

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer:.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>Presented by <u>Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</u> <u>Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>4/12/18.</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.262 of 2018</p> <p>G.G. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks four weeks time to file affidavit in reply in O.A.No.262 of 2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.12.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

M.A.No.426 of 2018 in O.A.No.262 of 2016

G.G. Waghmare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Misc. Application is filed to declare that there is no delay on the part of petitioner to file O.A.No.262 of 2016, challenging the order dated 23.05.2017 whereby the application of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground was rejected on the ground that the application was not made within two years in terms of G.R. dated 20.05.2015. In so far as impugned order dated 23.05.2017 is concerned, admittedly Original Application is filed within one year.

3. It seems that the Misc. Application for condonation of delay has been filed in view of the objection raised by the Respondents because the delay in making application for appointment on compassionate ground. Whether the application made by the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground was within limitation or not is to be decided independently in O.A.

4. Therefore, keeping the question of limitation in the matter or making the application for appointment on compassionate ground to be heard and decided on merit, this M.A. being filed within one year from the date of impugned order dated 23.5.2017 is allowed.

5. It is again made clear that the delay application is condoned to the extent to challenge the order dated 23.5.2017. The question of limitation in which the Applicant is supposed to make the application for appointment on compassion ground in view of G.R. is kept open to decide on merit.

6. In view of the above, Misc. Applicant is disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE 29/10/18

FOR THE

Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Shri A.P. Kurhekar

Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri Ms. N.G. Gohad~~

~~P.R.O. for the Respondent/s~~

Adv. to M.A. is disposed

OK

01/4

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>27/10/18</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S. Saroj by D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manekar and S. S. Dere</u> C. P.O. for the Respondents Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u> order passed in Tribunal Column So on 28/11/18</p>	<p>Date : 27.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.390 of 2018</p> <p>S. V. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. Saroj holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S.P. Manekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents Nos.43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 55, 60, 64, 65, 68, 70, 75, 77, 80, 81, 83, 86 & 87.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondent no.1. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 55, 60, 64, 65, 68, 70, 75, 77, 80, 81, 83, 86 & 87 proposes to file reply shortly.</p> <p>4. Shri Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents mentions that the matter pertains to horizontal reservation and is being heard by the Hon'ble Bench at Aurangabad High Court. He, therefore, seeks adjournment.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.11.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
_____ and Board's

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad C.P.O. for the Respondent/s <p>DATE <u>28/11/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p> </p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.855 of 2017</p> <p>R.T. ZajariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant files affidavit-in-rejoinder to the affidavit-in-reply of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and fixed for hearing on 28.11.2018. 4. In the meantime, liberty is granted to the learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder if desirable. 5. S.O. to 28.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
Applicant's
(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>shri P.N. Dixit (MIA)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>None for the appl.</u></p> <p>Shri <u>Archana B.K.</u> C. for P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.777 of 2018</p> <p>R. P. ValviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.707 of 2018

C. R. Pillay

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. V. Chandrashekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. mentions that reply will be filed during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 02.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

at V. Chandrashekar

for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 2/11/2018.

VSM

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.10.2018

M.A. No.226 of 2018 in O. A. No.331 of 2018

M. S. AmbigarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks adjournment for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 02.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE : 27/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 2/11/2018

B.P.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 29.10.2018
Tribunal's orders

O. A. No.701 of 2018

R. V. KothmireApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.11.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM: Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.)
Hd. by: Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

ADVOCATE:
K.R. Jagdale

SHRI S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/11/2018.

Y.R.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

D
ate : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.683 of 2018

P. D. Pagar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bahdiwadekar , the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks four weeks adjournment to file reply.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. A. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE :
B. A. Bahdiwadekar

APPEARANCE :
K. S. Gaikwad
C. O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/11/2018

B.A.

VSM

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s _____

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (M)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>B. A. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>1/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N.D</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.679 of 2018</p> <p>M. A. R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. mentions that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>B. S.O. to 01.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s _____

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.270 of 2018 with O.A. No.271 of 2018

D. P. Nagare & Anr.

...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply which is under preparation.

3. S.O. to 02.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 2/11/2018

[Handwritten Signature]

VSM

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

M.A. No.470 of 2018 in O. A. No.733 of 2018

R. B. FulpagareApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Rahul Agarwal holding for Shri Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents seeks time to file reply in the M.A. as well O.A.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2018.

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Rahul Agarwal holding
Shri Talekar
for Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 19/11/2018

B.T.E

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.1062 of 2017

G. A. Palve & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Aditya Bhagat holding for Shri M. V. Thorat , the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. mentions that she has not received instructions.
3. The Respondents are directed to ensure that the responsible officer with complete details remain available during the next hearing.
4. Steno copy is granted to learned C.P.O.
5. S.O. to 27.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Aditya Bhagat holding

M.V. Thorat
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Surya Wanshi
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 27/11/2018

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

SP1 MA/F 2 E

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.D. Dole</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/11/2018 as a last chance</u></p> <p><u>Chance</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.160 of 2018</p> <p>S. R. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks adjournment to file reply. 3. S.D. to 26.11.2018 as a last chance. <p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Handwritten signature/initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit C.M.A.</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri: <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>26/11/2018 as a last chance</u></p> <p><u>Chance</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Bl</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.644 of 2017</p> <p>Shekh I.S.K. Ahmed ShekhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>3: S.O. to 26.11.2018 as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>19/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Y.F.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.1017 of 2017</p> <p>K. N. GawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. seeks adjournment to file reply. 3. S.O. to 19.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (CMA)</u> Hon'ble Justice Smt A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Applicant: <u>None for the appl.</u></p> <p>Respondent: <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>26/11/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.661 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. PanajkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

M.A. No.537 of 2018 in O. A. No.902 of 2018

P. K. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed accordingly.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M.M.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri R. M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Cmt. : S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.604 of 2018

S. J. Mulik

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. Jaiswar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. Jaiswar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. D. Dole

C.H.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/11/2018

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

-VSM

[Handwritten signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.10.2018
<p>DATE: <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>Signature: <u>Shri P. N. DIXIT (M/A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>R. M. Kolge</u> At for the Applicant <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> At for the Respondent/s Adj/S.O. to <u>Issue notice before</u> <u>admission returnable on</u> <u>29/11/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>R.M.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.902 of 2018</p> <p>P. K. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.11.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 29.11.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MA/RA/CA No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Approvals, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.722 of 2018

Dr. R. A. SinghApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 26.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE : 29/10/2018

Signature: Shri P. H. Dixit (M/A)
Honble Justice G. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Name for the appl.

For the Applicant

Signature: K.S. Gaiwad

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/11/2018

File

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.473 of 2018

R. S. Golmallu (Palekar)Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply has been filed by the Respondents.

3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder, if any.

4. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

DATE : 29/10/18

CORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit (M/N)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Admit

PAT
B.C.

Sd/-

**(P. N./DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
District _____ Applicant/s _____
(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Res. No. _____

(Presenting Officer _____)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O. A. No.590 of 2018

A. D. MetkariApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms S. Saroj holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 02.11.2018.

Sd/-

(P. M. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE : 29/10/2018

CORAM : Shri P. M. Dixit (M/A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S. Saroj holding for
D. B. Khaire
Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K. S. Gaikwad
P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 29/11/2018

[Handwritten Signature]

VSM

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.523 of 2018</p> <p>Z.P. VithobaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.M. Kamtan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.531 of 2018 with M.A.No.471 of 2018, Original Application No.523 of 2018 is restored. 3. Upon restoration issued notice returnable on 4.12.2018. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 4.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

Original Application No.953 of 2018

B.H. Wadkar & Ors.)Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)....Respondent s

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned .P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

DATE : 29.10.2018.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The issue for consideration pertains to the promotion and posting order given to the Petitioners vide order dated 31.08.2018. However, thereafter, by order dated 5.9.2018 those promotions were withheld in view of some alleged irregularity in the matter of promotion.
3. The Applicants are seeking interim relief and their apprehension is the place of posting given to them by way of promotion order would be filled in by giving postings to other candidates.
4. Learned Counsel for the Applicants therefore sought interim relief to protect the interest of the Applicants to that extent.
5. Shri Hemantkumar P. Joshi, Assistant Director, Health Services (Malaria), Pune is present along with learned P.O. Ms. Suryawanshi. Assistant Director made a statement that he is not going to fill proposed place of postings of the present Petitioners. His statement is accepted.

6. As such the care has been taken by the department to protect the interest of present Petitioners not to fill-in their proposed place of posting.
7. In view of this statement, there is no need to pass further detailed order.
8. Issue notice returnable on 20.11.2018.
9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
15. S.O. to 20.11.2018.

Sd/-

^{vw}
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gejigal</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents Adv./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn. M.A. does not survive.</p> <p><u>e</u></p>	<p>29.10.2018</p> <p><u>M.A 541/2018 in O.A 905/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As O.A is disposed of as withdrawn, M.A does not survive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

29.10.2018

O.A 905/2018

Shri A.A Shinde & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. In the midst of hearing learned advocate for prays for withdrawal of the O.A with liberty to file fresh O.A and if required to file separate O.A if cause of action is different.
3. O.A disposed of with above liberty.

DATE: 29/10/18.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. ... S.S. Dere.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Gaikwad
P.O. for Respondent/s

Shri/Smt. Head

*O.A disposed of with
above liberty.*

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 29/10/18</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. J. D. Khairnar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C. P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to Head</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column O.A disposed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p>	<p>29.10.2018</p> <p>O.A 903 /2018</p> <p>Shri E.P Kharat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard J.D Khairnar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the midst of hearing it transpired that applicant has failed to show any legal right.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for withdrawal the O.A with liberty to file fresh O.A after collecting necessary documents.</p> <p>4. O.A disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, V.J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>Shri Ram B. Laxmipalli</u> for <u>Shri B. Chaudhari</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>S. A. Navalekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>2/11/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>l</u></p>	<p>29.10.2018</p> <p><u>C.A 34/2018 in O.A 517/2018</u></p> <p>Shri Yogesh N. More & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ram B. Laxmipalli, holding for Shri M.A Choudhari , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that hearing of C.A may be deferred till application for vacation for stay which is being filed today would be heard.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of learned C.P.O, S.O to 2.11.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N.Dixit) (A.H Joshi, J) Member (A) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
District _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Ms. S. Suryawanshi Advocate for the Respondent/s O.A. is admitted. S.O. to <u>5/12/18</u>. Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.890 of 2018</p> <p>U.P. UgaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered affidavit-in-rejoinder to the affidavit-in-reply of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and fixed for hearing on 5.12.2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.12.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O.A.No.630 of 2018

S.D. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.

3. As the pleadings are completed, matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 5.12.2018.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is at liberty to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if ^{required} desirable.

5. 5.O. to 5.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE 29/10/18

CORAM

Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A
Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

P.O. for the Respondents.

O.A. is admitted.

Adj. to 5/12/18

Reply filed by [Signature]
A.No.3.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: _____ of 20 _____

Date: _____

Applicant's

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O.A.No.422 of 2018

B.R. Dhanaskar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. As the pleadings are completed, matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 5.12.2018.

4. In the meantime, learned P.O. for the Respondents is at liberty to file affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder if ^{desirable} desirable.

5. S.O. to 5.12.2018.

DATE 29/10/18

CORAM

For the Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A
Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri. B.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri. Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is admitted.
As S.O. to 5/12/18.

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sb

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CCPAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>D.S. Pagare</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.F.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>4/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.546 of 2018 in O.A.No.796 of 2018</p> <p>S.V. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice in Misc. Application made returnable on 4.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 4.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

M.A.531/2018 with M.A.No.471/2018 in O.A.523/ 2018

Z.P. VithobaApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.M. Kamtan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. O.A.No.523 of 2018 was dismissed for default for non-compliance of Tribunal's order of filing affidavit of service report. Therefore, M.A.No.471 of 2018 was filed for restoration. It was delayed by 12 days. Another Misc. Application No.531 of 2018 is filed to condoned the 12 days delay in filing M.A.No.471 of 2018 which is filed for restoration of O.A.No.523 of 2018.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that due to some misunderstanding he could not file affidavit of service in term of order dated 25.7.2018.
4. I think it appropriate to condone the delay as well as to restore the O.A.No.523 of 2018 so that the matter can be decided on merit.
5. Hence, M.A.No.531 of 2018 and 471 of 2018 are allowed.
6. Original Application No.523 of 2018 is restored to its original stage.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.10.2018


M.A.No.571 of 2018 in O.A.No.953 of 2018

B.H. Wadkar & Ors.Applicants -

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.
4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.
5. M.A. is allowed.

DATE 29/10/18
 CORAM
 Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member A)
 Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, (Member B)
 APPEARANCE:
 A.N. Bandiwadkar
 Advocates for the Applicant
 Ms. S. Suryawanshi
 P.O. for the Respondent/s
 M.A. is allowed.


Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O.A.No.558 of 2018

K.D. DhondgeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., applicant is challenging the suspension order dated 7.10.2017 as the period of one year is over. The Applicant is seeking reinstatement in service because of failure of the Respondent to issue charge sheet for alleged misconduct.
3. On perusal of order dated 28.9.2018^{passed} by this Tribunal it seems that the proposal was under consideration of government and therefore time was granted till 02.11.2018 for making statement in the matter of reinstatement of the Applicant.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale argued that now the period of one year is over and therefore in view of the law laid down by the judgment delivered by Hon'ble Apex Court in the cases of *Ajay kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India Through its Secretary & Ors*, *Promod Kumar IPS & Anr.* and in case of *Shri Dilip Jagannath Ambilwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.* judgment passed by this Tribunal, the Applicant needs to be reinstated in service with immediate effect.
5. Whereas the learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 26.09.2018 to show that the proposal for revocation and suspension and reinstatement of the Applicant in service will be presented to the Government on 31.10.2018. Thus, it appears that the matter is under consideration ^{at} of Government level.
6. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and make statement on next date.
7. Another matter arising from same incident is fixed on 1.11.2018, therefore, in view of the above, Original Application is adjourned to 1.11.2018.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
9. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE 29/10/18

GORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member A)
Shri. A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

K.R. Jagdale

Attorney for the Applicant

K.S. Gaikwad

P.O. for the Respondent/s

29.10.18


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions und Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. M. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>M. D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant - Shri/Smt. : <u>S. P. Manelekar</u></p> <p>C.F. P.O. for the Respondents Adj. S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal Column - M.A. allowed.</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.564 of 2018 in O.A.No.940 of 2018</p> <p>A.S. Desai & Ors. ...Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manelekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A. is allowed. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 29.10.2018.

O.A.No.940 of 2018

A.S. Desai & Ors. ...Applicants.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.12.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 05.12.2018. To be heard along with O.A.No.488/2017.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi.)
Chairman

DATE: 29/10/18

CORAM:

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Shri P. N. D. (Member A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar

C.P. : P.O. for Respondents

A/S.O. to 05/12/18

order passed in Tribunal
column

(along with
O.A. 488/17)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 29/10/18
CORAM:
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. : B. A. Bandiwadekar
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. : S.P. Mancheekar
 C.P.O. for the Respondents
 A/S.S.D. : 11/11/18

29.10.2018

O.A 938/2018

Shri D.L Awate ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Mancheekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O prays for short time for filing reply.
3. Reply may be restricted to para specified in notice. However, copy of Circulars/ rules etc., demonstrating as to who is the appointing authority be annexed to reply.
4. S.O to 1.11.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J) Chairman

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : S.S. Dere</p> <p>Applicant for the Applicant Shri S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.C. to <u>30/10/2018</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>29.10.2018</p> <p><u>O.A 826/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Khapale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for leave to amend to add figure '20' in para 6.13 in last line at page 9. Leave as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O prays for time on behalf of M.P.S.C to ascertain if affidavit is required to be filed and prays for a day's time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.10.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>29/10/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri: <u>Rahul Agarwal</u> the Ld. Adv. holding for Adv. <u>Ms. Talekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Association. Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>M.A. is allowed</u> <i>M.T.</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.562 of 2018 in O.A.No.934 of 2018</p> <p>5.R. Choudhary & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Agarwal, the learned Advocate holding for M/S Talekar & Association, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) <i>Joshi</i> Chairman </p> <p align="left">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 29.10.2018.

T.O.A.No.934 of 2018

S.R. Choudhary & Ors. ...Applicants.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Rahul Agarwal, the learned Advocate holding for M/S Talekar & Association, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on ²⁶~~27~~.11.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to ²⁶~~27~~.11.2018. To be heard along with O.A.No.118⁶/2017.

DATE: 29/10/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Rahul Agarwal, the learned Advocate holding for M/S Talekar & Association

Shri S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/11/2018

(S.A. 1186/17)

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>COEAM</p> <p>Member A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>MEMORANDUM:</p> <p><u>A.N. Bendikar</u></p> <p>Member for the Applicant</p> <p><u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Member for the Respondents</p> <p><u>26/11/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.920 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. S.S. ShahaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondent has tendered copy of order dated 9.8.2018 passed by the Government. By this order, the Applicant's absence from the 30.09.2012 to date of joining on the place of posting by order dated 9.8.2018 will be treated as unauthorized leave and break in service. This development has taken place during the pendency of this O.A.</p> <p>3. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged the order of change of Revenue division to assign ^{to} Petitioner with other ^{or} benefit.</p> <p>4. In view of the latest development i.e. present order dated 9.8.2018, learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to amend the application so as to challenge the order dated 9.8.2018.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, four weeks time to is granted to file application for amendment. It will be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26.11.2018.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____
District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>CCRAM</p> <p>Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Ms. S.N. Saroj, h/p <u>D.B. Khaire</u>, Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Ms. S. Scerejwanshi</u></p> <p>O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 27/11/18.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.338 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. A.B. BandApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Saroj, the learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents have already filed their reply (page no.41 to 47 of the P.B.).</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, ^{Allowed} if any.</p> <p>4. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 27.11.2018.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.11.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.10.2018

O.A.No.946 of 2018

B.D. Patel

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 3.12.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 3.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE 29/10/18

CORAM

For the Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Shri A.P. Kurhekar

Member (J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

Advocate for the Respondent's

to be filed on 3/12/18

[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>MEMORANDUM</p> <p>Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A Shri A.P. Kurhekar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.J. Chougule</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent Shri A.J. Chougule</p> <p>ADMS O. to <u>3/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.949 of 2018</p> <p>S.K. SonawandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 3.12.2018. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 3.12.2018. <p>Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>29/10/18</u></p> <p>by <u>PN DIXIT (Member) A</u> <u>Smt. A.P. Kurhekar, Member</u></p> <p>AGGREGATE: <u>None</u> <u>Acers</u> <u>the</u> <u>Applicant.</u></p> <p>for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Smt. Archana B. K.</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>on <u>20/11/18.</u> <u>Sec-joinder Filed</u> <u>by R. No. 1404.</u></p>	<p>Date : 29.10.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.509 of 2017 in O.A.No.1115 of 2017</p> <p>S.R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.11.2018.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>