to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of both (a) 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department - Respondent No.1' &amp; (b) 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department - Respondent No. 4'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. thereupon seeks time of atleast 'Four Weeks' to file these 'Affidavits-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)<sup>AO</sup></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 30.04.2024
	<p>O.A. No.276 of 2024 with M.A. No. 171/2024 with 172/2024</p> <p>V.G. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' in O.A. No. 276/2024, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Applicant in M.A. No. 171 of 2024 with M.A. No. 172 of 2024.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants in M.A. No. 171/2024 with 172/2024 states that they be impleaded as party 'Respondent No. 5' and 'Respondent No.6' in O.A. No. 276/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. 276/2024 fairly agrees to implead the Applicants in M.A. No. 171/2024 with 172/2024 as 'Respondent No.5' and 'Respondent No.6' in O.A. No. 276/2024.</p> <p>4. The M.A. No. 171/2024 with M.A. No. 172/2024 are therefore allowed to the extent of Applicants being impleaded as 'Respondent No. 5' and 'Respondent No.6' in O.A. No. 276/2024.</p> <p>5. The 'Interim Relief' granted on 29.02.2024 in O.A. No. 276/2024 to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 30.04.2024

O.A.No.448 of 2024

S. H. Pallewad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Sachin Ambulkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.

2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant was working earlier as Clerk cum Typist in Mantralaya in Cooperation Department. The Applicant is now selected to the post of Talathi and he is relieved on 26.04.2024 by Cooperation Department. Therefore, learned Counsel for Applicant submits that interim relief granted by Tribunal on 01.04.2024 that One Post of 'Assistant Section Officer' be kept vacant, be vacated.

4. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.

5. In view of statement made in 'Affidavit in Reply' in Para No.11 and Para No.11.1, it is specifically mentioned that applicant is relieved from the present post and he has been appointed as 'Talathi'.

6. The learned Counsel for Applicant confirms that Applicant is relieved and selected as 'Talathi'.

7. In view of above submissions, nothing remains in the OA. Interim relief granted by order dated 01.04.2024 stands vacated. Hence, Original Application is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.561 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. Singal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Adhe, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays that his candidature be considered for the post of Police Constable (Driver) as he is eligible and entitled in the category of VJ-A Category in the recruitment pursuant to Advertisement dated 06.11.2022 issued by the Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural).</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Respondent No.4 vide communication dated 29.12.2023 called last candidate from 'Waiting List' for appointment as Police Constable (Driver) but he refused to accept the appointment as he had already selected in the office of Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar. Thereafter, Applicant approached to Respondent No.3 forwarding representations dated 04.01.2024 &amp; 03.04.2024 requested to consider his candidature on the vacant post of Police Constable (Driver) because no one from 'Select List' is willing to accept the appointment and last candidate who refused to join has secured same marks i.e. 136 marks as Applicant.</p> <p>4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2024.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 10.06.2024.

11. Meanwhile, we direct Respondents to decide representation made by Applicant on or before 10.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.343 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Polsane</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that in this matter claim of the Applicant as belonging to 'Mannerwalu Scheduled Tribe' has been invalidated by the Scrutiny Committee. However, Applicant had challenged this decision of Scrutiny Committee in WP No.8505/2013 before Hon'ble Bombay High Court Aurangabad Bench which by order dated 07.05.2014 had directed that the Petitioner's services shall remain unaffected in as much as the petitioner would be entitled to continuity and all other benefits including the pensionary benefits henceforth which are prescribed or meant for 'Mannerwalu Scheduled Tribe'.</p> <p>3. The Tribunal has directed to 'Additional Chief Secretary (Finance)' to take decision on proposal submitted by 'Commissioner State GST Mumbai' on 13.12.2023.</p> <p>4. The learned CPO submits that it is still pending as it is matter of Policy Decision of Government. The Finance Department had approached the GAD which has returned it to Finance Department without any opinion. So, the Finance Department has now approached Law and Judiciary Department. Hence, it will take some time and thus learned CPO seeks time to file reply on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Finance).</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- <span style="float: right;">sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.598 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>C. S. Lone</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Counsel for Resopdnet No. 3 through video conference.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that although Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2023 but he had filed O.A.No.598/2023 on 23.05.2023.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that by Public Health Department GR dated 03.01.2024, the Medical Officers Group 'B' were retrospectively given status of Medical Officers Group 'A' w.e.f. 17.08.2013.</p> <p>4. The learned CPO then submits that those who were Medical Officers Group 'B' have given status of Medical Officers Group 'A' retrospectively only for purpose of pecuniary benefits of arrears of 'Salary and Allowances' or 'Pension', 'Arrears' etc. but they cannot be considered for other 'Service Benefits' such as extension of Age of Retirement to 60 Years. She submits that benefit of extension of Age of Retirement to 60 Years cannot be given to the Medical Officers Group 'B' as they were given actual status of Medical Officers Group 'A' only from 03.01.2024 while at time only of 'Notification' dated 23.02.2022, they were</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

—serving as Medical Officers Group 'B'. In Notification dated 23.02.2022, the benefit of extension of Age of Retirement to 60 Years is specifically given to Medical Officers Group 'A' who were then serving in 'Pay Scales' of 'S-20'.

5. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Medical Officer Group 'B' was given benefit of extension of Age of Retirement to 60 Years by Hon'ble High Court in *WP No.463/2024 (Bharati Pandit Chavan Vs State of Maharashtra through Principal & Ors.)* by 'Interim Order' dated 01.02.2024.

6. We, therefore, direct learned CPO to verify whether Smt. Bharati Pandit Chavan was Medical Officer Group 'A' or Medical Officer Group 'B'.

7. The learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 submits that service conditions under 'MCS Rules' were applicable as it is to Medical Officers Group 'A' and Medical Officers Group 'B' when they work in Zilla Parishad.

8. S.O. to 03.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.352 of 2024</p> <p>V. V. Teli &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that this O.A. be kept with O.A. Nos.368/2024 &amp; 370/2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.74 of 2024</p> <p>V. S. Zite &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time is granted to Respondent No.2- Director, Department of Sainik Welfare, Pune for filing Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance. If reply is not filed, the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks time to file Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.520 of 2024</p> <p>P. S. Thool ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Smt. P. S. Pradhan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.216 of 2024</p> <p>S. D. Wagh .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue involved in OA is not counting experience of 'Tutor' for the post of 'Assistant Professor (Biochemistry) at Maharashtra Medical &amp; Research Service, Group B' pursuant to Advertisement NO.153/2023.</p> <p>3. The learned CPO on instructions submits that results are declared on 08.04.2024 and Applicant has secured 58 marks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No. 563 of 2024</p> <p>N. V. Chikane .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Rajesh Tekale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Status Quo to be maintained till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.644 of 2023 in O.A.No.460 of 2023</p> <p>K. B. Ghodke &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Gaikwar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct Shri Rahul Bhandalkar, Assistant Section Officer, MPSC to remain present to assist the Tribunal on 'Typing Test Issue'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.564 of 2024</p> <p>R. R. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Rajesh Tekale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1-MPSC.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Respondent No.1-MPSC seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Status Quo to be maintained till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.160 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S. D. Wagh .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri V. Shelatkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.06.2024. 'Status Quo' to be continued.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.91 of 2024</p> <p>R. D. Akrupe ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.04.2024

O.A.No.411 of 2024

H. R. Gosavi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.06.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>Date: 30.04.2024</b>
	<b>O.A.No.567 of 2017</b>
	<b>S. R. Rajebhosale ....Applicant</b>
	<b>Versus</b>
	<b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b>
	1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned
	Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K.,
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. S. O. to 19.06.2024.
	Sd/- Sd/-
	<b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>
	<b>Member (A) Chairperson</b>
	Vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No. 469 of 2024</p> <p>R. R. Nalawade .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.450 of 2024</p> <p>S. G. Karale ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.208 of 2024</p> <p>D. K. Shinde &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. If appointments are made, they are subject to outcome of this OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.232 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. Rathod ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.380 of 2024</p> <p>V. R. Nagargoje &amp; Ors ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. S. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.369 of 2024</p> <p>A. P. Rathod ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt.Daksha Pringhera holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.209 of 2024</p> <p>K. V. Waghmare ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No. 500 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>P. S. Madane ....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the order dated 15.04.2024 passed by the Tribunal is not complied by Respondents.</p> <p>3. None present from SP, Satara though order was communicated to SP, Satara.</p> <p>4. We direct the Respondents not to proceed with enquiry as matter is fixed on 18.06.2024 and SP, Satara is directed to comply the order dated 15.04.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.787 of 2023</p> <p>A. R. Deokar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khiare, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.06.2024 as Part Heard (HOB).</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 30.04.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>O.A.No.36 of 2024</p> <p>R. S. Nagpure ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. K. Mendadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S. O. to 20.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p> <p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.216 of 2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.525 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>R. R. Khedkar</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri O. A. Wable, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicant has appeared for examination to the post of Police- Shipai from NT-D and Homeguard Category pursuant to Advertisement dated 09.08.2019.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant is at Sr. No.19 in the provisional waiting list in NT-D Category which was published on 28.02.2022. He further submits that candidate at Sr. No.17 and Sr. No.18 did not join services from waiting list therefore, according to Applicant he has claimed over in NT-D Category. He made multiple representations i.e. 16.09.2022, 23.01.2023, 10.02.2023, 18.09.2023 and 13.03.2024 but none of them are decided. Therefore, Applicant prays that he be declared as eligible and be appointed against the seat reserved for NT-D Category.</p> <p>4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.06.2024.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.288 of 2024 in O.A. No.488 of 2017</u></p> <p>Deepak More &amp; 15 Ors. ..Interveners And K.A. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.24, 26, 41, 42 &amp; 90.</p> <p>2. MA No.288/2024 is filed by the Interveners who are working in the cadre of Under Secretary and they are due for promotion to the post of Dy. Secretary. They have prayed for directions to the applicants to implead them as party respondents in the above OA. They have also prayed for vacating the interim order dated 18.4.2024 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. In OA No.488/2017 the direct recruits working on the post of Assistant who are aspiring for the post of Section Officer have approached this Tribunal and are challenging the ad hoc promotions given to Section Officer and further promotion to the post of Dy. Secretary. In the said matter this Tribunal by way of interim order dated 18.4.2024 has stayed the process of promotion to the post of Dy. Secretary as well as Under Secretary as the matter is being heard.</p> <p>4. In this MA the Interveners states that they are senior to the applicants-direct recruits and therefore it is prayed that the interim order dated 18.4.2024 be vacated or it is not to be made applicable to the interveners as they were not party to the above OA.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants contended that temporary combined seniority list dated 13.5.2014 as on 1.1.2014 is prepared in two parts i.e. Annexure A &amp; B. In Annexure-A includes the names who are regularly appointed to the post of Section Officer and Annexure-B includes the names who are promoted as stop-gap arrangement or by local promotion. The names of 9 Interveners are mentioned in Annexure-B.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

6. The issue before this Tribunal is about the legality of the promotions given to the ad hoc promotees whose name are mentioned in Annexure B. Annexure-B cannot be dissected and some of them cannot be given different treatment. Hence, the issue is to be decided finally without vacating stay qua interveners.

7. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.24, 26, 41, 42 & 90 submits that she has no objection to vacating the interim order qua the interveners.

8. In this matter the Government has regularized the fortuitous ad hoc promotion on 3.1.2015 w.e.f. 1.1.2017 onwards.

9. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has furnished his submissions, Ld. PO is partly heard and Ld. Advocate for private respondent is to yet begin.

10. Considering this stage we made query to the Government as to what is the stage of promotions to the post of Dy. Secretary which the State intends to issue. Ld. PO on instructions inform that DPC for the post of Dy. Secretary is held on 15.4.2024 and the file is pending with the concerned Section Officer of GAD and it will take approximately two months' time for issuance of orders. Our earlier order dated 18.4.2024 reads as under:

*"2. As the matter is subjudice and in order to avoid further complications, we direct the respondents not to issue orders of promotion to the post of Deputy Secretary without the permission of the Court."*

11. Considering this we clarify our earlier order dated 18.4.2024. It means that process of giving promotions can go ahead however orders of promotions are not to be issued as the matter is pending.

12. MA for intervention is allowed in terms of prayer clause 9(a). Intervenors are to be added as party respondents in the above OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to carry out the amendment and serve copy of amended OA on all the respondents.

13. Ld. Advocate for the Intervenors submits that considering the stage of the matter he does not wish to file any affidavit in reply. MA disposed off accordingly. OA adjourned to 2.5.2024.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
30.4.2024

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
30.4.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1470/2023</b></p> <p>Dr. A.A. Anmadwar &amp; Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.100 of 2024</p> <p>A. M. Chavan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.340 of 2024</p> <p>T.M. Adhaoo .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 30.04.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A. No.309 of 2024
---	--

V.D. Saple .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Gaiker holding for Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.05.2024 for 'Final Hearing' (P.H.).</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.154 of 2024</p> <p>H.S. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.297 of 2024</p> <p>J.M. Tupat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. Kokare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O. seeks more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</li><li>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li><li>4. S.O. to 14.06.2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1105/2022 with O.A.No.1106/2022</b></p> <p>A.V. Gadge P.S. Khatpe <span style="float: right;">Applicants</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 13.06.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue. HOB.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>SD/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: 8px;">prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>SD/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.52/2023 (Nagpur)</b></p> <p>R.S. Deshmukh Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.P. Palshikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to go through the matter.</p> <p>5. Registry to take note that O.A. is to be removed from the caption 'For Due Admission' and be shown under the caption 'For Final Hearing'</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 11.06.2024 at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1619/2023</b></p> <p>R.S. Takate .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1586/2023</b></p> <p>S.T. Katkar .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.B. Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. by way of last chance adjourned to 10.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1476/2023</b></p> <p>R.H. Patil &amp; Ors. Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Prachi Mulji i/b. Mr. Nitin Jagtap, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Shradha Nakadi, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Mr. A. Deshpande, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5 is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1471/2023</b></p> <p>Dr. A.R. Jhavar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 30.04.2024**

**O.A.No.1471/2023**

Dr. A.R. Jhavar .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

pk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1402/2023</b></p> <p>R.B. Kawale Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. V.V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 11.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1317/2023</b></p> <p>R.R. Kamble .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that today officer is present today. However, she seeks time.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1408 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.M. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.384 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Dhumal ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 29.4.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1572 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.B. Chandanshive ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.6.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1248 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.D. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.6.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.842 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.M.A. Royali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.285 of 2024 in O.A. No.31 of 2024</u></p> <p>Smt. Shamshad R. Tamboli, since deceased by LRs. Shri Rafique H. Tamboli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.283 of 2024 in O.A. No.350 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.H. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sagar A. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.582 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.D. Aher ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.279 of 2024 in O.A. No.584 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.D. Ingole ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.588 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.R. Shinde ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.995 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Sherkhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed an application dated 30.4.2024 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 18.4.2024 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in para 4 the date of GR dated '2.3.1990' is to be corrected as '2.3.2019'. Correction be carried out and read accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders</p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1179/2023</u></b></p>
	<p>A.P Devkate ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms A.S Ranade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that specific affidavit in reply is required in the matter and therefore seeks time.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is retiring on 31.5.2024 and hence matter is to be decided before the vacation, otherwise it will become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is a Sub Divisional Engineer and he has earlier filed O.A 306/2023, which was decided by this Tribunal by order dated 5.4.2023 and Respondent No. 1 was directed to decide the representation of the applicant in accordance with law within six week and communicate the decision to the applicant one week thereafter.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O points out to the reply given by the Respondents to the representation dated 10.5.2023. It is a well-reasoned order wherein it is stated that the issue involves of merging the seniority between direct recruits and promotees. The applicant was appointed as an Assistant Executive Civil Engineer on ad hoc basis on 27.11.1996. However, he appeared for the competitive examination conducted by MPSC and in the year 2009 he got appointed as a direct recruit. The applicant prays that he should be given the seniority on the basis of his earlier ad hoc appointment on 27.11.1996. However, that cannot be considered as he is claiming the seniority of direct recruits. This particular issue is raised before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench in W.P 207/2023 which is pending. The Respondents have informed that as the issue is subjudiced, the Government is not in a position to take decision on this issue and as and when the State Government is made available, the issue of the applicant can be verified.</p> <p>6. S.O to 20.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cosam, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 134/2024 in O.A 410/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.B Kumavat &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Nupur Pawar holding for Talekar and Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that by order dated 15.9.2023 the Tribunal has given interim protection to the applicants that they should not be removed from service until they are given notice of seven days.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 27.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1035/2021</u></b></p> <p>K.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A Nos 327 &amp; 328/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.A Marathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1037/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.G Yewale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1141/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.C Chougule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Ms Pallavi Karanjkar holding for Shri Ashok Misal, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Attn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1186/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.P Mirgunde ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Satale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1317/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>30.04.2024</b>
	<b><u>O.A 1352/2023</u></b>
	<p>A.A Bhosale &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Radhali Kadam a/w Shri Dinesh Khaire i/b Praveen Kamble, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents, Shri R.L Adhe with A. Khedekar, learned counsel for Respondents No 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21, 26, 32, 36, 55, 71, 91, 95, 119 &amp; 199, Shri S.H Kshirsagar learned counsel for Respondents No 114 &amp; 130, Ms Sakshi S. Inamdar holding for Dr Uday Warunjikar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 118. None present for Respondent No. 56.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Ms Sakshi Inamdar seeks permission to file affidavit in reply. Permission granted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that affidavit in rejoinder is filed to the reply filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>4. It is informed today that matter regarding similar issue is already heard and closed for orders on 25.4.2024 at M.A.T, Aurangabad.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel Shri Adhe submits that Respondents No. 7, 9, 26 and 32 are working at present as Special Public Prosecutor and they are in no way concerned with the issue involved of taking age relaxation. They are already given age relaxation upto 45 years and hence their names should be deleted from the present O.A.</p> <p>6. We direct the learned counsel for the applicants to consider this point.</p> <p>7. S.O to 10.6.2024.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 113/2023 in O.A 700/2022</u></b></p> <p>R.R Patil ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 04/2024 in O.A 893/2022</u></b></p> <p>N.S Khandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 40/2024 in M.A 697/2023 in O.A 200/2022</u></b></p> <p>D.H Choudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that pursuant to the objections raised and the order passed on 24.4.2024 the Respondent-State has instructed learned C.P.O to appear in this matter. She files short affidavit in reply dated 30.4.2024. Learned C.P.O submits that order of this Tribunal is complied with and total payment of Rs. 90,10,537/- under various heads is paid to the applicant. Out of the said amount, some amount was paid earlier and remaining amount is paid now.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not paid interest on the Gratuity amount as per Rule 129A of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits that the M.C.S (Pension) Rules, 1982 is amended by Notification dated 1.11.2008 w.e.f 24.4.1995.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to verify the same and make statement.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant after verifying the amendment to the M.C.S (Pension) Rules, 1982 by Notification dated 1.11.2008, submits that the C.A can be disposed of.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>R.A 11/2023 in O.A 1027/2019</u></b></p> <p>L.D Surnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Atharav Nalawade a/w Shrimant Chotave h/f Ramdas Hake Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Alm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 704/2023 in M.A 340/2023 in O.A 1072/2022</u></b></p> <p>S.G Ramugade ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 95/2024 in M.A 96/2024 in O.A 1591/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.L Bali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms B.R Kichi holding for Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance Respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Alkn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 102/2023 in O.A 347/2019</u></b></p> <p>P.A Gangarde ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that W.P 13882/2023 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 2.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Alka</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 36/2021 in O.A 1029/2014 with C.A 37/2021 in O.A 1030/2014 with C.A 38/2021 in O.A 1031/2014 with C.A 39/2021 in O.A 1032/2014 with C.A 40/2021 in O.A 1033/2014</u></b></p> <p>R.S Bidkar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav i/b Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K learned P.O with Shri M.D Lonkar, learned Spl Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submitted that Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 11.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>30.04.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 90/2023 in O.A 13/2017</u></b></p> <p>N.S Navale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms D.P Kambli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O relies on Annexure A-3, order dated 14.6.2023 issued by the Development Commissioner, Industries. Learned C.P.O submitted that pursuant to order dated 21.3.2023 which is subject matter of this Contempt Application, the decision was taken by the Respondents to conduct a de-novo enquiry. Copy of the said order was served on the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 63/2023 in O.A 794/2021</u></b></p> <p>D.F Fernandes &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. In view of above, adjourned to 25.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. **30.04.2024**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

**C.A 70/2023 in O.A 823/2022 with C.A 75/2023 in  
O.A 116/2021**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

A.T Patil  
S.S Bhusare  
Vs. Tribunal's orders  
... Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwd, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O submits that W.P Nos 15620 and 15625/2023 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.
3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 89/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.P Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Lonkar seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 05/2021 in T.A 01/2020</u></b></p> <p>K.C Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O with Shri M.D Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The order dated 5.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in M.A 216/2020 in T.A 01/2018, is quashed and set aside by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 19.3.2024 in W.P 995/2022 and the matter is remanded back to the Tribunal. The order of the Hon'ble High Court is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, C.A stands disposed off.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 72/2023</u></b> <b><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></b></p> <p>M.D Shende &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek time as he wants to argue the matter physically.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 6.5.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 589/2017</u></b></p> <p>V.Y Khandebharad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 659/2017</u></b> <b><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></b></p> <p>V. Kallur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sudhir Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that he has filed joint Vakalatnama with Shri V.P Potbhare, learned counsel for the applicant and request that the matter be heard on 21.6.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 235/2024 in O.A 459/2023</u></b></p> <p>M.U Harpalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present Misc Application is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act for transfer of O.A 459/2023 from Principal Bench, Mumbai to Aurangabad Bench, mainly on the ground that the applicant who was earlier posted in Mumbai is now transferred to Aurangabad and he is suffering from number of ortho ailments.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Misc Application stands disposed of and the Original Application No 459/2023 is transferred from M.A.T, Mumbai Bench to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>5. The Registry is directed to transfer the original record and proceedings in O.A 459/2023 from M.A.T, Mumbai Bench to M.A.T, Aurangabad bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**30.04.2024**

**M.A 281/2024 (at Mumbai) in O.A 699/2022**

**Through Video Conference**

Dr B.N Bangade ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N. Thombre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submitted that copy of M.A is not served on the Respondents.

3. Learned P.O further pointed out that yesterday on 29.4.2024, order was passed in C.A 54/2023 in O.A 257/2019 with C.A 55/2024 in O.A 256/2019 wherein it was stated due to the stay granted in the two Original Applications No 817 & 1050/2022 which are pending before Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal the order in the said C.A 54/2023 & C.A 55/2024 cannot be complied with. Hence, Division Bench of Justice Shri M.G Giratkar Hon'ble Vice-Chairman and any other Hon'ble Member (A) from M.A.T, Mumbai or Aurangabad will be constituted to hear both the matters pending at M.A.T, Nagpur through Video Conference. After the bench is constituted both the O.As 817 & 1055/2022 will be placed on Board on 20.6.2024.

4. In view of the above the present O.A 699/2022 will be placed on Board and can be heard through Video Conference on the same day, i.e., on 20.6.2024.

5. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Alm