

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.613 of 2023</p> <p>M.M. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.G. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. states that 'Deputy Conservator of Forest (Territorial), East Division, Nashik' had forwarded the representation of the Applicant dated 03.10.2023 to the 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik'. 3. Learned P.O. further states as per letter received from the 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' dated 16.11.2023 further instructions have now been given to 'Deputy Conservator of Forest (Territorial), East Division, Nashik' to submit detailed proposal in respect of representation of the Applicant dated 03.10.2023. Therefore; 'Deputy Conservator of Forest (Territorial), East Division, Nashik' is directed to submit detailed proposal to 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' within 'One Week'. Thereafter; 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' to take appropriate decision within 'One Week' about the recommendations submitted by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest (Territorial), East Division, Nashik'. 4. S.O. to 12.12.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN [P.T.O.]</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20MN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.423 of 2023 to O.A. No.426 of 2023</p> <p>A.M. Kamble G.Y. Shelke K.G. Wamane S.D. BiranjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' during the course of the day on behalf of the 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' regarding the policy decision about conversion of the cadres of 'Constables' and 'Constables-Cum-Driver' from 'District Level' to 'State Level' and accordingly regarding consideration of representations submitted by Applicants to be posted back on the establishment of 'Superintendent of State Excise; Pune'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1169/2022 with O.A.483/2023 with O.A.726/2023 with O.A.894/2023 with O.A.896/2023 with O.A.612/2023</p> <p>K. A. Devkate & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.1169/2022, 483/2023 and O.A.612/2023 has already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply in O.A.Nos.726/2023, 894/2023 and 896/2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also seeks time to file Affidavit in Rejoinder in O.A.No.483/2023.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit in Rely in remaining O.As on or before 11.12.2023.</p> <p>6. Interim Relief in O.A.No.612/2023, if any to be continued till next date.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 12.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023 O.A.No.895 of 2023</p> <p>K. D. MaindApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant is appointed in Mumbai Police Force and, therefore, he is not interested in further proceeding with the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. and tendered a letter of the Applicant to that effect. It is taken on record and marked as 'Exhibit I'</p> <p>4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A..</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FINAL CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Final Orders and Orders of
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and registrar's orders

M.A.727/2023 in O.A.1476/2023

R.H. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
29.11.2023

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
29.11.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A.R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

EXHIBIT CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda, Orders, Appeals, Tribunal's orders, directions and Registrar's orders

O.A.787/2023

A.R. Deokar

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. S.O. to 6th December, 2023 as Part Heard.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member-A

Chairperson

29.11.2023

29.11.2023

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.712/2023 in O.A.1425/2023

G.D. Shinde

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 10th January, 2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 10th January, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
29.11.2023

(skw)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
29.11.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders,
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1476/2023

R.H. Patil & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on the order passed by MAT, Bench at Nagpur Bench on 19.08.2014 in OA No.887/2012.

3. We direct the Respondents to go through the order passed by MAT, Bench at Nagpur in OA No.887/2012 and to see that whether the case of the Applicant is covered under the said order.

4. Issue Notice to the Respondents made returnable on 10th January, 2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 10th January, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
29.11.2023

(skw)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
29.11.2023

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.870 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Umap,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that the Applicant was transferred from the post 'Talathi' at 'Pargaon, Tahsil Purandar, District Pune' to the post of 'Talathi' at 'Walha, Tahsil Purandar, District Pune' by 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023 of 'S.D.O. Daund, Purandar Sub Division, District Pune'. However, the post was not amongst the 10 options given by the Applicant as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018. The Applicant had requested to be transferred on post of 'Talathi' at Dive, Tahsil Purandar, District Pune on ground that he was 'Ex-Servicemen' and deserved to be considered as per 'Table of Precedence' at Sr. No.7 under provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022 read with G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>3. The 'District Collector, Pune' is accordingly directed to consider the request of the Applicant as 'Ex-Servicemen' and transfer him to the post of 'Talathi' at Dive; Tahsil Purandar, District Pune because all establishment matters of 'Talathi' cadre have now been transferred from 'S.D.O. Daund, Purandar Sub Division, District Pune' to 'District Collector, Pune' as per 'Revenue and Forest Department' G.R. dated 17.08.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.717 of 2023 with O.A. No.718 of 2023</p> <p>U.L. Gadage & Ors. B.A. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.717/2023 & Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.718/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on the basis of letter dated 28.11.2023 from 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' states that he will require another 15 days time to furnish information for filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. However, substantial time has already been given to 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' to file the 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Hence, another 15 days time as requested cannot be granted and 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' is directed that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in any case be filed within 'One Week'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1132 of 2023</p> <p>K.N. KhanekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits letter dated 24.11.2023 of 'Under Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Department' addressed to 'Commissioner of Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune' wherein it has been stated that the request of the Applicant for transfer from the post of 'Stenographer (Higher Grade)' under the 'District Caste Scrutiny Committee, Sindhudurg' has been approved and he is now posted as 'Stenographer (Higher Grade)' in the office of 'Maharashtra State Backward Classes Commission'. Therefore, orders of the Applicant for transfer to the post of 'Stenographer (Higher Grade)' in the office of 'Maharashtra State Backward Classes Commission' can be expected to be issued by the 'Commissioner of Social Welfare, within 'One Week'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 135/2020</u></p> <p>Jyoti R. Choudhary ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Madke, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the Respondents to process the case of the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>Medha Gadgil</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <i>Mridula Bhatkar</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023 O.A.No.349 of 2022</p> <p>P. D. ThoratApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is presently working as Drug Inspector in Food and Drug Administration and challenges communication dated 19.04.2021 issued by Respondent No.1. (Page 107 of O.A.) wherein request of the Applicant to recommend his name on the ground that Government did not issue requisition for wait listed candidates, was rejected. He further prays that one post of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs) Group -A under Open category and one post of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs) Group-A under NT (C) category be kept vacant.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that an Advertisement No.172/12 was published by the Respondent No.1- M.P.S.C. on 04.01.2012 for 12 posts of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs) Group A Food and Drugs Administration MS, Medical Education & Drugs Department. Then on 17.02.2014, another Advertisement No.64/14 was published by M.P.S.C. for total 4 posts (1 post for NT(C) of Assistant Commissioner (Drugs) Group A).</p> <p>4. Thus, two Advertisements were published in the year 2012 and 2014. Nobody was selected from Advertisement No.172/12 published on 04.01.2012.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel seeks time to take instructions from his client.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 06.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023 O.A.No.271 of 2017</p> <p>M. R. DeshmukhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant produces compilation of orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Hon'ble High Court and this Tribunal. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned C.P.O., the matter is adjourned to 12.12.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023 O.A.No.401 of 2022</p> <p>A. J. BavaskarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed additional Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023 O.A.No.413 of 2017</p> <p>S. B. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 13.12.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 29.11.2023 O.A.No.555 of 2020</p> <p>D. N. LohkareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neha Kachi holding for Shri V.V. Ugale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medhā Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders Date : 29.11.2023</p> |
| | <p>O.A.No.386 of 2022 With O.A.No.552 of 2023</p> <p>R. P. Satpute A. P. SheteApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.386/2022 through Video Conferencing and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.552/2023. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. relies on Affidavit in Reply filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 through Ajay Patil, Deputy Engineer, P.W. Circle, Pune on 30.09.2023 in O.A.No.552/2023. She placed reliance on Para No.6 of Affidavit in Reply which is as under:-</p> <p>“With reference to paragraph no. 6.3, I say and submit that Exhibit ‘A-3’ is select list of the Senior Clerk issued by Regional Selection Board, Pune. In the said list Applicant’s name is at Sr. No.17 and Smt. Satpute’s name is at Sr. No.7”.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. points out Exhibit ‘R-1’ i.e. Select list dated 19.06.1998 issued by Superintendent of Engineer, P.W.D. Pune (Page No.86 of O.A.552/2023).</p> <p>4. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that it is not a select list. It is an appointment order. The select list is of 01.04.1998 which is at page no.17 of O.A.552/2023.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to call the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 with original record showing select list of 01.04.1998 with marks.</p> <p>6. We make the Collector, Pune suo moto party Respondent and direct the Collector, Pune to depute one responsible officer to apprise the Tribunal with record of examination and selection for the year 1998 conducted by Regional Secondary Services Board, Pune for the post of Senior Clerk and select list prepared on 01.04.1998 for the P.W.D. department.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 05.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1342 of 2023 & O.A. No.1343 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.M. There & Ors. G.T. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that both these matters are covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to verify the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1023 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Gawankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is out of India and seeks time till February, 2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1274 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.S. Kapse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply of respondent no.5 & 3 and seeks time to file reply of other respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.281 of 2023 in O.A. No.176 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.C. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Reena Prajapati holding for Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.11.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.715 of 2023 in O.A. No.1460 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.N. Surwase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 3.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA & O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1455 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.N. Kumbhar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

M.A. No.694 of 2023 in O.A. No.1424 of 2023

S.D. Ombase & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
29.11.2023

(sgj)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1424 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Ombase & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1485 of 2023</p> <p>D.G. Hiwale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar' by letter dated 25.10.2023 has informed other (i) 'Commissioners of Police and (ii) Superintendents of Police' that approval in respect of '54 Police Constables' who have been allowed to join their establishment on 'Inter District Transfer' will be valid for period of 'One Month'.</p> <p>3. The Applicants are to be accepted on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' by the 25.11.2023. Taking into consideration that 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' may require some more time to convey acceptance of the Applicants at 'Sr. No.27,' of the letter dated 25.10.2023, the 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar' is directed to extend the period of 'One Month' beyond 25.11.2023 till such time as acceptance of the Applicant is conveyed by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2023.</p> |

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 20.12.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center">Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.764 of 2023</p> <p>G.N. BadadareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondents. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1004 of 2023 with O.A. No.1011 of 2023 with O.A. No.1044 of 2023 to O.A. No.1050 of 2023</p> <p>K.H. Yadav S.M. Jadhav V.R. Khamkar K.S. Dhotre N.N. Karande S.D. Gunjal H.A. Shelke M.P. Mhaske S.S. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she requires 'Three Weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder, on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.63 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. LandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Four Weeks' time to argue the matter for 'Final Hearing' Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 29.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1035 of 2023</p> <p>J.P. DholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she will provide 'Minutes of Meeting' of C.S.B.' and other documents to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 671/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Sase & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1025/2023</u></p> <p>H.A Marnoor ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 933/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.V Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 820/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Doifode & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Katkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 678/2023 in O.A 564/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Shivaji D. Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant is not cooperating in the departmental enquiry. Learned C.P.O further submits that reply of the Additional Chief Secretary is filed today</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant to take note of the same.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 327 & 328/2023</u></p> <p>R.A Marathe ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 676/2023 in O.A 1311/2023</u></p> <p>R.M Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits copy of Misc Application is not served on all the Respondents. Private Respondents No 3 & 4 are present today and submits that copy of Misc Application is not served.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant to take note that the copy of the Misc Application is served on all the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Aka</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015</u></p> <p>Later on:</p> <p>G.P Wadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Sagar Bhongade, Deputy Secretary (Law) from the office of Chief Secretary is present. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>2. Time is granted by way of last chance to file affidavit in reply failing which we will be constrained to issue notice under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.12.2023 at 11.00 am.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015</u></p> <p>G.P Wadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y Chavan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions. Time not granted.</p> <p>3. We direct the Law Officer from the office of the Chief Secretary to remain preset today at 1.00 pm.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 86/2023 in O.A 599/2023 with C.A 87/2023 in O.A 598/2023</u></p> <p>D.D Patil Dr C.S Lone ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. 3. S.O to 13.12.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 90/2023 in O.A 13/2017</u></p> <p>N.S Navale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.B Gujar with Ms Darshana Kambli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Shri P.B Gujar, seeks liberty to file Vakalatnama. Permission granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1362/2023</u></p> <p>Shri U.P Chorge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produced copy of Corrigendum dated 24.11.2023 by which in the order dated 21.11.2023 regarding dropping of the departmental enquiry, the name of the present applicant Shri Umesh Chorge is also mentioned. Thus, departmental enquiry is dropped against the present applicant. Copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as Exh. I. Learned P.O further submits that all the consequential benefits as per rules are payable to him and accordingly the department will initiate the process.</p> <p>3. All the prayers of the applicant are redressed, except prayers (c) regarding the treatment of the suspension period from 2.12.1996 to 2.3.1999 and (e) grant of 1st & 2nd time bound promotion.</p> <p>4. Thus, as the grievances of the applicant are redressed, the mater to be placed before the Single Bench to decide the issue in respect of prayer clause © & (e) of the O.A.</p> <p>5. Matter to be placed before the Single Bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1032/2023</u></p> <p>L.S Ahiwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 527/2023</u></p> <p>Dr J.R Band ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 13.12.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1037/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.G Yewale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1104/2023</u></p> <p>Smt Kavita.M Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Archana Joglekar, i/b Shri S.R Page, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 1117 & 1118/2023</u></p> <p>S.T Aher ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is granted time to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1201/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.G Gholap & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1309/2023</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Raskar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1185/2021</u></p> <p>G.D Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 937/2023</u></p> <p>K.M Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Sawti Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 10.1.2024-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>29.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 693/2022 in O.A 746/2022</u></p> <p>Kailas S. Karale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Misc Application is filed seeking to amend the Original Application as per Schedule 1, 2 & 3 annexed herewith.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks and the copy of the amended O.A to be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Misc Application accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p>6. Original Application No. 746/2022 to be placed on Board on 24.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> |