

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.231 of 2016 In O.A.No.509 of 2015

Shri P.G. Kolapte ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

This is an application for seeking amendment by way of impleadment of proposed respondents No.3, 4 and 5.

We have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Nair, the learned Special Counsel with Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1, 2 and proposed respondent no.3. Shri Sanjay Chadha, learned Advocate for the proposed respondent no.4 and 5. It is made specifically clear and we categorically say so that the scope hereof is restricted to examine this application on the anvil of law of amendments and impleadment of third parties and none of our observations shall be taken to have any effect on the merit of the O.A. either pre-amendment or post amendment.

Remaining within the confines of this application as far as the impleadment of the proposed third respondent, (Desk 16-B in G.A.D.) this does not seem to be difficult because by enlarge this is a reaction to stand of the State itself and we would again make it clear that impleadment of the parties is not going to guarantee the success or failure either of the applicant or the respondents. As far as the proposed respondent no.5 is concerned, it is admitted position that he has since retired and therefore, he still be neither necessary nor proper party hereto. It is not necessary to implead him hereto. As far as the proposed respondent no.4 Smt Bhagwanti Meshram is concerned initially Mr. Chadha strongly opposed her impleadment (also impleadment of proposed respondent no.5). On various grounds which in our view would be relevant if and when with their impleadment the O.A. will be heard.

As far as the present application is concerned be it noted quite clearly that the proposed respondent no.4 is not a necessary party. However, there is no clear determination made in the O.A.

A number of pronouncements of Hon'ble Supreme Court have it that if a party would be affected in such type of matters and their presence before the Tribunal is necessary then their presence at least as proper parties would be necessary. Therefore, reserving the rights of the parties hereto to agitate in the manner they are advised, we direct impleadment of proposed respondent no.3 and 4 and not allow it in so far as the proposed respondent no.5 is concerned. The applicant is directed to implead the proposed respondent no.3 and 4 as respondent no.3 and 4 to the O.A. by suitable amendment within two weeks from today. A consolidated copy post amendment of the O.A. be filed and existing respondent be served a copy thereof and newly added respondents be served in accordance with rules.

M.A. is allowed with no order as to cost. The O.A. stands adjourned for reply/additional reply in four weeks after the amendment.

S.O. to 11.1.2017.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
29.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
29.11.2016

vsm

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire
with Mr. Sonkawade.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.K. Nair

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No.1,2,3;
Spl. Counsel with N.G. Gohad,
P.O.

Adj. To

Shri. Sanjay chadha
Adv. for proposed AMs

M.A. is Allowed.
O.A. Adj. to 11/1/17.

@raj


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri R.B. Malik (M/J)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. M. Jechi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>Appls And Advocate absent</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>A.J. Chougule with</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Shri S.B. Munde for the Resps.</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>29/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>YFE</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.203/2016 with O.As 223 to 225/2016</u></p> <p>Shri P.R. Hanpude & Ors: ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer with Shri S.B. Munde for the Respondents.</p> <p>Copies of the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave Petition (Civil) 23347/2016, dated 21.10.2016 and Writ Petition No.1011/2016, dated 13th, 15th and 16th April, 2016 by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Nagpur Bench are taken on record. It does appear that the Applicants have now lost interest herein and therefore the OAs are listed for orders of dismissal on 2nd December, 2016.</p> <p>S.O. to 2nd December, 2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  (R.B. Malik) 29.11.16 Member (J) 29.11.2016 </div> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A. No.83 of 2016
In
O.A.No.261 of 2015

Shri S.R. Panke ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. is instructed by Shri Pradeep Kumar, the Secretary, M.P.S.C. He is present before us just now.

After lot of debate and discussion, we direct that compliance with our order on the O.A. be made in every respect by 30.11.2016. List the C.A. on 2.12.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
29.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
29.11.2016

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.V. Thorat

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To 2/12/16

Hamdast.

(vsm)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A. No.474 of 2016
In
O.A.No.542 of 2015**

Shri R. H. Jadhav ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 6.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 6.12.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 6/12/16

Signature

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
29.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
29.11.2016

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**C.A. No.80 of 2016
In
O.A.No.517 of 2015**

**Shri R. B. More ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Though the notice was issued on 25.10.2016, no affidavit-in-reply has yet been filed. The applicant claimed that the relief granted by this Tribunal has not yet been given to the applicant and his pension has been fixed wrongly.

Cost of Rs.5000/- is imposed on the Respondent No.2 for failure to file affidavit-in-reply. If the Affidavit is not filed on the next date, further coercive action will be taken on the next date.

Cost should be deposited to the Registry of this Tribunal by Government cheque. Hamdast.

Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____

(R.B. MALIK)
MEMBER (J)
29.11.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL)
VICE-CHAIRMAN
29.11.2016

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 13/12/16.
Hamdast.

(Handwritten signature)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.11.2016

O.A No 781/2016

Shri M.R Ghate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed. O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 5.1.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicants

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.

~~Adj. Fe~~

O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 5/1/17.

FH
@ved

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.11.2016

O.A No 547/2016

Shri P.A Warpe ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed. O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if be.

Place for final hearing on 13.12.2016.

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
holding for Archana B.K.

Adj. To Rejoinder filed

by Applicant
S.O. to 13/12/16.

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.11.2016

O.A No 1109/2016

Shri D.B Sangle ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, *to 1, 2 & 3 & Shri M.B. Kadam for R.O. no 4.*

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 20.12.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 20.12.2016.

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents *no. 1 to 3.*

M.B. Kadam for R.No. 4.

Adj. To S.O. to 20/12/16.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.11.2016

O.A No 836/2016

Shri P.B Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant in this Original Application is seeking deemed date of promotion in Class-II post of Child Development Project Officer, which is now under Women & Child Development Department. But the impugned order is passed by Rural Development Department. The Applicant was promoted by the Government, apparently on the basis of recommendations of the D.P.C headed by the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division and his claim for deemed date is based on the operation of the roaster maintained by the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division for class-III employees. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar therefore, sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A to implead Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division as Respondent no. 2.

Leave to amend the O.A is granted. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that he will amend the O.A forthwith and serve the amended copy on the added Respondents within one week. Added Respondent should file affidavit in reply on the issue raised by the Applicant and also produce the roaster register maintained for the purpose of promotion of Class-III employees to Class-II from Animal Husbandry Department.

S.O to 20.12.2016. ~~PH~~ PH

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. **RAJIV AGARWAL**
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri **R. B. MALIK** (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 20/12/16.

PH
[Signature]

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.112/2016

Ms. V.S. Jalke ... Applicant


Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO is being ^{witnessed} assisted by Shri S.P. Deshmukh, Under Secretary, MPSC. The sealed envelope is opened and it appears that the Applicant scored 66^{1/2} marks. The result be placed back and handed back to the Officer above named. The Respondents are directed to declare this result officially within one week from today.

S.O. to 13th December, 2016.



(R.B. Malik) 29-11-16
Member (J)
29.11.2016

(skw)

DATE: 29/11/16

CORAM: Shri R.B. Malik (mlj)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 13/12/16



[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri R.B. Malik (MJ)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>6/12/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.858/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.C. Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The Respondent No.2 has not filed the Affidavit-in-reply. OA proceeds without the reply of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>S.O. to 6th December, 2016 for Rejoinder.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 29.11.2016 <u>29-11-16</u> </div> <p>(skw)</p>


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri R.B. Malik (mlj)</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Admit Liberty to mention granted.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>MLK</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.990/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.J. Bandekar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Shri Lonkar informs that the Applicants do not want to file Rejoinder. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  <u>(R.B. Malik)</u> Member (J) 29.11.2016 </div> <p>(skw)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.11.2016.

C.A.No.44 of 2014 in O.A.No.364 of 2011

V.Y. Mokashi.

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate Shri V.V. Joshi prays for time to take step to move the Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court for early date for hearing, and made statement to adjourned to 17.01.2017.

3. S.O. to 17.01.2017.

DATE: 29/11/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 12/1/17.

BFL

nmn

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.11.2016.

O.A.No.1019 of 2015

J.V. Patil

.....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. The Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. In view of the foregoing, Adjourned to 01.12.2016.

DATE : 29/11/2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 1/12/2016

[Handwritten signature]

nmn

[Stamp]
Sd/-
[Handwritten signature]
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/11/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>17/11/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>nmn</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.11.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.434 of 2015</p> <p>A.P. KhareApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for adjourned on 17.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Sd/-</u> <u>(A.H. Joshi J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">nmn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/11/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Lata Patne</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>30/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>147</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.11.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.11 of 2016 in O.A.No.1053 of 2013</p> <p>A.R. JadhavarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">nmn</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>