

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.607 of 2024 in O.A. No.177 of 2020</p> <p>M.S. NigewanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that one copy of M.A. is served to the office of CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.652 of 2024 in O.A. No.1267 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In Order dated 22.10.2024 it was observed:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">It is submitted by the learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Sahebrao Bhoje, Desk Officer & Shri Amol Pawar, Assistant from Women and Child Development Department that since Reply dated 17.10.2024 is yet to be received by the concerned authorities no coercive action in any case is going to be taken within next one week.</p> <p>3. Today matter is going to be adjourned. It would be proper to extend Interim Relief till next date. Concerned authorities shall not take coercive action against the Applicant till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.11.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.670 of 2024 with M.A. No.671 of 2024 in O.A. No.589 of 2017</p> <p>V.Y. KhandebharadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated in the M.A. No.670/2024, Condonation of delay is allowed. M.A. No.670/2024 is allowed.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in the M.A. No.671/2024 legal heirs should be brought on record within four weeks. M.A. No.671/2024 is also allowed</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits to the Court.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.523 of 2024 in O.A. No.750 of 2020</p> <p>D.R. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that to consider case of the Applicant for promotion meeting of the DPC was held on 14.10.2024, in this meeting case of the Applicant is considered and it is proposed that on promotion he shall be posted at Thane.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.10.2024

O.A. No.1432 of 2024

A.S. Mankar & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri S.A. Ambulkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 29.10.2024

M.A. No.677 of 2024 in O.A. No.1432 of 2024

A.S. Mankar & Ors.Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri S.A. Ambulkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants by M.A. No.677 of 2024 in O.A. No.1432 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.677 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.677 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

**(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.547 OF 2024

**DISTRICT : SINDHUDURG
SUBJECT : SUSPENSION**

Rajendra Dattatray Ghunkikar

)... **Applicant**

Versus

The Dy. Conservator of Forest (T)
Sindhudurg – 416 510.

)
)... **Respondents**

Shri Makarand D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri Ashok J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29.10.2024

OPERATIVE ORDER

- 1) The Original Application No.547/2024 is Allowed.
- 2) No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

Place: Mumbai
Date: 29.10.2024.
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

Uploaded on: _____

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.31/2024 in O.A.No.394/2022</p> <p>D.K. KokaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 06.08.2024 the Contempt Notice was issued pursuant to which the District Collector, Pune has filed his affidavit-in-reply. It is not in dispute that the only part of the order of which compliance remains pertains to deemed date. This was observed by the Tribunal in its previous orders as well. Paragraph 9 of the affidavit-in-reply of District Collector, Pune shows that at the relevant point of time there was no vacant post in Revenue Department to appoint the applicant as per order of this Tribunal. There were only two options available to the authorities' viz. either to challenge the order of this Tribunal or to comply with it. This being the position a detailed reply of District Collector, Pune would be necessary.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that she will file detailed reply on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2024

O.A.No.1277/2024

V.S. KedareApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has placed on record order of posting of the applicant dated 28.10.2024 (marked as 'X' for identification.)

3. Since the grievance of the applicant is redressed, O.A. is disposed off.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2024

O.A.No.1392/2024

S.S. Mortale & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere along with Mr. Sachin A. Ambulkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On behalf of the applicants detailed submissions were made for grant of interim order.

3. Learned C.P.O., on instructions, has made a statement that recommendation list is received but appointment orders will not be issued immediately or at any rate before 13.11.2024. Issue of interim relief is kept open.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.11.2024.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 13.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member(J)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.53/2024 in O.A.No.336/2015</p> <p>R.A. BadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The order of which Contempt is alleged is dated 12.07.2016 passed in O.A.No.336/2015. The grievance of the Applicant is that in spite of specific order passed by the Tribunal his services were terminated on untenable grounds. It is a matter of record that the applicant has challenged the order of his termination in O.A.No.510/2024.</p> <p>3. On 31.07.2024 order was passed in O.A.No.510/2024. It is the submission of learned Counsel for the Applicant that elaborate observations made in order dated 31.07.2024 would substantiate his contentions that the respondents have committed Contempt of order passed by this Tribunal by terminating services of the applicant. It is a matter of record that O.A.No.510/2024 is still pending therefore it would be proper to wait for some time before proceeding in this Contempt Application because the issue of validity of termination order of the applicant is yet to be decided by this Tribunal. Learned Counsel for the Applicant shall be at liberty to take steps for early disposal of O.A.No.510/2024.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.600/2024</p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kulkarni ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Mr. K.R. Jagdale with Ms. Mandakini Bangale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.5 to 10.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.D. Patil/Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Ms. Bangale seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (M.A. Lovekar) Member(A) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.489/2024</p> <p>S.S. WalkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Vinay Nair holding for Mr. V.V. Kabade, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that today Department has filed short affidavit-in-reply and more time needed to ascertain whether detailed reply is required to be filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.11.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.677 of 2024 in O.A. No.1432 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. Mankar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.A. Ambulkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.677 of 2024 in O.A. No.1432 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.677 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.677 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1273 of 2024</p> <p>Shri S. B. GawdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit contending that during pendency of this matter, the competent authority has made necessary correction in Date of Birth of the Applicant in Service Book on verifying documents i.e. School Leaving Certificate.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that in view of Para No.3 and 4 of the Affidavit dated 29.10.2024 filed by Respondent No.2, now nothing survives in the matter and it be disposed of.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No. 511 of 2024</p> <p>R. B. Bhil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has submitted in response to order dated 16.04.2023 of this Tribunal that he has received communication dated 28.10.2024 from the office of S.P. Nandurbar. It is placed on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification. 3. According to learned P.O., the applications of these Applicants for 'Inter District Transfer' are disposed of in meeting held on 05.12.2022. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicants wants to confirm this fact from the concerned Applicants. 5. According to learned P.O. Now, there is vacancy of 324 posts of cadre of Police Constable and Applicants will have to move another applications on which the concerned authority will take decision. 5. In the meantime, the Respondents to take steps for filing reply positively on next date. 6. S.O. to 26.11.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.668 of 2024 in O.A.No.352 of 2023</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Applicant (Ori. Respondents.)</p> <p>Versus Mrs. N. Katti & Ors.Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) to file reply to M.A. filed by learned P.O. for Applicants (Ori. Respondents).</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A. No.352/2023. It be kept with M.A.No.668/2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.643 of 2024</p> <p>H. T. Pansare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 15. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2024

M.A.No.598 of 2024 in O.A.No.1212 of 2024

K. S. Bharte

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 05.12.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.738 of 2023</p> <p>P. N. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. In response to order of this Tribunal dated 04.08.2023, learned P.O. submits that instructions are received but the concerned officer is transferred and so consent from the successor will be necessary and therefore she seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1174 of 2022</p> <p>A. Y. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.12.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

Vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.10.2024

O.A.No.490 OF 2024

B. K. PawarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is ready but affirmation is pending and seeks time to file the same.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.10.2024

O.A. Nos.145, 146 & 147 of 2020

P. P. Ghag & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. D. Kurane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad with Smt. Archana B. K. learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO submits that reply in all three matters are ready and she is going to file the same during the course of the day. statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.10.2024

O.A.No.1133 of 2024

C. A. JadhavApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant insisting for taking up the matter early as no decision is taken by the Government.
3. Learned P.O. submits that he will file short Affidavit after vacation.
4. Considering the nature of O.A., learned P.O. to file reply positively on next date.
5. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

of this Tribunal. While quashing and setting aside the impugned orders of suspension the Tribunal observed as follows :

“In my considered opinion, the Election Commission of India has hastily directed the Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra to suspend the applicants.”

The Tribunal further observed,

“It appears that the Election Commission of India has issued suspension orders of the applicants for extraneous reasons by way of victimization. I agree with the submissions made on behalf of the applicants that the applicants have been made scapegoat in the entire process.”

3. By order dated 22.04.2024 the directions were issued to reinstate Smt. Varsha Landge and both the applicants before this Tribunal, immediately.

4. It is also not in dispute that the Respondent No.1 subsequently decided to drop the proposed Departmental action against Smt. Varsha Landge as well as both the Applicants before this Tribunal. On the basis of these undisputed facts it was submitted that the impugned order is malafide and discriminatory.

5. The impugned order has made an exception by allowing Smt. Varsha Landge to continue on her present post and perform the Election duties as RO/ERO of Purandar AC. It was submitted by learned Counsel for the Applicants that allegations against Smt. Varsha Landge and these two Applicants were identical and hence there was absolutely no reason to treat them differently. It is also not in dispute that during the Elections to Lok Sabha, Smt. Varsha Landge as well as both these Applicants were allotted Election Duty but at the stage of counting of votes they were directed to keep away from Election Duty for one day i.e. on 04.06.2024.

6. In addition to raising the ground of discrimination the Applicants have contended that the impugned order is stigmatic. It is pointed out that the impugned order refers to communication dated 03.10.2024 which formed

the basis therefor. Copy of this communication is not annexed to reply of Respondents No.4 and 5.

7. The directives issued by the Election Commission of India dated 31.07.2024 inter alia state :

“(iv) It is further directed that the officers/officials against whom the Commission had recommended disciplinary action in past and which is pending or which has resulted in a penalty or the officers who have been charged for any lapse in any election or election related work in the past, shall not be assigned any election related duty. However, an officer who was transferred during any past election under the Commission's order without any recommendation of disciplinary actions will not be, just on this ground, considered for transfer, unless specifically so directed by the Commission. A copy of the Commission's instruction number 464/INST/2008-EPS dated 23rd December 2008 regarding tracking of names of tainted officers is enclosed. CEOs must ensure its compliance.”

8. It was submitted by learned Counsel for the Applicants that none of the contingencies stipulated in Clause (iv) was attracted in the case of the Applicants. The disciplinary action which was contemplated against the applicants was subsequently dropped. It is not the case of Respondents No.4 and 5 that any point of time penalty was imposed on them. It was submitted that the words in *“or the officers who have been charged for any lapse in any election or election related work in the past, shall not be assigned any election related duty”* are required to be interpreted keeping in view the facts of this case. It may be reiterated that the contemplated Departmental action against the applicants was dropped. They were not penalized on any previous occasion. Therefore, prima facie, this particular contingency, in our opinion, was not attracted.

9. It was submitted by learned Counsel for Respondents No.4 and 5 that allotment of Election related duties is a prerogative of Election Commission of India and no person can claim it as a matter of right. The applicants are primarily seeking interim relief in the nature of stay to the effect and implementation of the impugned order on the ground that the impugned order, unless it is stayed, will operate as stigma. Respondents No.4 and 5 seek to rely on judgment dated 29.03.2019 passed by the **Hon'ble High**

Court of Andhra Pradesh in I.A.No.2/2019 in Writ Petition No.4376/2019, The State of Andhra Pradesh Vs. Election Commission of India & Anr. In the case before the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh an order which was styled as one of transfer was impugned. Keeping in view the facts of the case, the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh observed,

"The apprehension of the applicants that in the eye of the public or otherwise, it may amount to stigma, is without any substance. The impugned order does not cast any stigma on the applicant. Once the election process is over, they will be reverted back to their original position and therefore they cannot have any grievance whatsoever."

10. In the instant case, on facts, we have come to the conclusion that the impugned order, prima facie, is stigmatic in nature. We have, therefore, come to the conclusion that the effect and implementation of order dated 15.10.2024 is required to be stayed till next date. It is, accordingly stayed till the next date.
11. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.11.2024.
13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
15. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

16. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

17. Adjourned to 26.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member(J)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.672 of 2024 in M.A.No.673 of 2024 in O.A.No.543 of 2022</p> <p>K. V. Khandebharad & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.672/2024 is for condonation of delay of 12 days in filing O.A.No.543/2024.</p> <p>3. According to learned Advocate for Applicant the delay of 12 days is for bringing legal heirs on record. The Applicant No.1 is the wife and Applicant Nos.2 and 3 are children of deceased Original Applicant late Shri Vijaykumar Khandebharad. Learned Advocate for Applicants submits that the competent authority has issued Certificate of Death of deceased Applicant late Shri Vijaykumar Khandebharad on 14.10.2024 due to which there is a delay in filing the application for bringing legal heirs on record. The contents in application are supported by Affidavit.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has no objection for grant of application for condonation of delay.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In view of above, Misc. Application No.672/2024 is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. M.A.No.673/2024 is filed for substitution of legal heirs of deceased applicant late Shri Vijaykumar Khandebharad on record who died on 07.09.2024 in O.A.No.543/2022</p> <p>7. During the pendency of O.A. the Applicant died leaving behind the widow and two children. They want to continue with O.A. The legal heirs need to be brought on record in place of deceased Applicant. The contentions are supported by Affidavit.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. has no objection for this prayer.</p> <p>9. In view of above, M.A.No.673/2024 is allowed. Names of legal heirs be substituted in place of deceased Applicant in O.A.No.673/2024 within two weeks.</p> <p>10. O.A.No.543/2022 is adjourned to 29.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. /Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1149 of 2024</p> <p>P.A. BhadaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that before next date he will take instructions as directed in Para 2 of Order dated 01.10.2024 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2024 for making statement basis on instruction.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1352 of 2024</p> <p>S.P. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that till this point of time the Applicant has not received any communication as to whether her Application for Voluntary Retirement is accepted or not.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.10.2024

O.A. No.1439 of 2024

A.R. SinghApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Smt. P. Malik, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.11.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 04.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1091 of 2024 with O.A. No.1150 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. Ghukse P.D. Balkhande S.R. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri M.U. Rajput, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent in O.A. No.1091/2024 is absent. 2. On request of learned Advocate for Applicant, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department is allowed to be added as party Respondent forthwith. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice to all Respondents including newly added Respondent before admission returnable on 20.11.2024. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>O. A. No.534 of 2022</p> <p>Shivanand JattiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file additional Sur-Rejoinder. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>
	<p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2024

O.A.No.1298 of 2023

G. D. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. During the course of arguments, learned P.O. raised contention that one Shri Avinash Yadav is already appointed as Police Patil in village Godeshwar (Begampur), Taluka Mohol, Dist. Solapur, he is necessary party. In that connection, the Applicant has filed Affidavit with contention that appointment order of Shri Avinash Yadav is subject to decision of Tribunal or Hon'ble High Court and there is no need to add Avinash Yadav as party Respondent No.2.
3. Now, learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted that leave may be granted to add Avinash Yadav as party Respondent as he is appointed as Police Patil during pendency of Original Application.
4. In order to decide the matter effectually on merit, the prayer for granting leave to add Avinash Yadav as party Respondent is allowed.
5. Applicant to take necessary steps to add Avinash Yadav as party Respondent No.2. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and copy be served to other side.
6. S.O. to 26.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.443 of 2024 in O.A.884 of 2024 with M.A.No.508 of 2024 in O.A.997 of 2024 With M.A.No.509 of 2024 in O.A.998 of 2024 With M.A.No.510 of 2024 in O.A.999 of 2024</p> <p>I. V. Chimane B. T. Ingale P. J. Temkar V. D. ArolkarApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil with Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply in M.A.Nos.443, 508 and 510 of 2024. He seeks time to file reply in M.A.No.509/2024. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> </div>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.200 of 2024</p> <p>S. M. GophaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt M. E. Paralkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to get certain information from the Respondents.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 13.12.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1367 of 2023</p> <p>V. B. AcharekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Shetye, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougue, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that some of the retiral benefits are given to Applicant and provisional pension is also started. He seeks two weeks' time to take further instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 849 OF 2024

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri Prashant Subhash Bedse)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri S.B. Talekar a/w. Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan, learned Advocates
for Applicants

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Shri D.S. Kachare, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4

Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5

CORAM : Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)

DATE : 29.10.2024

OPERATIVE ORDER

1. The Original Application is Partly Allowed.
2. No order as to Costs.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.414 OF 2024
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.522 OF 2024
AND
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.527 OF 2024**

DISTRICT : NASHIK
Sub.:- Transfer

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.414 OF 2024

Ashok Vithal Malwad.

...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra.
2. Additional Director General of Prison
3. Special Inspector General of Prison **...Respondents**

**WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.522 OF 2024**

Prakash Dnyanoba Paikrao

...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra.
2. Additional Director General of Prison
3. The Superintendent. **...Respondents**

**AND
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.527 OF 2024**

Asad Juber Momin.

...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra.
2. Additional Director General of Prison
3. The Superintendent. **...Respondents**

Shri S.S. Dere, Advocate for Applicants.

Smt. Archana B.K, and Shri A.D. Gugale Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member-A

DATE : 29.10.2024

OPERATIVE ORDER

The Original Application is allowed with following directions:-

- (i) The OA Nos.414/2024, OA No.522/2024 & OA No.527/2024 are Allowed.
- (ii) The 'Additional D.G.P. Prisons & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' Order dated 29.01.2024 for temporary attachment of services of Applicant to 'Central Prisons' at Kolhapur, Amravati and Nagpur and subsequent Order dated 12.07.2024 to shift them laterally again to 'Central Prison' and 'District Prison' at Nagpur Chandrapur, Sindudurg are quashed and set aside.
- (iii) The 'Additional D.G.P. Prisons & Correctional Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' to issue fresh 'Order' within 'One Week' to permit Applicants to join back on their earlier posts of 'Jailor Group-I' and 'Jailor Group-II' in 'Central Prison' at Nashik.
- (iv) No Order as to costs.

Sd/-

(DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY)
Member-A

Mumbai

Date : 29.09.2024

Dictation taken by :

A.G.Rajeshirke

D:\Audi\2024\Judgment 2024\operative part\O.A.414_2024 with O.A.522_2024 & OA 527_2024_Operative Part. (Transfer)_29.10.2024.docx

Uploaded on

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.282 OF 2024

**DISTRICT: THANE
SUBJECT: TRANSFER**

Dr. Rupali Shankar Satpute

.....**Applicant**

VERSUS

- 1] The State of Maharashtra,
- 2] The District Collector and District
- 3] The Chief Executive Officer,
- 4] The Chief Electoral Officer, (M.S.)
- 5] Dr. Karuna Amait Juikar,

...**RESPONDENTS**

Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant.

Shri Ashok J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4.

Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5

CORAM : **Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)**

DATE : **29.10.2024**

OPERATIVE ORDER

The Original Application is dismissed with following directions:-

- (A) The O.A. No. 282/2024 stands Dismissed.
- (B) The (a) 'Government Order dated 23.02.2024 of Rural Development Department' in respect of transfer Applicant to post of 'Project Director DRDA Jalna' & (b) 'Government Order dated 08.11.2023 of

Rural Development Department in respect of transfer Respondent No.5 to post of 'Project Director, DRDA Palghar' are to be implemented within 'One Week'. However, only in an eventuality of these posts to which Applicant and Respondent No.5 had been transferred earlier are filled up during the intervening period since filing of this O.A. No. 282/2024, then Rural Development Department as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' would also be at liberty to re-transfer Applicant and/ or Respondent No. 5 as the case may be; within period of 'Four Weeks' to any other available posts in cadre of 'Additional CEO' but outside 'Thane District'. However considering their 'Personal Hardships' if 'Administrative Exigencies' so permit; then Applicant and/or 'Respondent No.5' may be given re-transfer to any other available posts in cadre of 'Additional CEO' within 'Konkan Division'.

- (C) The post of 'Additional CEO ZP, Thane' to be filled up within 'One Week' by 'Rural Development Department' as 'Cadre Controlling Authority' through transfer of any other eligible officer from cadre of 'Additional CEO'.
- (D) No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Place: Mumbai

Date:

Dictation taken by: A.R. Rajeshirke

D:\Aditi\2024\Judgment 2024\operative part\O.A 282 of 2024 J. (Transfer)_29.10.2024_Operative Part. (Transfer)_29.10.2024.docx

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.818 OF 2023

DISTRICT : NASHIK
SUBJECT : TRANSFER

Dr. (Shri) Dilip Abaji Shengal,)... **Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.,)... **Respondents**

Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29.10.2024.

OPERATIVE ORDER

- (i) The Original Application No. 818/2023 is Allowed
- (ii) The Applicant to be transferred within 'Two Weeks' to post of 'Live Stock Development Officer' at 'Veterinary Poly Clinic Nashik, Tahsil Nashik, District Nashik'.
- (iii) No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)**

Place: Mumbai
Date: 29.10.2024
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

Uploaded on: _____
D:\NAIK\2024\03-Judgment 2024\10-October 2024\O.A. No.818 of 2023_J. _____ (Transfer) doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.711 of 2024 to O.A. No.713 of 2024 with O.A. No.714 of 2024 with O.A. No.715 of 2024</p> <p>P.D. Halade K.J. Mahadik S.H. Gawali S.D. Mane S.S. BolaikarApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.D Gugale with Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder in the above Group of O.As which are stated to be connected.</p> <p>3. Time till next date is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. All the above O.As be tagged together.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.475 of 2024</p> <p>A.J. Kale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.A. Ambulkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim Relief, if any, to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.10.2024

O.A. No.1275 of 2023

K.K. Sangh

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri Y.S. Gharal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On Oral request of learned C.P.O., S.O. 27.11.2024 for filing Reply as a last chance.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1052 of 2024</p> <p>S.M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 shall decide representation of the Applicant dated 30.04.2024 within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of this order, if it is not already decided, and communicate his decision forthwith to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.12.2024.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1496 of 2023</p> <p>S.L. GavaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned P.O., S.O. 19.11.2024 for filing Reply as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1431 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. UgalmugaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri A.K. Kotecha, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.11.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. This O.A. be tagged with companion O.A. No. viz O.A. No.1211/2024, O.A. No.1246/2024, O.A. No.1268/2024 & O.A. No.1431/2024. 9. S.O. to 18.11.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.10.2024

O.A. No.1429 of 2024

A.S. Ukirde

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.10.2024

O.A. No.1371 of 2024

S.S. LingamApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri V.K. Bolaikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 & 3 and Smt. Shivani S.K., learned Advocate for Respondent No.2- Maharashtra State Legal Service Authority.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.11.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.233 of 2024 in O.A. No.1025 of 2021 FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus S.G. Raut (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 1st four words in Para 3 of Order dated 21.10.2024 shall stand deleted, where after Para 3 of Order shall read as follows:-The department shall not proceed further and till the next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1273 of 2024</p> <p>Shri S. B. GawdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit contending that during pendency of this matter, the competent authority has made necessary correction in Date of Birth of the Applicant in Service Book on verifying documents i.e. School Leaving Certificate.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that in view of Para No.3 and 4 of the Affidavit dated 29.10.2024 filed by Respondent No.2, now nothing survives in the matter and it be disposed of.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.672 of 2024 in M.A.No.673 of 2024 in O.A.No.543 of 2022</p> <p>K. V. Khandebharad & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.672/2024 is for condonation of delay of 12 days in filing O.A.No.543/2024.</p> <p>3. According to learned Advocate for Applicant the delay of 12 days is for bringing legal heirs on record. The Applicant No.1 is the wife and Applicant Nos.2 and 3 are children of deceased Original Applicant late Shri Vijaykumar Khandebharad. Learned Advocate for Applicants submits that the competent authority has issued Certificate of Death of deceased Applicant late Shri Vijaykumar Khandebharad on 14.10.2024 due to which there is a delay in filing the application for bringing legal heirs on record. The contents in application are supported by Affidavit.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has no objection for grant of application for condonation of delay.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. In view of above, Misc. Application No.672/2024 is allowed with no order as to costs.
6. M.A.No.673/2024 is filed for substitution of legal heirs of deceased applicant late Shri Vijaykumar Khandebharad on record who died on 07.09.2024 in O.A.No.543/2022
7. During the pendency of O.A. the Applicant died leaving behind the widow and two children. They want to continue with O.A. The legal heirs need to be brought on record in place of deceased Applicant. The contentions are supported by Affidavit.
8. Learned P.O. has no objection for this prayer.
9. In view of above, M.A.No.673/2024 is allowed. Names of legal heirs be substituted in place of deceased Applicant in O.A.No.673/2024 within two weeks.
10. O.A.No.543/2022 is adjourned to 29.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N./Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1424 of 2024

R.S. Rajmane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)
29.10.2024

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p>Date : 29.10.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No. 511 of 2024</p> <p>R. B. Bhil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has submitted in response to order dated 16.04.2023 of this Tribunal that he has received communication dated 28.10.2024 from the office of S.P. Nandurbar. It is placed on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification. 3. According to learned P.O., the applications of these Applicants for 'Inter. District Transfer' are disposed of in meeting held on 05.12.2022. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicants wants to confirm this fact from the concerned Applicants. 5. According to learned P.O. Now, there is vacancy of 327 posts of cadre of Police Constable and Applicants will have to move another applications on which the concerned authority will take decision. 5. In the meantime, the Respondents to take steps for filing reply positively on next date. 6. S.O. to 26.11.2024. <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto;"></div> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> </div>
--	---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1433 of 2024

M.P. Jadhav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Respondent No.2 shall decide representation of the applicant dated 19.8.2024 (Annexure A-3) before 19.11.2024 and communicate decision to the applicant forthwith.
3. Ld. PO states that representative of the respondents shall file all the papers pertaining to transfer of the applicant.
4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

SD/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)
29.10.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1213 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.P. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions from Smt. Supriya Bankar, Desk Officer, Urban Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai makes a statement that after election duty is over the applicant shall be reposted as Chief Officer, Kulgaon-Badlapur Municipal Council, District Thane.</p> <p>4. In view of the statement made by the Ld. PO, Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that OA be disposed off. OA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">SD/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1375 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.P. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By order dated 8.2.2022 (Annexure A-2) the applicant was transferred from Wadgaon Nimbalkar Police Station to Headquarter. By order dated 16.1.2024 (Annexure A-5) the applicant was transferred to Upper Baramati.</p> <p>3. By order dated 10.10.2024 (Annexure A-4) the applicant shown to have been transferred from Upper Baramati to Supa. By order dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure A-1) the applicant was transferred from Upper Baramati to Headquarter.</p> <p>4. First grievance of the applicant is that he was unjustifiably subjected to frequent transfers.</p> <p>5. According to the Ld. PO the post at Upper Baramathi was not sanctioned and therefore the impugned order was issued. His further submission is that by passing the impugned order error committed at the time of issuing order dated 10.10.2024 in respect of the applicant was sought to be corrected. It is submitted that if this was indeed the case these details should have been mentioned in the impugned order but these details are not there.</p> <p>6. On all these grounds interim relief in the nature of stay to the effect and implementation of the impugned order to the extent of the applicant is sought. This is preliminarily ^{not} opposed by the Ld. PO on the ground ^{that} the applicant has not joined on the transferred post.</p> <p>7. On considering rival submissions, I have come to the conclusion that case is made out to pass the interim order.</p> <p>8. The effect and implementation of the impugned order to the extent of the applicant shall stand stayed till the next date. The applicant shall be permitted to work on the post at Upper Baramati. <i>till next date</i></p> <p>9. S.O. to 22.11.2024. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1416 of 2024

A.U. Sharmale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

SD/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)
29.10.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.674/2024 in O.A. No.1424 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.S. Rajmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">SD/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1001 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Vasekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply is filed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to ascertain and file rejoinder, if deemed necessary.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.</p> <p align="right">SD/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1148 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.D. Mundhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">  SD/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.1253 & 1254 of 2024</u>
	C.M. Suryawanshi V.P. Patil ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO seeks time till next date to take instructions and make a statement.
	3. S.O. to 14.11.2024.
	SD/-
	(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024
(sgj)	


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1223 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.R. Kenche ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply of respondent no.4 and the same is taken on record. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.</p> <p align="right">  SD/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024 </p> <p>(sgi)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1035, 1036, 1039, 1040, 1047 & 1055 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.S. Jagate & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.11.2024. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p align="right">  SD/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 29.10.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>