

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.590/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.B. Kale & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is heard for some time. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to place on record Additional Affidavit along with orders of training as well as details of the service rendered by the Applicants after absorption till retirement.</p> <p>3. The perusal of O.A. reveals that at the time of filing of O.A, some of the Applicants were in service. However, it is not clear that as to how much service Applicants rendered after absorption by order dated 15.04.2010.</p> <p>4. Two weeks' time is granted to file Additional Affidavit along with annexures.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13th February, 2023 as Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 30.01.2023</p>
	(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to check the name of the person who is going to be affected if applicant is allowed to continue in service.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2023. Part heard.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 30.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 30.1.2023</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2023

O.A.No.104 of 2023

S. U. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 19.01.2023 issued by the Respondent No.2 Director General of Police whereby his transfer order dated 17.12.2021 from Nagpur to Nandurbar has been cancelled.
3. When the O.A. was taken up for admission, it is revealed that the Applicant has shown his residence at Dhule and it being so, the O.A. ought to have been filed before the Bench of the Tribunal Aurangabad. Thus, this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain and decide the O.A.
4. However, here it is necessary to point out certain facts which shows haphazard manner of issuance of transfer order by the Respondent No.2. By transfer order dated 17.12.2021, the Applicant was transferred from Nagpur City and posted in the office of Caste Verification Committee, Nandurbar. However, now after more than one year, the transfer order dated 17.12.2021 is being cancelled on the ground that there is no vacant post at Caste Verification Committee, Nandurbar. It is really surprising that when there was no post vacant at Nandurbar how the Applicant was posted at Nandurbar and where he worked in this period is in mystery. Thus, it is only after one year, the Respondent No.2 seems to have realized the mistake. Indeed, the Respondent No.2 ought to have taken care about the vacancy position before giving posting to Applicant at Nandurbar but it is not so. It shows that transfer order dated 17.12.2021 has been issued in haphazard manner. The Respondent No.2 is directed to take note of it.
5. Learned P.O. all that submits that since the Applicant has made representation on 23.01.2023 for giving choice posting at Jalgaon, Nashik and Dhule, it will be considered properly.
6. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.
7. The Respondent No.2 is at liberty to consider the representation dated 23.01.2023 as deems fit.
8. The Applicant is also at liberty to take legal recourse before the competent forum.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.62 of 2023 in O.A.No.1180 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A. M. ZendeApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for interim relief to keep one post of Associate Professor (Pharmacology) in RCSM, Government Medical College, Kolhapur vacant till the decision of the O.A.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was serving as Lecturer at Kolhapur and promoted as Associate Professor. The Government by order dated 10.08.2022 posted him at Government Medical College & Hospital, Alibag. That time, the process to fill in the said post of Alibag was underway with MPSC and one candidate namely Dr. Vishal Ubale was already recommended by the MPSC. Therefore, the Applicant brought to the notice of Government that since Dr. Vishal Ubale is already selected and will be posted soon at Alibag, he be given some other post preferably at Kolhapur which was vacant. However, the Government did not respond it. On the contrary, the Government by transfer order dated 07.10.2022 transferred him from Alibag to Jalgaon which is under challenge in the present O.A.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. During the pendency of O.A. the Applicant also made representation for posting at Kolhapur and presently it seems to be under consideration of the Government as fairly conceded by learned P.O. She sought two weeks time so that by that time necessary orders would be passed.

5. It is on the above background, this M.A. is filed to keep the post of Kolhapur vacant.

6. The request of Applicant for interim relief seems to be fair and reasonable.

7. In view of above, I am inclined to grant interim relief till the decision of O.A.

8. The Respondent No.1 is directed to keep the post of Associate Professor (Pharmacology) in RCSM Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur vacant till further orders.

9. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

10. O.A. is already fixed on 06.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.590/2021

Shri P.B. Kale & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. The matter is heard for some time. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to place on record Additional Affidavit along with orders of training as well as details of the service rendered by the Applicants after absorption till retirement.

3. The perusal of O.A. reveals that at the time of filing of O.A, some of the Applicants were in service. However, it is not clear that as to how much service Applicants rendered after absorption by order dated 15.04.2010.

4. Two weeks' time is granted to file Additional Affidavit along with annexures.

5. S.O. to 13th February, 2023 as Part Heard.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
30.01.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.912 of 2015 & Ors.</u></p> <p>S.G. Deshpande & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Special Counsel for the State has placed a chart before us. The same is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. Special Counsel will furnish tomorrow the information about requisition and appointments made by the respondents for the post of Executive Engineer in the years 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006 & 2007.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.1.2023 at 12.30 p.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.1.2023 30.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1 of 2023 with M.A. No.49 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.C. Jadhavar & 25 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents And A.V. Charhate & 5 Ors. ..Interveners</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Interveners.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board. The matter is already adjourned to 7.2.2023 by order dated 27.1.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the matter was on board on 24.1.2023 and after hearing both the sides it was adjourned to 27.1.2023.</p> <p>4. On 27.1.2023 date was already given on the board and postponed to 31.1.2023. Ld. Advocate for the applicant had filed leave note on 27.1.2023. However, Ld. Advocate for the Interveners appeared on 27.1.2023 and requested for hearing the MA as he has come from Nagpur. But the matter was adjourned to 7.2.2023 after hearing Ld. Advocate for Interveners and Ld. CPO.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that this matter is of promotion and though DPC is held in November, 2022, orders of promotions are not issued. So we have made a query as to when the office is planning to give promotion and that is to be informed to this Tribunal on 1.2.2023.</p> <p>6. Ld. CPO to make a statement and file affidavit to that effect on 1.2.2023.</p> <p>7. We are informed that Ld. Advocate for the Interveners is coming from Nagpur. Matter is adjourned to 1.2.2023 and thereafter it will appear on 7.2.2023.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 1.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.1.2023 30.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1028/2022 with O.A.No.1009/2022</p> <p>Dr. D.R. Arsale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that today during the course of the day she will file reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.02.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.89/2023 (Caveat No.125/2022)</p> <p>S.P. KolteApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.89/2023, Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in Caveat No.125/2022 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that though he has filed Caveat and is appearing for Respondent No.3 in the O.A. he has not been served with the copy of O.A. till date.</p> <p>3. Matter was argued before us on 24.01.2023 for Interim relief. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has not disclosed that Caveat is filed by Respondent No.3 for whom learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar is appearing. Hence, when we passed the order as we were not aware about the Caveat filed by the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. We call upon learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar to file reply.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that under such circumstances he would like to argue on the continuation of Interim relief.</p> <p>6. We keep this matter for hearing on continuation of interim relief on 07.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.938/2022</p> <p>K.N. WankhedeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A.K. Rawate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that today during the course of the day she will file reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.973/2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. BohariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.02.2023. To be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.310/2021</p> <p>B.R. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 19.01.2023.</p> <p>3. In the cause title the year is wrongly mentioned as '2022' whereas it should be read as '2021'.</p> <p>4. Ordered accordingly. </p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.17/2022 in O.A.No.200/2020</p> <p>M.P. JamadarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant is present and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2023

O.A.No.107/2023

J.B. GaikwadApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. B.U. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 27.02.2023.

Sd/-
(Medhã Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-
/N~
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2023

O.A.No.195/2018 with O.A.No.1052/2018

A.T. Zange & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.195/2018, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1052/2018, Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Ms. Pradhan submits that earlier Applicant's height was measured as 164.5 cm. However, the requirement was of 165.1 cm. The applicant was referred to the Medical Board and as per Medical Board is report dated 20.04.2022 now his height is measured as 166 cm. She submits that as the applicant's height is within the requirement he should be considered under NTC (sports) category.

3. By order dated 06.08.2018 this Tribunal directed the Respondent to keep one post vacant to accommodate the applicant.

4. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that learned Advocate for the Applicant has substituted the O.A.. He submits that the name Mr. Laxman Balasaheb Matekar for whom learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar is appearing is not shown in the substituted O.A. However, he was Respondent No.3 in the earlier O.A. He further submits that he was neither informed about the deletion of his name nor was served the substituted O.A.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Mr. Khaire to take note and do needful.

6. Adjourned to 06.02.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2023

O.A.No.625/2021

K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that it was earlier submitted that regularization and absorption of ambulance driver is a policy decision which is taken at the level of Principal Secretary and Commissioner. Hence, Principal Secretary and Commissioner, Public Health Department should be made Party Respondents.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale to take note of the same.

4. Adjourned to 13.02.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.293 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.R. Lohar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Officers from the MPSC are not present today at the time of hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2023 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">30.1.2023</td> <td align="center">30.1.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	30.1.2023	30.1.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
30.1.2023	30.1.2023								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.71 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Bundele ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not present in the Court today to explain how Zilla Parishad can come under the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Moreover, he is not present to satisfy the query. Hence, OA is dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 30.1.2023 30.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.747/2022 in O.A.No.222/2017</p> <p>N.G. PawaskarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed to expedite early hearing of O.A.No.222/2017.</p> <p>3. As the subject matter in O.A. is appointment, in view that we are at present dealing with the matters of the year 2016, we adjourn the O.A. to 20.02.2023 for hearing.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. stands disposed of. O.A. adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><i>(Medha Gadgil)</i> / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1272/2022 with O.A.No.1307/2022 with O.A.No.1308/2022</p> <p>R.R. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.02.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.732/2022 in O.A.No.1272/2022 with M.A.No.755/2022 in O.A.No.1307/2022 with M.A.No.756/2022 in O.A.No.1308/2022</p> <p>R.R. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.As are filed seeking condonation of delay of 49 days, 56 days and 55 days in filing O.A.No. 1272/2022, O.A.No.1307/2022 & O.A.No.1308 /2022 respectively.</p> <p>3. Applicants are challenging Selection Process in O.A. for the post of Police Driver Constable.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that the delays are not intentional; hence, the delays are to be condoned.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>6. Considering the period of delay, M.A.No.732/2022, M.A.No.755/2022 and M.A.No.756/2022 are hereby allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.361/2022 & Ors. (Group matter)</p> <p>L.K. Shinde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is filed in all O.As.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.02.2023. Interim relief to be continued. Admit. HOB</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.360/2022</p> <p>N.R. PakhareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.241/2022</p> <p>S.S. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for rejoinder adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.241/2022</p> <p>S.S. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for rejoinder adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- 19/1 <i>(Signature)</i> Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.2/2023 in O.A.No.476/2022</p> <p>Dr. A.M. KadhikhayeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Mr. D.K. Lokhande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3, and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lokhande submits that in view of G.R. dated 14.12.2022 the applicant is being considered.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to co-operate with the Department and remain personally present as and when it is required.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.35/2021 in O.A.No.229/2019 with O.A.No.408/2022</p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/s. S.S. Dere & Ors., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 13.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.115/2023</p> <p>M.T. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 27.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.88/2023</p> <p>D.S. Khairnar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B.U. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.02.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 13.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1226/2022</p> <p>S.P. Khanvilkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P. Dubey, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 5 and Mr. S.S. Dere learned Advocate for Respondents No.6 to 89.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere submits that the order passed by this Tribunal involving the same issue is now challenged before the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition (Stamp) No.2735/2023 and is listed on 06.02.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.02.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 14.02.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

pik

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 30.01.2023

M.A. No.44 of 2023 in O.A.No.95 of 2023

S. D. DalaviApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. N. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay calculated as seven months in M.A.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~/ Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 27.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.26 of 2022 in O.A.No.399 of 2022</p> <p>T. D. KumbharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought short adjournment on the ground that the matter is being handled by Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. but today he is unable to remain present due to some personal difficulty.</p> <p>B. S.O. to 07.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.56 of 2023 in O.A.No.589 of 2021</p> <p>G.R. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to add the Government through the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department as party respondent on the ground that the Applicant is subjected to discrimination by the Government. 3. According to learned Counsel for the Applicant, no such action for recovery of penal rent is taken by the Government as against other Police Officials/IPS who retain the quarter unconditionally ^{unauthorisedly}. 4. In view of above, the Government is necessary party. The M.A. is, therefore, allowed to add the State of Maharashtra through Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department and it be added as the Respondent No.2. 5. Two weeks' time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply on behalf of the Government. 6. This O.A. be kept with connected O.A.No.808/2021. 7. S.O. to 20.02.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.718 of 2022 in O.A.No.1237 of 2022</p> <p>R. V. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.718 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p align="right">  Sd/- vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. Shaikh M.A. S.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. sought short adjournment on the ground that the matter is being handled by Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. but today he is unable to remain present due to some personal difficulty.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.96 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. Neetin P. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed the present O.A. to quash and set aside the order dated 06.12.2022 whereby his request for conversion of the post of Professor Gastroenterology or any other vacant post of Professor so as to accommodate him either at Grant Medical College or J. J. Group of Hospital, Mumbai is rejected.</p> <p>3. Thus, the matter is not about transfer but it pertains to request of the Applicant for conversion of post which does not pertain to Singh Bench.</p> <p>4. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to examine the matter and place it before the appropriate bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.176 of 2021</p> <p>S. C. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms B. M. Ranade holding for Shri S.S. Deokar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned with direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1023 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. Gosavi ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought two weeks time to file Rejoinder so as to bring on record some important developments ^{took place} to place it subsequently.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.729 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before the Division Bench and transferred to Single Bench recently. Today, for the first time, it is listed before me.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1094 of 2022</p> <p>H. K. SanasApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requests for short time to file reply. On previous date, last chance was granted. However, in the interest of justice, three days time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. This O.A be kept with O.A.Nos.334/2020 and 235/2022 which are already ripe for final hearing since in all these Original Applications, the issue is common.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1093 of 2022</p> <p>B. R. RautApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought one day time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20
vsm

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.974 of 2022</p> <p>N. G. KabadiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. The Applicant sought two weeks time to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 13.02.2023. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1249 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. LoharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1087 of 2022</p> <p>N. M. GhevadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought short adjournment on the ground that the matter is being handled by Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. but today he is unable to remain present due to some personal difficulty.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.02.2023 for admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- M (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1315 & 1316 of 2022</p> <p>I. K. Chaugule & Anrs. C. K. ChauguleApplicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajendra Khaire holding for Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Archana B. K. and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that his senior is not available.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for admission hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 30.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1237 of 2022</p> <p>R. V. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>