

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.278 of 2019</p> <p>B. B. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the very outset, learned P.O. raised objection about maintainability of the O.A. without filing an application for condonation of delay of 4 years. 3. This is second round of litigation wherein the Applicant is again agitating the grievance of pay fixation. He stands retired on 31.05.2007 from the Government service from the post of Assistant Draftsman. 4. In the first round of litigation i.e. 412/2012, he sought re-fixation of pay in terms of G.R. dated 24.01.2007 in pay scale of Rs.5000-8000/- in the post of Assistant Draftsman and then in the pay scale of Rs.5,500-9000/- on the post of Draftsman. The O.A. was disposed of by order dated 03.02.2014. 5. Perusal of order in O.A.No.412/2012 reveals that submission was advanced by learned P.O. that the pay of the Applicant has already been fixed by order dated 31.03.2008 and it was acceptable to the Applicant. Accordingly, O.A. was disposed of with following order :- <i>"As the reliefs sought by the Applicant were already granted by order dated 31.03.2008, the O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs"</i> 6. Later, the Applicant has filed Contempt Application No.43/2015 which was disposed of on 08.09.2015 in following terms :- <i>"2. Learned P.O. Shri A.S. Wable appearing for the Respondents has tendered the copy of order passed by the Respondent No.1 dated 04.07.2015 on earlier date.</i> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. <i>Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant states that in view of that order, the direction contained in the order passed in O.A. is complied with. However, applicant may have to challenge that order.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Needless to observe that applicant is free to agitate the challenge.</i></p> <p>5. <i>In view of the compliance, this application is disposed of."</i></p> <p>7. The order dated 04.07.2015 rendered in above mentioned order is at Sr.No.49 of Paper Book of this O.A. By order dated 04.07.2015, it was communicated to the Applicant that pay scale granted to him is correct and the Applicant is accordingly received the pension since his retirement in 2007.</p> <p>8. It is on the above background, the present O.A. is again filed on 20.03.2019 seeking simply declaration that the Applicant be declared entitled to revise pay fixation in terms of G.R. dated 24.01.2007 without challenging the order dated 04.07.2015.</p> <p>9. Thus, what transpires from the record that the Applicant is agitating the same grievance in the present O.A. without challenging the order dated 04.07.2015.</p> <p>10. As stated above, the Applicant stands retired in 2007, first O.A.412/2012 was disposed of in 03.02.2014 and it was not challenged before higher forum. Apart, the order dated 04.07.2015 referred in Contempt Application order is also not challenged in the present O.A.. As such the question of limitation arises.</p> <p>11. O.A. ought to have been filed within one year from the order dated 04.07.2015 in terms of Section 21 of Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985. However, the present O.A. is filed on 20.03.2019 that too without challenging the order dated 04.07.2015 and without application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>12. In view of above, I find merit in the submission advanced by the learned P.O. that O.A. is hopelessly barred by limitation and it could not be entertained without filing of application for condonation of delay and condoning the delay, if sufficient ground is made out to condone the delay.</p> <p>13. The general statement made by the learned Counsel for the Applicant that it is a case of continuous cause of action can hardly be accepted without making an application for condonation of delay which is more than four years.</p> <p>14. In view of above, I have no hesitation to sum that O.A. itself is not maintainable being barred by limitation and deserves to be dismissed.</p> <p>15. O.A. is accordingly dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2020

O.A.No.202 of 2020

S. B. Gavit

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission without reply owing to failure of the Respondents to file reply within time granted by the Tribunal.
3. Today, learned P.O. made an application for permission to take reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 on record.
4. In the interest of justice, allowed to file reply and accordingly it is taken on record.
5. The father of the Applicant died on 24.04.2009 in harness. He was Watchman (CLASS-IV). After his death, the Applicant made an application for appointment on compassionate ground on 30.06.2009 for appointment on the post of Driver. However, his name was taken in waiting list for Group-D (Peon) as there was some doubt about the validity of driving license.
6. In the meantime, the Applicant has furnished driving license and accordingly Respondent No.1 had forwarded the proposal for taking the name of the Applicant in waiting list of Group 'C' (Driver) but no decision was taken.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. It is on the above background, on previous date i.e. on 15.10.2020, the Respondent No.2 was directed to pass appropriate order on the proposal submitted by the Respondent No.1 within two weeks without fail.</p> <p>8. Today, learned P.O. has tendered a letter dated 26.10.2020 issued by the Desk Officer, Water Resources Department stating that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation, the decision could not be taken within two weeks as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 15.10.2020.</p> <p>9. On the above background, learned P.O. submits that appropriate decision on the proposal forwarded by the Respondent No.1 for inclusion of name of the Applicant in waiting list for the post of Driver will be taken within two weeks.</p> <p>10. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions as the issue of inclusion of name of the Applicant in waiting list for the post of Driver is already under consideration before the Respondent No.2. Hence the following order:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>(A) Original Application is accordingly disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to pass appropriate order on the proposal forwarded by Respondent No.1 for inclusion of name of the Applicant in waiting list for the post of Driver within two weeks from today.</p> <p>(B) The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>(C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision of the Respondent No.2, he may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p>(D) No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.968 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.C. Pawar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application dated 27.10.2020 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 13.10.2020 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Speaking to minutes accepted.</p> <p>(a) In para 6 line 3, the date '24.10.2018' be corrected as '24.10.2008'.</p> <p>(b) In para 3, line 3, the words 'State Intelligence Officer' be corrected as 'Senior Intelligence Officer'.</p> <p>(c) In para 4 last line, the words 'Senior State Intelligence Officer' be corrected as 'Senior Intelligence Officer'.</p> <p>(d) In para 7 line 3, the words 'Senior State Intelligence Officer' be corrected as 'Senior Intelligence Officer'.</p> <p>4. Application for speaking to minutes disposed off.</p>
	<p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020 </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">M.A.No.242 of 2020 in O.A.No.368 of 2020</p> <p>B.D. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Miscellaneous Application is made for directions to the Respondents No.3 and 4. The Government by order dated 22.10.2019 transferred the Applicant from NCC Sangli to NCC Kolhapur. The said order is not yet implemented by the Respondent no.3, the Administrative Officer, Maharashtra, NCC Sangli.</p> <p>3. In view of this order Respondent no.3 is hereby directed to implement the said order of the Government till 10.11.2020.</p> <p>4. M.A. is disposed of as allowed.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.33 of 2020 in O.A. No.23 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.N. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu Rakate, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Contempt notice is sent by the applicant to the respondents on 23.6.2020. This pertains to failure of compliance of the order dated 15.1.2020 of this Tribunal in the above OA. Ld. PO submit that the respondents have already decided the representation on 11.9.2020 as per order of the Tribunal and copy of the said decision is given to the applicant on 24.9.2020 which is acknowledged by the applicant on the same day. In view of the same nothing remains in the CA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks to withdraw the above CA. Allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.156 of 2020 in O.A. No.1083 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.D. Likhar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.A. Gangal, learned Senior Counsel with Shri A.R. Joshi and Shri Harshal Kulkarni, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Senior Counsel submits that in view of further development after 2016 especially judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in 2019, the applicant has moved this MA for amendment in OA on 20.3.2020.</p> <p>3. In OA the applicant seeks declaration that his services are protected with continuity of service and consequential benefits of service and also seeks declaration that the respondents to accept applicant's social status as S.B.C. as validated by Caste Scrutiny Committee and prays that he be granted promotion to the post of Sales Tax Inspector from select list of 2012.</p> <p>4. Amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy of OA be served on all the concerned. Respondents to file reply to the amended OA. Time granted by four weeks. OA adjourned to 3.12.2020.</p> <p>5. MA disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.343 and 353 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.P. Sarda & Anr. I.D. Bhimte Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.955 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. S. S. Rajderkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gaurav Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time the matter cannot be heard.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant informs that the select list where the applicant's name figure will get over on 6.11.2020. Therefore, as the matter is urgent, it is kept on 2.11.2020. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.11.2020.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"><div style="text-align: center;"><p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020</p><p>(sgj)</p></div><div style="text-align: center;"><p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020</p></div></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1002 of 2018</u></p> <p>Y.M. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA as per the written instruction from the applicant.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020 (sgi) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020 </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>29.10.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.598 of 2020</p> <p>P.R. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The applicant challenges his order of termination dated 11.09.2020. He is terminated on the ground that when he applied he was under aged as per the advertisement. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2020. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. The learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar seeks interim relief on the ground that no show case notice was given to the applicant though he is a permanent Government servant. 9. Learned P.O. wants time to file reply. 10. Time granted to learned P.O. This matter will be heard finally at the stage of admission as short issue is involved. The respondent is required to explain two contradictory decisions of allowing him to continue on the service earlier and thereafter terminating him on the basis of the complaint from third party. 11. S.O. to 17.12.2020. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

29.10.2020

M.A.No.257 of 2020 in O.A.No.603 of 2020

P.T. Mohite ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.10.2020

O.A.No.603 of 2020

P.T. Mohite ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to order dated 11.06.2020 passed by the Division bench of this Tribunal, the Respondent no.2 has decided all the objections and has prepared the final select list dated 04.09.2020. In this O.A., the applicant challenges the communication dated 24.06.2020 and seniority list of Batch 2006 dated 07.10.2020.

3. The learned P.O. to file reply by next date.

4. Adjourned to 03.12.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">C.A.No.19 of 2020 in O.A.No.1158 of 2016 with O.A.No.352 of 2017 with O.A.No.353 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Goraksha & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that she has been instructed by the respondents that the compliance of the directions of the order dated 09.03.2020 is in progress. She has received the draft of the affidavit which is to be prepared and filed before the Tribunal. As per the instructions the Respondents have made payment to six applicants and will consider the payment to others too. Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply on next date.</p> <p>4. Time granted to the respondents to comply the order till 26.11.2020. The respondents also directed to comply the order of the Tribunal under all the heads in the operative order.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.11.2020.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="left">prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.299 of 2020</p> <p>A.R. Bhapkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. furnishes affidavit-in-reply. The same is taken on record. The learned P.O. produces the order dated 21.08.2020 of the Review Committee that Review Committee has taken a decision to extend the suspension till completion of the Department Enquiry or till the Criminal case is decided.</p> <p>3. It is to be noted that the reason given for the extension of the period of the suspension should be consistent with the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in AJAY KUMAR CHOUDHARY VS. UNION OF INDIA, (2015) 7 SCC 291.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.297 of 2020</p> <p>M.N. Chaudhary ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has furnished affidavit-in-reply. The same is taken on record. Copy of the affidavit-in-reply is furnished to learned Advocate Shri D.B. Khaire and learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 608/2020</u></p> <p>C.M Kadam Vs. ... Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant retired on 31.12.2018. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that memo was issued on the last date of his retirement and till date no D.E is initiated.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O stated that the process of provisional pension is initiated. The Respondents are directed to pay provisional pension immediately.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 601/2020</u></p> <p>Shri U.P Ugale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order dated 15.2.2020 passed by the Respondents and prays for interest on withhold amount of Rs. 4,48,724/- etc. of 5 years and 2 months @ 12% from 10.12.2014 to 15.2.2020 and also prays for revision of pay.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.1.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 600/2020</u></p> <p>Nana B. Thorat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order of suspension dated 8.4.2020.</p> <p>3. The Respondents to file reply regarding whether review is taken by the Respondents in respect .of suspension of the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 599/2020</u></p> <p>T.D Mundhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order of suspension dated 28.5.2020.</p> <p>3. The Respondents to file reply regarding whether review is taken by the Respondents in respect of suspension of the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Aln</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 595/2020</u></p> <p>Smt Neelam B. Kumbhar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant, a female Police Constable at D.N Nagar Police Station, Mumbai who is allotted Service Quarter at 119,3, Chirag Nagar Police Colony, Bldg no. 3, Ghatkopar, Mumbai by order dated 8.8.2020, seeks change in service quarter</p> <p>3. Immediately after the said order dated 8.8.2020 was issued, she applied on-line for change of quarter. The said on-line application was rejected. Thereafter she filed application on 15.8.2020 for change of quarter on the ground that it is not at all habitable as the quarter is in a dilapidated condition, there is leakage, slab is fallen and the windows are broken. Thereafter again she applied on 19.8.2020 that she and husband are detected with Corona positive and there is a common toile for the said service quarter. However, her application was rejected by order dated 12.10.2020.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that now the Respondents have decided to allow the applicant to make application immediately as they have unlocked the system so that her request can be considered.</p> <p>5. The Respondents are directed to file reply as to which quarter is allotted to her and she should be allowed to take inspection of quarter allotted to her.</p> <p>6. S.O to 19.11.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 574/2020</u></p> <p>Smt L.D Veer ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays for the release of retirement dues, that is amount of Rs. 1,88,79,247/- with interest @ 12% p.a. from the date of her retirement. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant retired on 30.6.2009.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that applicant who retired as Education Officer, Class-I has submitted that from time to time application was made by the applicant to the Respondents regarding retirement dues. In the absence of positive response from the Respondents, she has approached the office of the Lok Ayukta, Mumbai and the said authority by order dated 7.12.2016, directed to pay the retirement dues to the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is neither enquiry nor criminal case pending against the applicant. There is also no proposal to that effect. He further stated there is no dues payable by the applicant to the Government. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that neither provisional pension nor regular pension is paid since 1.1.2010</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file reply. The Respondents are directed to pay provisional pension from 1.10.2020 forthwith, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. S.O to 17.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 572/2020</u></p> <p>P.P Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 7.1.2020 under which the benefits of Assured Career Progression Scheme (A.C.P.S) is denied for want of Caste Validity Certificate, which is based on clause 4 of G.R dated 2.3.2019 of Finance Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.1.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 497/2020</u></p> <p>H.P Waghela ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L Rathod, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O' for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that he is to be granted full pension without deduction/revised rate as per order dated 28.6.2019. Learned counsel submits that the order dated 27.10.2011 of recovery of Rs. 5,55,765/- was set aside by the Respondents by order dated 28.6.2019.</p> <p>3. The matter involves short issue and it can be disposed of at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit.</p> <p>5. S.O to 3.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1189 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. AmbilwadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the Applicant in person has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any. 4. S.O. to 24.11.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.06 of 2020</p> <p>R. M. RedkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder with certain documents necessary for the decision of O.A. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned P.O. for the Respondents, the matter is adjourned for hearing. 4. S.O. 01.12.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1013 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. MetkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has requested to take his Rejoinder on record. 3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant. 5. S.O. to 19.11.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

29.10.2020

C.A.No.44 of 2019 in O.A.No.1134 of 2016

B.R. Nalawade & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the SLP is still pending before the Hon'ble High Court.

3. Adjourned to 01.01.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.325 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.M. Jadhao ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. OA No.325 of 2019 is clubbed with OA No.13 of 2020 (R.S. Pawar Vs. State).</p> <p>3. MPSC to file reply in the matters. Time granted.</p> <p>4. Today after pronouncement of order dated 29.10.2020 in MA No.27 of 2020 in OA No.13 of 2020 for condonation of delay, the Ld. CPO states that the order be stayed. However, as the other matter where issues are interlinked and respondents are same, we are not inclined to stay our order. Time granted to the MPSC to file reply by four week and thereafter the matter will be immediately placed for final hearing. Copy of reply is to be served on the applicants a week before. Respondent no.3 may also file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 24.11.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 29.10.2020 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.10.2020 </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.466 of 2020</p> <p>M.J. Awatade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel submits that he be allowed to withdrawn the Original Application as per the instructions of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Original Application is allowed to withdraw.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.567 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Randive, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Ugale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The Applicant, Assistant Commissioner of Police challenges transfer order dated 09.10.2020 from Pimpri Chinchawad to Nandurbar. It is submitted that he was not due.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Shri Randive submits that he wants time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.11.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.485 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Vidhate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has received written application from the applicant to withdraw the O.A. Application is taken on record and marked as 'A'.</p> <p>3. O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.10.2020

O.A.No.349 of 2020

V.A. Lalbige ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The learned P.O. submits that he wants time as the matter is in respect of compassionate ground.
3. Last chance given to the Respondents to decide the said issue.
4. S.O. to 17.12.2020.

 Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.311 of 2020</p> <p>S.J. Awale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the suspension order dated 28.11.2019, as he is prosecuted under Prevention of Corruption Act in C.R.No.844/2019 registered at Satara Police Station.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that in the same C.R. co-accused Shri Shrikant Bharshingh Vasave, who has also challenged the suspension in O.A.No.264 of 2020.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel submits that the similar order can be passed in the present matter so that it can be disposed off.</p> <p>5. The Respondents are directed to take review of the suspension of the applicant in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011 and in the light of ratio laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in AJAY KUMAR CHOUDHARY VS. UNION OF INDIA, (2015) 7 SCC 291.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

29.10.2020

O.A.No.488 of 2020

V.N. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant prays for directions to the Respondent no.1 to finalize the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the Applicant by charge-sheet dated 28.02.2013.

3. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant has submitted his written statement / reply on 04.01.2016 on enquiry report and it is still pending and not further action is taken.

4. The learned C.P.O. submits that in due course the Respondents will conclude the proceeding.

5. In view of the submissions, the respondents are directed to conclude the enquiry preferably till 31.03.2021.

6. With above directions the O.A. is dispose off.

Sd/-

(P.N DIXIT)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.326 of 2020 with M.A.No.327 of 2020 with M.A.No.182 of 2020</p> <p>A.S. Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant, a Clerk working in the office of R.T.O., Respondent no.1 challenges the report dated 14.02.2020 issued by Respondent no.6 against her.</p> <p>3. The petitioner who has filed O.A. challenging the report dated 14.02.2020 issued by the Internal Complaints Committee, For redressal of complaints of women against sexual harassment at workplace i.e. Respondent No.6 is challenged.</p> <p>4. In the said O.A. learned Counsel submits the O.A. is required to be amendment due to the subsequent developments as the reports of Respondents No.7 and 8 are issued.</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. raises the preliminary objection on maintainability of this O.A. before this Tribunal on the ground of jurisdiction</p> <p>6. At this stage, the amendment is allowed subject to objection raised by the learned P.O. on the ground of jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</p> <p>7. M.A.No.287/2020 is allowed and disposed of</p> <p>8. Amendment is carried out within 4 weeks. Copy of amendment is to be given to learned P.O.. Respondents to file reply thereafter within 4 weeks.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel prays for earlier hearing of the matter and submits that the applicant has to work under the respondent against whom she made complaints and therefore it is difficult for the applicant to work under him.</p> <p>10. In view of this learned P.O. to have a word with the concerned authority whether the applicant can be accommodated in the nearby place.</p> <p>11. Matter is adjourned to 07.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>29.10.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.81 of 2020</p> <p>Y.M. Pimple ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has received written application from the applicant to withdraw the O.A. Application is taken on record and marked as 'A'.</p> <p>3. O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>27.10.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.573 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. Giri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter will go before the Division Bench. The applicant seeks direction in respect of decision on the Revision Application which is filed by him before Direction General of Police through Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.10.2020

O.A.No.573 of 2020

S.D. Giri ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant seeks direction that his revision application dated 13.01.2020 challenging the order of dismissal dated 02.12.2019 is to be decided.

3. The learned C.P.O. submits that the Respondents want time of three months to decide the Revision Application.

4. The statement is accepted and O.A. is disposed off with following direction :-

The Respondents are directed to decide the Revision Application within three months i.e. upto 31.01.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2020

M.A.No.279 of 2020 in O.A.No.608 of 2019

M. V. Pande

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This Misc. Application is filed seeking amendment to O.A. and to file certain documents in O.A.
3. The documents now sought to be produced along with amendment were in fact already filed with M.A. for condonation of delay which was allowed by this Tribunal.
4. As such, the documents now sought to be produced are well within the knowledge of Respondents.
5. The Applicant is 76-77 years old person and seeking relief of pension.
6. The proposed amendment would not change the nature of O.A. and it would help the Tribunal to adjudicate the issue involved.
7. In view of above, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within seven days and documents be also paginated in O.A. correctly.
8. The matter is adjourned for reply of the Respondents to the amendment to O.A., if any.
9. S.O. to 19.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.137 of 2019</p> <p>R.A. KhedekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri Sugdare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. 3. This O.A. be kept for hearing along with connected O.A.Nos.901/2019, 902/2019, 1010/2019 & 1011/2019. 4. S.O. to 19.11.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.204 of 2020</p> <p>S.C. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is taken on board for pronouncement of order. 3. However, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. has tendered the copy of corrigendum order dated 28.10.2020 issued by the Respondent/Collector, Solapur whereby suspension is treated w.e.f. the date of order i.e.20.02.2019. 4. Whereas in original order dated 20.02.2019, the Applicant was suspended with retrospective effect from 04.02.2019. In O.A., the suspension was challenged on the ground that there would be no suspension with retrospective effect. 5. The copy of order dated 28.10.2020 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'. 6. In view of above, the matter needs to be heard afresh by giving opportunity of hearing to both the sides. 7. The matter is adjourned to 03.11.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

vsm

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2020

O.A.No.309 of 2019

Dr. S. V. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant had sent a letter dated 29.10.2020 to the Tribunal requesting for adjournment on the ground of illness. He further mentioned in the letter that his Advocate who resides at Ulhasnagar is also unable to remain present.
3. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was adjourned on so many dates on account of absence of Advocate.
4. In view of above, last chance is granted for hearing with specific directions that no further time will be granted for hearing.
5. S.O. to 26.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of '20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>M.A.No.529 of 2019 in O.A.No.959 of 2019</p> <p>R. A. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Today, the matter is for filing reply as well as hearing of M.A.. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply in M.A. and it is taken on record. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent from last three dates. They appear not interested in the matter. M.A. No.529/2019, is therefore, dismissed in default. O.A.No.959/2019 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of '20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.140 of 2020 in O.A.No.208 of 2020</p> <p>D. D. SinghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing of Misc. Application.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2020

O.A.Nos.517, 518, 519, 520, 521 & 569 of 2017

J. P. More & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Perusal of record reveals that these Original Applications were initially filed at Aurangabad Bench but those were transferred to Principal Bench, Mumbai by order of the Hon'ble Chairman dated 07.06.2017 on the ground that Division Bench was not available at A'bad Bench.

3. In view of above, the matters seem to be pertaining to D.B..

4. Registrar is directed to examine the matters and place it before appropriate bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.137 of 2019</p> <p>R.A. KhedekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri Sugdare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.3. This O.A. be kept for hearing along with connected O.A.Nos.901/2019, 902/2019, 1010/2019 & 1011/2019.4. S.O. to 19.11.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.140 of 2020 in O.A.No.208 of 2020</p> <p>D. D. SinghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing of Misc. Application.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2020

R.A. No.12 of 2020 in O.A.No.529 of 2019

Dr. Ramchandra B. NirmaleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri *K. R. Jagdale*, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Review Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 24.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of '20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.278 of 2020 in R.A. No.13 of 2020 in O.A.Nos.539, 540, 775, 776, 777 & 1084 of 2018</p> <p>The Superintending Engineer & AnrApplicants (Ori.Res.2 & 3)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Shri Ashok J. Morajkar & Ors.Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Or. Respondents 1 & 4</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel who appeared today on behalf of all the Respondents (Ori. Applicants) except for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) in O.A.No.775/2018 namely Shri Balkrishna Shirsagar.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicants waived notice of this R.A. except R.A. in O.A.No.775/2018. He requested for grant of time to file reply to R.A. in which he appears.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission to Shri Balkrishan Shirsagar who was Applicant in O.A.775/2018, returnable on 19.11.2020.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this ^{the} stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 19.11.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm