

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>R.A. No.03 of 2021 in O.A. No.792 of 2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b>FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</b></p> <p><b>Dr. D.B. Bamane</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is listed for Speaking to the Minutes to correct one typographical error in operative order in R.A.</p> <p>3. R.A. was dismissed on 20.07.2021, however in operative order it is stated "<b>Original Application is dismissed with no order as to costs.</b>", it should have been "<b>Review Application is dismissed with no order as to costs.</b>" As such above typographical error should be corrected.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.561 of 2019 in O.A. No.1018 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>G.F. Patil .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. is filed for declaration that the Applicant is entitled for his next annual increment which was due and payable on the next day of his retirement. The Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2014. His increment was due and payable on 01.07.2014 as applicable to all Government servants. However, since he retired one day earlier he was not granted benefits of increments, consequently pension was fixed.</p> <p>3. O.A. is filed along with Application for Condonation of delay <i>inter-alia</i> contending that there is recurring cause of action. <sup>where</sup> <del>where</del>, the Applicant is entitled to increment and loss of it can be termed as recurring terms of action, it needs to be decided in O.A. therefore it would be appropriate to decide O.A. and M.A. together.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Reply to O.A. so that O.A. and M.A. can be heard together.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.200 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Bagde &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</li> <li>3. Matter be kept for Final Hearing and in mean time the Applicant is permitted to file Rejoinder with copy and other side in advance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.184 of 2021 in O.A. No.574 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>L.D. Veer</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Vivek Rane, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 &amp; 7.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has pointed out that notice of M.A. is not served upon the Respondents, and therefore, Reply is not filed.</p> <p>3. By order dated 07.06.2021 it was made clear since Respondents are already party in O.A. issuance of notice in M.A. was dispensed. Respondents are only represented by Advocates therefore question of issuance of notice does not survive.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.452 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>V.E. Jog</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A. No.197/2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.502 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.K. Yadav</b> .....<b>Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....<b>Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 06.07.2021, whereby he is suspended under Rule 4(1)(a) of the M.C.S. (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979 in contemplation of Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) and Headquarter kept at Pune.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks interim relief in respect of Headquarter, contending that the Applicant should have been kept at Headquarter Shirur where he was working as Naib Tahasildar at the time of suspension, in view of circular dated 19.03.2008.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions.</li> <li>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.08.2021.</li> <li>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. In the mean time, Respondents is at liberty to take remedial measure in respect of keeping Headquarter of the Applicant at Pune, in view of circular dated 19.03.2008.

12. S.O. to 12.08.2021.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.351 of 2019 with O.A. No.341 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. C.A. Padalkar</b> <b>P.K. Keswani &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Aditi Saxena, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed that matter pertains to selection through M.P.S.C. and it pertains to Hon'ble Division Bench, and therefore, requested for listing before Division Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of prayer clause relief pertains to selection which pertains to Division Bench. Office is therefore directed to list before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.420 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>M.S. Shinde</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.419 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Bhagade &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 &amp; 3, if any.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.407 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. Dhangare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.218 of 2021 in O.A. No.1190 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>C.V. Nair</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing on 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 29.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1048 of 2019</p> <p>J.M. Lihitkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.332 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R. T. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2019 but his retiral benefits are withheld, and therefore, filed this O.A. for direction to release retiral benefits.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that on the date of retirement neither there was initiation of D.E. nor any criminal proceedings were pending against the Applicant, and therefore, the act of withholding retiral benefits is totally illegal.</li> <li>4. Perusal of record reveals that one show cause notice dated 27.08.2020 was only issued to the Applicant for initiation of D.E. The Applicant has submitted his reply and thereafter no step was taken.</li> <li>5. Initially, the provisional pension was granted which was withheld from 01.02.2020. However, learned P.O. today submits that now order is already passed for releasing provisional pension upto July, 2020.</li> <li>6. The Respondents are directed to continue the provisional pension. GPF and GIS is already paid.</li> <li>7. Thus, it remains the issue of gratuity, regular pension and leave encashment only. If there was no D.E. or criminal prosecution against the Applicant on the date of retirement, these retiral benefits ought to have been released.</li> <li>8. However, learned P.O. submits that she wants to file reply making the stand of Respondent's clear about D.E. for criminal prosecution, if any, and requested for two weeks time.</li> <li>9. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance. If no reply is filed on next date, immediately the matter <sup>will be</sup> taken up for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>10. S.O. to 12.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29 07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.Nos.512 to 517 of 2020 with O.A. No.533 of 2020 with O.A.No.535 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>D. M. Ghodake &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder in all these Original Applications. They are taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.132 of 2021 in O.A.No.180 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>Shri F. M. I. Souraj</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to amend O.A. to bring on record certain aspects to seek relief claimed in O.A.</li> <li>3. In view of nature of the amendment, permission granted to amend O.A.</li> <li>4. Amendment be carried out within a week.</li> <li>5. Respondents are at liberty to file reply to the amendment to O..A. within one week thereafter.</li> <li>6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 12.08.2021 in O.A.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 29.07.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.449 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P. R. Khedekar</b> .....<b>Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...<b>Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that before retirement, D.E. was initiated against the Applicant and that was the reason for withholding retirement benefits. However, she is not fully aware about the status of D.E. She, therefore, requested for grant of time to file reply to O.A.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.151 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.B. Taskhedkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 10.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.582 of 2020</u></p> <p>J.K. Bhatkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 26.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.356 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.D. Mire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. , ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.273 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.N. Thombre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.21 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.G. Hinge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 26.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.727 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.H.K. Inamdar ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 26.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.411 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapile ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time for engaging Counsel.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.749 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.G. Davari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment till 3.8.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.426 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.A. Bhowar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.350 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.A. Trimbake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 29.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 687, 875 &amp; 757/2011</u></b></p> <p>Shri K.P Shah &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 687, &amp; 875/2011 and Shri A. Khandekar with Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. None for the applicant in O.A 757/2011.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle submits that he will be appearing for the applicants on behalf of Mr. M.R Patil, learned counsel for the applicants and will file his Vakalatnama. Learned Counsel Mr Bhosle prays for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 464/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri Vijay Y. Patil ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere with Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that applicant, working as Agriculture Supervisor at Mokhada, Dist-Palghar, prays that the Respondents be directed to consider the case of the applicant for promotion to the post of Agriculture Officer, if found fit.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 27.7.2021 by Shri Rajendra D. Mahamuni, Assistant Administrative Officer, in the office of Joint Director of Agriculture, Thane. In para 3 of the affidavit he has mentioned that the applicant is exonerated in the Departmental Enquiry and he is now eligible for promotion. In para 4 he has stated that the process has already started for promotion of the applicant and his representation is under consideration and necessary steps will be taken to promote the applicant.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Respondents are directed to take decision of granting promotion to the applicant within four weeks from today and order be communicated to the applicant thereafter within one week.</p> <p>5. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 133/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr N.K Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Matter called out at 2.50 pm. Applicant nor learned counsel for the applicant is present. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medhā Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.07.2021

O.A.No.1120 of 2018 with M. A. No.139 of 2021

S. N. Salve

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere holding for Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed short affidavit of the Applicant along with the order of dismissal of Respondent No.4 dated 26.04.2021 as well as the order of withdrawal of O.A.No.320/2021. It is taken on record.

3. Argument heard.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 29.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.794 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. S. R. Chinchkar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.117 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>D. B. Jadhav</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 along with some annexures purporting of the statement of delinquents recorded in D.E. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 12.08.2021.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgi)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.617 of 2015</b></p> <p><b>P. M. Mulay &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">....Applicants</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant , one week time is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float:right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span></p> <p align="center"><b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float:right"><b>Member(J)</b></span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.07.2021

**O.A.No.511 of 2011**

**Dr. M. M. Thombare** .....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale holding for Shri V. V. Johsi, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms Reshma Kurle holding for Shri Nair, Spl. Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 is absent.

2. Shri K. R. Jagdale holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Shri V. V. Joshi is unable to attend the matter today and requested for adjournment.

3. Ms Reshma Kurle, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.K. Nair, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 also sought adjournment.

4. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date : 29.07.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1121 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>P. N. Shelke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. submits that in view of the recent G.R. dated 05.07.2021, the Government is taking necessary action to consider the appointment of the Applicant and requested for four weeks time.</li> <li>3. Since, the Government is considering the issue in terms of recent G.R. dated 05.07.2021, we are inclined to grant three weeks time to take necessary steps. No further time will be granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.272 of 2016</p> <p>S. H. Desai <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3, and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. pertains to appointment to the post of Police Patil and this subject pertains to Single Bench and not Division Bench.</p> <p>3. O.A. is therefore be listed before Single Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.378 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.V. Tate . . . Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors . . . Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply within six weeks</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.173 of 2021 with O.A.No.174 of 201</b></p> <p>M.A. Tapase (O.A.173/2021) R.M. Kalaskar (O.A.174/2021) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. For filing reply, adjourned to 03.08.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.774 of 2013 with O.A.No.621 of 2015</b></p> <p>S.B. Koravi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant Mr. V.B. Joshi and Ms. L. Patne both are absent.</p> <p>3. This matter was heard partially. However neither learned Advocate Mr. Joshi nor Ms. Patne appeared in number days for arguments. My brother Mr. Dixit retired on 27.07.2021 therefore the matter is now de-part heard.</p> <p>4. O.A.No.774 is of the year 2013 and O.A.No.621 is of the year 2015. The matters being old matters are fixed for final hearing and adjourned to 27.08.2021.</p> <p>5. The concerned Counsel of the parties to take note that the matter will proceed on that day. It is old matter if the Counsel the Applicant do not appear the matter will be dismissed.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 27.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.763 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.S. Kokane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions from Ms. Pramodini Gaddamwar, Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Pune learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Joint Sports Director to remain present tomorrow at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 30.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.542 of 2020</b></p> <p>Dr. B.H. Tadvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 13.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 480 &amp; 481/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri Yogesh D. Kanoja Shri Nilesh H. Salunke ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents in O.A 480/2021 and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents in O.A 481/2021.</p> <p>2. The applicants, who are working as Talathi, challenges the order dated 29.6.2021, issued by Respondent no. 1, thereby pushing them down in the seniority list in the cadre of Talathi.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the Respondents have taken objection that the applicants have not passed the Revenue Qualifying Examination (R.Q.E) in three chances. Learned counsel for the applicants, however, submits that as per G.R dated 24.8.1976, issued by G.A.D, the Government servants belonging to Backward Class category are entitled to avail one more chance, i.e. fourth chance. The applicant in O.A 480/2021 belongs to S.T category and applicant in O.A 481/2021 belongs to NT (B) category and they have cleared the Revenue Qualifying Examination in the fourth chance. Hence, the applicants are entitled to retain their seniority.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 9.9.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 9.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.26 of 2021 in O.A.No.85 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.R. Lohar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this C.A., the Applicant, Police Naik who was convicted earlier by the order of the Sessions Judge was acquitted by the Hon'ble High Court by judgment and order dated 25.11.2019. The Applicant made representation for his restoration in service in view of the order of his acquittal.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that the directions are given to the Superintendent of Police to challenge the order of the Hon'ble High Court in the Hon'ble Supreme Court and therefore the representation of the applicant is rejected.</p> <p>4. Affidavit-in-reply dated 05.07.2021 is already taken on record and is marked as Exhibit-A. Today the Respondent-State was expected to file affidavit giving specific dates as to when the Respondent was directed to file SLP. The learned P.O. submits that the said SLP is not yet filed because of the flood situation in Kolhapur.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. is also directed to inform the Tribunal as to the outcome of the D.E. conducted against the applicant.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 05.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.367 of 2019 in O.A.No.677 of 2019</b></p> <p>H.T. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate seeks four weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  / / / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.247 of 2019 in O.A.No.220 of 2020</b></p> <p>D.M. Taware &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply to O.A. However, it is to be noted that earlier the matter was admitted without reply of the State.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 13.08.20221.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.249 of 2021 in O.A.No.919 of 2018 with M.A.No.250 of 2021 in O.A.No.1049 of 2018</b></p> <p>Y.L. Belgiri (M.A.249/2021 in O.A.919/2018) M.B. Pandit (M.A.250/2021 in O.A.1049/2018) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These two matters be taken together as the issue of height and chest measurement is common in both the matters.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ s (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.698 of 2019 with O.A.No.699 of 2019</b></p> <p>Dr. S.J. Mane (O.A.698/2019) Dr. A.R. Jadhav (O.A.699/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 are absent.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed. Admit and kept for final hearing for hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.64 of 2021</b></p> <p>U.T. Sarode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-rely within four weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.08.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.118 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.S. Khaple ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder after six weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 417 &amp; 418/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri Ashok V. Walimbe Shri Padmakar M. Jakhadi ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants who retired as Retired Sectional Engineers, prays that they are entitled for the first benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACPS), i.e. promotional post of Sub Divisional Officer w.e.f 1.10.1994, based on G.R dated 8.6.1995 and thereafter second benefit 12 years after promotional pay scale of Executive Engineer w.e.f 1.10.2006 and direct the Respondents to grant all consequential service benefits..</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 9.9.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 9.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  /ur  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.22 of 2021 in O.A.No.21 of 2017</b></p> <p>A.A. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Guarav V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply, dated 20.07.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.1, through Ms. Swati Mhase-Patil, Secretary, M.P.S.C.. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. In the said affidavit the Respondent informs that the post is not vacant as one candidate Ms. Lorna D. Pinto has been already appointed for the post in the open (female) post and another candidate Mr. Mahesh Deshpande also appointed in Open (female) post and therefore there is no clear open (female) post vacant for recommendation, hence, order cannot be complied with.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar prays for time to go through the affidavit and make submissions.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 12.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ </p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 29.07.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.339 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.S. Shah &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. four weeks time granted to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.08.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  / v (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 496/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.H Kazi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The applicant, who is working as Assistant Police Inspector is dismissed by order dated 21.5.2021 challenges the said order on the ground that it is illegal, bad in law and deserves to be quashed and set aside.</li> <li>3. The Respondents have dismissed the applicant by invoking powers under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India.</li> <li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant at the outset challenges the said order on the ground that the appointing authority of the post of Assistant Police Inspector is the Director General of Police. However, the order of dismissal of the applicant is issued by the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.</li> <li>5. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions and file affidavit in reply.</li> <li>6. Issue notice returnable on 2.9.2021.</li> <li>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>10. S.O to 2.9.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 44/2019 in O.A 1134/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.R Nalawade &amp; Ors                      ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors              ... Respondents</p> <p>1.        Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.        Learned P.O submits that the Respondents have filed S.L.P (Civil) Dairy No (s) 45904/2019 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the matter is listed on 17.9.2021.</p> <p>3.        In view of the above, matter adjourned to 24.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">J <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 11/2020 in O.A 1112/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.M Mali ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Thorat, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw the Contempt Application in view of order dated 22.6.2021 issued by the Respondent as a different cause of action has arisen in the said order and he will challenge the rejection of his candidature on the ground separately.</p> <p>3. Contempt Application is allowed to be withdrawn and accordingly stands disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 15/2021 in O.A 83/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.C Lilani &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All the applicants who are working as Class-IV employees in Central Hospital, Ulhasnagar prays that the order dated 19.11.2020 passed by this Tribunal in O.A 83/2020 be implemented.</p> <p>3. The Tribunal in its order dated 19.11.2020 has directed as follows:-</p> <p align="center">“(B) The Respondents are directed to treat the applicants in continuous service since the date of their initial appointment as a regular employee and to extend all service benefits to them.”</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the Respondents wants time to take opinion from the Law &amp; Judiciary Department for implementing the order dated 19.11.2020 of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. This approach is completely legally erroneous as it is a judicial order, which is not challenged by the Respondents and it holds the field. Therefore, the said order is to be implemented immediately.</p> <p>6. The Respondents are granted four weeks' time to implement the order as a last chance.</p> <p>7. S.O to 26.8.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 491/2021</u></b></p> <p>Dr Shashikant V. Sambhare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ishant Khaire with Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to correct the date on the first line on page 4 of the O.A. Allowed to carry out the correction forthwith.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who is working as Assistant Commission, Animal Husbandry at Pune challenges the final seniority list as on 1.1.2019, published on 16.3.2021 of Assistant Commissioner, Animal Husbandry on the ground that his seniority which was earlier fixed at Serial No. 107, is now pushed down to Serial No. 186.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that though the applicant belongs to OBC, he has not taken benefits of any relaxation, which is otherwise available for OBC candidates. His seniority is disturbed in view of the judgment of the Division Bench of M.A.T, Nagpur Bench, dated 6.1.2017 in O.A 662/2012.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions and file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>6. Issue notice returnable on 26.8.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O to 26.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 261/2021 in O.A 488/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.C Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai with Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees if not already paid.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>29.07.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 488/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.C Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai with Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 154 applicants working as Police Constables who have appeared for the examination for the post of Police Sub Inspector in the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination 2011, vide advertisement bearing No. PSB-0311/PRA KRA465/POL-5A dated 29.12.2011, prays that they should appointed as Police Sub Inspector.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that they were not considered as at the relevant time the Respondents have adopted a policy of reservation in promotion. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that however, now in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 4.8.2017 in State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors Vs. Vijay Ghogre, W.P 2797/2015, the Respondents have taken a consistent stand and cancelled all the reservations in promotion by issuing G.R dated 7.5.2021. Learned counsel for the applicants further submits that corrective steps have been taken by the Respondents in respect of Limited Departmental Competitive Examination of 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010, 2011 and 2013, in respect of 162 candidates by issuing letter dated 306.2021 and they are sent for training. Learned counsel for the applicants states that on the same lines, the present applicants are also to be sent for training.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. Issue notice returnable on 10.8.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O to 10.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>