

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.901 of 2019 with O.A. No.902 of 2019 with O.A. No.1010 of 2019 with O.A. No.1011 of 2019 with O.A. No.137 of 2020 with O.A. No.293 of 2019 with O.A. No.09 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Palkar M.W. Bhogan A.G. Bhat A.B. Sawant R.A. Khedekar D.R. Dhumal S.L. GholapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.A. Sugdare, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.901/19, O.A. No.902/19, O.A. No.1010/19, O.A. No.1011/19 & O.A. No.137/2020, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.293/19 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.09/2020 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is being conducted by learned C.P.O. but she is unable to remain present today on account of demise of her father, and therefore, requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. These O.As. are being adjourned for last 2 to 3 days on the ground that Government want to file S.L.P. against the order of Hon'ble High Court passed in Writ Petition No.3118/2021 confirming the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A. No.238/2016 and in R.A. No.21/2019. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. All these O.As are arising from the similar situation, and therefore, the Applicants Counsel are relying on the decision rendered in O.A. No.238/2016 which have attended finality. Till date no S.L.P. is filed though enough time is availed. Indeed as pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant the stand of Government is contrary since the Government accepted the position before the Hon'ble High Court in connected matters i.e. Writ Petition Nos. 6882/2021, 5083/2021, 6883/2021, 6884/2021, 6885/2021 decided on 20.10.2021 and Writ Petition No.7004/2021 decided on 21.10.2021 by the Hon'ble High Court are also placed on record.</p> <p>5. As such there seems to be no substance in Government stand that they want to file S.L.P. However on request of learned P.O. O.As are adjourned by way of last chance with specific direction that learned C.P.O. should ensure that the matter are argued on next date without fail.</p> <p>6. In O.A. No.09/2020, learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale raised grievance that though his Applicant is retired on 29.02.2020 he is not getting provisional Pension regularly, and therefore, it is causing severe hardship. Whereas, learned P.O. on instruction from Shri Dyaneshwar Pingat, _____ Clerk stated that the proposal is already finalized and bill is sent to treasury to pay provisional Pension to the Applicant.</p> <p>7. Shri Dyaneshwar Pingat is directed to ensure that treasury processes the bill immediately and provisional Pension is paid to the Applicant before Diwali vacation.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.874 of 2021</p> <p>A.J.A.W. KaziApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.11.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Issue of interim relief is kept open. 9. S.O. to 18.11.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.815 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. AadateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.A. Dagade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to amend prayer Clause of O.A. since it is not correctly worded.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.863 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. M.M. BagwanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed to implement transfer order dated 17.09.2021 whereby the Applicant was transferred from Sub District Hospital, Sawantwadi to Indira Gandhi Memorial Hospital, Ichalkaranji, Dist. Kolhapur. 3. Despite letter of Deputy Director, Health Service, Kolhapur dated 23.9.2021 Civil Surgeon has not relieved the Applicant, and therefore, this O.A. is filed. 4. Learned P.O. submits that she will take instructions and requested for time. 5. Once, Government has passed transfer order dated 17.09.2021, the Applicant ought to have been relieved in terms of letter issued by Deputy Director dated 23.09.2021. 6. If, for some reason the Applicant is retain for some days, in that event also period of more than one month is over and there is no change in transfer order dated 17.09.2021. 7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondents to implement transfer order dated 17.09.2021 and the Applicant to be relieved within two weeks. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.269 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. SaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is unable to satisfy how O.A. is maintainable as per the query raised by the Tribunal in order dated 16.09.2021.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is claiming benefits of advanced increment w.e.f. 01.10.2007 whereas in view of 6th Pay commission recommendation the claim of advanced increment has been taken away. This being the position the question of benefits of advanced increment which is now claimed after retirement appears not maintainable.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to ^{clarify} specify how O.A. is maintainable.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.281 of 2018</p> <p>R.J. PandharpurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that M.A. is already filed in the office but it is not placed along with record of O.A.</p> <p>3. M.A. seems to have been filed today only and it is in scrutiny of the office.</p> <p>4. Office is directed to place the M.A. before the Tribunal on next date along with O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 21.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.571 of 2021 with M.A. No.323 of 2021 with O.A. No.572 of 2021 with M.A. No.325 of 2021 with O.A. No.573 of 2021 with M.A. No.327 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. Thool A.N. Bhagat M.P. IgaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri (Dr.) Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in all O.As., Smt. A S. kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants i/b Sr. Counsel Shri A.Y. Sakare in all M.As. and for Respondent No.3 in O.A. No.572/2021 & O.A. No.573/2021, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ramesh Dube Patil, learned Advocate holding for Jay and Co., learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3 in O.A. No.571/2021, Respondent No. 4 in O.A. No.572/2021</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate Smt. A.S. Kolapkar holding for Sr. Counsel Shri A.Y. Sakare, adjourned for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.455 of 2021 in O.A. No.221 of 2020</p> <p>S.V. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.K. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. No.455/2021 is filed for restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order of filing Affidavit within stipulated period.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice to decide the O.A. on merit, O.A. is resorted and order of dismissal of O.A. is recalled.</p> <p>4. M.A. No.455/2021 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.151 of 2020 in O.A. No.221 of 2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.V. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.K. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. has been filed challenging communication dated 07.12.2019 rejecting the claim of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground. However in misconception the lawyer appearing earlier has filed the O.A. along with M.A. No.151/2020 for condonation of delay in the assumption that limitation period is 90 days whereas it is one year in terms of provision of Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985, therefore question of Condonation of Delay does not survives. M.A. No.151/2020 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.221 of 2020</p> <p>S.V. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.K. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A. It is taken on record. In O.A. there is no prayer to quash and set aside impugned order dated 07.12.2019 and when it is brought to notice, Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to amend the O.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.827 of 2019</p> <p>S.R. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has instruction from the client to withdraw the O.A. since he appears settled at the place where he is transferred by order dated 31.05.2019.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.450 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. MosamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.852 of 2021</p> <p>N.K. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Apparently suspension order is impermissible since charge-sheet has been issued under Rule 10 of M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 and not under Rule 8 of the Rules.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. sought short time to file Affidavit-in-Reply to explain the situation.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1110 of 2019</p> <p>B. R. SangleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned after vacation to submit the charge sheet showing the details of ^{due} duty date of payment, actual date of payment, the period of delay item wise to facilitate the hearing and disposal of O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.864/2021

Smt. J.R. Sonkawade

... Applicant

Vs.

**The State of Maharashtra
& Ors.**

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 16th November, 2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 16th November, 2021. Interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
29.10.2021

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.778 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. MisalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 27.08.2021 whereby on promotion, he is posted as Excise Inspector, M/s Akash Traders, FL-1, Mumbai. 3. The transfer order dated 27.08.2021 is challenged solely on the ground that he is suffering from cancer, and therefore, requires his posting at Mumbai to facilitate the treatment. 4. Indeed, the impugned order dated 27.08.2021 is not transfer order, it is posting on promotion. Apart the Applicant has already joined at promotion post, and therefore, the question of interim relief does not survive. 5. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that permission be granted to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation to the competent authority for transfer on the ground of illness and directions be issued to decide the same within stipulated period. 6. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation to the Respondents within a month. 7. If any such representation is made, the Respondents shall decide the same within two months from receipt of the representation in accordance to rules and the decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter. 8. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.497 of 2020</p> <p>H.P. WaghelaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Neelam Moray, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. 1. Appearing Advocate stated that she is filing her Vakalatnama in place of Advocate Shri P.L. Rathod who was conducting the matter. 3. She therefore requested for time to prepare the mater. 4. S.O. to 30.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.461 of 2020</p> <p>D.J. AmbilwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant is conducting the matter in person but absent today. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. To give one opportunity to the Applicant the matter is adjourned for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 29.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 08.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.428 of 2020 with O.A. No.429 of 2020 with O.A. No.430 of 2020 with O.A. No.431 of 2020</p> <p>N.R. Tekam Y.D. Ogale R.K. Giripunje M.R. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that all these matters are being conducted by Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. but due to illness he is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 453/2021 in O.A 348/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.B Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that two posts of Deputy Director of Education should be kept vacant till such time the Recruitment Rules of the amalgamated cadre are finalized by the Government.</p> <p>3. In this case, the two separate cadres of Education Officers and Administrative Officers, Class-I have been merged on 20.11.2019. However, the draft Recruitment Rules regarding their inter se seniority is in the process of finalization.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O on instructions submitted that the said file has been submitted to the M.P.S.C on 21.6.2021.</p> <p>5. In view of this, we direct that two posts in the cadre of Deputy Director be kept vacant till the final disposal of the Original Application.</p> <p>6. Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 348/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.B Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 7.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.481 of 2021 in O.A. No.1355 of 2009</u></p> <p>The Dy. Director of Health Services, Pune ..Applicant Vs. Mehmud K. Subhedar & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.824 of 2021</p> <p>A.D. TayadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p align="center">Operative Order</p> <p>(a) The order dated 13.10.2021 issued by the Respondent No.2 is hereby held incorrect, illegal and hence it is cancelled and the case of the Applicant is to be considered.</p> <p>(b) We appreciate the efforts of learned Advocate Ms. Mahajan and especially learned P.O. Mr. Gaikwad for their able assistance in this matter.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.848 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Chopde & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.463 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Chopde & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 848/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.D Chopde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants seek directions to the Respondents to assign deemed date to the applicants in the cadre of Police Sub Inspector, of the candidate selected in Limited Departmental Competitive Examination-2006, pursuant to the Merit List dated 10.11.2099, LDCE-2007, pursuant to the Merit List dated 23.11.2010, LDCE-2008 pursuant to the Merit List dated 15.3.2011 and accordingly, further consequential service benefits such as pay, arrears of pay, seniority and further promotion if any, on the basis of judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 2797/2015 dated 4.8.2017 and further the said judgment impliedly upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP (C) No. 30621/2011 in case of Jarnail Singh and others VS. Lachhmi Narain Gupta and Ors, dated 26.9.2019, and further in view opinion dated 22.7.2020 given by the Respondent no. 3 and interim order dted 22.4.2021 and 29.4.2021 in CWP No. 2438 of 2021 and further the policy of reservation in promotion fixed by the State of Maharashtra vide GR dated 7.5.2021.</p> <p>3. We do not want to go into the merits of the matter. However, earlier, this Tribunal has considered the case of the Police Constables, who have appeared for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examinations in the year 2007 or 2010.</p> <p>4. We have earlier passed orders in O.A 353/2021 dated 17.6.2021. The decision of the Tribunal dated 28.5.2021 in O.A 907/2028 is based on the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 22.4.2021, in Sudesh R. Kadam's case W.P (S) No. 97384/2020 and in Akhtar Hashim Shaikh's case, W.P 12319/2019 dated 21.5.2021.</p> <p>5. In view of the earlier orders of the Tribunal, we direct the Respondents to verify whether these five applicants are similarly situated to the applicants in the above referred Original Applications or the Writ Petitions.</p> <p>6. S.O to 17.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.50 of 2019</p> <p>V.T. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondent is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate are not attending the matter and they are frequently absent. They seem not interested in the matter. 4. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">LATER ON</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. In afternoon session Shri V.A. Sugdare, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant appears and requested to restore O.A. stating that due to some difficulties he could not remain present in the morning. 6. In view of above, order of dismissal is recalled and O.A. is restored. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. S.O. to 29.11.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurnekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. WaghmodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On previous date also learned Advocate for the Applicant was not present but the matter was adjourned. Thus Applicant and his Advocate seem not interest in the matter. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> In afternoon session Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant appears stating that he was busy in other Court and could not remain present in the morning seeing, and therefore requested to restore O.A. <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In view of above, order of dismiss in default is recalled and O.A. is resorted.</p> <p>8. Adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 30.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.443 of 2021 in O.A. No.647 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.D. Shelar & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 25.10.2021, Ld. PO informs that as of now 22 vacancies for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector are vacant.</p> <p>3. The observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in order dated 23.9.2021 passed in MA No.665/2021 in SMW (C) No.3/2020 are again reproduced for ready reference:</p> <p>8. <i>Therefore, we dispose of the M.A. No.665 of 2021 with the following directions: -</i></p> <p>I. <i>In computing the period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2021, if any, shall become available with effect from 03.10.2021.</i></p> <p>II. <i>In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 03.10.2021. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 03.10.2021, is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.</i></p> <p>III. <i>The period from 15.03.2020 till 02.10.2021 shall also stand excluded in computing the periods prescribed under Sections 23 (4) and 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Section 12A</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p><i>of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and provisos (b) and (c) of Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and any other laws, which prescribe period(s) of limitation for instituting proceedings, outer limits (within which the court or tribunal can condone delay) and termination of proceedings.</i></p> <p><i>W. The Government of India shall amend the guidelines for containment zones, to state, "Regulated movement will be allowed for medical emergencies, provision of essential goods and services, and other necessary functions, such as, time bound applications, including for legal purposes, and educational and job-related requirements."</i></p> <p>4. In view of this respondent no.1 is directed to send requisition to respondent no.2 for the remaining 22 vacant posts and respondent no.2-MPSC is directed to send the list of candidates as we hereby extend the life of waiting list for six months after 2.10.2021. The respondents are directed to complete the said exercise by 15.12.2021. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA adjourned to 17.12.2021. Hamdast.</p> <table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Member (A)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">29.10.2021</td> <td style="text-align: center;">29.10.2021</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	29.10.2021	29.10.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
29.10.2021	29.10.2021								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.335 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant is appearing in person but absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On previous date matter was adjourned on the request of the Applicant for filing Rejoinder but no Rejoinder is filed. 4. In the interest of justice adjourned for Final hearing. 5. S.O. to 29.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. WaghmodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On previous date also learned Advocate for the Applicant was not present but the matter was adjourned. 4. Thus Applicant and his Advocate seem not interest in the matter. 5. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.474 of 2021 in O.A.No.709 of 2021</p> <p>K. H. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed in pending O.A. restraining the Respondents from filling the post of Superintending Engineer, Vigilance Squad, Pune and direct them to keep the post vacant till the final decision of the O.A. 3. The O.A. is already fixed on 16.11.2021 for reply of the Respondents. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant referred the provisions of Maharashtra Government Allotment of Revenue Division for appointment by nomination and Promotion to the post of Group-A and B (Gazetted & Non-Gazetted) Rules, 2021 and has pointed out that the Applicant being physically disabled is exempted and entitled for division as per his choice. She has further pointed out that in terms of these rules, the vacancy position was to be notified so that a Government servant could give options grant to him. There were three posts vacant in Pune but those were not notified, and therefore, the Applicant was misled and have no choice except to give option of Nashik. It is in this scenario, the Applicant was given posting in Nashik division. The Applicant has also produced disability certificate. 5. M.A. is filed <i>inter-alia</i> contending that after filing O.A. recently the Government by order dated 18.10.2021 gave posting to two officers in Pune division and now only one post is left vacant. 6. Learned P.O. sought time to file reply to M.A. as well as O.A. 7. Having considered the pleading and submissions instead of restraining the Respondents from filling remaining post namely Superintending Engineer, Vigilance Squad, Pune, it is ordered that, if any, such post is filled in during the pendency of this proceeding, it would be subject to outcome of this O.A. 8. Hamdast granted. 9. S.O.to 16.11.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.50 of 2019</p> <p>V.T. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondent is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate are not attending the matter and they are frequently absent. They seem not interested in the matter. 4. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.653 of 2017</p> <p>Dr.M.C. Sing & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondent is present. In this matter learned Advocate for the Applicant had taken circulation for listing on 26.10.2021 and accordingly matter was listed on board on 26.10.2021, that day Applicant and his Advocate was present but he requested for adjournment and therefore matter was adjourned to 27.10.2021. On 27.10.2021, Applicant and his Advocate were absent, and therefore, to give one opportunity matter was adjourned for today. However, today again the Applicant and his Advocate are absent. As such they seem not interested in the matter. O.A. is dismissed in default, no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. Naik ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and it will be filed by next date without fail.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.661 of 2021</p> <p>S. G Raut ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.724 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. DeokarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri Pravin Padave, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.297 of 2020</p> <p>M.N. ChaudharyApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has instructions from his client to withdraw the O.A., since he is presently posted at Brihan Mumbai 13 and for some personal difficulties he want to continue there instead of challenging transfer orders dated 11.09.2019, 26.06.2020 and 06.08.2020. By last order dated 06.08.2020 he is posted at Brihan Mumbai 13 on family difficulties.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.849 of 2021</p> <p>S. N. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the recovery order dated 16.06.2017 and O.A. is filed beyond limitation. The query was raised by the Tribunal as to how O.A. is within limitation.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to file M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.866 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. BadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order of punishment confirmed in appeal on 11.08.2017 by filing this O.A. on 27.10.2021 which is out of limitation.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought two weeks time to file M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.872 of 2021</p> <p>S. C. PujariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 06.07.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> on the ground of competency of Additional Commissioner of Police. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.11.2021 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 22.11.2021 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.388 of 2021 in O.A.No. 790 of 2021</p> <p>S. U. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A. and O.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.742 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. WavreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.802 of 2021</p> <p>N. B. KolekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.796 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. SavaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.844 of 2021</p> <p>C. S. LokhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance since the matter pertains to withholding of retirement dues.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 458 of 2021</p> <p>A. R. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.522 of 2021</p> <p>V. V. TamhankarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 but no reply is filed on their behalf.</p> <p>3. The reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 is already filed.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S. R. KakadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.651 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. S. ShintreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 4. Interim relief to continue till next date. 5. S.O. to 23.11.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 654 of 2021</p> <p>S. R SheteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.683 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. Kulkarni ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that one Mr. Nivruti Marale, Deputy Secretary, office of Agriculture, Animal Husbandary, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department is present in the court today. He makes statement that there is continues follow-up regarding applicant's appeal dated 31.01.2014 which is pending before His Excellency The Governor of Maharashtra. The Additional Secretary of the Department has spoken to the Secretary of His Excellency The Governor of Maharashtra.</p> <p>3. In view of the same matter is adjourned to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.462 of 2021 with O.A.No.847 of 2021</p> <p>R.V. Bhale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.462/2021 is for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>6. In O.A. the Applicants challenges the Government Recruitment Rules dated 16.04.2021, especially Rule 4(a)(i) which is violation of the Statutory Act.</p> <p>7. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that 22 persons are being going to be promoted.</p> <p>8. We would like the concerned Department to clarify whether the new Notification dated 16.04.2021 has considered the earlier G.R. dated 28.09.1981 issued by Industries, Energy and Labour Department, Clause 1(ii) in respect of giving intact promotional avenue of the Superintendent from the three Departments :-</p> <p>(i) Labour Commissioner,</p> <p>(ii) Directorate of Health and Safety Department.</p> <p>(iii) Directorate of Boiler, Smoke and Nuisances.</p> <p>9. The learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Bharat Z. Sherlekar, Superintendent, Labour Commissioner submits that no promotions are being under consideration at the moment.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 24.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha/Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.916 of 2019</p> <p>S.D. Haral & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants who are BE IT graduation have applied for the post of Range Forest Officer pursuant to the advertisement dated 12.09.2018. 3. Applicant No.1 who has filled up the form from Open Female Category has secured 228 marks, where the cut-off marks is 224 marks and Applicant No.2 who has filled up the form from S.C. general has secured 211 marks, where the cut-off marks is 208 marks. 4. It is submitted by the learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar that the names of both the Applicants are appearing in the merit list. However, they were not recommended by the M.P.S.C. on the ground that they do not hold the degree which is mentioned in Clause No.5.4.2 in the said advertisement. 5. The learned Advocate further submitted that in by order dated 13.04.2020 passed in O.A.No.466 /2019 (Gaurav Gulabrao Ganvir Versus State of Maharashtra & Anr. the Division Bench of M.A.T. Bench Nagpur has held the degree in Automobile Engineering is equivalent to Mechanical Engineering and therefore action of Respondent No.2 M.P.S.C. of not recommending the names of the said Applicants is illegal. The learned Advocate has relied on this judgment wherein G.R. dated 18.10.2016 where the equivalence of the educational qualifications are specifically clarified. He submits that the said G.R. of equivalence is not considered at all by the M.P.S.C. or the State of Maharashtra while considering the case of the Applicants. 6. The learned P.O. requests for time as learned C.P.O. is appearing in the matter and today she is not available. 7. Time granted. Adjourned to 15.11.2021. The matter is treated as Part Heard. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.412 of 2020</p> <p>V.V. TamhankarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned P.O. for filing affidavit-in-reply, by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.428 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that she has already filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate submits that he will file rejoinder during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.175 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SalveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply and rejoinder is already filed by learned P.O. and learned Advocate respectively.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 24.12.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.457 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. N.A. NetkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that State has issued order dated 28.10.2021 wherein the Applicant has been given temporary appointment for 11 months. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. on instructions submits that his earlier adhoc appointment from the year 2009 will be taken into consideration whenever he is considered for absorption.</p> <p>4. Matter adjourned to 10.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.855 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.A. Giri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar with Shri S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this OA the applicant is praying to direct the respondent no.1 to decide the representation dated 2.8.2021 and 22.9.2021 within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>3. The Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 2.8.2021 and 22.9.2021 within a period of four weeks.</p> <p>5. With the above directions the OA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.10.2021 29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.856 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar with Shri S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this OA the applicant is praying to direct the respondent no.1 to decide the representation dated 2.8.2021 and 22.9.2021 within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>3. The Ld. PO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 2.8.2021 and 22.9.2021 within a period of four weeks.</p> <p>5. With the above directions the OA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.10.2021 29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.859 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant in person is absent. Heard and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.868 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ajit R. Wakde & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.10.2021 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.477 of 2021 in O.A. No.868 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ajit R. Wakde & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.869 of 2021</u></p> <p>Priyanka A. Vidyagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is appearing for the post of Industrial Officer challenges the second select list dated 28.9.2021. Respondent no.3 is a private party who is going to be effected adversely if OA is allowed. Therefore, it is necessary to serve notice to respondent no.3 private party.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2021</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.463 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Chopde & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>29.10.2021</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.848 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Chopde & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.10.2021 29.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>