

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A.No.218 of 2020 in O.A.No.733 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.R. Rathod &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Madhuri Samant i/b Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was kept at 2.30 p.m. today. As the learned Advocate for the Applicant was not present, hence the matter was adjourned to 12.10.2020. However, subsequently at 3.30 p.m. learned Advocate Ms. Madhuri Samant appeared on behalf of Shri Sushant Valimbe.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate produces order in Writ Petition No.12864/2019 dated 10.09.2020. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant prays as under :-  <i>"10. In view of the above circumstances, the applicants most respectfully pray that :</i>  <i>a. This Hon'ble Court be pleased to clarify the order dated 31.07.2019 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Original Application No.733 of 2019."</i></p> <p>5. The learned C.P.O. reiterates her stand taken on 31.07.2019 that the Applicant No.2 Shri Hanumant Bhagwat Rakusale and Applicant No.3 Shri Ishwar Digambar Holmbe did not appear for the examination which was conducted in 2016 and 2017 both. Hence they are not affected parties to approach this Tribunal. Learned Advocate concedes this position that these two applicants did not appear for the said examination and therefore cannot approach this Tribunal.</p>

940

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. As the remaining Applicants i.e. Applicant No.1 Shri Manoj Raghunath Rathod, Applicant No.4 Shri Dilip Shyam Rathod and Applicant No.5 Shri Avinash Atmaram Achgekar have appeared for the said examination, learned Advocate submits that this Tribunal should look into their prayer, however, she seeks permission to delete the names of Applicant No.2 Shri Hanumant Bhagwat Rakusale and Applicant No.3 Shri Ishwar Digambar Holmbe.

7. The order which was issued on 31.07.2019 is therefore modified as under :-

The names of Applicant No.2 Shri Hanumant Bhagwat Rakusale and Applicant No.3 Shri Ishwar Digambar Holmbe are allowed to be deleted as requested by the learned Advocate for the reasons that they did not appear for the said examination and hence they have no locus for seeking relief in this O.A.

8. M.A.No.218/2020 is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>R.A.No.07 of 2020 in O.A.805 of 2019</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.194 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 13.10.2020 as Part-Heard.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.09.2020

O.A.No.128 of 2020

1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application made by the Applicant Smt. Manisha Kale (married sister of deceased Santosh) for appointment on compassionate ground. The deceased Santosh was Clerk-cum-Typist in G.T. Hospital, Mumbai. He died in harness on 09.10.2017 leaving behind his mother namely Smt. Ratan Pandharinath Dalvai and married sister Smt. Manisha Kale. Before his death, Family Court, Bandra, Mumbai dissolved the marriage between Santosh and his wife on 13.02.2017. It was divorced on mutual consent.

3. Smt. Ratan Dalvi (mother of deceased Santosh) made an application with the Respondents for appointment on compassionate ground on 03.11.2017. She had also supplied the copy of decree of divorce along with her application dated 24.11.2017. However till date, the Applicant did not get appointment on compassionate ground, and therefore, filed present O.A.

4. During the course of hearing, it is transpired that application dated 03.11.2017 has been processed by the Respondents and the Respondents seem to have formed opinion that Applicant is not entitled to appoint on compassionate ground, <sup>as</sup> ~~as~~ seen from file noting dated 03.02.2018 (Page No.38 of PB) which is obtained by the Applicant under Right to Information Act. It is really surprising that though the period of more than two years is over, till date there is no final order of communication which shows total inaction and laxity on the part of Respondents.

6. In view of above, Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department is directed to file Affidavit as to why the decision on the application dated 03.11.2017 has not been communicated to the Applicant.

7. Affidavit should be filed within a week.

8. S.O. to 08.10.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.09.2020

O.A.No.209 of 2020

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she wants to amend the Original Application so as to challenge the order dated 21.11.2019 (Page No.102 of PB) which was remained to be incorporated in O.A. and in the prayer clause.
3. Allowed to amend the O.A. Amendment be carried out within three days. After amendment, O.A. be placed for admission.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).
2. This M.A. No.224/2020 is filed to recall the order passed on 18.10.2019 in O.A. No.561/2019 whereby on statement made by learned P.O. on instructions from the department, O.A. was disposed of.
3. By this M.A., the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) requested to hear and decide the O.A. on merit.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.10.2020.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 27.10.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1125 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 06.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.79 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is granted as a last chance for filing reply.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 06.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.83 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this Original Application, notice was issued on 29.01.2020 but till date no reply is filed though enough time is availed.</li> <li>3. However, on request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for reply as a last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.189 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 27.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 29.09.2020

M.A. No.124 of 2020 in O.A. No.194 of 2020  
With  
M.A. No.125 of 2020 in O.A. No.195 of 2020

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale holding for Shri V. V. Pai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.s Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 20.10.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Date : 29.09.2020

O.A.No.175 of 2020 with M.A. No.119 of 2020 with  
O.A. No.176 of 2020

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge holding for Shri P. S. Bhavake, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.10.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 27.10.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.129 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this Original Application, notice was issued on 24.02.2020 but till date no reply is filed though enough time is availed.</li> <li>3. However, on request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for reply as a last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 29.09.2020

M. A. No.102 of 2020 in R.A. No.04 of 2020 in  
O.A.No.697 of 2019

1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer Counsel for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) is absent though served with notice of M.A.
2. The Tribunal has disposed of the O.A.697/2019 by order dated 18.11.2019 on merit whereby the impugned transfer order dated 31.05.2019 was quashed and set aside.
3. It is against this order passed in O.A., the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) have filed Review Application along with application for condonation of delay of two months.
4. In application for condonation of delay, the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) sought to attribute some lapse on the part of ~~this~~<sup>the</sup> office stating that though the order was passed on 18.11.2019, it was not uploaded for long time and it is only on 08.01.2020 they found the order on website.
5. Whereas, the office of the Tribunal is regularly uploaded the orders immediately after the judgment is pronounced for latest within two or three days.
6. As such, the Applicants seem to have attributed the lapse without verifying the website.
7. In view of above, it is necessary to know as to on which date the order of O.A.697/2019 was uploaded on website so that necessary order can be passed in this behalf.
8. Registrar is therefore directed to verify the factual aspect and submit the report as to on which date the order was uploaded on website. He should verify it from Shri S. K. Wamanse, Stenographer (H.G.) who had taken dictation and uploaded the order.
9. S.O. to 06.10.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O. A. Nos.167, 168 &amp; 169 of 2020</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Original Applications were filed in the Month of February, 2020 but due to Covid-19 situation and lockdown those are not listed before the Tribunal. Today, for the first time, it is listed for admission.</p> <p>3. As the Applicants and their Counsel are absent and the matter is listed for first time after lockdown, I think that it is appropriate to adjourn the matter next day for appearance of Applicants and their Counsel.</p> <p>4. 5.O. to 15.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.Nos.242 of 2020 with O.A.No.243 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P.Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder of the Applicants during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>3. These two Original along with O.A. 154/2020 be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.Nos.155, 156 &amp; 161 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On the request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder.</li> <li>3. In so far as O.A.No.161/2020 is concerned, learned P.O. has pointed out that some of the Applicants are retired from the establishment of other department then the Respondent.</li> <li>4. The issue pertains to grant of pension, and therefore, department from which those Applicants retired seem to be necessary party but they are not joined as Respondents.</li> <li>5. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to amend the O.A. for addition of party.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 13.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A. No 492/2020</u></p> <p>S.B Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anant Sakunde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order of termination dated 22.7.2020. The applicant works in Police Department as Police Naik.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that a remedy of appeal is available to the applicant and therefore he should file first appeal before the Competent Authority, i.e. Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. Hence learned counsel is directed to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to prosecute proper procedure.</p> <p>5. Allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 43/2020 in O.A. No 994/2019 with O.A 1134/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.A Narawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces compilation of order as mentioned in para 6 in order dated 18.8.2020. Copies be supplied to learned counsel for the applicant. We make it clear that the affidavit cum reply of the Respondent, concerned responsible officer is necessary especially in view of the further development of the Hon. Supreme Court dated 9.9.2020 in Civil Appeal 3123/2020 [S.L.P (C) no. 15737/2019], wherein Hon. Supreme Court held in para 17 as under:-</p> <p align="center">"17.....(c)Appointment to public services and posts under the Government shall be made without implementing the reservation as provided in the Act."</p> <p>3. Last chance is given to the Respondents to make their position clear as the applicants are Class-IV employees.</p> <p>4. Matter fixed on 1.10.2020 as there is no compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A. No. 955/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Rajderkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Gaurav Sharma, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is today pressing amended prayer clause (e) in the main petition, that the applicant be promoted to the post of Dean, from the date of the appointment of his juniors, who are occupying the ad hoc post of Dean since last two to three years. Learned counsel submits that the applicant stands at serial no. 98 and he is the senior most. However, the Respondents have promoted the juniors, i.e. Respondents 6, 7, 8, 9 &amp; 10, who stands at serial no. 138, 103, 159, 220 &amp; 202.</p> <p>3. Respondents to file affidavit on 20.10.2020 and copy of the same is to be given a week advance to the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.10.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 7/2020 in O.A. No. 259/2019</u></b></p> <p>D.R Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Krant S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The order passed by Hon. High Court dated 21.9.2020 in W.P 2562/2020 is taken on record wherein it is specifically mentioned that there is no impediment to proceed with the contempt.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No. 465/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.Y. Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that he be promoted to the post of Joint Commissioner, Animal Husbandry as he was illegally superseded by his juniors. Learned counsel points out that Commissioner, Animal Husbandry by letter dated 15.7.2020 informed the Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry that the applicant is entitled for promotion.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 5.11.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 5.11.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.No 369/2020</u></b></p> <p>A.T. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desaj, holding for Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant claims that he is to be appointed to the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise, Class-C, pursuant to advertisement 01/2017 dated 13.1.2017. It appears from the record and the submission of the learned counsel for the applicant that the signature of the applicant on the application form and on the attendance sheets vary. Hence, the Respondents have called report from the Handwriting experts.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 26.11.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. Respondents to file reply.</p> <p>8. S.O to 26.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No. 501/2020</u></b></p> <p>S.B Mali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the cancellation order dated 10.8.2020, demoting him from the post of Police Havaldar to Police Naik on the ground that the criminal case under the Prevention of Corruption Act is pending against him. The learned counsel submits that the case is pending since 2013 and he was promoted by order dated 7.8.2020.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 27.10.2020</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 27.10.2020</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(R.N Dixit)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar J.)</b></span> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p><b>O.A.No.554 of 2019</b></p> <p>N.J. Gupta ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan is directed to file written argument before 20.10.2020 and copy of the same be served to learned C.P.O.. The learned C.P.O. may file reply to the written argument.</p> <p>3. Matter may be kept for final hearing as P.H.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.458 of 2020</b></p> <p>H.D. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.458 of 2020</b></p> <p>H.D. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.421 of 2020 with O.A.No.437 of 2020 with M.A.No.207 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.B. Chavan (O.A.421/2020) K.P. Dhumale (O.A.437/2020 with M.A.207/2020) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. produces the letter dated 28.09.2020 issued by one Shri Anil Dhas, Section Officer, Forest Department, wherein the Respondents has mentioned that they have no objection in giving promotion to the Applicants Shri S.B. Chavan and Shri K.P. Dhumale. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In view of this we expect that Forest Department will consider and issue the necessary orders.</p> <p>4. Original Applications are disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) <span style="margin-left: 200px;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)</span> Vice-Chairman (A) <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Chairperson</span></p> <p align="left">pck</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 27/2020 in O.A 13/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.A Desai, learned Senior Counsel for the Respondent no 1 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondent no 2 and</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that there is a delay of 8 months in filing the Original Application. Learned Senior counsel for the Respondent no. 1 states that there is delay of 21 months in filing the Original Application. Mr Chandratre, learned counsel for for Respondent no. 3 has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.10.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b>C.A 19/2020 in O.A. No. 1158/2016 with O.A 352 &amp; 353/2017.</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>Smt S.K Goraksha &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Krant S. Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. By way of last chance time granted till 27.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.94 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.S. Jakkanawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of last chance the affidavit in reply is to be filed by next date. If the reply is not filed by next date it will be considered that the Respondents do not want to file reply and matter will proceed ex-parte.</p> <p>3. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.172 of 2020</b></p> <p>M.N. Bhalchandra ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that reply will be filed during the course of the day. The same be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.11.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No.284 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>A.B. Gadhave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.10.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)   (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Vice-Chairman (A)   Chairperson</p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 162/2020 in O.A. No. 258 /2020</u></b></p> <p>Ms C.A Rane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the order of 2016 is challenged in W.P 11548/2019, which was filed in the year 2019. By order dated 24.1.2020, Hon. High Court directed the applicant to file appropriate proceedings before this Tribunal. Hence he is before the Tribunal with a prayer that there is delay on only 8 months. However, it appears that there is a delay of more than 2 years in filing W.P no 11548/2019 before the Hon. High Court, which is also required to be counted and explained.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p><b>O.A.No.380 of 2020 in M.A.No.213 of 2020</b></p> <p>Amruta Karvande &amp; etc. 2. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar , learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter will be heard mainly on the point of locus. Interim stay granted earlier to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.11.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 225/2020 in O.A. No. 495/2020</u></b></p> <p>S.A Shaikh &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 226/2020 in O.A. No. 495/2020</u></b></p> <p>S.A Shaikh &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice returnable 1.12.2020.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. S.O to 1.12.2020.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Altn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 152/2020 in O.A. No 462/2019</u></b></p> <p>M.P Gurav &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A is filed by the applicant seeking amehndment to the O.A.</p> <p>3. M.A seeking amendment allowed. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy to be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Attn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A.No.230 of 2020 in O.A.No.357 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>K.M. Nyayanit ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.230/2020, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.357/2020 Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is moved for modification of the order dated 15.09.2020 of this Tribunal. The Tribunal has passed the order as follows :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"2. ... The request of the learned P.O. granting three months time is accepted. Till then no order of promotions to the post of commandant are to be issued, if the orders are issued they are not to be implement".</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Shri S.S. Dere submits that the Applicants are considered for the promotion in DPC for the post of commandant however, because of order dated 15.09.2020 they are not promoted.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Shri S.S. Dere further submits that today there are three posts for which the DPC was conducted. The case of the Original Applicant though was considered he was not given promotion due to the pendency of appeal filed by him.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Shri S.S. Dere further submits that instead of keeping all the posts vacant only one post in promotional quota to be kept vacant to that effect the order is to be modified. Learned Counsel for the Original Applicant is present.</p> <p>6. The order is modified as follows :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"It is hereby directed that one post of commandant to be kept vacant in promotional quota till 15.12.2020 and other posts can be filled-in"</p> <p>7. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A.No.287 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>Dr. P.N. Kakade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar requests for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Interim stay to be continued till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No.352 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.P. Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>29.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No.343 of 2020</u></p> <p>J.R. Gahane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar request that O.A.No.343/2020 be kept along with similar matter i.e. O.A.No.344/2020 on 13.10.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2020 along with O.A.No.344/2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 502/2020</u></b></p> <p>Vishwanath D. Ghanwat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges suspension order dated 19.4.2017. The applicant was working as Police Inspector in the office of S.P at Sangli against whom the criminal case was filed for the offences punishable under Section 454, 380, 120(b), 166, 167 read with 34 of I.P.C. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that no review is conducted in respect of suspension of the applicant in view of ratio laid down in Ajay Kumar Choudhar Vs. Union of India, through Secretary &amp; Anr (2015) 7 SCC 291.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 24.11.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>29.09.2020</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 489/2020</u>
	<p>Alka.V Jagdale ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, a Laboratory Scientific Officer, Class-III, prays that her representation dated 18.9.2020 should be considered by the Respondents. It is submitted that at present she is working at Ravangaon Primary Health Centre, at Daund, Pune for more than four years and wants to be transferred in the office of Joint Director, Malaria, Filaria and Water Borne Diseases, Pune as she has mentioned in her representation dated 18.9.2020. She is 66% disabled and suffering from Mono Paralysis, and certificate to that effect is annexed to Page no. 15. The said certificate is issued by Government of Maharashtra, Disability Board, Sasoon General Hospital, Pune. She submits that though she stood at number no. 1 in the list of General transfer at Ravangaon for four years, her request and therefore, she wanted to be transferred in the list of transfer orders issued on 5.9.2020.</p> <p>3. In view of the facts and the submission so also the provisions under the Disabilities Act, the Respondents are directed to consider her representation dated 18.9.2020 sympathetically in accordance with law within three weeks. Respondents to take note that one post in the office of Joint Director, Malaria, Filaria and Water Borne Diseases, Pune is still vacant.</p> <p>4. With these directions, the application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 477/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.S Markand ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The applicant challenges final notice of recovery dated 16.6.2019 of Rs. 6,49,715/-</li> <li>3. Issue notice returnable after 5.11.2020.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. S.O to 5.11.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 456/2020</u></b></p> <p>D.K Shinde &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will produce the compilation of the case law on the point whether technical break is to be included as experience.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.10.2020</p> <p>5. Parties to take note that matter will be decided finally at the stage of admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.213 of 2017</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 22.09.2020, learned C.P.O. has filed additional Affidavit of Shri Arvind Ganesh Jadhav, Deputy Assistant in the office of Director General of Police along with annexures.</li> <li>3. However, still there is no satisfactory clarification about alleged interpolation and addition in ACR of the Applicant.</li> <li>4. Learned C.P.O. is at liberty to explain the same by filing additional affidavit of Shri Manoj Lohiya who has written ACR's question as Reporting Officer.</li> <li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also seeks time for further hearing.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.140 of 2020 in O.A.No.208 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this Original Application, notice in M.A. was issued on 12.03.2020 but till date no reply is filed though enough time is availed.</li> <li>3. However, on request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for reply as a last chance.</li> <li>4. No further time will be granted.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 13.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vvr (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>