

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.876 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.A. Redekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has produced the following documents on the point of recognition:</p> <p>i) Letter dated 13.11.2013 from the Joint Commissioner (Education), Social Welfare Commissionerate, Pune to the Assistant Commissioner, Social Welfare, Solapur.</p> <p>ii) Certificate dated 20.7.2012 issued by Dy. Chief Executive Officer, ZP, Beed.</p> <p>iii) Letter dated 25.7.2008 from Joint Director, Social Welfare Directorate, Pune to all the Divisional Social Welfare Officers.</p> <p>iv) Letter dated 2.2.2012 from Agriculture Development Officer, ZP, Solapur to Under Secretary, Ministry of Panchayat Raj, New Delhi & Ors.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out page 16 Exhibit B of the OA which is Agriculture Diploma Certificate dated 15.7.2020 issued to the applicant by the National Barkward Krushi Vidyapeeth, Solapur under the Institute of National Importance type of University U.1054.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO has produced a compilation containing the following documents:</p> <p>i) List of colleges affiliated to 4 Agriculture Universities.</p> <p>ii) Maharashtra Agricultural Universities Act, 1983.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.108 of 2020 with O.A.No.109 of 2020 with O.A.No.468 of 2020 with O.A.No.469 of 2020 with O.A.No.569 of 2022 with O.A.No.570 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. Shinde & Ors. (O.A.108/2020) A.R. Jagtap & Ors. (O.A.109/2020) A.R. Jagtap & Ors. (O.A.468/2020) P.P. Shinde & Ors. (O.A.469/2020) R.S. Khapale (O.A.569/2022) R.R. Chavan (O.A.570/2022)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit in reply is filed in O.A.No.108 of 2020 with O.A.No.109 of 2020 with O.A.No.468 of 2020 with O.A.No.469 of 2020, whereas she seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.569 of 2022 with O.A.No.570 of 2022,</p> <p>3. Termination notice is issued. Due to paucity of time we are unable to take the matters. However the Applicants in O.A.No.109/2020, O.A.No.468/2020, O.A.No.569/2022 and O.A.No.570/2022 who are in service their services is not to be terminated till next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.08.2022 at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.07.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.59 of 2018 with O.A.No.60/2018</p> <p>Dr. K.V. NemadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that the present O.A. is to be tagged with O.A.No.60/2018, the same is not shown on board today.</p> <p>3. O.A.No.60/2018 taken on board .</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that she has been orally instructed to withdraw the O.A., hence she seeks permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>5. Allowed to withdraw.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.284 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. GadhaveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that in view of the validation of the certificate dated 20.01.2019 issued by the Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Pune Region, Pune, he seeks permission to add Director, Sports and Youth Services as Party Respondent.</p> <p>3. Oral application made by learned Advocate to add Director, Sports and Youth Services as Party Respondent No.3 in the array of Respondents is allowed. Amendment to be made forthwith. Amendment to be carried out in the copy of learned P.O. as well.</p> <p>4. Issue notice to proposed Respondent No.3, Director, Sports and Youth Services on 05.08.2022.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.304 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We hereby direct the Department to appear with the entire noting of the day of all the candidates whose names have appeared in the provisional list dated 18.12.2021.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 01.08.2022 at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.07.2022

O.A.No.508 of 2021 with O.A.No.509 of 2021

B.J. Girase (O.A.NO.508/2021)

S.S. Girase (O.A.NO.509/2021)Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate prays for time to file amendment application.
3. Matter adjourned to 12.08.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.730 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Adjourned to 19.08.2022. To be tagged with O.A.1064/2016 with O.A.No.1065/2016 with O.A.No.1066/2016 with O.A.No.1067/2016 with O.A.No.1122/2019 with O.A.no.1123/2019 with O.A.No.1124/2019 with O.A.No.1127/2019 with O.A.No.1128/2019 as they are similarly situated.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.420 of 2022 in O.A.No.174 of 2022</p> <p>V.C. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Mohan Sonawane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that by order dated 16.06.2022 O.A. was dismissed for want of prosecution. He further submits that he has moved M.A.No.420/2022 for restoration which is within limit. There is delay of three days which we condone. He submits that the Applicant has good case and he has come on time.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A.No.420/2022 is allowed. O.A. to be restored.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1026 of 2021 with M.A.No.114 of 2021 in O.A.No.982 of 2019 with O.A.No.753 of 2021</p> <p>R.K. BhosleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 12.08.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.444 of 2018 in O.A. No.795 of 2018</p> <p>V.N. GhatgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit in support of Application for condonation of delay to explain the delay. It is taken on record.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.445 of 2022 in O.A.No.1046 of 2019</p> <p>Smt. Smita P. BhaveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The Applicant has filed M.A. to correct the prayer clause by giving details of the amount deducted from gratuity and pension and also the amount now claimed by way of refund from the Respondents.3. Misc. Application is allowed.4. Amendment be carried out forthwith.5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 05.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.612 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. ShamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.992 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. P.N. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been disposed of by order dated 09.01.2020 giving direction to the Respondents to decide the representation made by the Applicant within four weeks from the date of order. By said order, cost of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand Only) was also imposed upon the Respondent, in view of inaction on the part of the Respondent for four years in not deciding the representation. In 2016 he came to be acquitted, and therefore made representation for releasing retiral benefits. It is in that situation cost of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand Only) was imposed upon the Respondent which is deposited by them in the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Later the Applicant applied for payment of the cost and for direction O.A. is placed before the Tribunal. Cost of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand Only) deposited in the Tribunal be paid to the Applicant.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.674 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. SuryawanhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.624 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. DateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.707 of 2022</p> <p>B.S. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is noticed that there were three Departmental Enquiries against the Applicant. In 1st enquiry, the punishment of withholding increment was passed and in 2nd D.E., by order dated 11.06.2018 punishment of 12% deduction in pension per month permanently was imposed. Whereas, 3rd D.E. is still incomplete.</p> <p>3. As regard 3rd enquiry, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the said enquiry completed in 2014 itself. The Applicant has also given reply to the show cause notice.</p> <p>4. Thus, it appears that 3rd enquiry though completed in 2014 is still pending for want of passing final order of the competent authority. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2014 and the period of more than six years is now over. As such, ex-facie there is negligence and lethargy on the part of concerned competent authority in not passing final order in 3rd D.E.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take necessary instructions from the Respondents about the status of 3rd D.E. and also to explain the delay for not passing final order by filing Affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.360 of 2022 in O.A.No.561 of 2021</p> <p>K. A. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing at the stage of admission, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. keeping all these contentions open.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A. as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, O.A. as well as M.A. is disposed of with no order as costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.658 of 2022</p> <p>D. R. PawalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is also directed to apprise the Tribunal about the status of D.E. without fail.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.600 of 2017 with M.A.No.496 of 2017 With O.A.No.418 of 2017</p> <p>S. G. Dhanawade S. D. KharatApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale & Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsels for the Applicants as well as learned P.O. submit that the issue of promotion on the basis of reservation is not yet decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.706 of 2022</p> <p>S. P. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Pay & Allowances of the Applicant from 01.10.2021 seems to have been not paid because of non-receipt of the Service Book and Last Pay Certificate (LPC) from the District Collector (EGS), Nashik. He joined on the establishment of the Respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Engineer, P.W.D. Satara on 01.10.2021. However, his Pay & Allowances are not paid for about 8 to 9 months due to sheer inaction on the part of the District Collector (EGS), Nashik for not sending the Service Book and LPC.</p> <p>3. This matter is taken up for admission on 27.07.2022 and having noticed that for long time, the Pay & Allowances of the Applicant has not paid, learned P.O. ^{was} directed to take instructions as to why the Service Book and LPC of the Applicant were not sent by the Respondent No.5 – District Collector (EGS), Nashik to Satara.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the copy of notice dated 14.07.2022 purportedly issued by the Respondent No.5 – District Collector (EGS), Nashik calling upon the Applicant as to why disciplinary action should not be taken against him for his absence from 29.09.2021.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was transferred by order of Government dated 30.08.2021 and directions were also given to the concerned to relieve the Government servants under transfer. The Applicant by letter dated 29.09.2021 requested the Respondent No.5 to relieve him immediately so that he could join at Satara. The Applicant has also tendered the said letter on record which is at Page No.23 of O.A. and bears acknowledgment of the office of Respondent No.5. On next date, the Applicant joined on the establishment of Respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Engineer, PWD, Satara and since then he is admittedly working there.</p> <p>6. As such, it is really strange to notice that though the Applicant has joined on the establishment of Respondent No.3 on 01.10.2021 how the Respondent No.5 issued the notice of his absence from 29.09.2021 which is really understandable and beyond imagination.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. sought to contend that there is no official order to relieve the Applicant. This is nothing but technical aspect and it does not help the Respondents for non-payment of Pay & Allowances of the Applicant when admittedly he is working on the establishment of Respondent No.3 from 01.10.2021.</p> <p>8. In view of above, the Respondent No.3 – Superintendent of Engineer, PWD, Satara is directed to release the Pay & Allowances of the Applicant by calling necessary documents i.e. Service Book, LPC etc. from the office of Respondent No.5 – District Collector (EGS), Nashik and salary be paid within three weeks from today.</p> <p>9. The Respondent No.5 is also directed to send the Service Book and LPC to the Respondent No.3 within a week from today.</p> <p>10. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.632 of 2022</p> <p>G.M. ShaktiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vishal Hegde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. <i>Prima-facie</i> O.A. is not filed within limitation.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought two weeks time to file M.A.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file M.A. If M.A. is filed within two weeks this O.A. along with M.A. will be listed on 12.08.2022, in default O.A. will stands dismissed without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.190 of 2022 in O.A. No.880 of 2021 with M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021 with M.A. No.197 of 2022 in O.A. No.882 of 2021 with O.A. No.883 of 2021 with M.A. No.192 of 2022 in O.A. No.884 of 2021 with M.A. No.195 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2021 with M.A. No.194 of 2022 in O.A. No.886 of 2021 with O.A. No.887 of 2021 with M.A. No.196 of 2022 in O.A. No.888 of 2021 with O.A. No.889 of 2021 with M.A. No.193 of 2022 in O.A. No.917 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. Mane H.R. Shegar H.G. Kulkarni P.H. Damodar V.P. Jagtap G.R. Brahmapukar S.K. Darekar R.K. Ghadge B.T. Bhamre B.B. Ingale K.N. GhadgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.384 of 2021 with M.A. No.17 of 2022 in O.A. No.435 of 2021 with M.A. No.18 of 2022 in M.A. No.231 of 2021 in O.A. No.442 of 2021 with M.A. No.19 of 2022 in M.A. No.233 of 2021 in O.A. No.443 of 2021 with M.A. No.20 of 2022 in M.A. No.234 of 2021 in O.A. No.444 of 2021 with M.A. No.550 of 2021 in O.A. No.387 of 2021 with M.A. No.551 of 2021 in O.A. No.932 of 2021 with M.A. No.172 of 2022 in O.A. No.436 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. More S.S. Raorane Mrs.S.V. Kelkar & Ors Smt.S.S. Nilwan & Ors Smt. S.M. Khedkar & Ors. R.H. Borkar S.R. Arte A.R. BorkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in some of the M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 29.07.2022

O.A. No.709 of 2022

V.J. SonawaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.P. Sarnath, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 23.06.2022 whereby he is suspended in contemplation of D.E. invoking provision of M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.08.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 25.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.513 of 2021 in O.A. No.383 of 2021 with M.A. No.514 of 2021 in O.A. No.385 of 2021 with M.A. No.515 of 2021 in M.A. No.207 of 2021 in O.A. No.393 of 2021 with M.A. No.516 of 2021 in O.A. No.434 of 2021 with M.A. No.517 of 2021 in M.A. No.229 of 2021 in O.A. No.440 of 2021 with M.A. No.518 of 2021 in M.A. No.230 of 2021 in O.A. No.441 of 2021 with M.A. No.519 of 2021 in M.A. No.235 of 2021 in O.A. No.445 of 2021 with M.A. No.520 of 2021 in M.A. No.236 of 2021 in O.A. No.446 of 2021 with M.A. No.545 of 2021 in M.A. No.205 of 2021 in O.A. No.391 of 2021 with M.A. No.546 of 2021 in O.A. No.386 of 2021 with M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>G.J. Mhatre G.V. Sawant R.D. Sawant & Ors. M.L. Langi N.N. Kadam & Ors. V.B. Chafekar & Ors. S.N. Rane & Ors. S.S. Salunkhe & Ors. S.S. Dixit & Ors. S.S. Manjrekar A.B. Kohtekar & Ors. A.C. Mantri D.M. VishwasraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.519 of 2022 with M.A. No.372 of 2022 in</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.322 of 2022</p> <p>J.S. SurveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.261 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.443 of 2022 in M.A. No.444 of 2022 in M.A. No.191 of 2022 in O.A. No.881 of 2021</p> <p>J.H. Shegar & anr.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No.881/2021 is filed by the Applicant (now deceased) challenging recovery. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.191/2022 for Condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. During the pendency of this proceeding the Applicant Shri Hanumant R. Shegar died on 28.02.2022. Therefore, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed application for bringing his heirs on record along with application for condonation of delay caused in filing M.A. for bringing legal heirs on record by filing these M.A. No.443/2022 in M.A. No.444/2022.</p> <p>4. Since, Original Applicant died during the pendency of O.A. and right to service as heirs^{to be} substituted in place of Original Applicant. Delay caused in bringing heirs is condoned.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. No.443 of 2022 & M.A. No.444 of 2022 are allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.739 of 2022</p> <p>Y.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged relieving order dated 07.07.2022 issued by Respondent No.3 – Project Officer.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is teacher in Government Ashram School. By order dated 30.03.2022 the Applicant was promoted as Middle School Head Master and was posted at Government Ashram School at Murbad, Taluka: Vikramgad, District Palghar. Before promotion he was serving at Government Ashram School at Takipathar, Taluka: Shapur, District: Thane. As per condition in order dated 30.03.2022 the Applicant was to join within 15 days. However, he did not join within stipulated period.</p> <p>4. Later the Applicant by letter dated 04.07.2022 addressed to Respondent No.4 - Head Master, Government Secondary and Higher Secondary Ashram School, Taluka: Shapur, District: Thane informed that due to personal reasons he is refusing the promotion.</p> <p>5. However, Respondent No.3 – Project Officer by letter dated 07.07.2022 relieved the Applicant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that Respondent No.3 – Project Officer has no authority to relieve the Applicant. However, here the question is what action is proposed once the Applicant declined promotion by letter dated 04.07.2022 as well as by representation dated 11.07.2022 which was addressed to Respondent No.2 – Additional Tribal Development Commissioner, Thane.</p> <p>7. As such, it is for Respondent No.2 to pass appropriate order about the Application made by the Applicant for not accepting the promotion.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. sought three days time so that Respondent No.2 shall pass necessary orders.</p> <p>9. In view of above, matter is adjourned to 03.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.444 OF 2018
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.795 OF 2018**

Shri Vaibhav N. Ghatge.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 4 Ors.)...**Respondents**

Mr. A.S. Patil, Advocate for Applicant.

Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 29.07.2022

ORDER

1. The Applicant has filed O.A. challenging the order dated 01.02.2016 where Applicant's claim for appointment on compassionate ground was rejected. The O.A. is filed along with M.A. for condonation of delay wherein delay is said 2 years and 27 days caused in filing O.A.

2. Initially, this Tribunal heard the matter and by common order dated 12.04.2019, M.A. as well as O.A. came to be dismissed. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed Writ Petition No.208/2022 before Hon'ble High Court, which was decided on 8th June, 2022 and matter has been remitted with direction to decide application for condonation of delay afresh first and then upon delay been condoned, it shall look into the merits of the matter.

3. On the above background, the M.A. is heard afresh.

Wm

4. Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the reason mentioned in impugned order dated 01.02.2016 that Applicant's mother did not make any application on 25.09.2000 is apparently incorrect in view of the information received by the Applicant under R.T.I. Act. Insofar as this aspect is concerned, here Tribunal is required to decide the M.A. first and to find out whether delay is sufficiently explained. Therefore, the issue whether Applicant's mother had really made such application on 25.09.2000 and it was decided or otherwise need not be considered at this stage in view of order of Hon'ble High Court to decide M.A. first.

5. As rightly pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant, being aggrieved by order dated 01.02.2016, the Applicant made a representation on 27.06.2016 within four months stating that her mother had already made application on 25.09.2000, and therefore, reason mentioned in impugned order that no such application was made is incorrect and prayed for his own appointment on compassionate ground. However, it was not responded. Thereafter, Applicant has filed O.A. on 28.08.2018.

6. When specific query was raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant as to how delay of 2 years and 207 days is calculated, all that he stated that as per office objection, he mentioned delay as 2 years and 207 days. Indeed, Applicant's Advocate ought to have calculated delay in accordance to law and ought to have specified it in M.A. Be that as it may, now question is whether O.A. filed on 28.08.2018 is within limitation and secondly, if not within limitation, how much delay is caused in filing O.A.

7. Since Applicant has made representation on 27.06.2016 against the impugned order dated 01.02.2016 in terms of Section 21(1)(b) of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, the cause of action accrues after a period of six months expired from date of making representation and

limitation of one year would start from the expiration of period of six months. In the present case, the period of six months for deciding representation ends on 27.12.2016. Till that date, no decision was taken on his representation. Therefore, Applicant got one-year limitation to file O.A, which expires on 27.12.2017. Whereas, O.A. is filed on 28.08.2018. As such, legally speaking delay is of around eight months.

8. The O.A. pertains to appointment on compassionate ground. The reason mentioned in impugned order that Applicant's mother did not make any application on 25.09.2000 is apparently incorrect, as seen from the information collected by the Applicant under R.T.I. Act. This issue is required to be adjudicated on its own merit. Whether Applicant is entitled to compassionate appointment will have to be decided on merit. Therefore, at this stage, there being 8 months' delay to challenge the impugned order, I deem it appropriate to condone the delay taking liberal approach of the matter, so that O.A. is decided on its own merit.

9. In view of above, the delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned.

10. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.795/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.N. Ghatge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.444/2018, which is allowed today and delay is condoned.</p> <p>3. Insofar as O.A. is concerned, three weeks' time is granted to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17th August, 2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 29.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.432 of 2022 in O.A. No.714 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.H. Bibe & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.7.2022 29.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1033 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.J. Kamane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. In pursuance of order dated 28.7.2022 passed by this Tribunal the OA is dismissed for default.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td align="center" data-bbox="828 1223 1039 1403">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2022</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1088 1223 1388 1403">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.7.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.7.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 29.7.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1040 of 2021</p> <p>Mah. State Gazetted Medical Officers FederationApplicant</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 06.08.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.59/ 2019 in OAs.1041, 1042, 1043, 1045 & 1046/2017</u></p> <p>L.B. Kadam & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that W.P. No.1064/2020 has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court and stay has been granted on 5.2.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.7.2022 29.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.701 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Karne & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. V.S. Kadam adjourned to 17.08.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.714 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.H. Bibe & 3 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants seek appointment to the post of Multipurpose Health Worker. They have applied for the posts in Beed, Solapur, Kolhapur and Thane districts respectively. Ld. Advocate submits that they have not been given appointment order in view of the fact that their documents are not verified.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to verify the documents of applicants within one week i.e. till 5.8.2022 and accordingly consider their cases for appointment on merit and as per rules.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
29.7.2022

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
29.7.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2018 in O.A. No.670 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. C.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time for compliance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 29.7.2022 29.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.92 of 2020 with O.A.No.93 of 2020 with O.A.No.94 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. Mane (O.A.92/2020) R.W. Shirke (O.A.93/2020) P.L. Puralkar (O.A.94/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete. Admit and adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.147 of 2016</u></p> <p>N.N. Ramraje ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is removed from the caption of 'Dismissal'. On perusal of record we find that written notes of arguments is undated. However, the date is mentioned as 9.12.2016 by Advocate Smt. Lata Patne. Ld. Advocate submits that same notes of arguments were filed on 19.9.2019 as earlier notes of arguments were not traceable. Ld. Advocate submits that he wants to sum-up the same along with citations.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.9.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>29.7.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>29.7.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.587 of 2022</p> <p>U.N. MadaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. to file reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Adjourned to 19.08.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.6 of 2022</p> <p>D.Y. Bharambe & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Reply already filed. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder on 16.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1026 of 2021 with M.A.No.114 of 2021 in O.A.No.982 of 2019 with O.A.No.753 of 2021</p> <p>R.K. BhosleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 12.08.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 29.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.620 of 2021</p> <p>H.B. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Guarav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 06.08.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.672 of 2022</p> <p>F.H. Shaikh & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and M/S. KLT Law Assoc. for Respondent No.3</p> <p>2. M/S. KLT Law Assoc. appearing for Respondent No.3 files affidavit-in-reply. Taken on record. Copy is served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Adjourned to 12.08.2022 for filing rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>