

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.116 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhusare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.6.2022 for final hearing. High on Board.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	O.A. No.264 of 2016 & 21 Ors.			
	Case No.	Applicant/s	Advocate	
	1	OA.264/2016	C.B. Sawant	K.R. Jagdale
	2	(1) OA.315/2016	S.J. Wayachal	S.S. Dere
		(2) OA.425/2016	A.S. Bhosale	S.S. Dere
		(3) OA.426/2016	G.T. Deokar	S.S. Dere
		(4) OA.436/2016	K.V. Kalakar	B.A. Bandiwadekar
	3	OA.175/2017	S.S. Ahire	B.A. Bandiwadekar
	4	OA.658/2018	A.V. Patil	B.A. Bandiwadekar
	5	OA.292/2019	S.A. Sawant	S.S. Dere
	6	OA.453/2019	S.T. Patil	S.D. Patil
	7	OA.96/2020	C.R. Baviskar	G. Sadavarte
	8	OA.109/2020 with OA.108/2020	A.R. Jagtap & Ors. P.P. Shinde & Ors.	S.S. Dere
	9	OA.216/2020	S.W. Thakare	B.A. Bandiwadekar
	10	OA.254/2020	R.S. Kadam	B.A. Bandiwadekar
	11	OA.468/2020 with OA.469/2020	A.R. Jagtap P.P. Shinde	S.S. Dere
	12	OA.511/2020	P.S. Sawant	A.A. Desai
	13	OA.215/2021	P.S. Manjare	L.S. Deshmukh
	14	OA.352/2021	B.R. Kadam	V.P. Sangvikar
	15	OA.364/2021	R.G. Kadam & Ors.	A.A. Desai
	16	OA.643/2021	R.R. Rathod	V.P. Sangvikar
	17	OA.645/2021	R.B. Jaybhay	V.P. Sangvikar
	18	OA.850/2021	P.P. Patil	S.S. Dere
	19	OA.892/2021	S.B. Kharat	A.S. Deshpande
	20	OA.915/2021	R.V. Rathod	S.S. Dere
	21	OA.982/2021	S.S. Kokane	B.A. Bandiwadekar
	22	OA.1020/2021	J.G. Naik	A.B. Chalak
	Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.		..Respondents	
	<p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Shri S.S. Dere, Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>			
	<p>2. This is a group of matters wherein validity of sports certificate is under challenge.</p>			
	<p>3. Ld. CPO to verify whether in all these matters respondents have filed their affidavit in reply. If not filed, we direct the respondents in these matters to file their reply</p>			

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>on or before 6.4.2022. It is to be served on other side on or before 6.4.2022.</p> <p>4. All these matters will appear on 6.4.2022 and thereafter if the applicants want to file rejoinder, the matters are fixed on 13.4.2022 for the purpose of completing the pleadings. Then the matters will be heard on 18.4.2022. Counsel appearing in all these matters shall take note of this.</p> <p>5. If as per this schedule either affidavit in reply or rejoinder is not filed then the matters will be proceeded without reply or rejoinder.</p> <p>6. Registry is directed to take place these matters under the caption, 'Special Group Matters' on 6.4.2022 and 13.4.2022.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 6.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>30.03.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 176/2022 in O.A 376/2018</u></b></p> <p>Pallavi K. Supekar Smt Bharti A. Kale ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sudhir Halli, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants states that Circulation was given for the matter to be placed on 31.3.2022. However, the learned counsel for the applicants mentioned it today.</p> <p>3. Taken on Board. Learned counsel for the applicants files copy of application dated 30.3.2022 submitted by the applicants for withdrawal of the Misc Application. Copy of the Application is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Misc Application is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Section Officer of Water Resources Department is directed to remain present on the next date without fail.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.3.2022 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.393, 394 &amp; 395 of 2013</u></p> <p>K.R. Shelke D.S. Salve R.V. Bansode ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the three matters are called out. However, none is present for the applicants.</p> <p>3. It is to be noted that order dated 27.10.2021 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.7626/2015, 7631/2015 &amp; 7632/2015, filed by MPSC, remanding all the three matters is not yet received to us from the Hon'ble High Court under its seal. This order is produced to us by one Advocate Shri Anil Kamble.</p> <p>4. Today we have taken matters on board. However, none is present for the applicant.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants are directed to appear on the next date. We issue fresh notices to the applicants to remain present.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 31.3.2022.</p> <p>7. Later on Shri A.S. Kamble with Ms. Reena Sharma, Ld. Advocate for the applicants appeared.</p> <p>8. Ld. Advocate for the applicants informs that his clients, that is the original applicants did not receive any writ or notice from the Tribunal in respect of these matters. He himself has come along with the order and he has supplied copy of the order to the Registry of this Tribunal.</p> <p>9. Shri S.K. Joshi, Officer on Special Duty of this Tribunal is directed to communicate this order to the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Registrar (Judicial), Bombay High Court and Registrar (Judicial) is requested to place this fact before the Hon'ble The Chief Justice, Bombay High Court that this Tribunal did not receive the writ dated 27.10.2021 till 29.3.2022.</p> <p>10. Matter is adjourned to 1.4.2022 at 10.00 a.m.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="799 744 1010 911"> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1075 744 1357 911"> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A. No.4 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.M. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day stating that they are segregating the charges as per our order dated 20.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.605 of 2021 in O.A. No.760 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.J. Dhumal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the prayer in the OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.533 of 2021 in O.A. No.948 of 2021</u></p> <p>K.V. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The OA is filed where the respondent is Zilla Parishad. However, Ld. CPO raised objection stating that Zilla Parishad does not come within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate seeks permission to make submissions on the issue of maintainability so far as respondent is concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2022 in O.A. No.613 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.V. Mahajan <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the respondents to comply order dated 11.1.2021 expeditiously and come with the report in writing on 6.4.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.2 of 2022 in O.A. No.1047 of 2016</u></p> <p>P.P. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance to comply the order.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>S.K. Goraksha &amp; 23 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the order regarding payment of interest on refund amount has been sanctioned vide order dated 8.3.2022. However, the financial year is coming to end and amount will be released within a period of three weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2020 in O.A. No.707 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.C. Pillay ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vijaya Chandrashekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO informs that rule making process is still going on and she does not have specific instructions as to when the process will be over.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.4.2022 for final hearing. High on board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.277 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.K. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.276 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.3.2022 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.275 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.926 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Padhye ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.905 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Shriman ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.3.2022 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.842 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.804 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Kale &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks permission to correct para 6.29 and 10(a) of the interim relief with minor corrections.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.4.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.3.2022</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.602 &amp; 603 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.R. Shelke <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span>  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply is already filed in OA No.602 of 2021 and rely in OA No.603 of 2021 will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.4.2022 for filing rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><i>(Medha Gadgil)</i></p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><i>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</i></p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>30.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>OA.515, 516, 565 &amp; 566 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Burute (OA.515/2021)            Dr. S.S. Desai (OA.516/2021)            Dr. R.R. Bhosale (OA.565/2021)            Dr. P.A. Patil (OA.566/2021) ..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.4.2022 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Member (A) Chairperson            30.3.2022 30.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. Bambale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K.D. Likhar since deceased through her heir &amp; L.R. Mr. D.D. Likher .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.202 of 2022</p> <p>Dr.V.S. Lahade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A.E. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.49 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. Renushe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.169 of 2022 in O.A. No.759 of 2021</b></p> <p>R.N. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. fairly concedes that he has no objection for the proposed amendment.</li> <li>3. In view of no objection of learned P.O., M.A. is allowed.</li> <li>4. Amendment be carried out within 7 days from today and copy of amended O.A. be served upon Respondents through P.O.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. shall file Reply to the amendment made in O.A.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 06.04.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- vv <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 30.03.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.168 of 2022 in O.A. No.260 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>M.M. Mahale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant had earlier filed O.A. No.260/2021 challenging suspension in contemplation of D.E. D.E. was closed exonerating the Applicant. The Applicant was also reinstated in service but at different place. Therefore, O.A. No.260/2021 was disposed of with direction to Respondent to pass appropriate order of pay and allowances of the suspension period. Subsequently, disciplinary authority has pass order and granted pay and allowances of the suspension period.</p> <p>3. The Applicant had thus made representation dated 22.03.2022 for reinstatement on same post at the time of suspension. He was posted as Forester, Malad Forest, Tulsi Range, Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali, Mumbai. However, he was reinstated on the post as Forester, Social Forestry, Mahad, District – Raigad.</p> <p>4. Now this M.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to repost him on the same post from where he was serving at the time of the suspension.</p> <p>5. Normally, in view of exoneration in D.E. delinquent Applicant was required to be posted at the same place. However, at the time of reinstatement the Applicant was given posting at same other place. Therefore, he made representation dated 22.03.2022.</p> <p>6. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to take instructions.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 06.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.99 of 2022 in O.A. No.164 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. Kheratkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.121 of 2022 in O.A. No.95 of 2021</p> <p>G.N. Dongarkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 30.03.2022 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1048 of 2019

J. M. Lihitkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
4. S.O. to 04.04.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.76 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>B. K. Narale</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y. B. Lengare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 to 6. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">A Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.223 of 2022</p> <p>D.C. Shelkande .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged initiation of D.E. by charge-sheet as well as Show Cause Notice dated 19.07.2021.</p> <p>3. Indeed, the O.A. challenging charge-sheet pertains to Division Bench, and therefore the O.A ought to have been listed before Division Bench.</p> <p>4. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that subsequent to the filing of O.A., Department had issued punishment order on 21.03.2022 thereby imposing punishment of withdrawing of increment for one year without cumulative effect.</p> <p>5. As such, D.E. is already concluded in terms of passing final order dated 21.03.2022.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore sought liberty to withdraw O.A. with liberty to challenge order dated 21.03.2022. He has also tendered the letter of the Applicant to that effect which is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>7. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to challenge order of punishment dated 21.03.2022 in accordance to law.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 30.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.16 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Unavane &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 30.03.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.196 of 2021 I n O.A.No.41 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>E. B. Sayyed</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged communication dated 18.02.2020 whereby he was informed that his qualifying service is less than 10 years, and therefore, not entitled for pension.</li> <li>3. Perusal of impugned order reveals that initially the Applicant joined Indian Air Force and was in service from 01.01.1967 to 30.01.1982. After discharge from Indian Air Force service, he was appointed in Agriculture Department from 13.01.1987 to 30.09.1987. As per impugned order, in view of zero budget policy, the Applicant was retrenched being junior. Later again on 30.09.1987, he was appointed in Agriculture Department and joined on 06.04.1996 and worked till 30.04.2005 i.e. attaining the age of superannuation.</li> <li>4. Thus, on this background, the Applicant has filed this present O.A. along with M.A. for condonation of delay. He was granted gratuity but pension is declined on the ground that he has not completed 10 years qualifying service.</li> <li>5. In reply, the Respondents sought to contend that the Applicant's initial appointment from 13.01.1987 to 30.09.1987 was on work charge department, and therefore, it cannot be counted as qualifying service. However, this ground is not raised in impugned order. The Applicant is now more than 75 years old. He too failed to produce the appointment order being not available.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, in the present matter the issue is whether Applicant's service can be construed as qualifying 10 years service with the help of Rule 110(3) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 and secondly what was the nature of his earlier service from 13.01.1987 to 30.09.1987, if this service is added in his subsequent service then it comes to 9 years, 9 months and 11 days which is short by 2 months and 20 days for 10 years qualifying service.

7. For just and fair decision of O.A., it is necessary to find out the nature of appointment of the Applicant in initial spell of service. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to produce appointment order of initial service, if available and also to produce service book by next date.

8. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

Sd/-

W  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm