

**M.A. No. 528/2024 in O.A. No. 1254/2024**  
**(Yogesh S. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Onkar Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Jiwan Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 28.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29.10.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705 OF 2019**  
**(Suresh S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Onkar Joshi, learned counsel holding for  
Shri A.M. Hajare, learned counsel for the applicant  
and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for  
the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the  
applicant, S.O. to 28.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1164 OF 2024**  
**(Uttam D. Kumkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S. Bhapkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1115 OF 2022**  
**(Dattatray M. Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 320/2023 in O.A. St. No. 1188/2023  
(Pradnya M. Paikrao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.S. Kadrale, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 submits affidavit in reply in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 10.12.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2024**  
**(Ajitkumar S. Khansole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Naikwade, learned counsel holding for  
Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for the applicant  
and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for  
the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer,  
time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of  
respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2024. Interim relief granted  
earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 195/2024 IN O.A.NO. 489/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**M.A.NO. 196/2024 IN O.A.NO. 362/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**M.A.NO. 197/2024 IN O.A.NO. 363/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**M.A.NO. 198/2024 IN O.A.NO. 365/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**M.A.NO. 199/2024 IN O.A.NO. 368/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**M.A.NO. 208/2024 IN O.A.NO. 373/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**M.A.NO. 209/2024 IN O.A.NO. 372/2024  
(Shalini R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these MAs/Intervenors, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respective respondent authorities in respective matters, Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent no.2 in O.A.362/2024, 363/2024, 365/2024, & 368/2024, Shri Amol Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A.No.362/2024, Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for applicants in O.A. No. 373/2024 and Shri Vijay Dhakane, learned counsel for the applicants in 368/2024, are present.

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective OAs and Shri G.V. Mohekar, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. No. 365/2024, **are absent.**

//2//

2. At the request of learned counsel for the intervenors, S.O. to 19-11-2024. Interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29.10.2024



**C.P. No. 88/2024 in O.A. No. 798/2024**  
**(Deepak S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri B.B. Yenge, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 828 OF 2022**  
**(Shailendra G. Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 542/2024 in O.A. No. 1283/2024 with M.A. Nos. 578/2024 & 577/2024 with Caveat Nos. 65 & 69 both of 2024**

**(Yogesh C. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for caveator (Caveat No. 65/2024) & applicants/intervenors in M.A. No. 577/2024, Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant/intervenor in M.A. No. 578/2024.

Shri S.E. Shekde, learned counsel for caveator (Caveat No. 69/2024), is **absent**.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. The M.A. No. 542/2024 is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs. .

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. No. 1283/2024 with M.A. 578/2024 & M.A. 577/2024 with Caveat Nos. 65 & 69 both of 2024 (Yogesh C. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for caveator (Caveat No. 65/2024) & applicants/intervenors in M.A. No. 577/2024, Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant/intervenor in M.A. No. 578/2024, are present.

Shri S.E. Shekde, learned counsel for caveator (Caveat No. 69/2024), is **absent**.

2. Today, I have heard learned counsel for the applicants at length. Even on the last occasion, learned counsel for the applicants was heard.

3. The present matter pertains to recruitment for the post of Multipurpose Health Workers, Health Inspectors and Health Supervisors. In terms of the advertisement dated 28.08.2023 for the aforesaid three categories approximately 1900 posts were advertised.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants pointed out that in terms of the advertisement for aforesaid three posts in addition to the other requisite qualifications, it was also a

//2//

O.A. No. 1283/2024

requirement that the candidates *“shall have successfully completed the paramedical Basic Training Course conducted by Health and Family Welfare Training Centres of the Department / Public Health Institute Nagpur for the post of Multipurpose Health Worker or Sanitary Inspector course approved by Health and Family Welfare Ministry or Sanitary Inspector course approved by the Government of Maharashtra.”* It is further pointed out that, in all, about 290 candidates were put up on hold for the reason that in compliance with the aforesaid clause certificates submitted by them have not been issued by the recognized institute. It seems that those candidates have made a representation before the authorities mentioning therein that they had no knowledge as to whether the certificates possessed by them are issued by the recognized institute or not.

5. It appears that even the committee was constituted to look into the aforesaid aspect and the said committee has also reported/informed that the said candidates possess the certificate of the institute which is not recognized. Since the said candidates have filed applications/ representations, the same came to be placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister. Accordingly, the Hon'ble Chief Minister has given directions to consider those candidates for appointment but not as a precedence since they had no knowledge as to whether the certificate possessed by them has been issued by the recognized

institute or not and thus they may be considered for appointment on sympathetic ground.

6. In the backdrop of this, the Tribunal had orally directed learned Chief Presenting Officer to take instructions as to whether there are any Recruitment Rules, which empower the Hon'ble Chief Minister to pass such an order or is there any provision of law permitting the Hon'ble Chief Minister to intervene in the recruitment process in the manner in which it has been done or whether the Hon'ble Chief Minister on some wrong advice has taken the aforesaid decision. Unfortunately, learned Chief Presenting Officer has come with the communication which is nothing but replica of the aforesaid decision/order dated 10.10.2024 (Exhibit-H).

7. In view of the same, *prima-facie*, it appears that the Hon'ble Chief Minister has no authority of law to pass such an order when the Rules or any enactment do not provide discretion to the Hon'ble Chief Minister to intervene in the recruitment process in the manner in which it has been done. Consequently, this Tribunal is left with no other choice but to stay the impugned order dated 10.10.2024 till the next date of hearing.

8. In view of above discussions, (i) **the effect and execution of the order dated 10.10.2024 (Exhibit-H) is hereby stayed till the next date of hearing** and

//4//

O.A. No. 1283/2024

**(ii) In the meanwhile if any appointment orders have been issued to the candidates in terms of the impugned order dated 10.10.2024, the same shall remain inoperative till the next date of hearing.**

9. There are two intervention applications filed before this Tribunal and the same will be dealt with appropriately on the next date of hearing.

10. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26.11.2024.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//5//

O.A. No. 1283/2024

14. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. S.O. to 26.11.2024.

16. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29.10.2024



**M.A. No. 555/2024 in O.A. No. 812/2024**  
(Krushna b. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A. No. 556/2024 in O.A. No. 855/2024**  
(Krushna B. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A. 557/2024 in M.A. 509/2024 in O.A. 977/2024**  
(Krushna B. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A. No. 558/2024 in O.A. No. 798/2024**  
(Krushna B. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**MA 559/2024 in MA 508/2024 in OA 961/2024**  
(Krushna B. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A. No. 560/2024 in O.A. No. 960/2024**  
(Krushna B. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**M.A. No. 561/2024 in O.A. No. 850/2024**  
(Krushna B. Shertape & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Bhumkar, learned counsel holding for Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these M.As./intervenors, Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 812/2024, 960/2024, 798/2024 & 961/2024, Shri Anand Deshpande, learned counsel holding for Shri Pratik Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 855/2024 & 977/2024, Shri D.D. Nikam, learned counsel holding for Shri P.B. Kamble, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A.

//2//

No. 850/2024, S/shri S.S. Dambe, V.G. Pingle, V.R. Bhumkar & Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective matters, Shri B.B. Yenge, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 in all these O.As. and Shri D.A. Gaikwad, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 9 in O.A. No. 950/2024, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.11.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 29.10.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1213 OF 2024**  
**(Udaysinh Vasantrya Salunkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 29.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It prima-facie appears that the place where the applicant was working prior to his impugned order of transfer is neither the home district of the applicant nor the applicant has completed more than three years during last four years at Beed District. It further appears that the impugned transfer order of the applicant is midterm and mid-tenure.

3. It appears from the observations made in the earlier order dated 24.10.2024 that one Mr. A.L. Bondre came to be deputed at the place where the applicant is proposed to be transferred by impugned order of transfer.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has vehemently submitted that the Election Commission of India has issued a specific direction that if the post for doing the election work remains vacant for one or another

//2//

O.A.1213/2024

reason, the same shall be filled up. However, it does not mean that the same has given license to the transferring authority to pick up any person and transfer him midterm and mid-tenure.

5. At present, said Mr. A.L. Bondre has already been deputed for doing election work at the place of transfer of the applicant. **Thus, the applicant shall be permitted to work at the place where the applicant was working before the impugned order of transfer till the next date of hearing. Ordered accordingly.**

6. S.O. to 18.11.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 29.10.2024

**M.A.NO. 213/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 744/2024**  
**(Ragunath Sardar Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**DATE :** 29.10.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during course of the day the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.2 will be filed.

3. List the matter for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any and for hearing on 22.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1333/2024**  
**(Shrikant Audumbar Disale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek V. Kabade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It appears that the impugned transfer order of the applicant is midterm and mid tenure. The applicant has hardly completed the period of less than 2 years at Aurangabad. Learned Presenting Officer has placed before this Tribunal the minutes of the meeting of the Police Establishment Board. However, there is no specific reference as to what is the reason for issuance of midterm and mid tenure transfer order of the applicant. Even though the learned P.O. submitted that due to directives of the Election Commission of India, the applicant has been transferred, however, neither the Home District of the applicant is at Aurangabad, nor he has completed more than 3 years in Aurangabad. Prima-facie, thus the directives issued by the Election Commission of India are not attracted in the instant case.

3. **In view of the same, the parties are hereby directed to maintain the Status quo as on today till the next date. If the applicant is already relieved and nobody is posted in his place, the applicant be permitted to occupy the post, which he was holding before issuance of the impugned transfer order.**

4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20.11.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**::-3-::**

**O.A. NO. 1333/2024**

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 29.10.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1334/2024**

**(Dr. Dayanand D. Choure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 18.11.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1157/2024**  
**(Dr. Kapileshwar M. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The present matter is ready for admission hearing though it is listed in reply board.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 11.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A. NO. 40/2024 (W.P. NO. 13943/2019)**  
**(Pritesh A. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri U.S. Mote, learned counsel holding for Shri B.R. Warmaa, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 09.12.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 09.12.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1266/2024**

**(Dadasaheb G. Ghorpade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Birajdar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 29.11.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned Presenting Officer is hereby directed to take specific instructions as to the present status of the Departmental Enquiry, if it is pending against the applicant.

8. S.O. to 29.11.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1321/2024**  
**(Sandhya D. Sonone Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.B. Survase, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 29.11.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 29.11.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 722/2024**  
**(Madhukar S. waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sunil Warbhuvan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 04 and 05. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 795/2024**  
**(Vasant Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Yogesh G. Kasod, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply for respondent no. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832/2024**

**(Sarika Sangramsing Patil @ Sarika Barku Ahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul A. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is no need to file rejoinder affidavit and the matter may be listed for admission hearing.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 29.11.2024.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 899/2024**  
**(Abasaheb Gadekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sandeep B. Sontakke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply for respondent no. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is not necessary to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 04.12.2024 for admission hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 979/2024**  
**(Subhash Bagu Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sandeep B. Sontakke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply for respondent no. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is not necessary to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 04.12.2024 for admission hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1079/2024**

**(Dr.. Dinesh R. Boralkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Vanita Choudhary, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21.11.2024 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1175/2024**  
**(Sudarshan B. Tupe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned counsel submits rejoinder affidavit of the applicant to the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1176/2024**  
**(Omprasad R. Ramawat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned counsel submits rejoinder affidavit of the applicant to the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1106/2024**  
**(Sunil V. Dhakane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Onkar Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.11.2024 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1105/2024**  
**(Damodar Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Onkar Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.S. Gangakhedkar, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.11.2024 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1177/2024**  
**(Dattatraya B. Giri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel submits rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1182/2024**  
**(Ramesh S. Gholve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2024 for filing reply of other respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1229/2024**  
**(Rahul S. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Amol Gandhi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 25.11.2024 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1253/2024**  
**(Aniket Palepwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Onkar Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.11.2024 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NO. 1257/2024 WITH CAVEAT NO. 67/2024**  
**(Dr. Sudhir Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**DATE : 29.10.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that concerned digital record is received from the respondents instead of original record. However, learned counsel for applicant and for respondent no. 4 have no objection to proceed with the matter.

3. S.O. to 12.11.2024 for filing affidavit in reply of concerned respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**