

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.1534 of 2023 with O.A. No.1535 of 2023 FOR SPEAKING TO MINUTES</b></p> <p>R.B. Bhalerao P.V. Gavte .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Gautam Banarji, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents in O.A. No.1534/2023 &amp; Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents in O.A. No.1535/2023.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant mentioned about Order dated 29.07.2024 in 'O.A. No.1534 of 2023' with 'O.A. No.1535 of 2023' for 'Speaking to the Minutes'.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that due to inadvertence in Order dated 29.07.2024 in (a) 'Para No.3 – First &amp; Second Line' it is wrongly mentioned as 'Applicant in O.A. No.1534/2023' whereas it should be corrected as 'Applicant in O.A. No.1535/2023' in (b) 'Para No.4 – First &amp; Second Line' instead of 'Applicant in O.A. No.1533/2024, it should be read as 'Applicant in O.A. No.1534/2023' and in (c) 'Para No.5 – First Line' instead of 'The O.A. No.1534/2023' it should be read as 'The O.A. No.1535/2023'.</li> <li>4. The Order dated 29.07.2024 in 'O.A. No.1534 of 2023 with O.A. No.1535 of 2023 stands corrected as mentioned above and be read accordingly by Applicant &amp; Respondents.</li> <li>5. The 'Speaking to Minutes' is accordingly disposed off in 'O.A. No.1534 of 2023' with 'O.A. No.1535 of 2023'.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.334 of 2024</p> <p>S.A. Shewale &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants at Sr. Nos.2,3,7 in O.A. 334/2024 were also expected to submit their representations to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' considering that requests made earlier by Applicants at Sr. No.1,4,5,6 were duly considered and they have since been transferred by Order dated 30.06.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. The 7 Applicants in O.A. No.334/2024 had been initially transferred by Order dated 30.01.2024, of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'. Subsequently by Order dated 04.03.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' the 7 Applicants were transferred back to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur'.</p> <p>4. The 7 Applicants in O.A. No.334/2024 had nearly completed maximum tenure of '6 Years' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' as per provisions of 'Section 22N(1)(d)' of 'The Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' and thus were positioned much beyond the span of criteria mentioned in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' which is about completion of '3 Years' service during last '4 Years' in 'Revenue District'.</p> <p>5. The 7 Applicants in O.A. No.334/2024 were in any case therefore required to be transferred out from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur City'</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

as per provisions of 'Section 22N(1)(d)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' notwithstanding directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'. Yet, the Applicants were transferred out belatedly from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur City' by Order dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' to establishment 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad'. So; by subsequent Order dated 04.03.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' the 7 Applicants in O.A. No.334/2024 were also posted back to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur'.

6. The exercise to review Order dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' was expected to be limited to only those 'Police Inspectors' who came under purview of directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' relating to criteria of either serving in 'Home District' or having completed service of '3 Years' during last '4 Years' in any 'Revenue District' with exceptions in respect of those 'Police Inspectors' who were serving on posts in 'Functional Departments'. Hence, Orders dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' in respect of 7 Applicants in O.A. No.334/2024 were not really required to be reviewed as they had been transferred to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' entirely based on provisions of 'Section 22(1)(d)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' but coincidentally were clubbed alongwith transfers of many other 'Police Inspectors' as per directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'.

7. The 'P.E.B.-2' therefore to now consider cases of remaining Applicants at Sr. Nos.2,3,9 of O.A. No.334/2024 based on 'Principles of Parity' with Applicants at Sr. No.1,4,5,6; since their request have already been considered and then upon 'Order dated 30.06.2024' have been issued by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' in respect of Applicants at Sr. No.1,4,5,6 alongwith those of '130 Police Inspectors'.

8. S.O. to 12.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A.402/2024 in O.A.383/2024 with</u></b>  <b><u>M.A.403/2024 in O.A.383/2024 with</u></b>  <b><u>M.A.404/2024 in O.A.493/2024 With</u></b>  <b><u>M.A.405/2024 in O.A.493/2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b>(corrected as per 'Speaking to Minutes' Order dated 29.07.2024)</b></p> <p><b>K.S. Dalvi &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Interveners</b></span>  <b>And</b>  <b>K.N. Tadvi</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for original Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents, Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate a/w Shri K.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate with Shri Prithviraj Gole i/b. Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate for Respondent No.6 in OA 383/2024 &amp; in OA 493/2024, Shri R.A. Tekale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA 383/2024, Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA 493/2024, Shri Prithviraj Gole a/w Shri Krishnakant Deshmukh, learned Advocates for Respondent No.5 in OA 493/2024, Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate a/w Shri K.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate with Shri Prithviraj Gole i/b. Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate for Respondent No.6 in OA 493/2024.</p> <p>2. The original Applicant has approached the Tribunal with prayer that he be hold suitable for appointment to post of 'Deputy Collector' as per his eligibility and merit for the post which is advertised on 11.05.2022 and has also challenged the 'Sports Validity Report' of Respondent No.5 in OA 383/2024 dated 05.07.2017 as per GR dated 10.10.2017 and so 'Respondent No.5' in OA 493/2024 is not eligible to claim benefit of 5% reservation in 'Sports Category' for 'Group-A Post' and also seeks direction to Respondent Nos. 2, 3 &amp; 4 to be directed to prepare 'Revised Select List' on the basis of Government Policies.</p> <p>3. In this matter, the Tribunal on 21.03.2024 had directed Respondents to maintain 'Status Quo' and further directed by Order dated 19.04.2024 as follows:-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>“8. We direct Department of Sports to verify the Certificates of Respondent No.5 in both the matters as per rules and GR dated 10.10.2017 which are applicable to the Advertisement.</p> <p>9. We further direct Director of Sports to verify whether sports played by Respondent No.5 were organized by the Competent Organization as per requirement.</p> <p>4. Pursuant to Order dated 19.04.2024, Respondent – State directed concerned Authority of Sports to re-verify the ‘Sports Certificates’ of Applicant and also ‘Respondent No.5’ (ori. Applicant). The ‘Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services’ has re-verified and submitted ‘Report’ and said ‘Report’ was communicated by ‘Deputy Director’ Shri Manik Patil by letter dated 09.07.2024 that Sports Certificate dated 05.07.2017 of ‘Respondent No.5’ (ori. Applicant) is invalidated. The said letter dated 09.07.2024 is placed before us.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 in OA No.493/2024 has submitted that ‘Sports Authority’ is required to follow proper procedure of re-verification of ‘Sports Certificates’ as per GR dated 30.06.2022 and especially, concerned ‘Committee’ was not constituted to re-verify the validity of ‘Sports Certificate’ of ‘Respondent No.5’ in OA No. 493/2024. He raised many objections including non-availability of proper ‘Forum’ for ‘Respondent No.5’ in OA No. 493/2024.</p> <p>6. We make it clear that once decision was taken by Respondent Authority in respect of ‘Sports Authority’ of Applicant and ‘Respondent No.5’ in OA No.383/2024 &amp; OA No.493/2024, we cannot go into as it is not the issue before us.</p> <p>7. We accept the ‘Report’ as it is in view of relief prayed by Applicant. The ‘Respondent No.5’ in OA No.383/2024 and OA No.493/2024 who are aggrieved persons because of this ‘Report’ may follow other ‘Legal Recourse’. However, objection cannot be considered in this matter by us.</p> <p>8. As our Order dated 19.04.2024 is complied with by Respondents by re-verifying the Sports Certificate of Applicant and ‘Respondent No.5’ in OA No.383/2024 &amp; OA No.493/2024, we direct MPSC to take necessary steps and revise ‘Merit List’ as well as ‘Provisional Select List’ as per ‘Rules’.</p> <p>9. ‘Interim Relief’ is vacated.</p> <p>10. Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate submits that Order of ‘Status Quo’ to continue for ‘One Week’ to enable ‘Respondent No.5’ in OA No.493/2024 to take further steps.</p> <p>11. In view of above, both OA No.383/2024 &amp; OA No.493/2024 stands disposed off. M.A.No.402/2024 &amp; M.A.403 in OA 383/2024 for ‘Intervention’ similarly M.A.404/2024 &amp; M.A.405/2024 in OA 493/2024 for ‘Vacating Stay’ also stands disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>29.07.2024</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>29.07.2024</b> </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.07.2024

O.A.No.379 of 2024

D. B. Borse

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. M. Hajare, learned Counsel for Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that copy of this OA No.379/2024 has not been served on Respondent No.4 who is 'Deputy Conservator of Forest (Territorial) (East), Nashik'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant who was working on post of 'Range Forest Officer' retired from service on 30.06.2021. The Applicant was given 'Provisional Pension' but only for Six Months from 01.07.2021 to 30.12.2021. Thereafter Applicant has not received any 'Provisional Pension' or 'Monthly Pension' and also not received any of other 'Retirement Benefits' including 'GPF', 'Leave Encashment' & 'GIS'.

4. Learned P.O. therefore informed to take instructions forthwith as apparently the Applicant does not have any 'Departmental Enquiry' or 'Criminal Cases', yet his 'Retirement Benefits' have not been given by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest (Territorial) (East), Nashik'.

5. S.O. to 19.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 29.07.2024 Tribunal's orders <b>O.A.No.815 of 2024</b></p>
	<p><b>K. S. Bhalrao</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has today submitted 'Notes of Arguments'. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Reply'.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1427 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S. S. Bholane</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougul, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p>

vsm







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1523 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K. K. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 14.08.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Sd/-</b> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.07.2024

O.A.No.197 of 2024

S. H. Parte & Ors. ....Applicants

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.
3. S.O. to 01.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 29.07.2024

O.A.No.733 of 2024

P. S. Ujgare .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions and file Affidavit in Reply.
3. S.O. to 07.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1416 of 2023</p> <p>L. C. Suryawanhi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.643 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>H. T. Pansar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions and file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.637 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A. D. Mohare</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li> <li>2. The learned P.O. submits that instructions are received and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.636 of 2024</p> <p>K. V. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that instructions are received and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.238 of 2024</p> <p>P. S. Joshi .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p>

Vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.259 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A. Y. Sayyad</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<b>Debashish Chakrabarty</b>) Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.304 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. Gawale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions and file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.461 of 2023</p> <p>R. B. Asan .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Dube, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<del>Debashish Chakrabarty</del>) Member (A)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.308 of 2024 in O.A.No.647 of 2024</p> <p>G. D. Kurane .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.07.2024

M. A. No.441 of 2024 in O.A.No.755 of 2024

S. N. Survase

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.08.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 19.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.826 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>E. Shivaprumal</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. A. S. Kolapkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit in Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p>

vsm



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1534 of 2023 with O.A. No.1535 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Bhalerao</b>  <b>P.V. Gavte</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gautam Banarji, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents in O.A. No.1534/2023 &amp; Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents in O.A. No.1535/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that request of Applicant in O.A. No.1534/2023 has been considered and accordingly by Order dated 29.07.2024 of 'Additional D.G. (Establishment)' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'; the Applicant has been transferred from establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to establishment of 'Commissioner SID Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that in case of Applicant in O.A. 1534/2023 who has requested for transfer to establishment of 'S.P. Nanded' or at least in jurisdiction of 'Special I.G.P. Nanded Range, Nanded' the proposal will be submitted for due consideration of 'P.E.B.-2'.</p> <p>4. The grievance of Applicant in O.A. No.1533/2023 thus stands redressed. Hence O.A. No.1533/2024 is disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p>5. The O.A. No.1534/2023 will be heard on the 'Next Date' by which time it is expected that request of Applicant for transfer to establishment of 'S.P. Nanded' or at least in jurisdiction of 'Special I.G.P. Nanded Range, Nanded' would have been considered by 'P.E.B.-2'.</p> <p>6 S.O. to 05.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.392 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Mugalikar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents copy of letter written by 'Under Secretary, Home Department' addressed to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' wherein it is stated with reference to directions given in 'Para 6' of 'Order'dated 08.07.2024 and 'Para 2' of 'Order'dated 16.07.2024 that the representation of Applicant be placed for consideration of 'P.E.B.-1'</p> <p>3. The 'Interim Order' as per contents of 'Para 6' of Order dated 08.07.2024 to continue and 'One Post' of 'Dy S.P. / A.C.P.' to be kept vacant in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri- Chinchwad'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<del>Debashish Chakrabarty</del>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.907 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.F. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that charge sheet dated 21.6.2013 be quashed and set aside. DE was initiated in 2013 for the incident of 2010 and applicant retired on 31.5.2018. He has filed this OA on 24.7.2024. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that enquiry is over and the enquiry officer has submitted his report on 27.2.2020 and therefore at least the respondents be directed to complete the enquiry and taken final decision within four weeks.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO states that at least three months' time is required.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, we direct the respondent-department to complete the enquiry by taking final decision within two months from today i.e. by 30.9.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 4.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.1586/2023**

S.T. Katkar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.B. Khot, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Time granted to learned P.O. to file reply by way of last chance. Adjourned to 26.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.415/2024**

M.K. Bhujbal .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S. Chaudhary, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. though adjourned to 09.09.2024 taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Chaudhary.

3. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Chaudhary O.A. is preponed and adjourned to 19.08.2024.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

prk

SD/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 226/2024 in O.A 340/2023</u></b></p> <p>P.R Gamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking direction to allow the Original Application in the light of G.R dated 22.8.2007 issued by the Social Justice Department read with the Circular dated 1.9.2004 issued by the D.G.P and the decision of the Tribunal, Nagpur Bench dated 25.7.2022 in O.A 528/2019.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant has not submitted the Caste Validity Certificate and hence the issue is kept pending and the applicant is not granted promotion to the post of A.P.I. Learned counsel also submitted that the Hon'ble High Court by order dated 25.6.2021 in W.P No. 6929/2021 has directed the Caste Scrutiny Committee to expedite the matter.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. We cannot direct the Caste Scrutiny Committee to issue the Caste Validity Certificate because it is the duty of the said Committee. The promotion of the applicant to the post of A.P.I is stalled only for want of valid Caste Validity Certificate that the applicant belongs to Mahadeo Koli community.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, we do not find any merit in the Misc Application and the same is accordingly dismissed.</p> <p>7. O.A 340/2023 to be placed on Board on 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 450/2024 in O.A 828/2024- Mumbai (O.a 328/2023-Nagpur)</u></b> <b><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></b></p> <p>S.V Kakade &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Ms Anita Raut is added as Party Respondent and she is served on 27.7.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that copy is not amended.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to carry out the amendment and serve copy on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O to 1.8,2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.390 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>V.R. Bhosale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.597 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>K.N. Rathod .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 23.07.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' and states that the case be admitted for 'Final Hearing'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.791 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>V.M. Khedkar .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No. 1 to 3.It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.517 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>P.D. Katkar .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.154 of 2024</p> <p>H.S. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.335 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Patil .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2024</b></p> <p>O.A. No.253 of 2024 with O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with O.A. No. 281 of 2024 with O.A. No. 356 of 2024 to O. A. No. 358 of 2024</p> <p>A.R. Jadhav Dr. S.B.A. Mokashi A.R. Waghmare K.P. Mane A.M. Kumbhar LG. Gawade M.S. Madguikar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri S.S. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 4 is not present in O.A. No. 281/2024.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**M.A.No.440/2024 in O.A.No.826/2024 (S.B.)**

Dr. Pramod Khandate .Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard learned Counsel holding for Ms. Renuka Sirpurkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has forwarded the praecipe for withdrawal of O.A. through email.

3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

4. In view of praecipe, O.A. and M.A. both stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1025 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.D. Gangawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 12.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.153 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 8.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.7.2024</p> <p align="center">..</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A Nos 910 &amp; 911/2024</u></b></p> <p>N.B Sanap H.S Rawaskar ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents in respective O.As.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.7.2024.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O to 31.7.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 41/2023 in O.A 297/2015 with O.A 106/2017</u></b></p> <p>U.N Yadav R.V Pawar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that file is still with the Hon'ble Minister.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 61/2024 in O.A 64/2024</u></b></p> <p>S.M Kadam &amp; Ors ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 56/2024 in O.A 43/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.B Mosamkar ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>29.07.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 63/2024 in O.A 1008/2023</u></b></p> <p>G.R Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.617/2024**

B.K. Gule .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. though adjourned to 10.09.2024 taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle.

3. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle adjourned to 31.07.2024 on the ground that the order of this Tribunal dated 10.05.2024 read with 15.07.2024 directing the Respondent-Department to take decision within one week is not yet followed, hence, O.A. is adjourned to 31.07.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.551/2021**

C.J. Gudewar .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. A.S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.

3. O.A. though adjourned to 05.09.2024 taken on board at the request of Applicant in person Mr. Gudewar.

4. Applicant in person states that he wants to argue the matter himself as learned Counsel Mr. V.P. Potbhare is no more and learned Counsel Mr. Deshpande is at Aurangabad.

5. Applicant is directed to inform the same to learned Counsel Mr. Deshpande and accordingly place the copy of such letter on record before this Tribunal.

6. Adjourned to 06.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.889/2024**

Dr. N.S. Padmapani .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. L.C. Kranti, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. O.A. though adjourned to 11.09.2024 taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kranti.
3. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kranti O.A. is preponed and adjourned to 30.07.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.35/2017**

S.A. Adake .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana  
B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned  
to 30.07.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.245/2021**

J.S. Sathe .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana  
B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel seeks time to file counter  
affidavit to furnish the copy of document on record  
which he wants to rely on.

3. In view of above, learned Counsel is directed  
to furnish the copy of such record to the office of  
C.P.O. and the concern Department by way of  
counter affidavit on or before 31.12.2024 by 12  
noon.

4. At the request of learned Counsel O.A.  
adjourned to 01.08.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 29.07.2024**

**O.A.No.404/2024**

S.H. Nadaf

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to prepare chart showing the similarly situated persons as present Applicant on 31.07.2024.
3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 01.08.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**O.A.NO.383 OF 2024 with O.A.No.493 of 2024**

K.S. Dalvi & Ors. ....Interveners  
**And**  
K.N. Tadvl .....Applicant.  
**Vs.**  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors .....Respondents

Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant.  
Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson  
Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)**

**DATE : 29.07.2024.**

**SPEAKING TO MINUTES**

1. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed application dated 29.07.2024 for speaking to minutes in the order dated 24.07.2024 passed in M.A.No.402/2024 in O.A.No.383/2024 with O.A.No.403/2024 in O.A.No.383/2024 with M.A.No.404/2024 WITH O.A.No.493/2024 with M.A.No.405/2024 in O.A.No.493/2024.
3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that in order dated 24.07.2024 in paragraphs No.2, 5, 8 and 10 it is wrongly typed as O.A.No. '383'.
4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.
5. Hence, in order dated 24.07.2024 in paragraphs No.2, 5, 8 and 10, instead of O.A.No. '383', it should be read as '493'.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member(A)**

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk